SIPPING Working Group Internet-Draft Expires: May 18, 2004

# The Early Session Disposition Type for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) draft-ietf-sipping-early-disposition-00.txt

#### Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 18, 2004.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

#### Abstract

This document defines a new disposition type (early-session) for the Content-Disposition header field in SIP. The treatment of "early-session" bodies is similar to the treatment of "session" bodies. That is, they follow the offer/answer model. Their only difference is that session descriptions whose disposition type is "early-session" are used to establish early media sessions within early dialogs, as opposed to regular sessions within regular dialogs.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction		. 3	3
<u>2</u> .	Terminology		. 3	3
<u>3</u> .	Issues Related to Early Media Session Establishment $% \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ .		. 3	3
<u>4</u> .	The Early Session Disposition Type		• 4	4
<u>5</u> .	Preconditions		. 5	5
<u>6</u> .	Option tag		. 5	5
<u>7</u> .	Example		. 5	5
<u>8</u> .	IANA Considerations		. 7	7
<u>9</u> .	Acknowledgements		. 7	7
	Normative References		. §	8
	Informational References		. §	8
	Author's Address		. §	8
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements		. §	9

#### **1**. Introduction

A SIP [2] user agent establishing an INVITE dialog may need to exchange media with the destination user agent (or user agents if the INVITE forks) or with application servers in the path before the dialog is established. Media exchanged this way is referred to as early media.

Section 3 describes the current approach to establish early media sessions in SIP and discusses its problems. Section 4 defines the "early-session" disposition type to resolve those problems.

#### **2**. Terminology

In this document, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, RFC 2119 [1] and indicate requirement levels for compliant implementations.

#### 3. Issues Related to Early Media Session Establishment

Traditionally, early media sessions have been established in the same way as regular sessions. That is, using an offer/answer exchange where the disposition type of the session descriptions is "session". Application servers perform an offer/answer exchange with the UAC to exchange early media exclusively, while UASs use the same offer/ answer exchange, first to exchange early media, and once the regular dialog is established, to exchange regular media. There are issues related to both, application servers and UASs using this mechanism.

Application servers may not be able to generate an answer for an offer received in the INVITE. The UAC created the offer for the UAS, and so, it may have applied end-to-end encryption or have included information (e.g., related to key management) that the application server is not supposed to use. Therefore, application servers need a means to perform an offer/answer exchange with the UAC which is independent from the offer/answer exchange between both UAs.

UASs using the offer/answer exchange that will carry regular media to send and receive early media can cause media clipping, as described in Section 2.1.1 of [6]. Some UACs cannot receive early media from different UASs at the same time. So, when an INVITE forks and several UASs start sending early media, the UAC mutes all the UASs but one (which is usually randomly chosen). If the UAS that accepts the INVITE (i.e., sends a 200 OK) was muted, a new offer/answer exchange is needed to unmute it. This usually causes media clipping. Therefore, UASs need a means to perform an offer/answer exchange with

[Page 3]

the UAC to exchange early media which is independent from the offer/ answer exchanged used to exchange regular media.

A potential solution to this need would be to establish a different dialog using a globally routable URI to perform an independent offer/ answer exchange. This dialog would be labelled as a dialog for early media and would be related to the original dialog somehow at the UAC. However, performing all the offer/answer exchanges within the original dialog has many advantages:

It is simpler.

It does not have synchronization problems, because all the early dialogs are terminated when the session is accepted.

It does not require globally routable URIs.

It does not introduce service interaction issues related to services that may be wrongly applied to the new dialog.

It makes firewall management easier.

# 4. The Early Session Disposition Type

We define a new disposition type for the Content-Disposition header field: early-session. User agents MUST use early-session bodies to establish early media sessions in the same way as they use session bodies to establish regular sessions, as described in <u>RFC 3261 [2]</u> and in <u>RFC 3264 [3]</u>. Particularly, early-session bodies MUST follow the offer/answer model and MAY appear in the same messages as session bodies do with the exceptions of 2xx responses for an INVITE and ACKs. Nevertheless, it is NOT RECOMMENDED to include early offers in INVITEs because they can fork, and the UAC could receive multiple early answers establishing early media streams at roughly the same time.

If a UA needs to refuse an early-session offer, it MUST to so by refusing all the media streams in it. When SDP [5] is used, this is done by setting the port number of all the media streams to zero.

This is the same mechanism that UACs use to refuse regular offers that arrive in a response to an empty INVITE.

