SIPPING Working Group Internet-Draft

Expires: April 21, 2005

A. Johnston
MCI
O. Levin
Microsoft
October 21, 2004

Session Initiation Protocol Call Control - Conferencing for User Agents draft-ietf-sipping-cc-conferencing-05

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is subject to all provisions of <u>section 3 of RFC 3667</u>. By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with RFC 3668.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 21, 2005.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004).

Abstract

This specification defines conferencing call control features for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP). This document builds on the Conferencing Requirements and Framework documents to define how a tightly coupled SIP conference works. The approach is explored from different user agent (UA) types perspective: conference-unaware,

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 1]

conference-aware and focus UAs. The use of URIs in conferencing, OPTIONS for capabilities discovery, and call control using REFER are covered in detail with example call flow diagrams. The usage of the isfocus feature tag is defined.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	. <u>3</u>
2. Usage of the 'isfocus' Feature Parameter	. <u>3</u>
<u>2.1</u> General	. <u>3</u>
2.2 Session Establishment	. <u>4</u>
<u>2.3</u> Discovery	. 4
3. SIP User Agent Conferencing Capability Types	. <u>4</u>
3.1 Focus UA	
3.2 Conference Factory URI	. <u>5</u>
3.3 Conference-Unaware UA	<u>6</u>
3.4 Conference-Aware UA	<u>6</u>
4. SIP Conferencing Primitives	<u>6</u>
4.1 Joining a Conference using the Conference URI - Dial In	. 7
4.2 Adding a Participant by the Focus - Dial Out	. 10
4.3 Manually Creating a Conference by Dialing into a	
Conferencing Application	. 13
4.4 Creating a Conference using Ad-Hoc SIP Methods	. 15
4.5 Requesting the Focus Add a New Resource to a Conference	. 17
4.6 Adding a 3rd Party Using Conference URI	. 19
4.7 Requesting Focus Refer a Participant into the Conference	
4.8 Adding a 3rd Party Using a Dialog Identifier	. 23
4.9 Changing User Agents within a Conference	. 25
4.10 Bringing a Point-to-Point Session into a Conference .	. 26
4.11 Requesting the Focus Remove a Participant from a	
Conference	. 28
4.12 Deleting a conference	. 30
4.13 Discovery of Conferencing Capabilities using OPTIONS .	. 31
5. Appendix - Creating a Conference by a Conference-Unaware	
UA	. 33
6. IANA Considerations	
7. Security Considerations	. 35
8. Contributors	
9. Changes since -04	. 35
<u>10</u> . Changes since -03	
11. Changes since -02	
12. Changes since -01	
13. Changes since -00	
14. References	
14.1 Normative References	

<u>14.2</u> Informative Re	ferences							<u>37</u>
Authors' Address	es							<u>38</u>
Intellectual Pro	perty and Copyright	Statements						<u>39</u>
Johnston & Levin	Expires April 21,	2005			[Pa	ıge	2]

1. Introduction

This specification uses the concepts and definitions from the high level requirements [10] and the SIP conferencing framework [11]documents.

The approach described in this document implements key functions in the conferencing framework using SIP primitives only. This allows for conducting simple conferences with defined functionalities using SIP mechanisms and conventions. Many other advanced functions can be implemented using additional means but they are not in the scope of this document.

This document presents the basic call control (dial-in and dial-out) conferencing building blocks from the UA perspective. Possible applications include ad-hoc conferences and scheduled conferences.

Note that a single conference can bridge participants having different capabilities and who potentially have joined the conference by different means (i.e. dial-in, dial-out, scheduled, and ad-hoc).

The call control and dialog manipulation approach is based on the multiparty framework [12] document. That document defines the basic approach of service design adopted for SIP which includes:

- Definition of primitives, not services
- Signaling model independent
- Invoker oriented
- Primitives make full use of URIs
- Include authentication, authorization, logging, etc. policies
- Define graceful fallback to baseline SIP.

The use of opaque URIs and the ability to communicate call control context information within a URI (as opposed to service-related header fields), as discussed in $\underline{\mathsf{RFC}}$ 3087 [13], is fundamental to this approach.

Capabilities discovery is an important feature of SIP systems, and conferencing systems can make use of such features. For a UA acting as a focus in a conference, this specification defines the usage of

the 'isfocus' feature parameter.

2. Usage of the 'isfocus' Feature Parameter

2.1 General

The main design guidelines for the development of SIP extensions and conventions for conferencing are to define the minimum number of

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 3]

extensions and to have seamless backwards compatibility with conference-unaware SIP UAs. The minimal requirement for SIP is being able to express that a dialog is a part of a certain conference referenced to by a URI. As a result of these extensions, it is possible to do the following using SIP:

- Create a conference
- Join a conference
- Invite a user to a conference
- Expel a user by third party
- Discover if a URI is a conference URI
- Delete a conference

The approach taken is to use the feature parameter "isfocus" to express that a SIP dialog belongs to a conference. The use of feature parameters in Contact header fields to describe the characteristics and capabilities of a UA is described in the User Agent Capabilities [7] document which includes the definition of the "isfocus" feature parameter.

2.2 Session Establishment

In session establishment, a focus MUST include the "isfocus" feature parameter in the Contact header field unless the focus wishes to hide the fact that it is a focus. To a participant, the feature parameter will be associated with the remote target URI of the dialog. It is an indication to a conference-aware UA that the resulting dialog belongs to a conference identified by the URI in the Contact header field and that the call control conventions defined in this document can be applied.

