

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended Status: Informational
File: [draft-ietf-tcpm-tcpmss-00.txt](#)

Network Working Group
D. Borman
Wind River Systems
March 4, 2009

TCP Options and MSS

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 4, 2009.

Copyright

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Abstract

This memo discusses what value to use with the TCP MSS option.

1. INTRODUCTION

There has been some confusion as to what value should be filled in the TCP MSS option when using TCP options. [RFC-879](#) [[Postel83](#)] stated:

Internet-Draft

TCP Options and MSS

March 2009

The MSS counts only data octets in the segment, it does not count the TCP header or the IP header.

which is unclear about what to do about TCP options. [RFC-1122](#) [[Braden89](#)] attempted to clarify this in [section 4.2.2.6](#), but there still seems to be confusion.

2. TCP Options and MSS

The MSS value to be sent in an MSS option should be equal to the effective MTU minus the fixed IP and TCP headers. By ignoring both IP and TCP options when calculating the value for the MSS option, if there are any IP or TCP options to be sent in a packet, then the sender must decrease the size of the TCP data accordingly. The reason for this can be seen in the following table:

	MSS is adjusted to include options	MSS isn't adjusted to include options
Sender adjusts length for options	Packets are too short	Packets are the correct length
Sender doesn't adjust length for options	Packets are the correct length	Packets are too long.

Since the goal is to not send IP datagrams that have to be fragmented, and packets sent with the constraints in the lower right of this grid will cause IP fragmentation, the only way to guarantee that this doesn't happen is for the data sender to decrease the TCP data length by the size of the IP and TCP options. It follows then, that since the sender will be adjusting the TCP data length when sending IP and TCP options, there is no need to include the IP and TCP option lengths in the MSS value.

3. Security Considerations

Packets that are too long will either be fragmented or dropped. If packets are fragmented, intermediary firewalls or middle boxes may drop the fragmented packets. In either case, when packets are

dropped the connection can fail; hence it is best to avoid generating fragments.

4. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

Network Working Group Expires September 4, 2009

[Page 2]

Internet-Draft

TCP Options and MSS

March 2009

5. References

Informative References

[Braden89] Braden, R., editor, "Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Communication Layers", [RFC-1122](#), October, 1989

[Postel83] Postel, J., "The TCP Maximum Segment Size and Related Topics", [RFC-879](#), ISI, November 1983.

Authors' Addresses

David Borman
Wind River Systems
Mendota Heights, MN 55120

Phone: (651) 454-3052
Email: david.borman@windriver.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

