

TEAS Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: July 14, 2019

T. Saad
R. Gandhi
Cisco Systems Inc
X. Liu
Volta Networks
V. Beeram
Juniper Networks
I. Bryskin
Huawei Technologies
January 10, 2019

Traffic Engineering Common YANG Types
[draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-types-03](#)

Abstract

This document defines a collection of common data types and groupings in YANG data modeling language. These derived common types and groupings are intended to be imported by modules that model Traffic Engineering (TE) configuration and state capabilities.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 14, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Terminology	2
1.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names	3
2. Acronyms and Abbreviations	3
3. Overview	4
3.1. TE Types Module	4
3.2. Packet TE Types Module	7
4. IETF TE Types YANG Module	8
5. IETF Packet TE Types YANG Module	68
6. IANA Considerations	75
7. Security Considerations	75
8. Acknowledgement	76
9. Contributors	76
10. References	76
10.1. Normative References	76
10.2. Informative References	81
Authors' Addresses	82

[1. Introduction](#)

YANG [[RFC6020](#)] and [[RFC7950](#)] is a data modeling language used to model configuration data, state data, Remote Procedure Calls, and notifications for network management protocols such as NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)]. The YANG language supports a small set of built-in data types and provides mechanisms to derive other types from the built-in types.

This document introduces a collection of common data types derived from the built-in YANG data types. The derived types and groupings are designed to be the common types applicable for modeling Traffic Engineering (TE) features, e.g. in models defined in [[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te](#)], [[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te-topo](#)] and [[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-rsvp](#)]).

[1.1. Terminology](#)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 2]

14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The terminology for describing YANG data models is found in [[RFC7950](#)].

1.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names

In this document, names of data nodes and other data model objects are prefixed using the standard prefix associated with the corresponding YANG imported modules, as shown in Table 1.

Prefix	YANG module	Reference
yang	ietf-yang-types	[RFC6991]
inet	ietf-inet-types	[RFC6991]
rt-types	ietf-routing-types	[RFC8294]
te-types	ietf-te-types	this document
te-packet-types	ietf-te-packet-types	this document

Table 1: Prefixes and corresponding YANG modules

2. Acronyms and Abbreviations

GMPLS: Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching

LSP: Label Switched Path

LSR: Label Switching Router

LER: Label Edge Router

MPLS: Multiprotocol Label Switching

RSVP: Resource Reservation Protocol

TE: Traffic Engineering

DS-TE: Differentiated Services Traffic Engineering

SRLG: Shared Link Risk Group

3. Overview

This document defines two YANG modules for common TE types: `ietf-te-types` for TE generic types and `ietf-te-packet-types` for packet specific types. Other technology specific TE types are outside the scope of this document.

3.1. TE Types Module

The `ietf-te-types` module contains common TE types that are independent and agnostic of any specific technology or control plane instance.

The `ietf-te-types` module imports the following modules:

- o `ietf-yang-types` and `ietf-inet-types` defined in [[RFC6991](#)]
- o `ietf-routing-types` defined in [[RFC8294](#)]

The `ietf-te-types` module contains the following YANG reusable types and groupings:

`te-bandwidth`:

A YANG grouping that defines the generic TE bandwidth. The modeling structure allows augmentation for each technology. For un-specified technologies, the string encoded `te-bandwidth` type is used.

`te-label`:

A YANG grouping that defines the generic TE label. The modeling structure allows augmentation for each technology. For un-specified technologies, `rt-types:generalized-label` is used.

`performance-metrics-attributes`:

A YANG grouping that defines one-way and two-way measured performance metrics and anomalous indication on link(s) or the path as defined in [[RFC7471](#)], [[RFC7810](#)], and [[RFC7823](#)].

`performance-metrics-throttle-container`:

A YANG grouping that defines configurable thresholds for advertisement suppression and measurement intervals.