The Conference URI MUST meet the requirements to be a GRUU (Globally Routable User Agent URI) as detailed in [9]

2.3 Discovery

Currently the only met requirement is: given an opaque URI, being able to recognize whether it belongs to a certain conference (i.e. meaning that it is a conference URI) or not. This discovery function can be implemented in SIP using an OPTIONS request, and can be done either inside an active dialog or outside a dialog. A focus MUST

include the "isfocus" feature parameter in a 200 OK response to an OPTIONS unless the focus wishes to hide the fact that it is a focus.

3. SIP User Agent Conferencing Capability Types

From a conferencing perspective, the framework document outlines a number of possible different SIP components such as

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 4]

conference-unaware participant, conference-aware participant, and focus.

This document applies the concepts above to the SIP call control part of the conferencing components. It defines normative behavior of the SIP UAs in various conferencing situations (referred later as "scenarios").

3.1 Focus UA

A focus, as defined in the framework, hosts a SIP conference and maintains a SIP signaling relationship with each participant in the conference. A focus contains a conference-aware user agent that supports the conferencing call control conventions as defined in this document.

A focus SHOULD support the conference package [5] and indicate so in Allow-Events header fields in requests and responses. A focus MAY include information about the conference in SDP message bodies sent.

A focus SHOULD support the Replaces [8] header field.

A user agent with focus capabilities could be implemented in end user equipment and would be used for the creation of ad-hoc conferences.

A dedicated conferencing server, whose primary task is to simultaneously host conferences of arbitrary type and size, may allocate and publish a conference factory URI (as defined in the next section) for creating an arbitrary number of ad-hoc conferences (and subsequently their focuses) using SIP call control means.

3.2 Conference Factory URI

According to the framework, there are many ways in which a conference can be created. These are open to the conferencing server implementation policy and include non-automated means (such as IVR), SIP, and a conference policy control protocol.

In order to automatically create an arbitrary number of ad-hoc conferences (and subsequently their focuses) using SIP call control means, a globally routable Conference Factory URI can be allocated and published.

A successful attempt to establish a call to this URI would result in the automatic creation a new conference and its focus. As a result, note that the Conference Factory URI and the newly created focus URI

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 5]

MAY resolve to different physical devices.

A scenario showing the use of the conference factory URI is shown in Section 4.4.

3.3 Conference-Unaware UA

The simplest user agent can participate in a conference ignoring all SIP conferencing-related information. The simplest user agent is able to dial into a conference and to be invited to a conference. Any conferencing information is optionally conveyed to/from it using non-SIP means. Such a user agent would not usually host a conference (at least, not using SIP explicitly). A conference-unaware UA needs only to support RFC 3261 [2]. Call flows for conference-unaware UAs are not shown in general in this document as they would be identical to those in the SIP call flows [15] document.

3.4 Conference-Aware UA

A conference-aware user agent supports SIP conferencing call control conventions defined in this document as a conference participant, in addition to support of RFC 3261.

A conference-aware UA MUST recognize the "isfocus" feature parameter. A conference-aware UA SHOULD support REFER [3], SIP events [4], and the conferencing package [5].

A conference-aware UA SHOULD subscribe to the conference package if the "isfocus" parameter is in the remote target URI of a dialog and if the conference package is listed by a focus in an Allow-Events header field. The SUBSCRIBE to the conference package should be sent outside any INVITE-initiated dialog. A termination of the INVITE dialog with a BYE does not necessarily terminate the SUBSCRIBE dialog.

A conference-aware UA MAY render to the user any information about the conference obtained from the SIP header fields and SDP fields from the focus.

4. SIP Conferencing Primitives

The SIP conferencing call control flows presented in this section are the call control building blocks for various SIP tight conferencing applications as described in the conferencing requirements [10] and framework [11] documents. The major design goal is that the same SIP conferencing primitives would be used by user agents having different conferencing capabilities and comprising different applications.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 6]

4.1 Joining a Conference using the Conference URI - Dial In

In this section a user knows the conference URI and "dials in" to join this conference.

If the UA is the first participant of the conference to dial in, it is likely that this INVITE will create the focus and hence the conference. However, the conference URI must have been reserved prior to its use.

If the conference is up and running already, the dialing-in participant is joined to the conference by its focus.

To join an existing specific conference a UA SHOULD send an INVITE with the Request-URI set to the conference URI. The focus MUST include the "isfocus" feature parameter in the Contact header field of the 200 OK response to the INVITE.

An example call flow for joining a conference is shown in Figure 1.

Alice	Focus	Bob	Carol
 	(Carol joins the conferenc	;e
		INVITE sip:Conf-ID) F1
		180 Ringing F2	
	·	OK Contact:Conf-ID;isfoc	
		ACK F4	
	<	RTP	
	<======	SUBSCRIBE sip:Conf-ID	
		200 OK F6	
		NOTIFY F7	
		200 OK F8	
I	1<		

Figure 1. A Participant Joins a Conference using the Conference URI.

