

STIR  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: December 15, 2016

C. Wendt  
Comcast  
J. Peterson  
Neustar Inc.  
June 13, 2016

Persona Assertion Token  
draft-ietf-stir-passport-03

## Abstract

This document defines a token format for verifying with non-repudiation the sender of and authorization to send information related to the originator of personal communications. A cryptographic signature is defined to protect the integrity of the information used to identify the originator of a personal communications session (e.g. the telephone number or URI) and verify the accuracy of this information at the destination. The cryptographic signature is defined with the intention that it can confidently verify the originating persona even when the signature is sent to the destination party over an unsecure channel. The Persona Assertion Token (PASSporT) is particularly useful for many personal communications applications over IP networks and other multi-hop interconnection scenarios where the originating and destination parties may not have a direct trusted relationship.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 15, 2016.

Internet-Draft

PASSport

June 2016

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Token Overview . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	PASSport Definition . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	PASSport Header . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.1.1.</a>	"typ" (Type) Header Parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.1.2.</a>	"alg" (Algorithm) Header Parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.1.3.</a>	"x5u" (X.509 URL) Header Parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	PASSport Payload . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.2.1.</a>	JWT defined claims . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.2.1.1.</a>	"iat" - Issued at claim . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.2.2.</a>	PASSport specific claims . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.2.2.1.</a>	Originating and Destination Identities . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.2.2.2.</a>	"mky" - Media Key claim . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	PASSport Signature . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Extending PASSport . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	"ppt" (PASSport) header parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	Extended PASSport Claims . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	Alternate PASSport Extension . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.4.</a>	Registering PASSport Extensions . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Deterministic JSON Serialization . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Example PASSport deterministic JSON form . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Human Readability . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">7.1.</a>	Avoidance of replay and cut and paste attacks . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	Solution Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">7.3.</a>	Privacy Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>

<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	<a href="#">Media Type Registration . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">8.1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Media Type Registry Contents Additions Requested . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	<a href="#">JSON Web Token Claims Registration . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">8.2.1.</a>	<a href="#">Registry Contents Additions Requested . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">13</a>

<a href="#">9.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	<a href="#">References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">Example PASSporT JWS Serialization and Signature . .</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">A.1.</a>	<a href="#">X.509 Private Key Certificate for Example . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">A.2.</a>	<a href="#">X.509 Public Key Certificate for Example . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

In today's IP-enabled telecommunications world, there is a growing concern about the ability to trust incoming invitations for communications sessions, including video, voice and messaging. As an example, modern telephone networks provide the ability to spoof the calling party telephone number for many legitimate purposes including providing network features and services on the behalf of a legitimate telephone number. However, as we have seen, bad actors have taken advantage of this ability for illegitimate and fraudulent purposes meant to trick telephone users to believe they are someone they are not. This problem can be extended to many emerging forms of personal communications.

This document defines a common method for creating and validating a token that cryptographically verifies an originating identity, or more generally a URI or application specific identity string representing the originator of personal communications. Through extended profiles other information relevant to the personal communications can also be attached to the token. The primary goal of PASSporT is to provide a common framework for signing persona related information in an extensible way. A secondary goal is to provide this functionality independent of any specific personal communications signaling call logic, so that creation and verification of persona information can be implemented in a flexible way and can be used in many personal communications applications including end-to-end applications that require different signaling protocols. It is anticipated that signaling protocol specific guidance will be provided in other related documents and

specifications to specify how to use and transport PASSporT tokens, however this is intentionally out of scope for this document.

Note: As of the authoring of this document, [\[I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis\]](#) provides details of how to use PASSporT within SIP signaling for the signing and verification of telephone numbers.

## [2.](#) Token Overview

Tokens are a convenient way of encapsulating information with associated digital signatures. They are used in many applications that require authentication, authorization, encryption, non-repudiation and other use cases. JSON Web Token (JWT) [\[RFC7519\]](#) and JSON Web Signature (JWS) [\[RFC7515\]](#) are designed to provide a compact form for many of these purposes and define a specific method and syntax for signing a specific set of information or "claims" within the token and therefore providing an extensible set of claims. Additionally, JWS provides extensible mechanisms for specifying the method and cryptographic algorithms used for the associated digital signatures.

## [3.](#) PASSporT Definition

The PASSporT is constructed based on JWT [\[RFC7519\]](#) and JWS [\[RFC7515\]](#) specifications. JWS defines the use of JSON data structures in a specified canonical format for signing data corresponding to JOSE header, JWS Payload, and JWS Signature. JWT defines specific set of claims that are represented by specified key value pairs which can be extended with custom keys for specific applications.