`te-ds-class`:

A type representing the Differentiated-Services (DS) Class-Type of traffic as defined in [[RFC4124](#)].

te-label-direction:

An enumerated type for specifying the forward or reverse direction of a label.

te-hop-type:

An enumerated type for specifying hop as loose or strict.

te-global-id:

A type representing the identifier that uniquely identify an operator, which can be either a provider or a client. The definition of this type is taken from [[RFC6370](#)] and [[RFC5003](#)]. This attribute type is used solely to provide a globally unique context for TE topologies.

te-node-id:

A type representing the identifier for a node in a topology. The identifier is represented as 32-bit unsigned integer in the dotted-quad notation. This attribute is mapped to Router ID in [[RFC3630](#)], [[RFC5329](#)], [[RFC5305](#)], and [[RFC6119](#)].

te-topology-id:

A type representing the identifier for a topology. It is optional to have one or more prefixes at the beginning, separated by colons. The prefixes can be the network-types, defined in ietf-network [[RFC8345](#)], to help user to understand the topology better before further inquiry.

te-tp-id:

A type representing the identifier of a TE interface link termination endpoint (TP) on a specific TE node where the TE link connects. This attribute is mapped to local or remote link identifier in [[RFC3630](#)] and [[RFC5305](#)].

te-path-disjointness:

A type representing the different resource disjointness options for a TE tunnel path as defined in [[RFC4872](#)].

admin-groups:

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 5]

A union type for TE link's classic or extended administrative groups as defined in [[RFC3630](#)] and [[RFC5305](#)].

srlg:

A type representing the Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG) as defined in [[RFC4203](#)] and [[RFC5307](#)].

te-metric:

A type representing the TE link metric as defined in [[RFC3785](#)].

te-recovery-status:

An enumerated type for the different status of a recovery action as defined in [[RFC4427](#)] and [[RFC6378](#)].

path-attribute-flags:

A base YANG identity for supported LSP path flags as defined in [[RFC3209](#)], [[RFC4090](#)], [[RFC4736](#)], [[RFC5712](#)], [[RFC4920](#)], [[RFC5420](#)], [[RFC7570](#)], [[RFC4875](#)], [[RFC5151](#)], [[RFC5150](#)], [[RFC6001](#)], [[RFC6790](#)], [[RFC7260](#)], [[RFC8001](#)], [[RFC8149](#)], and [[RFC8169](#)].

link-protection-type:

A base YANG identity for supported link protection types as defined in [[RFC4872](#)], [[RFC4427](#)]

restoration-scheme-type:

A base YANG identity for supported LSP restoration schemes as defined in [[RFC4872](#)].

protection-external-commands:

A base YANG identity for supported protection external commands for trouble shooting purposes as defined in [[RFC4427](#)].

association-type:

A base YANG identity for supported Label Switched Path (LSP) association types as defined in [[RFC6780](#)], [[RFC4872](#)], [[RFC4873](#)].

objective-function-type:

A base YANG identity for supported path computation objective functions as defined in [[RFC5541](#)].

te-tunnel-type:

A base YANG identity for supported TE tunnel types as defined in [[RFC3209](#)] and [[RFC4875](#)].

lsp-encoding-types:

base YANG identity for supported LSP encoding types as defined in [[RFC3471](#)].

lsp-protection-type:

A base YANG identity for supported LSP protection types as defined in [[RFC4872](#)] and [[RFC4873](#)].

switching-capabilities:

A base YANG identity for supported interface switching capabilities as defined in [[RFC3471](#)].

resource-affinities-type:

A base YANG identity for supported attribute filters associated with a tunnel that must be satisfied for a link to be acceptable as defined in [[RFC2702](#)] and [[RFC3209](#)].

path-metric-type:

A base YANG identity for supported path metric types as defined in [[RFC3785](#)] and [[RFC7471](#)].

explicit-route-hop:

A YANG grouping that defines supported explicit routes as defined in [[RFC3209](#)] and [[RFC3477](#)].

te-link-access-type:

An enumerated type for the different TE link access types as defined in [[RFC3630](#)].

3.2. Packet TE Types Module

The `ietf-te-packet-types` module covers the common types and groupings specific packet technology.

The `ietf-te-packet-types` module contains the following YANG reusable types and groupings:

backup-protection-type:

A base YANG identity for supported protection types that a backup or bypass tunnel can provide as defined in [[RFC4090](#)].

te-class-type:

A type that represents the Diffserv-TE class-type as defined in [[RFC4124](#)].

bc-type:

A type that represents the Diffserv-TE Bandwidth Constraint (BC) as defined in [[RFC4124](#)].

bc-model-type:

A base YANG identity for supported Diffserv-TE bandwidth constraint models as defined in [[RFC4125](#)], [[RFC4126](#)] and [[RFC4127](#)].

te-bandwidth-requested-type:

An enumerated type for the different options to request bandwidth for a specific tunnel.

performance-metrics-attributes-packet:

A YANG grouping for the augmentation of packet specific metrics to the generic performance metrics grouping parameters.