F1 INVITE sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 7]

```
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.chicago.example.com
       ;branch=z9hG4bKhjhs8ass83
      Max-Forwards: 70
      To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>
      From: Carol <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>;tag=32331
      Call-ID: d432fa84b4c76e66710
      CSeq: 45 INVITE
      Contact: <sip:carol@client.chicago.example.com>
      Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,
       SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY
      Allow-Events: dialog
      Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag
      Supported: conf, replaces
      Content-Type: application/sdp
      Content-Length: 274
      (SDP not shown)
F3
     SIP/2.0 200 OK
     Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.chicago.example.com
      ;branch=z9hG4bKhjhs8ass83;received=192.0.2.4
      To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=733413
      From: Carol <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>;tag=32331
      Call-ID: d432fa84b4c76e66710
      CSeq: 45 INVITE
      Contact: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;isfocus
      Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,
       SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY
      Allow-Events: dialog, conference
      Accept: application/sdp, application/conference-info+xml,
       message/sipfrag
      Supported: replaces, join, gruu
      Content-Type: application/sdp
      Content-Length: 274
      v=0
      o=focus431 2890844526 2890842807 IN IP4 ms5.conf.example.com
      s=Example Subject
      i=Example Conference Hosted by Example.com
      u=http://conf.example.com/3402934234
      e=3402934234@conf-help.example.com
      p=+1-888-2934234
      c=IN IP4 ms5.conf.example.com
      t=0 0
```

m=audio 49170 RTP/AVP 0 m=video 51372 RTP/AVP 31

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 8]

```
F5
      SUBSCRIBE sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0
      Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.chicago.example.com
       ;branch=z9hG4bKdf334
      Max-Forwards: 70
      To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>
      From: Carol <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>;tag=43524545
      Call-ID: k3l43id034ksereree
      CSeq: 22 SUBSCRIBE
      Contact: <sip:carol@client.chicago.example.com>
      Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,
       SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY
      Event: conference
      Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag
      Supported: conf, replaces
      Content-Length: 0
F7
      NOTIFY sip:carol@chicago.example.com SIP/2.0
      Via: SIP/2.0/UDP ms5.conf.example.com;branch=z9hG4bK3343d1
      Max-Forwards: 70
      To: Carol <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>;tag=43524545
      From: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=a3343df32
      Call-ID: k3l43id034ksereree
      CSeq: 34321 NOTIFY
      Contact: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;isfocus
      Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,
       SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY
      Event: conference
      Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag
      Subscription-State: active; expires=3600
      Supported: replaces, join, gruu
      Content-Type: application/conference-info+xml
      Content-Length: ...
      <conference-state version="0" state="full"</pre>
                   entity="sip:3402934234@example.com">
        <conference-info>
          <conf-uris>
            <entry>
              <uri>tel:+18882934234</uri>
            </entry>
          </conf-uris>
        </conference-info>
        <user entity="sip:carol@chicago.example.com" state="full">
          <display-text>Carol</display-text>
```

<endpoint entity="sip:carol@client.chicago.example.com"> <state>connected</state> <joining-method>dialed-in</joining-method>

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 9]

```
<media entity="1" state="full">
       <display-text>Main Audio</display-text>
       <proto>audio</proto>
       <ssrc>583398</ssrc>
       <state>active</state>
      </media>
      <media entity="2" state="full">
       <proto>video</proto>
       <ssrc>345212</ssrc>
       <state>active</state>
      </media>
    </endpoint>
  </user>
</conference-state>
```

4.2 Adding a Participant by the Focus - Dial Out

To directly add a participant to a conference, a focus SHOULD send an INVITE to the participant containing a Contact header field with the conference URI and the "isfocus" feature parameter.

Note that a conference-unaware UA would simply ignore the conferencing information and treat the session (from a SIP perspective) as a point to point session.

An example call flow is shown in Figure 2. It is assumed that Alice is already a participant of the conference. The focus invites Carol to the conference by sending an INVITE. After the session is established, Carol subscribes to the conference URI. It is important to note that there is no dependency on Carol's SUBSCRIBE (F5) and the NOTIFY to Alice (F9) - they occur asynchronously and independently.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 10]




Figure 2. A Focus "dials out" to Add a Participant to the Conference.

F7 NOTIFY sip:carol@chicago.example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP ms5.conf.example.com;branch=z9hG4bK3343d1

Max-Forwards: 70

To: Carol <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>;tag=43524545

From: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=a3343df32

Call-ID: k3l43id034ksereree

CSeq: 34321 NOTIFY

Contact: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;isfocus Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY Event: conference

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag

Subscription-State: active;expires=3600

Supported: replaces, gruu

Content-Type: application/conference-info+xml

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 11]

```
Content-Length: ...
<conference-state version="0" state="full"</pre>
             entity="sip:3402934234@example.com">
  <conference-info>
    <conf-uris>
      <entry>
        <uri>tel:+18882934234</uri>
      </entry>
    </conf-uris>
  </conference-info>
  <user entity="sip:alice@atlanta.example.com" state="full">
    <display-text>Alice</display-text>
    <endpoint entity="sip:alice@client.atlanta.example.com">
      <state>connected</state>
      <joining-method>dialed-in</joining-method>
      <media entity="3" state="full">
       <display-text>Main Audio</display-text>
       <proto>audio</proto>
       <ssrc>647231</ssrc>
       <state>active</state>
      </media>
      <media entity="4" state="full">
       <proto>video</proto>
       <ssrc>21345</ssrc>
       <state>active</state>
      </media>
    </endpoint>
  </user>
  <user entity="sip:carol@chicago.example.com" state="full">
    <display-text>Carol</display-text>
    <endpoint entity="sip:carol@client.chicago.example.com">
      <state>connected</state>
      <joining-method>dialed-out</joining-method>
      <media entity="1" state="full">
       <display-text>Main Audio</display-text>
       o
       <ssrc>583398</ssrc>
       <state>active</state>
      </media>
      <media entity="2" state="full">
       <proto>video</proto>
       <ssrc>345212</ssrc>
       <state>active</state>
      </media>
    </endpoint>
```