### [3.1.](#) PASSporT Header

The JWS token header is a JOSE header [\[RFC7515\]](#) that defines the type and encryption algorithm used in the token.

An example of the header for the case of an ECDSA P-256 digital

signature would be the following,

```
{
  "typ":"passport",
  "alg":"ES256",
  "x5u":"https://cert.example.org/passport.cer"
}
```

#### [3.1.1.](#) "typ" (Type) Header Parameter

JWS defines the "typ" (Type) Header Parameter to declare the media type [[IANA.MediaTypes](#)] of the JWS.

For PASSport Token the "typ" header MUST minimally include and begin with "passport". This represents that the encoded token is a JWT of type passport. Note with extensions explained later in this document, the typ may be another value if defined as a passport extension.

#### [3.1.2.](#) "alg" (Algorithm) Header Parameter

For PASSport, the "alg" should be defined as follows, for the creation and verification of PASSport tokens and their digital signatures ES256 MUST be implemented.

Note that JWA [[RFC7518](#)] defines other algorithms that may be utilized or updated in the future depending on cryptographic strength requirements guided by current security best practice.

#### [3.1.3.](#) "x5u" (X.509 URL) Header Parameter

As defined in JWS, the "x5u" header parameter is used to provide a URI [[RFC3986](#)] referring to the resource for the X.509 public key certificate or certificate chain [[RFC5280](#)] corresponding to the key used to digitally sign the JWS. Note: The definition of what the URI represents in terms of the actor serving the X.509 public key is out of scope of this document. However, generally this would correspond to an HTTPS or DNSSEC resource with the guidance that it MUST be a TLS protected, per JWS spec.

### [3.2.](#) PASSport Payload

The token payload claims should consist of the information which needs to be verified at the destination party. This claim should correspond to a JWT claim [[RFC7519](#)] and be encoded as defined by the JWS Payload [[RFC7515](#)]

The PASSporT defines the use of a number of standard JWT defined headers as well as two new custom headers corresponding to the two parties associated with personal communications, the originator and terminator. These headers or key value pairs are detailed below.

### [3.2.1.](#) JWT defined claims

#### [3.2.1.1.](#) "iat" - Issued at claim

The JSON claim MUST include the "iat" [[RFC7519](#)] defined claim issued at. As defined this should be set to a date corresponding to the origination of the personal communications. The time value should be of the format defined in [[RFC7519](#)] [Section 2](#) NumericDate. This is included for securing the token against replay and cut and paste attacks, as explained further in the security considerations in [section 7](#).

### [3.2.2.](#) PASSporT specific claims

#### [3.2.2.1.](#) Originating and Destination Identities

Baseline PASSporT defines claims that convey the identity of the origination and destination of personal communications represented as either telephone numbers or Uniform Resource Indicators (URIs). Some using protocols may require other identifiers for personae; these may be specified as claims through the PASSporT extensibility mechanisms. But for telephone numbers and URIs, the following claims should be used:

##### [3.2.2.1.1.](#) "otn" and "dtn" - Originating and Destination Telephone Number claim

If the originating identity is a telephone number, the claim "otn" SHOULD be included. If the destination identity is a telephone number, the claim "dtn" SHOULD be included.

Telephone Number strings for "otn" and "dtn" claims MUST be canonicalized according to the procedures specified in [\[I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis\]](#) [Section 6.1.1](#).

#### [3.2.2.1.2](#). "ouri" and "duri" - Originating and Destination URI claims

If the originating identity is not a telephone number, the claim "ouri" SHOULD be included with the string cooresponding to the URI form of the identity as defined in [\[RFC3986\]](#), alternatively it could also contain an application specific identity string, if URI format is not appropriate.

If the destination identity is not a telephone number, the claim "duri" SHOULD be included. The same string format rules apply as stated for "ouri".

#### [3.2.2.1.3](#). "dgrp" - Multiple destination identities

There are multi-party, group, or conference types of calls where there is a single originating identity or alterntively a "moderator" identity and a number of destination identities or participants in the multi-party call. For these scenerios, the "dgrp" key should be used with a JSON object containing multiple "dtn" or "duri" identities as defined above.