[4. IETF TE Types YANG Module](#)

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-te-types@2019-01-10.yang"
module ietf-te-types {
    yang-version 1.1;
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-types";

    /* Replace with IANA when assigned */
    prefix "te-types";

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
        reference "RFC6991: Common YANG Data Types";
    }

    import ietf-yang-types {
        prefix "yang";
```



```
reference "RFC6991: Common YANG Data Types";
}

import ietf-routing-types {
    prefix "rt-types";
    reference "RFC6991: Common YANG Data Types";
}

organization
    "IETF Traffic Engineering Architecture and Signaling (TEAS)
     Working Group";

contact
    "WG Web:   <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas/>
     WG List:  <mailto:teas@ietf.org>

     WG Chair: Lou Berger
                <mailto:lberger@labn.net>

     WG Chair: Vishnu Pavan Beeram
                <mailto:vbeeram@juniper.net>

     Editor:   Tarek Saad
                <mailto:tsaad@cisco.com>

     Editor:   Rakesh Gandhi
                <mailto:rgandhi@cisco.com>

     Editor:   Vishnu Pavan Beeram
                <mailto:vbeeram@juniper.net>

     Editor:   Himanshu Shah
                <mailto:hshah@ciena.com>

     Editor:   Xufeng Liu
                <mailto:xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com>

     Editor:   Igor Bryskin
                <mailto:Igor.Bryskin@huawei.com>

     Editor:   Young Lee
                <mailto:leeyoung@huawei.com>";

description
    "This module contains a collection of generally useful TE
     specific YANG data type definitions. The model fully conforms
     to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA)."
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 9]

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in [Section 4.c](#) of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

```
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.

revision "2019-01-10" {
    description "Latest revision of TE types";
    reference
        "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Common Traffic Engineering
         Types";
}

/***
 * Typedefs
 */
typedef path-attribute-flags {
    type union {
        type identityref {
            base session-attributes-flags;
        }
        type identityref {
            base lsp-attributes-flags;
        }
    }
    description "Path attributes flags type";
}

typedef te-bandwidth {
    type string {
        pattern
            '0[xX](0((\.\.0?)?[pP](\+)?0?|(\.\.0?))|'
            '+ '1(\.( [\da-fA-F]{0,5}[02468aAcCeE]?)?)?[pP](\+)?(12[0-7]|'
            '+ '1[01]\d|0?\d?\d?)?)|0[xX][\da-fA-F]{1,8}|\d+'
            '+ ',(0[xX](0((\.\.0?)?[pP](\+)?0?|(\.\.0?))|'
            '+ '1(\.( [\da-fA-F]{0,5}[02468aAcCeE]?)?)?[pP](\+)?(12[0-7]|'
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 10]

```
+ '1[01]\d|0?\d?\d)?)|0[xX][\da-fA-F]{1,8}|\d+)*';
}

description
"This is the generic bandwidth type that is a string containing
a list of numbers separated by commas, with each of these
number can be non-negative decimal, hex integer, or hex float:
(dec | hex | float)[*(',(dec | hex | float))]

For packet switching type, a float number is used, such as
0x1p10.

For OTN switching type, a list of integers can be used, such
as '0,2,3,1', indicating 2 odu0's and 1 odu3.

For DWDM, a list of pairs of slot number and width can be
used, such as '0, 2, 3, 3', indicating a frequency slot 0 with
slot width 2 and a frequency slot 3 with slot width 3.

Canonically, the string is represented as all lowercase and in
hex where the prefix '0x' precedes the hex number";

} // te-bandwidth

typedef te-ds-class {
    type uint8 {
        range "0..7";
    }
    description
        "The Differentiated Class-Type of traffic.";
    reference "RFC4124: section-4.3.1";
}

typedef te-link-direction {
    type enumeration {
        enum incoming {
            description
                "explicit route represents an incoming link on a node";
        }
        enum outgoing {
            description
                "explicit route represents an outgoing link on a node";
        }
    }
    description
        "enumerated type for specifying direction of link on a node";
}

typedef te-label-direction {
    type enumeration {
        enum forward {
            description
                "Label allocated for the forward LSP direction";
        }
    }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 11]

```
enum reverse {
    description
        "Label allocated for the reverse LSP direction";
}
}

description
"enumerated type for specifying the forward or reverse
label";
}

typedef te-hop-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum loose {
            description
                "loose hop in an explicit path";
        }
        enum strict {
            description
                "strict hop in an explicit path";
        }
    }
    description
"enumerated type for specifying loose or strict
paths";
    reference "RFC3209: section-4.3.2";
}

typedef performance-metrics-normality {
    type enumeration {
        enum "unknown" {
            value 0;
            description
                "Unknown.";
        }
        enum "normal" {
            value 1;
            description
                "Normal.";
        }
        enum "abnormal" {
            value 2;
            description
                "Abnormal. The anomalous bit is set.";
        }
    }
    description
"Indicates whether a performance metric is normal, abnormal, or
unknown.";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 12]