</user> </conference-state>

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 12]

```
F9
      NOTIFY sip:alice@atlanta.example.com SIP/2.0
      Via: SIP/2.0/UDP ms5.conf.example.com;branch=z9hG4bK3432
      Max-Forwards: 70
      To: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.example.com>;tag=43524545
      From: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=a3343df32
      Call-ID: 8820450524545
      CSeq: 998 NOTIFY
      Contact: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;isfocus
      Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,
       SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY
      Event: conference
      Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag
      Subscription-State: active; expires=2450
      Supported: replaces, gruu
      Content-Type: application/conference-info+xml
      Content-Length: ...
      <conference-state version="0" state="partial"</pre>
                   entity="sip:3402934234@example.com">
        <user entity="sip:carol@chicago.example.com" state="full">
          <display-text>Carol</display-text>
          <endpoint entity="sip:carol@client.chicago.example.com">
            <state>connected</state>
            <joining-method>dialed-out</joining-method>
            <media entity="1" state="full">
             <display-text>Main Audio</display-text>
             o
             <ssrc>583398</ssrc>
             <state>active</state>
            </media>
            <media entity="2" state="full">
             <proto>video</proto>
             <ssrc>345212</ssrc>
             <state>active</state>
            </media>
          </endpoint>
        </user>
      </conference-state>
```

4.3 Manually Creating a Conference by Dialing into a Conferencing Application

In this section, a user sends an INVITE to a conference server application. The application (such as an IVR system or a web page) is implemented because the system requires additional input from the

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 13]

user before it is able to create a conference. After a normal dialog is established, additional information is received and the conference together with its focus are created. At this point the conference server MUST re-INVITE the user with the conference URI in Contact with the "isfocus" feature parameter.

Alternatively, the additional information MAY be provided by the user during an early dialog established. This could be accomplished by a 183 Session Progress response sent by the conferencing application. After the conference is created, the conference URI MUST then be returned in a Contact in the 200 OK.

An example call flow is shown in Figure 3. In this example, Alice uses a conference application which is triggered when Alice sends an INVITE to the conference application. In this example, Conf-App is used to represent the conference application URI. Alice's conference-aware UA learns of the existence of the conference from the "isfocus" feature parameter and subscribes to the conference package to receive notifications of the conference state.




Figure 3. A Participant Creates a Conference using an Application.

4.4 Creating a Conference using Ad-Hoc SIP Methods

This section addresses creating a conference by using ad-hoc SIP means. The conference factory URI (as defined in Section 3.2) is used to automatically create the conference in this example.

The benefit of this approach is that the conference URI need not be known to the user - instead it is created by a focus and used by the participants' UAs. The main difference between this scenario and Section 4.3 is that no user intervention (IVR, web page form, etc.)

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 15]

is required to create the conference.

The SIP URI of the conference factory can be provisioned in the UA (as in a "create new conference" button on a SIP phone) or can be discovered using other means.

A SIP entity (such as conferencing server) can distinguish this INVITE request as a request to create a new ad-hoc conference from a request to join an existing conference by the Request-URI.

Assuming that all security and policy requirements have been met, a new conference will be created with the Contact URI returned in the 200 OK being the conference URI. The Contact header field MUST contain the "isfocus" feature parameter to indicate that this URI is for a conference.

An example call flow is shown in Figure 4. Note that Conf-Factory is shorthand for the conference factory URI and Conf-ID Is short for the conference URI. In this flow, Alice has a conference-aware UA and creates a conference by sending an INVITE to the conference factory URI. The conference factory application creates the conference and redirects using a 302 Moved Temporarily response to the focus. Note that with proxy recursion, Alice may never see the redirect but may just receive the responses from the focus starting with message F5. Once the media session is established, Alice subscribes to the conference URI obtained through the Contact in the 200 OK response from the focus.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 16]




Figure 4. Creation of a Conference using SIP Ad-Hoc Methods.

4.5 Requesting the Focus Add a New Resource to a Conference

A SIP conference URI can be used to inject different kinds of information into the conference. Examples include new participants, new real-time media sources, new IM messages, and pointers to passive information references (such as HTTP URIs).

To request the focus add a new information resource to the specified conference, any SIP UA can send a REFER to the conference URI with a

Refer-To containing the URI of the new resource. Since this REFER is sent to the conference URI and not the conference factory URI, the semantics to the focus are to bring the resource into the conference and make it visible to the conference participants. The resultant

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 17]


focus procedures are dependant both on the nature of the new resource (as expressed by its URI) and the own focus policies regarding IM, central vs. distributed real time media processing, etc.

The scenario for adding a new UA participant is important to support because it works even if the new participant does not support REFER and transfer call control - only the requesting participant and the focus need to support the REFER and transfer call control.

Upon receipt of the REFER containing a Refer-To header with a SIP URI, the focus SHOULD send an INVITE to the new participant identified by the Refer-To SIP URI containing a Contact header field with the conference URI and the "isfocus" feature parameter.

