Internet Draft
draft-ietf-smime-ess-02.txt
February 16, 1998
Expires in six months

Editor: Paul Hoffman
Internet Mail Consortium

Enhanced Security Services for S/MIME

Status of this memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

1. Introduction

This document describes three optional security service extensions for S/MIME. These services provide functionality that is similar to the Message Security Protocol [MSP], but are useful in many other environments, particularly business and finance. The services are:

- signed receipts
- security labels
- secure mailing lists

The services described here are extensions to S/MIME version 2 [SMIME2] and S/MIME version 3 [SMIME3]. Most of this document can be used with S/MIME version 2, which relies on PKCS #7 version 1.5 [PKCS7-1.5]. A small number of the services require mechanisms described in Cryptographic Message Syntax [CMS]. The format of the messages are described in ASN.1:1988 [ASN1-1988] with the modification that BMPString and UniversalString types from ASN.1:1994 [ASN1-1994] are used in the descriptions.

This draft is being discussed on the "ietf-smime" mailing list. To subscribe, send a message to:

    ietf-smime-request@imc.org

with the single word

    subscribe

in the body of the message. There is a Web site for the mailing list at <http://www.imc.org/ietf-smime/>.

1.1 Triple Wrapping

Some of the features of each service use the concept of a "triple wrapped" message. A triple wrapped message is one that has been signed, then encrypted, then signed again. The signers of the inner and outer signatures may be different entities or the same entity. Note that the S/MIME specification does not limit the number of nested encapsulations, so there may be more than three wrappings.

1.1.1 Purpose of Triple Wrapping

Not all messages need to be triple wrapped. Triple wrapping is used when a message must be signed, then encrypted, and then processed by other agents that have to be authenticated by the final recipient (i.e. via an outer signature).

The inside signature is used for content integrity, non-repudiation with proof of origin, and binding attributes (such as a security label) to the original content. These attributes go from the originator to the recipient, regardless of the number of intermediate entities such as mail list agents that process the message. The authenticated attributes can be used for access control to the inner body. Requests for signed receipts by the originator are carried in the inside signature as well.

The encrypted body provides confidentiality, including confidentiality of the attributes that are carried in the inside signature.

The outside signature provides authentication and integrity for information that is processed hop-by-hop, where each hop is an intermediate entity such as a mail list agent. The outer signature binds attributes (such as a security label) to the encrypted body. These attributes can be used for access control and routing decisions.

1.1.2 Steps for Triple Wrapping

The steps to create a triple wrapped message are:

1. Start with a message body, called the "original content".

2. Encapsulate the original content with the appropriate MIME headers. An

exception to this MIME encapsulation rule is that a signed receipt is not put in MIME headers.

3. Sign the result of step 2 (the MIME headers and the original content), creating a signed messsage that includes MIME headers. The resulting message is called the "inside signature".

4. Encrypt the result of step 3 (the MIME headers and the inside signature) as a single block, turning it into another (larger) application/pkcs7-mime body part, and add the appropriate MIME headers. The application/pkcs7-mime

body part is called the "encrypted body".

5. Sign the result of step 4 (the MIME headers and the encrypted body) as a single block, turning it into another (even larger) message that includes MIME headers. This message is called the "outside signature".

6. The result of step 5 (the MIME headers and the outside signature) is the triple wrapped message.

1.2 Format of a Triple Wrapped Message

A triple wrapped message has eleven layers of encapsulation. Starting from the innermost layer and working outwards, the layers are:

Original content ("Hello, world!")
MIME entity
ContentInfo: data type
Inner SignedData block
MIME entity
ContentInfo: data type
EnvelopedData block
MIME entity
ContentInfo: data type
Outer SignedData block
MIME entity

Note that both the inner and outer signed blocks use the SignedData construct of S/MIME. As defined in [PKCS7-1.5] and [CMS], each SignedData and EnvelopedData object MUST be encapsulated by a ContentInfo SEQUENCE.

There is no purpose to use the multipart/signed format in inner case because it is known that the recipient is known to be able to process S/MIME messages (because they decrypted the middle wrapper). There may be a purpose in using multipart/signed in the outer layer, but only so that a non-S/MIME agent could see that the next inner layer is encrypted. However, this is not of great value, since all it shows the recipient is that he or she wouldn't have been able to read the message anyways.

1.3 Security Services and Triple Wrapping

The three security services described in this document are used with triple wrapped messages in different ways. This section briefly describes the relationship of each service with triple wrapping; the other sections of the document go into greater detail.

1.3.1 Signed Receipts and Triple Wrapping

A signed receipt may be requested in any SignedData object. However, if a signed receipt is requested for a triple wrapped message, the receipt request MUST be in the inside signature, not in the outside signature. A secure mailing list agent may change the receipt policy in the outside signature of a triple wrapped message when that message is processed by the

mailing list.

Note: the signed receipts and receipt requests described in this draft differ from those described in the work done by the IETF Receipt Notification Working Group. The output of that Working Group, when finished, is not expected to work well with triple wrapped messages as described in this document.

1.3.2 Security Labels and Triple Wrapping

A security label may be included in the authenticated attributes of any SignedData object. A security label attribute may be included in either the inner signature, outer signature, or both.

The inner security label is used for access control decisions related to the plaintext original content. The inner signature provides authentication and cryptographically protects the original signer's security label that is on the inside body. This strategy facilitates the forwarding of messages because the original signer's security label is included in the SignedData block which can be forwarded to a third party that can verify the inner signature which will cover the inner security label. The confidentiality security service can be applied to the inner security label by encrypting the entire inner SignedData block within an EnvelopedData block.

A security label may also be included in the authenticated attributes of the outer SignedData block which will include the sensitivities of the

encrypted message. The outer security label is used for access control and routing decisions related to the encrypted message. Note that a security label attribute can only be used in an authenticatedAttributes block. An eSSSsecurityLabel attribute MUST NOT be used in an EnvelopedData or unauthenticated attributes.

1.3.3 Secure Mailing Lists and Triple Wrapping

Secure mail list message processing depends on the structure of S/MIME layers present in the message sent to the mail list agent. The agent never changes the data that was hashed to form the inner signature, if such a signature is present. If an outer signature is present, then the agent will modify the data that was hashed to form that outer signature. In all cases, the agent adds or updates an mlExpansionHistory attribute to document the agent's processing, and ultimately adds or replaces the outer signature on the message to be distributed.

1.3.4 Placement of Attributes

Certain attributes should be placed in the inner or outer SignedData message; some attributes can be in either. Further, some attributes must be authenticated, while authentication is optional for others. The following table summarizes the recommendation of this profile.

Attribute	Inner or outer	MUST BE authenticated

contentHints	either	no
contentIdentifier	either	no
contentType	either	no
counterSignature	either	no
encapsulatedContentType	either	no
messageDigest	either	yes
mlExpansionHistory	outer only	yes
receiptRequest	inner only	yes
signingTime	either	yes
smimeCapabilities	either	yes
essSecurityLabel	either	yes

If a counterSignature attribute is present, then it MUST be included in the unauthenticated attributes. It MUST NOT be included in the authenticated attributes.

Note that the inner and outer signatures are for different senders, so that the same attribute in the two signatures could lead to very different consequences.

ContentIdentifier is an attribute (OCTET STRING) used to carry a unique identifier assigned to the message. EncapsulatedContentType is an attribute used to carry the content type of the encapsulated content.

1.4 Object Identifiers

The object identifiers for many of the objects described in this draft are found in the registry kept at <http://www.imc.org/ietf-smime/oids.html>. When this draft moves to standards track within the IETF, it is intended that the IANA will maintain this registry.