An early media session established using early-session bodies MUST be terminated when its corresponding early dialog is terminated or it transitions to a regular dialog.

[Page 4]

It is RECOMMENDED that UAs generating regular and early session descriptions use, as long as it is possible, the same codecs in both. This way, the remote UA does not need to change codecs when the early session transitions to a regular session.

# 5. Preconditions

<u>RFC 3312</u> [4] defines a framework for preconditions for SDP. Early-sessions MAY contain preconditions, which are treated in the same way as preconditions in regular sessions. That is, the UAs do not exchange media and the called user is not alerted until the preconditions are met.

# **<u>6</u>**. Option tag

We define an option tag to be used in Require and Supported header fields. Its name is early-session. A UA adding the early-session option tag to a message indicates that it understands the early-session disposition type.

#### 7. Example

Figure 1 shows the message flow between two UAs. INVITE (1) has an early-session option tag in its Supported header field and the body shown in Figure 2. The UAS sends back a response with two body parts , as shown in Figure 3; one of disposition type session and the other early-session. The session body part is the answer to the offer in the INVITE. The early-session body part is an offer to establish an early media session. When the UAC receives the 183 (Session Progress) response, it sends the answer to the early-session offer in a PRACK, as shown in Figure 4. This early media session is terminated when the early dialog transitions to a regular dialog. That is, when the UAS sends the (5) 200 (OK) response for the INVITE.

A B
>  INVITE>
offer
<pre> &lt;(2) Session Progress </pre>
early-offer
answer
>
early-answer
<pre> &lt;  4) 200 0K </pre>

[Page 5]

T \* T \* \*\*\*\*\*\* L |\* Early Media \*| \*\*\*\*\*\* \* |<-----(5) 200 0K------|</pre> |----->| ACK----->| 

Figure 1: Message flow

Content-Type: application/sdp Content-Disposition: session v=0 o=alice 2890844730 2890844731 IN IP4 host.example.com s= c=IN IP4 192.0.0.1 t=0 0 m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 0 Figure 2: Offer Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="boundary1" Content-Length: 401 --boundary1 Content-Type: application/sdp Content-Disposition: session v=0 o=Bob 2890844725 2890844725 IN IP4 host.example2.com s= c=IN IP4 192.0.0.2 t=0 0 m=audio 30000 RTP/AVP 0 --boundary1 Content-Type: application/sdp Content-Disposition: early-session v=0

o=Bob 2890844714 2890844714 IN IP4 host.example2.com

[Page 6]

Internet-Draft

```
s=
c=IN IP4 192.0.0.2
t=0 0
m=audio 30002 RTP/AVP 0
```

--boundary1--

Figure 3: Early offer and answer

Content-Type: application/sdp Content-Disposition: early-session

v=0 o=alice 2890844717 2890844717 IN IP4 host.example.com s= c=IN IP4 192.0.0.1 t=0 0 m=audio 20002 RTP/AVP 0

Figure 4: Answer

# 8. IANA Considerations

This document defines a new Content-Disposition header field disposition type (early-session) in Section 4. This value should be registered in the IANA registry for Content-Dispositions with the following description:

early-session the body describes an early communications session, for example, an <u>RFC 2327</u> SDP body

This document defines a SIP option tag (early-session) in <u>Section 6</u>. It should be registered in the SIP parameters registry (http:// www.iana.org/assignments/sip-parameters) under "Option Tags", with the following description.

A UA adding the early-session option tag to a message indicates that it understands the early-session content disposition.

# 9. Acknowledgements

Francois Audet and Christer Holmberg provided useful comments on this document.

Internet-Draft Early Session Disposition Type November 2003

Normative References

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [2] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M. and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", <u>RFC 3261</u>, June 2002.
- [3] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", <u>RFC 3264</u>, June 2002.
- [4] Camarillo, G., Marshall, W. and J. Rosenberg, "Integration of Resource Management and Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC <u>3312</u>, October 2002.

Informational References

- [5] Handley, M. and V. Jacobson, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", <u>RFC 2327</u>, April 1998.
- [6] Camarillo, G. and H. Schulzrinne, "Early Media and Ringback Tone Generation in the Session Initiation Protocol", draft-camarillo-sipping-early-media-02 (work in progress), July 2003.

Author's Address

Gonzalo Camarillo Ericsson Hirsalantie 11 Jorvas 02420 Finland

EMail: Gonzalo.Camarillo@ericsson.com

Internet-Draft

# Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in <u>BCP-11</u>. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

#### Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assignees.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION

[Page 9]

HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.