```
reference
  "RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
  RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
  RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly
  Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric
  Extensions";
}

typedef te-admin-status {
  type enumeration {
    enum up {
      description
        "Enabled.";
    }
    enum down {
      description
        "Disabled.";
    }
    enum testing {
      description
        "In some test mode.";
    }
    enum preparing-maintenance {
      description
        "Resource is disabled in the control plane to prepare for
        graceful shutdown for maintenance purposes.";
      reference
        "RFC5817: Graceful Shutdown in MPLS and Generalized MPLS
        Traffic Engineering Networks";
    }
    enum maintenance {
      description
        "Resource is disabled in the data plane for maintenance
        purposes.";
    }
  }
  description
    "Defines a type representing the administrative status of
    a TE resource.";
}

typedef te-global-id {
  type uint32;
  description
    "An identifier to uniquely identify an operator, which can be
    either a provider or a client.
    The definition of this type is taken from RFC6370 and RFC5003.
    This attribute type is used solely to provide a globally
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 13]

```
unique context for TE topologies.";  
}  
  
typedef te-link-access-type {  
    type enumeration {  
        enum point-to-point {  
            description  
                "The link is point-to-point."  
        }  
        enum multi-access {  
            description  
                "The link is multi-access, including broadcast and NBMA."  
        }  
    }  
    description  
        "Defines a type representing the access type of a TE link."  
    reference  
        "RFC3630: Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions to OSPF  
        Version 2."  
}  
  
typedef te-node-id {  
    type yang:dotted-quad;  
    description  
        "A type representing the identifier for a node in a TE  
        topology.  
        The identifier is represented as 32-bit unsigned integer in  
        the dotted-quad notation.  
        This attribute MAY be mapped to the Router Address described  
        in Section 2.4.1 of \[RFC3630\], the TE Router ID described in  
        Section 3 of \[RFC6827\], the Traffic Engineering Router ID  
        described in Section 4.3 of \[RFC5305\], or the TE Router ID  
        described in Section 3.2.1 of \[RFC6119\].  
        The reachability of such a TE node MAY be achieved by a  
        mechanism such as Section 6.2 of \[RFC6827\]."  
}  
  
typedef te-oper-status {  
    type enumeration {  
        enum up {  
            description  
                "Operational up."  
        }  
        enum down {  
            description  
                "Operational down."  
        }  
        enum testing {  
    }
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 14]

```
description
  "In some test mode.";
}
enum unknown {
  description
  "Status cannot be determined for some reason.";
}
enum preparing-maintenance {
  description
  "Resource is disabled in the control plane to prepare for
   graceful shutdown for maintenance purposes.";
  reference
    "RFC5817: Graceful Shutdown in MPLS and Generalized MPLS
     Traffic Engineering Networks";
}
enum maintenance {
  description
  "Resource is disabled in the data plane for maintenance
   purposes.";
}
}
description
  "Defines a type representing the operational status of
   a TE resource.";
}

typedef te-path-disjointness {
  type bits {
    bit node {
      position 0;
      description "Node disjoint.";
    }
    bit link {
      position 1;
      description "Link disjoint.";
    }
    bit srlg {
      position 2;
      description "SRLG (Shared Risk Link Group) disjoint.";
    }
  }
  description
  "Type of the resource disjointness for a TE tunnel path.";
  reference
    "RFC4872: RSVP-TE Extensions in Support of End-to-End
     Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS)
     Recovery";
} // te-path-disjointness
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 15]

```
typedef te-recovery-status {
    type enumeration {
        enum normal {
            description
                "Both the recovery and working spans are fully
                allocated and active, data traffic is being
                transported over (or selected from) the working
                span, and no trigger events are reported.";
        }
        enum recovery-started {
            description
                "The recovery action has been started, but not completed.";
        }
        enum recovery-succeeded {
            description
                "The recovery action has succeeded. The working span has
                reported a failure/degrade condition and the user traffic
                is being transported (or selected) on the recovery span.";
        }
        enum recovery-failed {
            description
                "The recovery action has failed.";
        }
        enum reversion-started {
            description
                "The reversion has started.";
        }
        enum reversion-failed {
            description
                "The reversion has failed.";
        }
        enum recovery-unavailable {
            description
                "The recovery is unavailable -- either as a result of an
                operator Lockout command or a failure condition detected
                on the recovery span.";
        }
        enum recovery-admin {
            description
                "The operator has issued a command switching the user
                traffic to the recovery span.";
        }
        enum wait-to-restore {
            description
                "The recovery domain is recovering from a failure/degrade
                condition on the working span that is being controlled by
                the Wait-to-Restore (WTR) timer.";
        }
    }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 16]