2. Signed Receipts

Returning a signed receipt provides to the originator proof of delivery of a message, and allows the originator to demonstrate to a third party that the recipient was able to verify the signature of the original message. This receipt is bound to the original message through the signature; consequently, this service may be requested only if a message is signed. The receipt sender may optionally also encrypt a receipt to provide confidentiality between the receipt sender and the receipt recipient.

2.1 Signed Receipt Concepts

The originator of a message may request a signed receipt from the message's recipients. The request is indicated by adding a receiptRequest attribute to the authenticatedAttributes field of the SignerInfo object for which the receipt is requested. The receiving user agent software SHOULD automatically create a signed receipt when requested to do so, and return the receipt in accordance with mailing list expansion options, local security policies, and configuration options.

Because receipts involve the interaction of two parties, the terminology can sometimes be confusing. In this section, the "sender" is the agent that sent the original message that included a request for a receipt. The "receiver" is the party that received that message and generated the receipt.

The steps in a typical transaction are:

1. Sender creates a signed message including a receipt request attribute (Section 2.2).

2. Sender transmits the resulting message to the recipient or recipients.

3. Recipient receives message and determines if there is a valid signature and receipt request in the message (Section 2.3).

4. Recipient creates a signed receipt (Section 2.4).

5. Recipient transmits the resulting signed receipt message to the sender (Section 2.5).

6. Sender receives the message and validates that it contains a signed receipt for the original message (Section 2.6). This validation relies on the sender having retained either a copy of the original message or information extracted from the original message.

The ASN.1 syntax for the receipt request is given in Section 2.7; the ASN.1 syntax for the receipt is given in Section 2.8.

Note that an agent SHOULD remember when it has sent a receipt so that it can avoid re-sending a receipt each time it processes the message.

2.2 Receipt Request Creation

Multi-layer S/MIME messages may contain multiple SignedData layers. However, receipts may be requested only for the innermost SignedData layer in a multi-layer S/MIME message, such as a triple wrapped message. Only one receiptRequest attribute can be included in the authenticatedAttributes of a SignerInfo.

A ReceiptRequest attribute MUST NOT be included in the attributes of a SignerInfo in a SignedData object that encapsulates a Receipt content. In other words, the user agent MUST NOT request a signed receipt for a signed receipt.

A sender requests receipts by placing a receiptRequest attribute in the authenticated attributes of a signerInfo as follows:

1. A receiptRequest data structure is created.

2. The encapsulated content type is optionally noted in the

encapsulatedContentType field.

3. A signed content identifier for the message is created and assigned to the signedContentIdentifier field. The signedContentIdentifier is used to associate the signed receipt with the message requesting the signed receipt.

4. The entities requested to return a signed receipt are noted in the receiptsFrom field.

5. If receipts are to be returned to entities other than or in addition to the message originator, a list of receipt recipients is assigned to the receiptsTo field. The originator's name(s) MUST be included in the receiptsTo list if receipt recipients in addition to the originator are requested.

6. The completed receiptRequest attribute is placed in the authenticatedAttributes field of the SignerInfo object.

2.2.1 Multiple Receipt Requests

There can be multiple SignerInfos within a SignedData object, and each SignerInfo may include authenticatedAttributes. Therefore, a single SignedData object may include multiple SignerInfos, each SignerInfo having a receiptRequest attribute. For example, an originator can send a signed message with two SignerInfos, one containing a DSS signature, the other containing an RSA signature.

Each recipient SHOULD return only one signed receipt.

Not all of the SignerInfos need to include receipt requests, but in all of the SignerInfos that do contain receipt requests, the receipt requests MUST be identical.

2.2.2 Information Needed to Validate Signed Receipts

The sending agent MUST retain one or both of the following items to support the validation of signed receipts returned by the recipients.

- the original signedData object requesting the signed receipt

- the message signature digest value used to generate the original signedData signerInfo signature value and the digest value of the Receipt content containing values included in the original signedData object. If signed receipts are requested from multiple recipients, then retaining these digest values is a performance enhancement because the sending agent can reuse the saved values when verifying each returned signed receipt.

2.3 Receipt Request Processing

A receiptRequest is associated only with the SignerInfo object in which the

receipt request attribute is directly attached. Processing software SHOULD examine the authenticatedAttributes field of each of the SignerInfos for which it verifies a signature in the innermost signedData object to determine if a receipt is requested. This may result in the receiving agent processing multiple receiptRequest attributes included in a single SignedData object.

Because all receiptRequest attributes in a SignedData object must be identical, the receiving application fully processes (as described in the following paragraphs) the first receiptRequest that it encounters in a SignerInfo that it can verify, and it then ensures that all other receiptRequests are identical to the first one encountered. If ReceiptRequests which conflict are present, then the processing software MUST NOT return any receipt.

If a receiptRequest attribute is absent from the authenticated attributes, then a signed receipt has not been requested from any of the message recipients and MUST NOT be created. If a receiptRequest attribute is present in the authenticated attributes, then a signed receipt has been requested from some or all of the message recipients. Note that in some cases, a receiving agent might receive two almost-identical messages, one with a receipt request and the other without one. In this case, the receiving agent SHOULD send a signed receipt for the message that requests a signed receipt. A receipt MUST be returned if any signature containing a receipt request can be validated, even if other signatures containing the same receipt request cannot be validated.

If a receiptRequest attribute is present in the authenticated attributes, the following process SHOULD be used to determine if a message recipient has been requested to return a signed receipt.

1. **If an mlExpansionHistory attribute is present in the outermost** signedData block, do one of the following two steps, based on the absence or presence of mlReceiptPolicy:

    1.1. If an mlReceiptPolicy value is absent from the last MLData element, a Mail List receipt policy has not been specified and the processing software SHOULD examine the receiptRequest attribute value to determine if a receipt should be created and returned.

    1.2. If an mlReceiptPolicy value is present in the last MLData element, do one of the following two steps, based on the value of mlReceiptPolicy:

        1.2.1. If the mlReceiptPolicy value is none, then the receipt policy of the Mail List supersedes the originator's request for a signed receipt and a signed receipt MUST NOT be created.

        1.2.2. If the mlReceiptPolicy value is insteadOf or inAdditionTo, the processing software SHOULD examine the receiptsFrom value from

the receiptRequest attribute to determine if a receipt should be created and returned. If a receipt is created, the insteadOf and inAdditionTo fields identify entities that SHOULD be sent the receipt instead of or in addition to the originator.

2. If the receiptsFrom value of the receiptRequest attribute is allOrFirstTier, do one of the following two steps based on the value of allOrFirstTier.

2.1. If the value of allOrFirstTier is allReceipts, then a signed receipt SHOULD be created.

2.2. If the value of allOrFirstTier is firstTierRecipients, do one of the following two steps based on the presence of an mlExpansionHistory attribute:

2.2.1. If an mlExpansionHistory attribute is present, then this recipient is not a first tier recipient and a signed receipt MUST NOT be created.

2.2.2. If an mlExpansionHistory attribute is not present, then a signed receipt SHOULD be created.

3. If the receiptsFrom value of the receiptRequest attribute is a receiptList:

3.1. If receiptList contains one of the GeneralNames of the recipient, then a signed receipt should be created.

3.2. If receiptList does not contain one of the GeneralNames of the

recipient, then a signed receipt MUST NOT be created.

A flow chart for the above steps to be executed for each signerInfo for which the receiving agent verifies the signature would be:

0. Receipt Request attribute present?
YES -> 1.
NO -> STOP
1. Has mlExpansionHistory?
YES -> 1.1.
NO -> 2.
1.1. mlReceiptPolicy absent?
YES -> 2.
NO -> 1.2.
1.2. Pick based on value of mlReceiptPolicy.
none -> 1.2.1.
insteadOf or inAdditionTo -> 1.2.2.
1.2.1. Use ML's policy, then -> STOP
1.2.2. Examine receiptsFrom to determine if a receipt should be created, create it if required, send it to recipients designated by mlReceiptPolicy, then -> STOP.