A conference-unaware UA would simply ignore the conferencing information and treat the session (from a SIP perspective) as a point to point session.

An example call flow is shown in Figure 5. It is assumed that Alice is already a participant of the conference. Alice sends a REFER to the conference URI. The focus invites Carol to the conference by sending an INVITE. After the session is established, Carol subscribes to the conference URI. It is important to note that there is no dependency on Carol's SUBSCRIBE (F11) and the NOTIFY to Alice (F15) - they occur asynchronously and independently.



	<
İ	200 OK F7
 	<
j	ACK F8
	>

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 18]




Figure 5. Participant Requests Focus add a Participant to the Conference.

F1 REFER sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKg45344

Max-Forwards: 70

To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>

From: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.example.com>;tag=5534562

Call-ID: 849392fklgl43

CSeq: 476 REFER

Contact: <sip:alice@alice.example.com>

Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag Refer-To: <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>

Supported: replaces Content-Length: 0

4.6 Adding a 3rd Party Using Conference URI

A participant wishing to add a new participant will request this participant to send an INVITE to the conference URI. This can be done using a non-SIP means (such as passing or publishing the conference URI in an email, IM, or web page). If a non-SIP means is used, then the flow and requirements are identical to $\underline{\text{Section 4.1}}$.

The SIP mechanism to do this utilizes the REFER method.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 19]

A UA wishing to add a new participant SHOULD send a REFER request to the participant with a Refer-To header containing the conference URI.

The requirements are then identical to the "dial in" case of Section 4.1. The inviting participant MAY receive notification through the REFER action that the new participant has been added in addition to the notification received through the conference package.

An example is shown in Figure 6. In this call flow, it is assumed that Alice is already a participant of the conference. Alice sends Bob an "out of band" REFER - that is, a REFER outside of an established dialog. Should Bob reject the REFER, Alice might try sending an INVITE to Bob to establish a session first, then send a REFER within the dialog, effectively transferring Bob into the conference [17].

Alice	Fo	cus	Bob	Carol
 <== 	=======>	 	 	
A	lice adds Bob int	o conference	į	į
l RE	FER Refer-To:Conf	l -ID F1		
 2	02 Accepted F2	 	·> 	
< N	OTIFY (Trying) F3	 	· - 	
<	200 OK F4	 	·- 	
	NOTIFY (OK) F9	INVITE sip:Conf-II 	 -> nf-ID;isfocus F7 -> 	
<	200 OK F10	 	·- 	
			->	- 1

NOTIFY F11		
<	l I	
200 OK F12	l I	
>	l I	

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 20]





Figure 6. Adding a Participant to an Existing Conference.


4.7 Requesting Focus Refer a Participant into the Conference

A participant may request the focus refer a participant into the conference by sending a REFER method. The Refer-To header field will have the method set to REFER and an escaped Refer-To header field containing the conference URI.

Note that in Message F1 below, the Refer-To header field is shown as continuing across two lines - this would not be the case in an actual message, the URI would have continued beyond the formatting limitations of this document.

This scenario is shown in Figure 7.





Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 21]




Figure 7. Requesting a Focus Refer a Participant to a Conference.

F1 REFER sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKg45344

Max-Forwards: 70

To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>

From: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.example.com>;tag=5534562

Call-ID: 849392fklgl43

CSeq: 476 REFER

Contact: <sip:alice@alice.example.com>

Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag

Refer-To: <sip:bob@biloxi.example.com?method=REFER</pre>

&Refer-To=sip:3402934234%40example.com>

Supported: conf, replaces

Content-Length: 0

F5 REFER sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP ms5.conf.example.com;branch=z9hG4bK33445243

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 22]

Max-Forwards: 70

To: <sip:bob@biloxi.example.com>

From: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=345621412

Call-ID: 5494204 CSeq: 4524323 REFER

Contact: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;isfocus Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag Refer-To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>

Supported: join, gruu, replaces

Content-Length: 0

F11 INVITE sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.biloxi.com;branch=z9hG4bKh3887

Max-Forwards: 70

To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>

From: Bob <sip:bob@biloxi.example.com>;tag=32411

Call-ID: 5d4324fa84b4c76e66710

CSeq: 764 INVITE

Contact: <sip:bob@client.biloxi.example.com> Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY Allow-Events: dialog

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag

Supported: replaces, join Content-Type: application/sdp

Content-Length: 274

(SDP not shown)

4.8 Adding a 3rd Party Using a Dialog Identifier

Under some circumstances, a participant wanting to join a conference may only know a dialog identifier of one of the legs of the conference. The information may have been learned using the dialog package [18] or some non-SIP means to retrieve this information from a conference participant.

A UA can request to be added to a conference by sending a request to

the focus containing a Join $\left[\underline{6} \right]$ header field containing a dialog ID of one leg of the conference (a dialog between a participant and the focus).

There are other scenarios in which a UA can use the Join header for

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 23]

certain conferencing call control scenarios. See [6] for further examples and details.

An example is shown in Figure 8. It is assumed that Alice is a participant of the conference. The dialog identifier between Alice and the focus is abbreviated as A-F and is known by Bob. Bob requests to be added to the conference by sending an INVITE message F1 to the focus containing a Join header which contains the dialog identifier A-F. Bob is added into the conference by the focus.