```
}

description
  "Defines the status of a recovery action.";
reference
  "RFC4427: Recovery (Protection and Restoration) Terminology
   for Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS).
  RFC6378: MPLS Transport Profile (MPLS-TP) Linear Protection";
}

typedef te-template-name {
  type string {
    pattern '/?(([a-zA-Z0-9\-.]+)(/[a-zA-Z0-9\-.]+)*)';
  }
  description
    "A type for the name of a TE node template or TE link
     template.";
}

typedef te-topology-event-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum "add" {
      value 0;
      description
        "A TE node or te-link has been added.";
    }
    enum "remove" {
      value 1;
      description
        "A TE node or te-link has been removed.";
    }
    enum "update" {
      value 2;
      description
        "A TE node or te-link has been updated.";
    }
  }
  description "TE Event type for notifications";
} // te-topology-event-type

typedef te-topology-id {
  type union {
    type string {
      length 0; // empty string
    }
    type string {
      pattern
        '(([a-zA-Z0-9\-.]+:)*)'
        + '/?(([a-zA-Z0-9\-.]+)(/[a-zA-Z0-9\-.]+)*)';
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 17]

```
        }
    }
description
  "An identifier for a topology.
  It is optional to have one or more prefixes at the beginning,
  separated by colons. The prefixes can be the network-types,
  defined in ietf-network.yang, to help user to understand the
  topology better before further inquiry.";
}

typedef te-tp-id {
  type union {
    type uint32;           // Unnumbered
    type inet:ip-address; // IPv4 or IPv6 address
  }
description
  "An identifier for a TE link endpoint on a node.
  This attribute is mapped to local or remote link identifier in
  RFC3630 and RFC5305.";
}

typedef admin-group {
  type binary {
    length 4;
  }
description
  "Administrative group/Resource class/Color.";
  reference "RFC3630 and RFC5305";
}

typedef extended-admin-group {
  type binary;
description
  "Extended administrative group/Resource class/Color.";
  reference "RFC7308";
}

typedef admin-groups {
  type union {
    type admin-group;
    type extended-admin-group;
  }
description "TE administrative group derived type";
}

typedef srlg {
  type uint32;
description "SRLG type";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 18]

```
reference "RFC4203 and RFC5307";  
}  
  
typedef te-metric {  
    type uint32;  
    description "TE link metric";  
    reference "RFC3785";  
}  
  
/* TE features */  
feature p2mp-te {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for P2MP-TE";  
    reference "RFC4875";  
}  
  
feature frr-te {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for TE FastReroute (FRR)";  
    reference "RFC4090";  
}  
  
feature extended-admin-groups {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for TE link extended admin  
         groups.";  
    reference "RFC7308";  
}  
  
feature named-path-affinities {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for named path affinities";  
}  
  
feature named-extended-admin-groups {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for named extended admin groups";  
}  
  
feature named-srlg-groups {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for named SRLG groups";  
}  
  
feature named-path-constraints {  
    description  
        "Indicates support for named path constraints";  
}
```



```
feature path-optimization-metric {
  description
    "Indicates support for path optimization metric";
}

feature path-optimization-objective-function {
  description
    "Indicates support for path optimization objective function";
}