2. Is value of receiptsFrom allOrFirstTier?
YES -> Pick based on value of allOrFirstTier.
allReceipts -> 2.1.
firstTierRecipients -> 2.2.
NO -> 3.
2.1. Create a receipt, then -> STOP.
2.2. Has mlExpansionHistory?
YES -> 2.2.1.
NO -> 2.2.2.
2.2.1. STOP.
2.2.2. Create a receipt, then -> STOP.
3. Is receiptsFrom value of receiptRequest a receiptList?
YES -> 3.1.
NO -> STOP.
3.1. Does receiptList contain the recipient?
YES -> Create a receipt, then -> STOP.
NO -> 3.2.
3.2. STOP.

2.4 Signed Receipt Creation

A signed receipt is a signedData object encapsulating a Receipt content (also called a "signedData/Receipt"). Signed receipts are created as follows:

1. The signature of the original signedData signerInfo that includes the receiptRequest authenticated attribute MUST be successfully verified before creating the signedData/Receipt.

1.1. The ASN.1 DER encoded content of the original signedData object is digested as described in [CMS]. The resulting digest value is then compared with the value of the messageDigest attribute included in the authenticatedAttributes of the original signedData signerInfo. If these digest values are different, then the signature verification process fails and the signedData/Receipt MUST NOT be created.

1.2. The ASN.1 DER encoded authenticatedAttributes (including messageDigest, receiptRequest and, possibly, other authenticated attributes) in the original signedData signerInfo are digested as described in [CMS]. The resulting digest value, called msgSigDigest, is then used to verify the signature of the original signedData signerInfo. If the signature verification fails, then the signedData/Receipt MUST NOT be created.

2. A Receipt structure is created.

2.1. The value of the Receipt version field is set to 1.

2.2. The encapsulatedContentType and signedContentIdentifier values are copied from the original signedData signerInfo receiptRequest attribute into the corresponding fields in the Receipt structure.

2.3. The signature value from the original signedData signerInfo that includes the receiptRequest attribute is copied into the originatorSignatureValue field in the Receipt structure.

3. The Receipt structure is ASN.1 DER encoded to produce a data stream, D1.

4. D1 is digested. The resulting digest value is included as the messageDigest attribute in the authenticatedAttributes of the signerInfo which will eventually contain the signedData/Receipt signature value.

5. The digest value (msgSigDigest) calculated in Step 1 to verify the signature of the original signedData signerInfo is included as the msgSigDigest attribute in the authenticatedAttributes of the signerInfo which will eventually contain the signedData/Receipt signature value.

6. A contentType attribute including the id-ct-receipt object identifier MUST be created and added to the authenticated attributes of the signerInfo which will eventually contain the signedData/Receipt signature value.

7. A signingTime attribute indicating the time that the signedData/Receipt is signed SHOULD be created and added to the authenticated attributes of

the signerInfo which will eventually contain the signedData/Receipt signature value. Other attributes (except receiptRequest) may be added to the authenticatedAttributes of the signerInfo.

8. The authenticatedAttributes (messageDigest, msgSigDigest, contentType and, possibly, others) of the signerInfo are ASN.1 DER encoded and digested as described in CMS, Section 5.3. The resulting digest value is used to calculate the signature value which is then included in the signedData/Receipt signerInfo.

9. The ASN.1 DER encoded Receipt content MUST be directly encoded within the signedData contentInfo content ANY field. The id-ct-receipt object identifier MUST be included in the signedData contentInfo contentType. This results in a single ASN.1 encoded object composed of a signedData including the Receipt content. The Data content type MUST NOT be used. The Receipt content MUST NOT be encapsulated in a MIME header or any other header prior to being encoded as part of the signedData object.

10. If the signedData/Receipt is to be encrypted within an envelopedData object, then an outer signedData object MUST be created that encapsulates the envelopedData object, and a contentHints attribute with contentType set to the id-ct-receipt object identifier MUST be included in the outer signedData SignerInfo authenticatedAttributes. When a receiving agent processes the outer signedData object, the presence of the id-ct-receipt OID in the contentHints contentType indicates that a signedData/Receipt is encrypted within the envelopedData object encapsulated by the outer signedData.

2.4.1 MLExpansionHistory Attributes and Receipts

An MLExpansionHistory attribute MUST NOT be included in the attributes of a SignerInfo in a SignedData object that encapsulates a Receipt content. This is true because when a SignedData/Receipt is sent to an MLA for distribution, then the MLA must always encapsulate the received SignedData/Receipt in an outer SignedData in which the MLA will include the MLExpansionHistory attribute. The MLA cannot change the authenticatedAttributes of the received SignedData/Receipt object, so it can't add the MLExpansionHistory to the SignedData/Receipt.

2.5 Determining the Recipients of the Signed Receipt

If a signed receipt was created by the process described in the sections above, then the software MUST use the following process to determine to whom the signed receipt should be sent.

1. The receiptsTo field must be present in the receiptRequest attribute. The software initiates the sequence of recipients with the value(s) of receiptsTo; otherwise, the software initiates the sequence of recipients with the signer (that is, the originator) of the SignerInfo that includes the receiptRequest attribute.

2. If the MlExpansionHistory attribute is present in the outer SignedData block, and the last MLData contains an MLReceiptPolicy value of insteadOf, then the software replaces the sequence of recipients with the value(s) of insteadOf.

3. If the MlExpansionHistory attribute is present in the outer SignedData block and the last MLData contains an MLReceiptPolicy value of inAdditionTo, then the software adds the value(s) of inAdditionTo to the sequence of recipients.

2.6. Signed Receipt Validation

A signed receipt is communicated as a single ASN.1 encoded object composed of a signedData object directly including a Receipt content. It is identified by the presence of the id-ct-receipt object identifier in the contentInfo contentType value of the signedData object including the Receipt content.

A signedData/Receipt is validated as follows:

1. ASN.1 decode the signedData object including the Receipt content.

2. Extract the encapsulatedContentType, signedContentIdentifier, and originatorSignatureValue from the decoded Receipt structure to identify the original signedData signerInfo that requested the signedData/Receipt.

3. Acquire the message signature digest value calculated by the sender to generate the signature value included in the original signedData signerInfo

that requested the signedData/Receipt.

3.1. If the sender-calculated message signature digest value has been saved locally by the sender, it must be located and retrieved.

3.2. If it has not been saved, then it must be re-calculated based on the original signedData content and authenticatedAttributes as described in [CMS].

4. The message signature digest value calculated by the sender is then compared with the value of the msgSigDigest authenticatedAttribute included in the signedData/Receipt signerInfo. If these digest values are identical, then that proves that the message signature digest value calculated by the recipient based on the received original signedData object is the same as that calculated by the sender. This proves that the recipient received exactly the same original signedData content and authenticatedAttributes as sent by the sender because that is the only way that the recipient could have calculated the same message signature digest value as calculated by the sender. If the digest values are different, then the signedData/Receipt signature verification process fails.

5. Acquire the digest value calculated by the sender for the Receipt content constructed by the sender (including the encapsulatedContentType, signedContentIdentifier, and signature value that were included in the original signedData signerInfo that requested the signedData/Receipt).

5.1. If the sender-calculated Receipt content digest value has been saved locally by the sender, it must be located and retrieved.