Figure 8. Adding a Participant to an Existing Conference using Join.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 24]

F1 INVITE sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.biloxi.com;branch=z9hG4bKh3832

Max-Forwards: 70

To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>

From: Bob <sip:bob@biloxi.example.com>;tag=32411

Call-ID: d432fa84b4c76e66710

CSeq: 8 INVITE

Contact: <sip:bob@client.biloxi.example.com>

Join: 3434034-293553453; to-tag=fdj3l34; from-tag=12f331

Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY Allow-Events: dialog

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag

Supported: replaces, join Content-Type: application/sdp

Content-Length: 274

(SDP not shown)

4.9 Changing User Agents within a Conference

A participant in a conference may want to change the user agent with which they participate in the conference. While this could be done by simply sending a BYE from one user agent to leave the conference and an INVITE from the other user agent to rejoin. However, the SIP Replaces [6] primitive is perfectly suited to this operation.

An example is shown in Figure 9. It is assumed that Alice is a participant of the conference using user agent #1. The dialog identifier between Alice's user agent #1 and the focus is abbreviated as A-F. Alice switches to user agent #2 and sends an INVITE message F1 to the focus containing a Replaces header which contains the dialog identifier A-F. Note that this dialog identifier could be learned through some non-SIP mechanism, or by use of SUBSCRIBE/NOTIFY and the dialog event package [18]. Alice's user agent #2 is added into the conference by the focus. The focus sends a BYE to user agent #1. User agent #1 then automatically terminates the subscription by sending a SUBSCRIBE with Expires:0 to terminate the subscription. Note that as the participant list (roster) has not changed during this scenario.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 25]




Figure 9. Adding a Participant to an Existing Conference using Join.

4.10 Bringing a Point-to-Point Session into a Conference

This call flow shows how a point to point call can be switched to a conference call involving an external focus.

Alice and Bob have an established session with a dialog identifier

A-B. Alice joins the conference with the focus by sending an INVITE to the Conference URI. Alice then sends a REFER to the focus and includes an escaped Replaces header field in the Refer-To header field. Bob receives the INVITE from the focus, matches the dialog in

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 26]

the Replaces header field with the dialog with Alice. As a result, Bob accepts the INVITE, joins the conference, and sends a BYE to Alice to tear down their point to point dialog.

Alic	e Fo	cus	Bob	Carol
 	Alice is in a ses			
< 	Alice joins the co	======================================	:> 	
	INVITE sip:Conf-ID			ļ
Ì	> 200 OK Contact:sip:	Conf-ID F2	!	
i	ACK F3	İ		
	======>			į
	Alice asks focus t	l o REFER Bob into cor '	l nference	
	REFER sip:Conf-ID R	। efer-To:Bob?Replaces ।	I S=A-B F4	
	202 Accepted F5	Ī		į
ĺ	NOTIFY (Trying) F6	ĺ		
i	200 OK F7	İ		
-		İ		
	Focus inv	ites Bob to the conf 	erence 	
į		INVITE sip:Conf-I		į
		200 OK F9	İ	ļ
		< ACK F10	·- 	
		 RTP	·> 	
	BYE	<====================================	=> 	
< 	200	0K F12	·- 	
- 	NOTIFY (200) F13	 I	->	
<		1		

	200 OK F14		
	>	•	

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 27]




Figure 10. Transitioning a Point to Point Session into a Conference.

4.11 Requesting the Focus Remove a Participant from a Conference

To request the focus remove a participant from the specified conference, a properly authorized SIP UA (typically the conference owner) can send a REFER to the conference URI with a Refer-To containing the URI of the participant and with the method set to BYE. The requestor does not need to know the dialog information about the dialog between the focus and the participant who will be removed the focus knows this information and fills it when it generates the BYE request.

An example call flow is shown in Figure 11. It is assumed that Alice and Carol are already participants of the conference and that Alice is authorized to remove members from the conference. Alice sends a REFER to the conference URI with a Refer-To header containing a URI of the form <sip:carol@chicago.example.com?method=BYE>.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 28]




Figure 11. Participant Requests Focus Remove a Participant from the Conference.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 29]

F1 REFER sip:3402934234@example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKg45344

Max-Forwards: 70

To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>

From: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.example.com>;tag=5534562

Call-ID: 849392fklgl43

CSeq: 476 REFER

Contact: <sip:alice@alice.example.com>

Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,

SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY

Accept: application/sdp, message/sipfrag

Refer-To: <sip:carol@chicago.example.com?method=BYE>

Supported: replaces Content-Length: 0

F5 BYE sip:carol@client.chicago.example.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP ms5.conf.example.com; branch=z9hG4bK343gf4

Max-Forwards: 70

From: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=5393k2312 To: Carol <sip:carol@chicago.example.com>;tag=32331

Call-ID: d432fa84b4c76e66710

CSea: 78654 BYE Content-Length: 0

4.12 Deleting a conference

A conference created using the Conference Factory URI is automatically deleted when the creator of the conference leaves the conference by sending a BYE.

If the focus allows the conference policy to be manipulated, it is possible for the conference to continue after the creator departs if the policy is changed. However, the default conference policy for conferences created using the Conference Factory URI is that the conference is deleted when the creator departs.