An example PASSporT payload object with multiple destination identities would be as follows:

```
{
  "iat":"1443208345",
  "otn":"12155551212",
  "dgrp":{
    "duri":"sip:alice@example.com",
    "dtn":"12125551212",
    "duri":"sip:bob@example.net"
  }
}
```

}

#### [3.2.2.2](#). "mky" - Media Key claim

Some protocols that use PASSport convey hashes for media security keys within their signaling in order to bind those keys to the identities established in the signaling layers. One example would be the DTLS-SRTP key fingerprints carried in SDP via the "a=fingerprint" attribute; multiple instances of that fingerprint may appear in a single SDP body corresponding to different media streams offered. The "mky" value of PASSport contains a hexadecimal key presentation of any hash(es) necessary to establish media security via DTLS-SRTP. This mky value should be formatted in a JSON form including the 'alg' and 'dig' keys with the corresponding algorithm and hexadecimal values. Note that per guidance of [Section 5](#) of this document any whitespace and line feeds must be removed. If there is multiple fingerprint values, more than one, the fingerprint values should be constructed as a JSON array denoted by bracket characters. For the 'dig' key value, the hash value should be the hexadecimal value without any colons, in order to provide a more efficient, compact form to be encoded in PASSport token claim.

An example claim with "mky" claim is as follows:

For an SDP offer that includes the following fingerprint values,

```
a=fingerprint:sha-256 02:1A:CC:54:27:AB:EB:9C:53:3F:3E:4B:65:
2E:7D:46:3F:54:42:CD:54:F1:7A:03:A2:7D:F9:B0:7F:46:19:B2
a=fingerprint:sha-256 4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:
5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB:3E:4B:65:2E:7D:46:3F:54:42:CD:54:F1
```

the PASSport Payload object would be:

{



```

    "iat":"1443208345",
    "otn":"12155551212",
    "duri":"sip:alice@example.com",
    "mky":[
      {
        "alg":"sha-256",
        "dig":"021ACC5427ABEB9C533F3E4B652E7D463F5442CD54
          F17A03A27DF9B07F4619B2"
      },
      {
        "alg":"sha-256",
        "dig":"4AADB9B13F82183B540212DF3E5D496B19E57C
          AB3E4B652E7D463F5442CD54F1"
      }
    ]
  }

```

### [3.3.](#) PASSporT Signature

The signature of the PASSporT is created as specified by JWS using the private key corresponding to the X.509 public key certificate referenced by the "x5u" header parameter.

## [4.](#) Extending PASSporT

PASSporT represents the bare minimum set of claims needed to assert the originating identity, however there will certainly be new and extended applications and usage of PASSporT that will need to extend the claims to represent other information specific to the origination identities beyond the identity itself.

There are two mechanisms defined to extend PASSporT. The first includes an extension of the base passport claims to include additional claims. An alternative method of extending PASSporT is for applications of PASSporT unrelated to the base set of claims, that will define it's own set of claims. Both are described below.

### [4.1.](#) "ppt" (PASSporT) header parameter

For extended profiles of PASSporT, a new JWS header parameter "ppt" MUST be used with a string that uniquely identifies the profile specification that defines any new claims that would extend the base set of claims of PASSporT.

An example header with an extended PASSporT profile of "foo" is as follows:

```
{
  "typ": "passport",
  "ppt": "foo",
  "alg": "ES256",
  "x5u": "https://tel.example.org/passport.cer"
}
```

#### [4.2.](#) Extended PASSport Claims

Future specifications that define such extensions to the PASSport mechanism MUST explicitly designate what claims they include, the order in which they will appear, and any further information necessary to implement the extension. All extensions MUST incorporate the baseline JWT elements specified in [Section 3](#); claims may only be appended to the claims object specified; they can never be subtracted or re-ordered. Specifying new claims follows the baseline JWT procedures ([\[RFC7519\] Section 10.1](#)). Note that understanding an extension as a verifier is always optional for compliance with this specification (though future specifications or profiles for deployment environments may make other "ppt" values mandatory). The creator of a PASSport object cannot assume that verifiers will understand any given extension. Verifiers that do support an extension may then trigger appropriate application-level behavior in the presence of an extension; authors of extensions should provide appropriate extension-specific guidance to application developers on this point.

#### [4.3.](#) Alternate PASSport Extension

Some applications may want to use the mechanism of the PASSport digital signature that is not a superset of the base set of claims of the PASSport token as defined in [Section 3](#). Rather, a specification may use PASSport with its own defined set of claims.