5.2. If it has not been saved, then it must be re-calculated. As described in section 2.4 above, step 2, create a Receipt structure including the encapsulatedContentType, signedContentIdentifier and signature value that were included in the original signedData signerInfo that requested the signed receipt. The Receipt structure is then ASN.1 DER encoded to produce a data stream which is then digested to produce the Receipt content digest value.

6. The Receipt content digest value calculated by the sender is then compared with the value of the messageDigest authenticatedAttribute included in the signedData/Receipt signerInfo. If these digest values are identical, then that proves that the values included in the Receipt content by the recipient are identical to those that were included in the original signedData signerInfo that requested the signedData/Receipt. This proves that the recipient received the original signedData signed by the sender, because that is the only way that the recipient could have obtained the original signedData signerInfo signature value for inclusion in the Receipt content. If the digest values are different, then the signedData/Receipt signature verification process fails.

7. The ASN.1 DER encoded authenticatedAttributes of the signedData/Receipt signerInfo are digested as described in [CMS].

8. The resulting digest value is then used to verify the signature value

included in the signedData/Receipt signerInfo. If the signature verification is successful, then that proves the integrity of the signedData/receipt signerInfo authenticatedAttributes and authenticates the identity of the signer of the signedData/Receipt signerInfo. Note that the authenticatedAttributes include the recipient-calculated Receipt content digest value (messageDigest attribute) and recipient-calculated message signature digest value (msgSigDigest attribute). Therefore, the aforementioned comparison of the sender-generated and recipient-generated digest values combined with the successful signedData/Receipt signature verification proves that the recipient received the exact original signedData content and authenticatedAttributes (proven by msgSigDigest attribute) that were signed by the sender of the original signedData object (proven by messageDigest attribute). If the signature verification fails, then the signedData/Receipt signature verification process fails.

The signature verification process for each signature algorithm that is used in conjunction with the CMS protocol is specific to the algorithm. These processes are described in documents specific to the algorithms.

2.7 Receipt Request Syntax

A receiptRequest attribute value has ASN.1 type ReceiptRequest. Use the receiptRequest attribute only within the authenticated attributes associated with a signed message.

```
ReceiptRequest ::= SEQUENCE {
  encapsulatedContentType EncapsulatedContentType OPTIONAL,
  signedContentIdentifier ContentIdentifier,
  receiptsFrom ReceiptsFrom,
  receiptsTo SEQUENCE SIZE (1..ub-receiptsTo)) OF GeneralNames }

ub-receiptsTo INTEGER ::= 16

ContentIdentifier ::= OCTET STRING
```

The encapsulatedContentType field identifies the content type of the original message. In BuiltinContentType, the values of 0 and 1 have been deprecated and SHOULD NOT be used. Unless the data to be placed in the encapsulatedContentType field has been profiled to be different in the present operating environment, the internal content type SHOULD be placed in the ExternalContentType choice of EncapsulatedContentType.

```
EncapsulatedContentType ::= CHOICE {
  built-in BuiltinContentType,
  external ExternalContentType,
  externalWithSubtype ExternalContentWithSubtype }

BuiltinContentType ::= [APPLICATION 6] INTEGER {
    -- APPLICATION 6 is used for binary compatibility with X.411
  unidentified (0),
  external (1),
```

```
  interpersonal-messaging-1984 (2),
  interpersonal-messaging-1988 (22),
  edi-messaging (35),
  voice-messaging (40)} (0..ub-built-in-content-type)

ub-built-in-content-type INTEGER ::= 32767

ExternalContentType ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

ExternalContentWithSubtype ::= SEQUENCE {
  external ExternalContentType,
  subtype INTEGER }
```

A signedContentIdentifier MUST be created by the message originator when creating a receipt request. To ensure global uniqueness, the minimal signedContentIdentifier SHOULD contain a concatenation of user-specific identification information (such as a user name or public keying material identification information), a GeneralizedTime string, and a random number.

The receiptsFrom field is used by the originator to specify the recipients requested to return a signed receipt. A CHOICE is provided to allow specification of:

- receipts from all recipients are requested
- receipts from first tier (recipients that did not receive the message as members of a mailing list) recipients are requested
- receipts from a specific list of recipients are requested

```
ReceiptsFrom ::= CHOICE {
  allOrFirstTier [0] AllOrFirstTier,
  -- formerly "allOrNone [0]AllOrNone"
  receiptList [1] SEQUENCE OF GeneralNames }

AllOrFirstTier ::= INTEGER { -- Formerly AllOrNone
  allReceipts (0),
  firstTierRecipients (1) }
```

The receiptsTo field is used by the originator to identify the user(s) to whom the identified recipient should send signed receipts. The field is mandatory, and the originator's name(s) MUST be included in the receiptsTo list.

2.8 Receipt Syntax

Receipts are represented using a new content type, Receipt. The Receipt content type shall have ASN.1 type Receipt. Receipts must be encapsulated within a SignedData message.

```
Receipt ::= SEQUENCE {
  version Version,  -- Version is imported from [CMS]
  encapsulatedContentType EncapsulatedContentType OPTIONAL,
  signedContentIdentifier ContentIdentifier,
  originatorSignatureValue OCTET STRING }
```

The version field defines the syntax version number, which is 1 for this version of the standard.

The encapsulatedContentType and signedContentIdentifier fields are copied from the receiptRequest attribute of the SignerInfo contained within the message being receipted, and are used to link the receipt to the original signed message. The originatorSignatureValue field contains the signatureValue copied from the SignerInfo requesting the signed receipt.

2.9 Content Hints

Many applications find it useful to have information that describes the

innermost signed content of a multi-layer message available on the outermost signature layer. The contentHints attribute provides such information.

Content-hints attribute values have ASN.1 type contentHints.

```
ContentHints ::= SEQUENCE {
  contentDescription DirectoryString OPTIONAL,
  contentType OBJECT IDENTIFIER }

DirectoryString ::= CHOICE {
  teletexString TeletexString (SIZE (1..MAX)),
  printableString PrintableString (SIZE (1..MAX)),
  bmpString  BMPString (SIZE (1..MAX)),
  universalString UniversalString (SIZE (1..MAX)) }
```

The construct "SIZE (1..MAX)" is used in the DirectoryString syntax to constrain each CHOICE to have at least one entry. MAX indicates that the upper bound is unspecified. Implementations are free to choose an upper bound that suits their environment.

The contentDescription field may be used to provide information that the recipient may use to select protected messages for processing, such as a message subject. If this field is set, then the attribute is expected to appear on the signedData object enclosing an envelopedData object and not on the inner signedData object.

Messages which contain a signedData object wrapped around an envelopedData object, thus masking the inner content type of the message, SHOULD include a contentHints attribute, except for the case of the data content type. Specific message content types may either force or preclude the inclusion of the contentHints attribute. For example, when a signedData/Receipt is encrypted within an envelopedData object, an outer signedData object MUST be created that encapsulates the envelopedData object and a contentHints attribute with contentType set to the id-ct-receipt object identifier MUST be included in the outer signedData SignerInfo authenticatedAttributes.

3. Security Labels

This section describes the syntax to be used for security labels that can optionally be associated with S/MIME encapsulated data. A security label is a set of security information regarding the sensitivity of the content that is protected by S/MIME encapsulation.

"Authorization" is the act of granting rights and/or privileges to users permitting them access to an object. "Access control" is a means of enforcing these authorizations. The sensitivity information in a security label can be compared with a user's authorizations to determine if the user is allowed to access the content that is protected by S/MIME encapsulation.

Security labels may be used for other purposes such as a source of routing information. The labels are often priority based ("secret", "confidential", "restricted", and so on) or role-based, describing which kind of people can see the information ("patient's health-care team", "medical billing agents", "unrestricted", and so on).