Figure 12 shows this call flow in which the creator Alice departs causing the conference to be deleted. Note that the order of sending BYEs and final NOTIFYs is not important.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 30]




Figure 12. Deleting a Conference

4.13 Discovery of Conferencing Capabilities using OPTIONS

A UA MAY send an OPTIONS request to discover if an opaque URI is a conference URI (resolves to a focus). In addition, the reply to the OPTIONS request can also indicate support for various SIP call control extensions used in this document.

Note that the Allow, Accept, Allow-Events, and Supported header fields should be present in an INVITE from a focus or a 200 OK answer from the focus to an INVITE as a part of a normal dialog establishment process.

An example is shown in Figure 13 where Alice sends an OPTIONS to a

URI which resolves to a focus.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 31]

Alice	Focus	Bob	Carol
	1	1	
OPTIONS s	ip:Conf-ID F1	1	
	>	1	1
200 OK Co	ntact:Conf-ID;isfocus F2	1	
<		I	

Figure 13. Participant Queries Capabilities of URI which resolves to a Focus.

Following is an example message detail of message F2 in Figure 13. Based on the response, Alice's UA learns that the URI is a conference URI and that the responding UA is focus that supports a number of SIP call control extensions.

The response details are as follows:

e=3402934234@conf-help.example.com

p=+18882934234

```
F2 SIP/2.0 200 OK
    Via: SIP/2.0/UDP pc33.atlanta.example.com;branch=z9hG4bKhjhs8ass877
     ;received=192.0.2.4
     To: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;tag=93810874
     From: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.example.com>;tag=1928301774
     Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710
     CSeq: 63104 OPTIONS
     Contact: <sip:3402934234@example.com>;isfocus
     Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE, REFER,
      SUBSCRIBE, NOTIFY
     Allow-Events: refer, conference
     Accept: application/sdp, application/conference-info+xml,
      message/sipfrag
     Accept-Language: en
     Supported: replaces, join, gruu
     Content-Type: application/sdp
     Content-Length: ...
     V=0
     o=focus431 2890844563 2890842835 IN IP4 ms5.conf.example.com
     s=Example Subject
     i=Example Conference Hosted by Example.com
     u=http://conf.example.com/3402934234
```

c=IN IP4 ms5.conf.example.com t=0 0 m=audio 49170 RTP/AVP 0 1 3 5 7 m=video 51372 RTP/AVP 31 32

Useful information from each of these headers is detailed in the next

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 32]

sections.

Allow. The support of methods such as REFER, SUBSCRIBE, and NOTIFY indicate that the user agent supports call control and SIP Events.

Accept. The support of bodies such as message/sipfrag [14], application/conference-info+xml [5] also indicates support of call control and conferencing.

Allow-Events. The support of event packages such as refer [3], conference [5].

Supported. The support of extensions such as replaces, join, and gruu.

Contact. The presence of the "isfocus" feature parameter in the Contact header indicates that the URI is a conference URI and that the UA is a focus.

5. Appendix - Creating a Conference by a Conference-Unaware UA

This section discusses how a human user operating a conference-unaware UA can create and add participants to a conference. This method is described as an appendix since it is NOT RECOMMENDED. The scenarios involving creating a conference using ad-hoc or manual means are recommended over this scenario. This scenario is included, however, for completeness.

A user (human) would choose a conference URI according to system rules and insert it into the Request-URI of the INVITE. This same URI is echoed by a focus adhering to certain addressing conventions (discussed below) in the Contact header by the focus. Additional participants could be added by non-SIP means (publication of the chosen conference URI using web pages, email, IM, etc.). Alternatively, the conference-unaware UA could then add other participants to the conference using SIP call control by establishing a session with them, then transferring [17] them to the conference URI. Note that in this scenario only the user (human) is aware of the conferencing application, and the conference-unaware UA only need support RFC 3261 and optionally call transfer.

Making this work does impose certain addressing conventions on a system. As a service/implementation choice, a system could allow the creator of the conference to choose the user portion of the conference URI. However, this requires the URI format to be agreed upon between a user and the system.

For example, a service provider might reserve the domain

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 33]

conf.example.com for all conference URIs. Any URI in the domain of conf.example.com would resolve to the focus. The focus could be configured to interpret an unknown user part in the conf.example.com domain as a request for a conference to be created with the conference URI as the Request-URI. For example, an INVITE sent with a Request-URI of sip:k32934208ds72@conf.example.com could be routed to the focus that would then create the conference. This conference URI should be registered by the newly created focus to become routable as a conference URI within the conf.example.com domain. The returned Contact would look as follows:

Contact: <sip:k32934208ds72@conf.example.com>;isfocus

Note, however, that this approach relies on conventions adopted between the user (human) and the focus. Also, the approach is not robust against collisions in the conference names. If a second user wishing to create a new conference happened to choose the same user part as an existing conference, the result would be that the second user would be added into the existing conference instead of creating a new one.

As a result, methods of conference creation in which the conference URI is an opaque URI generated by the focus are preferred.

An example call flow is shown in Figure 14. The participant Alice creates the conference URI (using some convention agreed to with the focus domain) and sends an INVITE to that URI which creates the focus. The focus creates the conference and returns the same conference URI in the 200 OK answer to the INVITE (which is ignored by the conference-unaware UA).