In this case, the specification SHOULD define its own MIME media type [\[RFC2046\]](#) in the "Media Types" registry [\[IANA.MediaTypes\]](#). The MIME subtype SHOULD start with the string "passport-" to signify that it is related to the PASSport token. For example, for the "foo" application the MIME type/sub-type could be defined as "application/passport-foo".

#### [4.4.](#) Registering PASSport Extensions

Toward interoperability and to maintain uniqueness of the extended PASSport profile header parameter string, there SHOULD be an industry registry that tracks the definition of the profile strings.

## 5. Deterministic JSON Serialization

In order to provide a deterministic representation of the PASSport Header and Claims, particularly if PASSport is used across multiple signaling environments, the JSON header object and JSON Claim object MUST be computed as follows.

The JSON object MUST follow the rules for the construction of the thumbprint of a JSON Web Key (JWK) as defined in [\[RFC7638\] Section 3](#). Each JSON object MUST contain no whitespace or line breaks before or after any syntactic elements and with the required members ordered lexicographically by the Unicode [\[UNICODE\]](#) code points of the member names.

In addition, the JSON header and claim members MUST follow the lexicographical ordering and character and string rules defined in [\[RFC7638\] Section 3.3](#).

### 5.1. Example PASSport deterministic JSON form

For the example PASSport Payload shown in [Section 3.2.2.2](#), the following is the deterministic JSON object form.

```
{"iat": 1443208345, "otn": "12155551212", "duri":
  "sip:alice@example.com", "mky": [{"alg": "sha-256", "dig": "021ACC
5427ABEB9C533F3E4B652E7D463F5442CD54F17A03A27DF9B07F4619B2"},
  {"alg": "sha-256", "dig": "4AADB9B13F82183B540212DF3E5D496B19E5
7CAB3E4B652E7D463F5442CD54F1"}]}
```

## 6. Human Readability

JWT [\[RFC7519\]](#) and JWS [\[RFC7515\]](#) are defined to use Base64 and/or UTF8 encoding to the Header, Payload, and Signature sections. However, many personal communications protocols, such as SIP and XMPP, use a "human readable" format to allow for ease of use and ease of operational debugging and monitoring. As such, specifications using PASSport may provide guidance on whether Base64 encoding or plain text will be used for the construction of the PASSport Header and Claim sections.

## [7.](#) Security Considerations

### [7.1.](#) Avoidance of replay and cut and paste attacks

There are a number of security considerations for use of the token for avoidance of replay and cut and paste attacks. PASSporT tokens must be sent along with other application level protocol information (e.g. for SIP an INVITE as defined in [[RFC3261](#)]). There should be a

link between various information provided in the token and information provided by the application level protocol information.

These would include:

- o "iat" claim should closely correspond to a date/time the message was originated. It should also be within a relative delta time that is reasonable for clock drift and transmission time characteristics associated with the application using the PASSporT token.
- o either "dtn" claim or "duri" claim is included to prevent the ability to use a previously originated message to send to another destination party

### [7.2.](#) Solution Considerations

It should be recognized that the use of this token should not, in it's own right, be considered a full solution for absolute non-repudiation of the persona being asserted. This only provides non-repudiation of the signer of PASSporT. If the signer and the persona are not one in the same, which can and often will be the case in telecommunications networks today, protecting the destination party from being spoofed may take some interpretation or additional verification of the link between the PASSporT signature and the persona being asserted.

In addition, the telecommunications systems and specifications that use PASSporT should in practice provide mechanisms for:

- o Managing X.509 certificates and X.509 certificate chains to an authorized trust anchor that can be a trusted entity to all

participants in the telecommunications network

- o Accounting for entities that may route calls from other peer or interconnected telecommunications networks that are not part of the "trusted" communications network or may not be following the usage of PASSporT or the profile of PASSporT appropriate to that network
- o Following best practices around management and security of X.509 certificates

### [7.3.](#) Privacy Considerations

Because PASSporT explicitly includes claims of identifiers of parties involved in communications, times, and potentially other call detail, care should be taken outside of traditional protected or

Wendt & Peterson

Expires December 15, 2016

[Page 11]

---

Internet-Draft

PASSporT

June 2016

private telephony communications paths where there may be concerns about exposing information to either unintended or illegitimately intended actors. These identifiers are often exposed through many communications signaling protocols as of today, but appropriate precautions should be taken.

## [8.](#) IANA Considerations

### [8.1.](#) Media Type Registration

#### [8.1.1.](#) Media Type Registry Contents Additions Requested

This section registers the "application/passport" media type [[RFC2046](#)] in the "Media Types" registry [[IANA.MediaTypes](#)] in the manner described in [[RFC6838](#)], which can be used to indicate that the content is a PASSporT defined JWT and JWS.