3.1 Security Label Processing Rules

A sending agent may include a security label attribute in the authenticated attributes of a signedData object. A receiving agent examines the security label on a received message and determines whether or not the recipient is allowed to see the contents of the message.

3.1.1 Adding Security Labels

A sending agent that is using security labels MUST put the security label attribute in the authenticatedAttributes field of a SignerInfo block. The security label attribute MUST NOT be included in the unauthenticated attributes. Integrity and authentication security services MUST be applied to the security label, therefore it MUST be included as an authenticated attribute, if used. This causes the security label attribute to be part of the data that is hashed to form the SignerInfo signature value. A SignerInfo block MUST NOT have more than one security label authenticated attribute.

When there are multiple SignedData blocks applied to a message, a security label attribute may be included in either the inner signature, outer signature, or both. A security label authenticated attribute may be included in a authenticatedAttributes field within the inner SignedData block. The inner security label will include the sensitivities of the

original content and will be used for access control decisions related to the plaintext encapsulated content. The inner signature provides authentication of the inner security label and cryptographically protects the original signer's inner security label of the original content.

When the originator signs the plaintext content and authenticated attributes, the inner security label is bound to the plaintext content. An intermediate entity cannot change the inner security label without

invalidating the inner signature. The confidentiality security service can be applied to the inner security label by encrypting the entire inner signedData object within an EnvelopedData block.

A security label authenticated attribute may also be included in a authenticatedAttributes field within the outer SignedData block. The outer security label will include the sensitivities of the encrypted message and will be used for access control decisions related to the encrypted message and for routing decisions. The outer signature provides authentication of the outer security label (as well as for the encapsulated content which may include nested S/MIME messages).

There can be multiple SignerInfos within a SignedData object, and each SignerInfo may include authenticatedAttributes. Therefore, a single SignedData object may include multiple security labels, each SignerInfo having an eSSSecurityLabel attribute. For example, an originator can send a signed message with two SignerInfos, one containing a DSS signature, the other containing an RSA signature. Not all of the SignerInfos need to include security labels, but in all of the SignerInfos that do contain security labels, the security labels MUST be identical.

A recipient SHOULD process an eSSSecurityLabel attribute only if the recipient can verify the signature of the SignerInfo which covers the eSSSecurityLabel attribute. A recipient SHOULD NOT use a security label that the recipient cannot authenticate.

3.1.2 Processing Security Labels

A receiving agent that processes security labels MUST process the eSSSecurityLabel attribute, if present, in each SignerInfo in the SignedData object for which it verifies the signature. This may result in the receiving agent processing multiple security labels included in a single SignedData object. Because all security labels in a SignedData object must be identical, the receiving application processes (such as performing access control) on the first eSSSecurityLabel that it encounters in a SignerInfo that it can verify, and then ensures that all other eSSSecurityLabels are identical to the first one encountered.

A receiving agent that processes security labels SHOULD have a local policy about whether or not to show the inner content of an incoming messages that has a security label with a security policy identifier that the processing software does not recognize. If the receiving agent does not recognize the eSSSecurityLabel security-policy-identifier value, it SHOULD stop processing the message and indicate an error.

3.2 Syntax of eSSSecurityLabel

The eSSSecurityLabel syntax is derived directly from [MTSABS] ASN.1 module. (The MTSAbstractService module begins with "DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=".) Further, the eSSSecurityLabel syntax is compatible with that used in [MSP4].

```
ESSSecurityLabel ::= SET {
  security-policy-identifier SecurityPolicyIdentifier OPTIONAL,
  security-classification SecurityClassification OPTIONAL,
  privacy-mark ESSPrivacyMark OPTIONAL,
  security-categories SecurityCategories OPTIONAL }

SecurityPolicyIdentifier ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

SecurityClassification ::= INTEGER {
  unmarked (0),
  unclassified (1),
  restricted (2),
  confidential (3),
  secret (4),
  top-secret (5) } (0..ub-integer-options)

ub-integer-options INTEGER ::= 256

ESSPrivacyMark ::= CHOICE {
  pString      PrintableString (SIZE (1..ub-privacy-mark-length)),
  utf8String   OCTET STRING
  -- If utf8String is used, the contents must be in UTF-8 [UTF8]

}

ub-privacy-mark-length INTEGER ::= 128

SecurityCategories ::= SET SIZE (1..ub-security-categories) OF
        SecurityCategory

ub-security-categories INTEGER ::= 64

SecurityCategory ::= SEQUENCE {
  type  [0] OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
  value [1] ANY -- defined by type
}

--Note: The aforementioned SecurityCategory syntax produces identical
--hex encodings as the following SecurityCategory syntax that is
--documented in the X.411 specification:
--
--SecurityCategory ::= SEQUENCE {
--     type  [0]  SECURITY-CATEGORY,
--     value [1]  ANY DEFINED BY type }
--
--SECURITY-CATEGORY MACRO ::=
--BEGIN
--TYPE NOTATION ::= type | empty
--VALUE NOTATION ::= value (VALUE OBJECT IDENTIFIER)
--END
```

3.3 Security Label Components

This section gives more detail on the the various components of the eSSSecurityLabel syntax.

3.3.1 Security Policy Identifier

A security policy is a set of criteria for the provision of security services. The eSSSecurityLabel security-policy-identifier is used to identify the security policy in force to which the security label relates. It indicates the semantics of the other security label components. Even though the eSSSecurityLabel security-policy-identifier is an optional field, all security labels used with S/MIME messages MUST include the security-policy-identifier.

3.3.2 Security Classification

This specification defines the use of the Security Classification field exactly as is specified in the X.411 Recommendation, which states in part:

> If present, a security-classification may have one of a hierarchical list of values. The basic security-classification hierarchy is defined in this Recommendation, but the use of these values is defined by the security-policy in force. Additional values of security-classification, and their position in the hierarchy, may also be defined by a security-policy as a local matter or by bilateral agreement. The basic security-classification hierarchy is, in ascending order: unmarked, unclassified, restricted, confidential, secret, top-secret.

This means that the security policy in force (identified by the eSSSecurityLabel security-policy-identifier) defines the SecurityClassification integer values and their meanings.

An organization can develop its own security policy that defines the SecurityClassification INTEGER values and their meanings. However, the general interpretation of the X.411 specification is that the values of 0 thru 5 are reserved for the "basic hierarchy" values of unmarked, unclassified, restricted, confidential, secret, and top-secret. Note that **X.411 does not provide the rules for how these values are used to label** data and how access control is performed using these values.

There is no universal definition of the rules for using these "basic hierarchy" values. Each organization (or group of organizations) will define a security policy which documents how the "basic hierarchy" values are used (if at all) and how access control is enforced (if at all) within their domain.

Therefore, the security-classification value MUST be accompanied by a security-policy-identifier value to define the rules for its use. For example, a company's "secret" classification may convey a different meaning than the US Government "secret" classification. In summary, a security policy SHOULD NOT use integers 0 through 5 for other than their X.411

meanings, and SHOULD instead use other values in a hierarchical fashion.

Note that the set of valid security-classification values MUST be hierarchical, but these values do not necessarily need to be in ascending numerical order. Further, the values do not need to be contiguous.

For example, in the Defense Message System 1.0 security policy, the security-classification value of 11 indicates Sensitive-But-Unclassified and 5 indicates top-secret. The hierarchy of sensistivity ranks top-secret as more sensitive than Sensitive-But-Unclassified even though the numerical value of top-secret is less than Sensitive-But-Unclassified.

(Of course, if security-classification values are both hierarchical and in ascending order, a casual reader of the security policy is more likely to understand it.)