Alice	Focus	Bob	Carol
	1		
Ali	ce creates the conference a	and chooses the cor	nference URI.
	1		
INV	ITE sip:Conf-ID F1		
	>	1	
1	80 Ringing F2		
<			
2	00 OK Contact:Conf-ID;isfoc	cus F3	
<			
	ACK F4		
	>	1	

RTP		1
<=====================================	>	

Figure 14. Not Recommended - Conferencing Unaware Participant Creates a Conference

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 34]

6. IANA Considerations

None.

7. Security Considerations

This document discusses call control for SIP conferencing. Both call control and conferencing have specific security requirements which will be summarized here. Conferences generally have authorization rules about who may or may not join a conference, what type of media may or may not be used, etc. This information is used by the focus to admit or deny participation in a conference. It is recommended that these types of authorization rules be used to provide security for a SIP conference. For this authorization information to be used, the focus needs to be able to authenticate potential participants. Normal SIP mechanisms including Digest authentication and certificates can be used. These conference specific security requirements are discussed further in the requirements and framework documents.

For call control security, a user agent must maintain local policy on who is permitted to perform call control operations, initiate REFERS, and replace dialogs. Normal SIP authentication mechanisms are also appropriate here. The specific authentication and authorization schemes are described in the multiparty call control framework document.

8. Contributors

We would like to thank Rohan Mahy, Jonathan Rosenberg, Roni Even, Petri Koskelainen, Brian Rosen, Paul Kyzivat, Eric Burger, and others in list discussions.

9. Changes since -04

- Removed definition and IANA registration for conf option tag for UAs
- Updated conference package examples

10. Changes since -03

- Added definition and IANA registration for conf option tag for UAs
- Added requirement and flow for deletion of conference
- Added scenario of participant requesting focus refer a participant to the conference

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 35]

- Added scenario of moving a point to point call to a conference with a separate focus

11. Changes since -02

- Added reference and text about use of GRUUs.
- Updated for latest version of conference package.
- Clarified that conference package subscription should use a separate dialog from INVITE dialog.

12. Changes since -01

- Added messages details of selected INVITE, 200 OK, SUBSCRIBE, REFER, and NOTIFY messages.

13. Changes since -00

- Showed separation between conference factory application and focus by having the application redirect to the newly created focus in the ad-hoc creation scenario.
- Removed inclusion of "isfocus" parameter in Refer-To header field this may be a useful extension to the REFER mechanism in the future, however.
- Updated reference from Caller Prefs document to the new Capabilities of User Agents document.
- Added scenario of participant changing user agents during a conference.
- Added requirement on focus to support Replaces header field.

- Added discussion about termination of dialog using BYE and subscription using SUBSCRIBE/NOTIFY to flows involving termination of session with the focus.

14. References

14.1 Normative References

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [2] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A.,

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 36]

Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M. and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261, June 2002.

- [3] Sparks, R., "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Refer Method", RFC 3515, April 2003.
- [4] Roach, A., "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)-Specific Event Notification", RFC 3265, June 2002.
- [5] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Event Package for Conference State", draft-ietf-sipping-conference-package-05 (work in progress), July 2004.
- [6] Mahy, R. and D. Petrie, "The Session Inititation Protocol (SIP) 'Join' Header", draft-ietf-sip-join-03 (work in progress), February 2004.
- [7] Rosenberg, J., "Indicating User Agent Capabilities in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", draft-ietf-sip-callee-caps-03 (work in progress), January 2004.
- [8] Mahy, R., Biggs, B. and R. Dean, "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) "Replaces" Header", RFC 3891, September 2004.
- [9] Rosenberg, J., "Obtaining and Using Globally Routable User Agent (UA) URIS (GRUU) in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", draft-ietf-sip-gruu-02 (work in progress), July 2004.

14.2 Informative References

- [10] Levin, O. and R. Even, "High Level Requirements for Tightly Coupled SIP Conferencing", <u>draft-ietf-sipping-conferencing-requirements-01</u> (work in progress), October 2004.
- [11] Rosenberg, J., "A Framework for Conferencing with the Session Initiation Protocol", draft-ietf-sipping-conferencing-framework-03 (work in

progress), October 2004.

- [12] Mahy, R., "A Call Control and Multi-party usage framework for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", draft-ietf-sipping-cc-framework-03 (work in progress), October 2003.
- [13] Campbell, B. and R. Sparks, "Control of Service Context using SIP Request-URI", RFC 3087, April 2001.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 37]

- [14] Sparks, R., "Internet Media Type message/sipfrag", RFC 3420, November 2002.
- [15] Johnston, A., Donovan, S., Sparks, R., Cunningham, C. and K. Summers, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Basic Call Flow Examples", BCP 75, RFC 3665, December 2003.
- [16] Johnston, A. and R. Sparks, "Session Initiation Protocol Service Examples", <u>draft-ietf-sipping-service-examples-07</u> (work in progress), July 2004.
- [17] Sparks, R. and A. Johnston, "Session Initiation Protocol Call Control - Transfer", draft-ietf-sipping-cc-transfer-02 (work in progress), February 2004.
- [18] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An INVITE Inititiated Dialog Event Package for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", draft-ietf-sipping-dialog-package-04 (work in progress), February 2004.

Authors' Addresses

Alan Johnston MCI 100 South 4th Street St. Louis, MO 63102

EMail: alan.johnston@mci.com

Orit Levin Microsoft One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 75024

EMail: oritl@microsoft.com

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005 [Page 38]

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$ Internet Society.

Johnston & Levin Expires April 21, 2005

[Page 39]