- o Type name: application
- o Subtype name: passport
- o Required parameters: n/a
- o Optional parameters: n/a

- o Encoding considerations: 8bit; application/passport values are encoded as a series of base64url-encoded values (some of which may be the empty string), each separated from the next by a single period ('.') character.
- o Security considerations: See the Security Considerations section of [RFC 7515](#).
- o Interoperability considerations: n/a
- o Published specification: [draft-ietf-stir-passport-00](#)
- o Applications that use this media type: STIR and other applications that require identity related assertion
- o Fragment identifier considerations: n/a
- o Additional information:
  - \* Magic number(s): n/a
  - \* File extension(s): n/a

- \* Macintosh file type code(s): n/a
- o Person and email address to contact for further information: Chris Wendt, [chris-ietf@chriswendt.net](mailto:chris-ietf@chriswendt.net)
- o Intended usage: COMMON
- o Restrictions on usage: none
- o Author: Chris Wendt, [chris-ietf@chriswendt.net](mailto:chris-ietf@chriswendt.net)
- o Change Controller: IESG
- o Provisional registration? No

## [8.2.](#) JSON Web Token Claims Registration

### [8.2.1.](#) Registry Contents Additions Requested

- o Claim Name: "otn"
- o Claim Description: Originating Telephone Number String
- o Change Controller: IESG
- o Specification Document(s): Section 3.2 of [draft-ietf-stir-passport-00](#)
- o Claim Name: "dtn"
- o Claim Description: Destination Telephone Number String
- o Change Controller: IESG
- o Specification Document(s): Section 3.2 of [draft-ietf-stir-passport-00](#)
- o Claim Name: "ouri"
- o Claim Description: Originating URI String
- o Change Controller: IESG
- o Specification Document(s): Section 3.2 of [draft-ietf-stir-passport-00](#)
- o Claim Name: "duri"

- o Claim Description: Destination URI String
- o Change Controller: IESG
- o Specification Document(s): Section 3.2 of [draft-ietf-stir-passport-00](#)
- o Claim Name: "mky"
- o Claim Description: Media Key Fingerprint String

- o Change Controller: IESG
- o Specification Document(s): Section 3.2 of [draft-ietf-stir-passport-00](#)

## 9. Acknowledgements

Particular thanks to members of the ATIS and SIP Forum NNI Task Group including Jim McEchern, Martin Dolly, Richard Shockey, John Barnhill, Christer Holmberg, Victor Pascual Avila, Mary Barnes, and Eric Burger for their review, ideas, and contributions. Also thanks to Henning Schulzrinne, Russ Housley, Alan Johnston, and Richard Barnes for valuable feedback on the technical and security aspects of the document. Additional thanks to Harsha Bellur for assistance in coding the example tokens.

## 10. References

- [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis]  
 Peterson, J., Jennings, C., Rescorla, E., and C. Wendt,  
 "Authenticated Identity Management in the Session  
 Initiation Protocol (SIP)", [draft-ietf-stir-rfc4474bis-07](#)  
 (work in progress), February 2016.
- [IANA.MediaTypes]  
 "IANA, "Media Types"", <Media Types>.
- [RFC2046] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail  
 Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types", [RFC 2046](#),  
 DOI 10.17487/RFC2046, November 1996,  
 <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2046>>.
- [RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston,  
 A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E.  
 Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", [RFC 3261](#),  
 DOI 10.17487/RFC3261, June 2002,  
 <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3261>>.

- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform  
 Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66,  
[RFC 3986](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005,  
 <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.



- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", [RFC 5280](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5280, May 2008, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5280>>.
- [RFC6838] Freed, N., Klensin, J., and T. Hansen, "Media Type Specifications and Registration Procedures", [BCP 13](#), [RFC 6838](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6838, January 2013, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6838>>.
- [RFC7515] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Signature (JWS)", [RFC 7515](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7515, May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7515>>.
- [RFC7518] Jones, M., "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)", [RFC 7518](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7518, May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7518>>.
- [RFC7519] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Token (JWT)", [RFC 7519](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7519, May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7519>>.
- [RFC7638] Jones, M. and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Key (JWK) Thumbprint", [RFC 7638](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7638, September 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7638>>.
- [UNICODE] "The Unicode Consortium, "The Unicode Standard"", <<http://www.unicode.org/versions/latest/>>.