An example of a security policy that does not use any of the X.411 values might be:
10 -- anyone
15 -- Morgan Corporation and its contractors
20 -- Morgan Corporation employees
25 -- Morgan Corporation board of directors

An example of a security policy that uses part of the X.411 hierarchy might be:
0 -- unmarked
1 -- unclassified, can be read by everyone
2 -- restricted to Timberwolf Productions staff
6 -- can only be read to Timberwolf Productions executives

3.3.3 Privacy Mark

If present, the eSSSecurityLabel privacy-mark is not used for access control. The content of the eSSSecurityLabel privacy-mark may be defined by the security policy in force (identified by the eSSSecurityLabel security-policy-identifier) which may define a list of values to be used. Alternately, the value may be determined by the originator of the security-label.

3.3.4 Security Categories

If present, the eSSSecurityLabel security-categories provide further granularity for the sensitivity of the message. The security policy in force (identified by the eSSSecurityLabel security-policy-identifier) is used to indicate the syntaxes that are allowed to be present in the eSSSecurityLabel security-categories. Alternately, the security-categories and their values may be defined by bilateral agreement.

4. Mail List Management

Sending agents must create recipient-specific data structures for each

recipient of an encrypted message. This process can impair performance for messages sent to a large number of recipients. Thus, Mail List Agents (MLAs) that can take a single message and perform the recipient-specific encryption for every recipient are often desired.

An MLA appears to the message originator as a normal message recipient, but the MLA acts as a message expansion point for a Mail List (ML). The sender of a message directs the message to the MLA, which then redistributes the message to the members of the ML. This process offloads the per-recipient processing from individual user agents and allows for more efficient management of large MLs. MLs are true message recipients served by MLAs that provide cryptographic and expansion services for the mailing list.

In addition to cryptographic handling of messages, secure mailing lists also have to prevent mail loops. A mail loop is where one mailing list is a member of a second mailing list, and the second mailing list is a member of the first. A message will go from one list to the other in a rapidly-cascading sucession of mail that will be distributed to all other members of boths lists.

To prevent mail loops, MLAs use the mlExpansionHistory attribute of the outer signature of a triple wrapped message. The mlExpansionHistory attribute is essentially a list of every MLA that has processed the message. If an MLA sees its own unique entity identifier in the list, it knows that a loop has been formed, and does not send the message to the list again.

4.1 Mail List Expansion

Mail list expansion processing is noted in the value of the mlExpansionHistory attribute, located in the authenticated attributes of the MLA's SignerInfo block. The MLA creates or updates the authenticated mlExpansionHistory attribute value each time the MLA expands and signs a message for members of a mail list.

The MLA MUST add an MLData record containing the MLA's identification information, date and time of expansion, and optional receipt policy to the end of the mail list expansion history sequence. If the mlExpansionHistory attribute is absent, then the MLA MUST add the attribute and the current expansion becomes the first element of the sequence. If the mlExpansionHistory attribute is present, then the MLA MUST add the current expansion information to the end of the existing MLExpansionHistory sequence. Only one mlExpansionHistory attribute can be included in the

authenticatedAttributes of a SignerInfo.

Note that if the mlExpansionHistory attribute is absent, then the recipient is a first tier message recipient.

There can be multiple SignerInfos within a SignedData object, and each SignerInfo may include authenticatedAttributes. Therefore, a single

SignedData object may include multiple SignerInfos, each SignerInfo having a mlExpansionHistory attribute. For example, an originator can send a signed message with two SignerInfos, one containing a DSS signature, the other containing an RSA signature. Not all of the SignerInfos need to include mlExpansionHistory attributes, but in all of the SignerInfos that do contain mlExpansionHistory attributes, the mlExpansionHistory attributes MUST be identical.

A recipient SHOULD only process an mlExpansionHistory attribute if the recipient can verify the signature of the SignerInfo which covers the attribute. A recipient SHOULD NOT use an mlExpansionHistory attribute which the recipient cannot authenticate.

When receiving a message that includes an outer SignedData object, a receiving agent that processes mlExpansionHistory attributes MUST process the mlExpansionHistory attribute, if present, in each SignerInfo in the SignedData object for which it verifies the signature. This may result in the receiving agent processing multiple mlExpansionHistory attributes included in a single SignedData object. Because all mlExpansionHistory attributes must be identical, the receiving application processes the first mlExpansionHistory attribute that it encounters in a SignerInfo that it can verify, and then ensures that all other mlExpansionHistory attributes are identical to the first one encountered.

4.1.1 Detecting Mail List Expansion Loops

Prior to expanding a message, the MLA examines the value of any existing mail list expansion history attribute to detect an expansion loop. An expansion loop exists when a message expanded by a specific MLA for a specific mail list is redelivered to the same MLA for the same mail list.

Expansion loops are detected by examining the mailListIdentifier field of each MLData entry found in the mail list expansion history. If an MLA finds its own identification information, then the MLA must discontinue expansion processing and should provide warning of an expansion loop to a human mail list administrator. The mail list administrator is responsible for correcting the loop condition.

4.2 Mail List Agent Processing

MLA message processing depends on the structure of S/MIME layers found in the processed message. In all cases, the MLA ultimately signs the message and adds or updates an mlExpansionHistory attribute to document MLA processing. In all cases, the MLA may need to perform access control before distributing the message to mail list members if the message contains a SignedData block and an associated eSSSecurityLabel attribute. If a eSSSecurityLabel authenticated attribute is used for access control, then the signature of the signerInfo block including the eSSSecurityLabel authenticated attribute MUST be verified before using the security label. The MLA should continue parsing the MIME-encapsulated message to determine if there is a security label associated with an encapsulated SignedData

object. This may include decrypting an EnvelopedData object to determine if an encapsulated SignedData object includes a eSSSecurityLabel attribute.

Each MLA that processes the message creates its own mlExpansionHistory and adds it to the sequence of mlExpansionHistory attributes already in the message. An MLA MUST NOT modify the mlExpansionHistory created by a MLA that previously processed the message. Each MLA copies the sequence of mlExpansionHistory attributes created by the MLAs that previously processed the message into the newly constructed expanded message, and adds its own mlExpansionHistory as the last element of the sequence. Section 4.3 provides more details regarding adding information to an existing mLExpansionHistory attribute.

When the MLA creates the new attribute list for its signature, the MLA MUST propagate forward each attribute in the old signature, unless the MLA explicitly replaces the attribute with a new value. An MLA will frequently encounter attributes, or parts of attributes, which it does not understand. Attributes such as security labels cannot be removed from the new signature being created without compromising the security of the system. Because it is impossible to enumerate the future list of attributes which have security implicitions, an MLA MUST propagate forward all attributes which it does not explicity replace.

The processing used depends on the type of the outermost layer of the message. There are three cases for the type of the outermost data:

- EnvelopedData
- SignedData
- data

4.2.1 Processing for EnvelopedData

1. The MLA locates its own RecipientInfo and uses the information it contains to obtain the message key.

2. The MLA removes the existing recipientInfos field and replaces it with a new recipientInfos value built from RecipientInfo structures created for each member of the mailing list.

3. The MLA encapsulates the expanded encrypted message in a SignedData block, adding an mlExpansionHistory attribute as described in the "Mail List Expansion" section to document the expansion.

4. The MLA signs the new message and delivers the updated message to mail list members to complete MLA processing.

4.2.2 Processing for SignedData

MLA processing of multi-layer messages depends on the type of data in each of the layers. Step 3 below specifies that different processing will take place depending on the type of CMS message that has been signed. That is, it needs to know the type of data at the next inner layer, which may or

may not be the innermost layer.