#### [Appendix A](#). Example PASSporT JWS Serialization and Signature

For PASSporT, there will always be a JWS with the following members:

- o "protected", with the value BASE64URL(UTF8(JWS Protected Header))
- o "payload", with the value BASE64URL (JWS Payload)
- o "signature", with the value BASE64URL(JWS Signature)

Note: there will never be a JWS Unprotected Header for PASSporT.

First, an example PASSporT Protected Header is as follows:

```
{
  "typ": "passport",
  "alg": "ES256",
  "x5u": "https://cert.example.org/passport.cer"
}
```

This would be serialized to the form:

```
{"typ": "passport", "alg": "ES256", "x5u": "https://cert.example.org/
passport.cer"}
```

Encoding this with UTF8 and BASE64 encoding produces this value:

```
eyJ0eXAiOiJwYXNzcG9ydCIsImFsZyI6IktVMjU2IiwieDV1IjoiaHR0cHM6Ly9j
ZXJ0LmV4YW1wbGUub3JnL3Bhc3Nwb3J0LmNlciJ9
```

Second, an example PASSport Payload is as follows:

```
{
  "iat": "1443208345",
  "otn": "12155551212",
  "duri": "sip:alice@example.com"
}
```

This would be serialized to the form:

```
{"iat": "1443208345", "otn": "12155551212", "duri":
"sip:alice@example.com"}
```

Encoding this with the UTF8 and BASE64 encoding produces this value:

```
eyJpYXQiOiIxNDQzMjA4MzQ1Iiwib3RuIjoiaMTIxNTU1NTEyMTIiLCJkdXJp
Ijoic2lwOmFsaWNlQGV4YW1wbGUuY29tIn0
```

Computing the digital signature of the PASSport Signing Input  
ASCII(BASE64URL(UTF8(JWS Protected Header)) || '.' || BASE64URL(JWS  
Payload))

```
SQ3r3U9kew2e4Ej-tS4vbWQgs9kSQzHgZqK_xP4TL70a17XwWwF4R2mP9sxQey9n
pZQoYTNx_WZslJJpIc_f_A
```

The final PASSport token is produced by concatenating the values in the order Header.Payload.Signature with period (',') characters. For the above example values this would produce the following:

Internet-Draft

PASSport

June 2016

eyJ0eXAiOiJwYXNzcG9ydCIsImFsZyI6IktVMjU2IiwieDV1IjoiaHR0cHM6Ly9j  
ZXJ0LmV4YW1wbGUub3JnL3Bhc3Nwb3J0LmNydCJ9

.

eyJpYXQiOiIxNDQzMjA4MzQ1Iiwib3RuIjoimTIxNTU1NTEyMTIiLCJkdXJpIjoic2lwOmFsaWNlQGV4YW1wbGUuY29tIn0

.

SQ3r3U9kew2e4Ej-tS4vbWQgs9kSQzHgZqK\_xP4TL70al7XwWwF4R2mP9sxQey9n  
pZQoYTNx\_WZslJJpIc\_f\_A

[A.1.](#) X.509 Private Key Certificate for Example

-----BEGIN EC PRIVATE KEY-----

MHcCAQEEIFeZ1R208QCvcu5GuYyMfG4W7sH4m99/7eHSDLpdYllFoAoGCCqGSM49  
AwEHoUQDQgAE8HNbQd/TmvCKwPKHkMF9fScavGeH78YTU8qLS8I5HLHSSmlATLcs  
lQMhNC/OhlWBYC626nIlo7XeebYS7Sb37g==

-----END EC PRIVATE KEY-----

[A.2.](#) X.509 Public Key Certificate for Example

-----BEGIN PUBLIC KEY-----

MFkwEwYHKoZIzj0CAQYIKoZIzj0DAQcDQgAE8HNbQd/TmvCKwPKHkMF9fScavGeH  
78YTU8qLS8I5HLHSSmlATLcslQMhNC/OhlWBYC626nIlo7XeebYS7Sb37g==

-----END PUBLIC KEY-----

Authors' Addresses

Chris Wendt  
Comcast  
One Comcast Center  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
USA

Email: [chris-ietf@chriswendt.net](mailto:chris-ietf@chriswendt.net)

Jon Peterson  
Neustar Inc.  
1800 Sutter St Suite 570  
Concord, CA 94520  
US

Email: [jon.peterson@neustar.biz](mailto:jon.peterson@neustar.biz)

Wendt & Peterson

Expires December 15, 2016

[Page 17]