1. The MLA verifies the signature value found in the outermost SignedData layer associated with the signed data. MLA processing of the message terminates if the message signature is invalid.

2. If the outermost SignedData layer includes an authenticated mlExpansionHistory attribute the MLA checks for an expansion loop as described in the "Detecting Mail List Expansion Loops" section.

3. Determine the type of the data that has been signed. That is, look at the type of data on the layer just below the SignedData, which may or may not be the "innermost" layer. Based on the type of data, perform either step 3.1 (EnvelopedData), step 3.2 (SignedData), or step 3.3 (all other types).

3.1. If the signed data is EnvelopedData, the MLA performs expansion processing of the encrypted message as described previously. Note that this process invalidates the signature value in the outermost SignedData layer associated with the original encrypted message. Proceed to section 3.2 with the result of the expansion.

3.2. If the signed data is SignedData, or is the result of expanding an EnvelopedData block in step 3.1:

3.2.1. The MLA strips the existing outermost SignedData layer after remembering the value of the mlExpansionHistory attribute in that layer, if one was there.

3.2.2. If the signed data is EnvelopedData (from step 3.1), the MLA encapsulates the expanded encrypted message in a new outermost SignedData layer. On the other hand, if the signed data is SignedData (from step 3.2), the MLA encapsulates the signed data in a new outermost SignedData layer.

3.2.3. The MLA adds an mlExpansionHistory attribute. The SignedData layer created by the MLA replaces the original outermost SignedData layer.

3.2.3.1. If the original outermost SignedData layer included an mlExpansionHistory attribute, the attribute's value is copied and updated with the current ML expansion information as described in the "Mail List Expansion" section.

3.2.3.2. If the original outermost SignedData layer did not include an mlExpansionHistory attribute, a new attribute value

is created with the current ML expansion information as

described in the "Mail List Expansion" section.

3.3. If the signed data is not EnvelopedData or SignedData:

3.3.1. The MLA encapsulates the received signedData object in an outer SignedData object, and adds an mlExpansionHistory attribute to the outer SignedData object containing the current ML expansion information as described in the "Mail List Expansion" section.

4. The MLA signs the new message and delivers the updated message to mail list members to complete MLA processing.

A flow chart for the above steps would be:

1. Has a valid signature?
YES -> 2.
NO -> STOP.
2. Does outermost SignedData layer
contain mlExpansionHistory?
YES -> Check it, then -> 3.
NO -> 3.
3. Check type of data just below outermost
SignedData.
EnvelopedData -> 3.1.
SignedData -> 3.2.
all others -> 3.3.
3.1. Expand the encrypted message, then -> 3.2.
3.2. -> 3.2.1.
3.2.1. Strip outermost SignedData layer, note value of
mlExpansionHistory, then -> 3.2.2.
3.2.2. Encapsulate in new signature, then -> 3.2.3.
3.2.3. Add mlExpansionHistory. Was there an old mlExpansionHistory?
YES -> copy the old mlExpansionHistory values, then -> 4.
NO -> create new mlExpansionHistory value, then -> 4.
3.3. Encapsulate in a SignedData layer and add an mlExpansionHistory
attribute, then -> 4.
4. Sign message, deliver it, STOP.

4.2.3 Processing for data

1. The MLA encapsulates the message in a SignedData layer, and adds an mlExpansionHistory attribute containing the current ML expansion information as described in the "Mail List Expansion" section.

2. The MLA signs the new message and delivers the updated message to mail list members to complete MLA processing.

4.3 Mail List Agent Signed Recipt Policy Processing

If a mailing list (B) is a member of another mailing list (A), list B often needs to propagate forward the mailing list receipt policy of A. As a general rule, a mailing list should be conservative in propagating forward the mailing list receipt policy because the ultimate recipient need only process the last item in the ML expansion history. The MLA builds the expansion history to meet this requirement.

The following table describes the outcome of the union of mailing list A's policy (the rows in the table) and mailing list B's policy (the columns in the table).

	B's policy			
A's policy	none	insteadOf	inAdditionTo	missing
none	none	none	none	none
insteadOf	none	insteadOf(B)	insteadOf(A+B)	insteadOf(A)
inAdditionTo	none	insteadOf(B)	inAdditionTo(A+B)	inAditionTo(A)
missing	none	insteadOf(B)	inAddtionTo(B)	missing

The interesting cases are combining insteadOf with inAddtionTo. The rest of the cases either substitute in B's policy or propagate forward A's policy.

4.4 Mail List Expansion History Syntax

An mlExpansionHistory attribute value has ASN.1 type MLExpansionHistory. If there are more than ub-ml-expansion-history mailing lists in the sequence, the processing agent should return an error.

```
MLExpansionHistory ::= SEQUENCE
        SIZE (1..ub-ml-expansion-history) OF MLData

ub-ml-expansion-history INTEGER ::= 64
```

MLData contains the expansion history describing each MLA that has processed a message. As an MLA distributes a message to members of an ML, the MLA records its unique identifier, date and time of expansion, and receipt policy in an MLData structure.

```
MLData ::= SEQUENCE {
  mailListIdentifier EntityIdentifier,
        -- EntityIdentifier is imported from [CMS]
  expansionTime GeneralizedTime,
  mlReceiptPolicy MLReceiptPolicy OPTIONAL }
```

The receipt policy of the ML can withdraw the originator's request for the return of a signed receipt. However, if the originator of the

message has not requested a signed receipt, the MLA cannot request a signed receipt.

When present, the mlReceiptPolicy specifies a receipt policy that

```
supersedes the originator's request for signed receipts. The policy
can be one of three possibilities: receipts MUST NOT be returned
(none); receipts should be returned to an alternate list of
recipients, instead of to the originator (insteadOf); or receipts
should be returned to a list of recipients in addition to the
originator (inAdditionTo).

MLReceiptPolicy ::= CHOICE {
  none [0] NULL,
  insteadOf [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..ub-insteadOf) OF GeneralNames,
  inAdditionTo [2] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..ub-inAdditionTo) OF GeneralNames }

ub-insteadOf INTEGER ::= 16

ub-inAdditionTo INTEGER ::= 16
```

5. Security Considerations

This entire document discusses security.

A. ASN.1 Module

```
ExtendedSecurityServices
     { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549)
       pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) smime(16) modules(0) ess(2) }

DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN

IMPORTS

-- Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)
    EntityIdentifier, SubjectKeyIdentifier, Version
    FROM CryptographicMessageSyntax { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840)
    rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) smime(16) modules(0) cms(1) }

-- X.509
    GeneralNames FROM CertificateExtensions
    {joint-iso-ccitt ds(5) module(1) certificateExtensions(26) 0};


-- Extended Security Services


-- Section 2.7

ReceiptRequest ::= SEQUENCE {
  encapsulatedContentType EncapsulatedContentType OPTIONAL,
  signedContentIdentifier ContentIdentifier,
  receiptsFrom ReceiptsFrom,
```

```
  receiptsTo SEQUENCE SIZE (1..ub-receiptsTo) OF GeneralNames }

ub-receiptsTo INTEGER ::= 16

ContentIdentifier ::= OCTET STRING

EncapsulatedContentType ::= CHOICE {
  built-in BuiltinContentType,
  external ExternalContentType,
  externalWithSubtype ExternalContentWithSubtype }

BuiltinContentType ::= [APPLICATION 6] INTEGER {
    -- APPLICATION 6 is used for binary compatibility with X.411
  unidentified (0),
  external (1),
  interpersonal-messaging-1984 (2),
  interpersonal-messaging-1988 (22),
  edi-messaging (35),
  voice-messaging (40)} (0..ub-built-in-content-type)

ub-built-in-content-type INTEGER ::= 32767

ExternalContentType ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

ExternalContentWithSubtype ::= SEQUENCE {
  external ExternalContentType,
  subtype INTEGER }

ReceiptsFrom ::= CHOICE {
  allOrFirstTier [0] AllOrFirstTier,
  -- formerly "allOrNone [0]AllOrNone"
  receiptList [1] SEQUENCE OF GeneralNames }

AllOrFirstTier ::= INTEGER { -- Formerly AllOrNone
  allReceipts (0),
  firstTierRecipients (1) }


-- Section 2.8

Receipt ::= SEQUENCE {
  version Version,  -- Version is imported from [CMS]
  encapsulatedContentType EncapsulatedContentType OPTIONAL,
  signedContentIdentifier ContentIdentifier,
  originatorSignatureValue OCTET STRING }


-- Section 2.9

ContentHints ::= SEQUENCE {
  contentDescription DirectoryString OPTIONAL,
  contentType OBJECT IDENTIFIER }
```

```
DirectoryString ::= CHOICE {
  teletexString TeletexString (SIZE (1..MAX)),
  printableString PrintableString (SIZE (1..MAX)),
  bmpString  BMPString (SIZE (1..MAX)),
  universalString UniversalString (SIZE (1..MAX)) }


-- Section 3.2

ESSSecurityLabel ::= SET {
  security-policy-identifier SecurityPolicyIdentifier OPTIONAL,
  security-classification SecurityClassification OPTIONAL,
  privacy-mark ESSPrivacyMark OPTIONAL,
  security-categories SecurityCategories OPTIONAL }

SecurityPolicyIdentifier ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

SecurityClassification ::= INTEGER {
  unmarked (0),
  unclassified (1),
  restricted (2),
  confidential (3),
  secret (4),
  top-secret (5) } (0..ub-integer-options)

ub-integer-options INTEGER ::= 256

ESSPrivacyMark ::= CHOICE {
  pString      PrintableString (SIZE (1..ub-privacy-mark-length)),
  utf8String   OCTET STRING
  -- If utf8String is used, the contents must be in UTF-8 [UTF8]
}

ub-privacy-mark-length INTEGER ::= 128

SecurityCategories ::= SET SIZE (1..ub-security-categories) OF

        SecurityCategory

ub-security-categories INTEGER ::= 64

SecurityCategory ::= SEQUENCE {
  type  [0] OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
  value [1] ANY -- defined by type
}

--Note: The aforementioned SecurityCategory syntax produces identical
--hex encodings as the following SecurityCategory syntax that is
--documented in the X.411 specification:
--
--SecurityCategory ::= SEQUENCE {
```

```
--     type  [0]  SECURITY-CATEGORY,
--     value [1]  ANY DEFINED BY type }
--
--SECURITY-CATEGORY MACRO ::=
--BEGIN
--TYPE NOTATION ::= type | empty
--VALUE NOTATION ::= value (VALUE OBJECT IDENTIFIER)
--END


-- Section 4.4

MLExpansionHistory ::= SEQUENCE
        SIZE (1..ub-ml-expansion-history) OF MLData

ub-ml-expansion-history INTEGER ::= 64

MLData ::= SEQUENCE {
  mailListIdentifier EntityIdentifier,
        -- EntityIdentifier is imported from [CMS]
  expansionTime GeneralizedTime,
  mlReceiptPolicy MLReceiptPolicy OPTIONAL }

MLReceiptPolicy ::= CHOICE {
  none [0] NULL,
  insteadOf [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..ub-insteadOf) OF GeneralNames,
  inAdditionTo [2] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..ub-inAdditionTo) OF GeneralNames }

ub-insteadOf INTEGER ::= 16

ub-inAdditionTo INTEGER ::= 16


END -- of ExtendedSecurityServices
```

B. References

[ASN1-1988] "Recommendation X.208: Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)"

[ASN1-1994] "Recommendation X.680: Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)"

[CMS] "Cryptographic Message Syntax", Internet Draft draft-ietf-smime-cms-xx.

[MSP4] "Secure Data Network System (SDNS) Message Security Protocol (MSP) 4.0", Specification SDN.701, Revision A, 1997-02-06.

[MTSABS] "1988 International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Data Communication Networks Message Handling Systems: Message Transfer System:

Abstract Service Definition and Procedures, Volume VIII, Fascicle VIII.7, Recommendation X.411"; MTSAbstractService {joint-iso-ccitt mhs-motis(6) mts(3) modules(0) mts-abstract-service(1)}

[PKCS7-1.5] "PKCS #7: Cryptographic Message Syntax", Internet Draft draft-hoffman-pkcs-crypt-msg-xx.

[SMIME2] "S/MIME Version 2 Message Specification", Internet Draft draft-dusse-smime-msg-xx, and "S/MIME Version 2 Certificate Handling", Internet Draft draft-dusse-smime-cert-xx.

[SMIME3] "S/MIME Version 3 Message Specification", Internet Draft draft-ietf-smime-msg-xx, and "S/MIME Version 3 Certificate Handling", Internet Draft draft-ietf-smime-cert-xx.

[UTF8] "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", RFC 2279.

C. Acknowledgements

The first draft of this work was prepared by David Solo. John Pawling did a huge amount of very detailed revision work during the many phases of the document.

Many other people have contributed hard work to this draft, including:
Bengt Ackzell
Blake Ramsdell
Carlisle Adams
Jim Schaad
Phillip Griffin
Russ Housley
Scott Hollenbeck
Steve Dusse

D. Open Issues

2.4: An OID for msgSigDigest is needed. It will be an OCTET STRING.

E. Changes from draft-ietf-smime-ess-01 to draft-ietf-smime-ess-02

Fixed many typos found by John Pawling.

Changed "SEQUENCE (SIZE (...))" to "SEQUENCE SIZE (...)" in many places in the ASN.1.

1.1.2: Removed the requirement that the signatures be in application/pkcs7-mime format, and allow either format for both the inner and outer signatures.

1.2: Changed "eight" to "eleven" because, well, because there are eleven

items in the list.

1.3.2: First sentence, made it clear that you can have security labels in any SignedData object.

1.3.4: Last paragraph, removed last sentence because it was confusing.

2.3: Added sentence at the end of the second paragraph saying what (not) to do if there are conflicting receipt requests. Also added sentence at the end of the third paragraph about what to do when some signatures cannot be validated.

2.5: Step 1, made receiptsTo required.

2.7: In ReceiptRequest, receiptsTo is no longer OPTIONAL. Same change made in Appendix A. Also changed the wording at the end of this section about

receiptsTo.

2.7: Added a sentence in the text preceding EncapsulatedContentType describing what values to use.

2.9: Changed "encrypted data" to "envelopedData" to indicate the type we are using. Also changed "signed data" to "signedData". Also changed "content hints" to "contentHints".

2.9: Changed "OID" in the ASN.1 to "OBJECT IDENTIFIER". Also changed "maxSize" to "MAX". Put wording after the ASN.1 about what MAX means here. Also made these changes in Appendix A.

3.2: Removed the reference to ACP120, and also removed that from the references appendix.

3.2: Changed "SecurityLabel" to "ESSSecurityLabel", made the privacy mark "ESSPrivacyMark", and changed that mark to be a choice which allows utf8String. Made same change in Appendix A. Made many changes to the text to use this new name.

4.2: Added third paragraph saying that all attributes must be propagated forwards.

B: Added reference to UTF-8.

F. Editor's Address

Paul Hoffman
Internet Mail Consortium
127 Segre Place
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
(408) 426-9827
phoffman@imc.org

--Paul Hoffman, Director
--Internet Mail Consortium