/*
 * Identities
 */
identity session-attributes-flags {
  description
    "Base identity for the RSVP-TE session attributes flags";
}
identity local-protection-desired {
  base session-attributes-flags;
  description "Fastreroute local protection is desired.";
  reference "RFC3209";
}
identity se-style-desired {
  description
    "Shared explicit style to allow the LSP to be
     established sharing resources with the old LSP.";
  reference "RFC3209";
}
identity local-recording-desired {
  description "Local recording desired";
  reference "RFC3209";
}
identity bandwidth-protection-desired {
  base session-attributes-flags;
  description
    "Request FRR bandwidth protection on LSRs if
     present.";
  reference "RFC4090";
}
identity node-protection-desired {
  base session-attributes-flags;
  description
    "Request FRR node protection on LSRs if
     present.";
  reference "RFC4090";
}
identity path-reevaluation-request {
  base session-attributes-flags;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 20]

```
description
  "This flag indicates that a path re-evaluation (of the
   current path in use) is requested. Note that this does
   not trigger any LSP Reroute but instead just signals a
   request to evaluate whether a preferable path exists.";
reference "RFC4736";
}
identity soft-preemption-desired {
  base session-attributes-flags;
  description
    "Soft-preemption of LSP resources is desired";
  reference "RFC5712";
}

identity lsp-attributes-flags {
  description "Base identity for per hop attribute flags";
}
identity end-to-end-rerouting-desired {
  base lsp-attributes-flags;
  description
    "Indicates end-to-end re-routing behavior for an
     LSP under establishment. This MAY also be used for
     specifying the behavior of end-to-end LSP recovery for
     established LSPs.";
  reference "RFC4920, RFC5420, RFC7570";
}
identity boundary-rerouting-desired {
  base lsp-attributes-flags;
  description
    "Indicates boundary re-routing behavior for an LSP under
     establishment. This MAY also be used for specifying the
     segment-based LSP recovery through nested crankback for
     established LSPs. The boundary ABR/ASBR can either decide
     to forward the PathErr message upstream to an upstream boundary
     ABR/ASBR or to the ingress LSR.
     Alternatively, it can try to select another egress boundary
     LSR.";
  reference "RFC4920, RFC5420, RFC7570";
}
identity segment-based-rerouting-desired {
  base lsp-attributes-flags;
  description
    "Indicates segment-based re-routing behavior for an LSP under
     establishment. This MAY also be used to specify the segment-
     based LSP recovery for established LSPs.";
  reference "RFC4920, RFC5420, RFC7570";
}
identity lsp-integrity-required {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 21]

```
base lsp-attributes-flags;
description "Indicates LSP integrity is required";
reference "RFC4875, RFC7570";

}

identity contiguous-lsp-desired {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description "Indicates contiguous LSP is desired";
    reference "RFC5151, RFC7570";
}

identity lsp-stitching-desired {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description "Indicates LSP stitching is desired";
    reference "RFC5150, RFC7570";
}

identity pre-planned-lsp-flag {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description
        "Indicates the LSP MUST be provisioned in the
         control plane only.";
    reference "RFC6001, RFC7570";
}

identity non-php-behavior-flag {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description
        "Indicates non-php behavior for the LSP is desired";
    reference "RFC6511, RFC7570";
}

identity oob-mapping-flag {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description
        "Indicates signaling of the egress binding information
         is out-of-band , (e.g., via Border Gateway Protocol (BGP))";
    reference "RFC6511, RFC7570";
}

identity entropy-label-capability {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description "Indicates entropy label capability";
    reference "RFC6790, RFC7570";
}

identity oam-mep-entity-desired {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description "OAM MEP entities desired";
    reference "RFC7260";
}

identity oam-mip-entity-desired {
    base lsp-attributes-flags;
    description "OAM MIP entities desired";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 22]

```
reference "RFC7260";  
}  
identity srlg-collection-desired {  
    base lsp-attributes-flags;  
    description "SRLG collection desired";  
    reference "RFC8001, RFC7570";  
}  
identity loopback-desired {  
    base lsp-attributes-flags;  
    description  
        "This flag indicates a particular node on the LSP is  
        required to enter loopback mode. This can also be  
        used for specifying the loopback state of the node.";  
    reference "RFC7571";  
}  
identity p2mp-te-tree-eval-request {  
    base lsp-attributes-flags;  
    description "P2MP-TE tree re-evaluation request";  
    reference "RFC8149";  
}  
identity rtm-set-desired {  
    base lsp-attributes-flags;  
    description  
        "Residence Time Measurement (RTM) attribute flag";  
    reference "RFC8169";  
}  
  
identity link-protection-type {  
    description "Base identity for link protection type.";  
}  
identity link-protection-unprotected {  
    base link-protection-type;  
    description "Unprotected link type";  
    reference "RFC4872";  
}  
identity link-protection-extra-traffic {  
    base link-protection-type;  
    description "Extra-traffic protected link type";  
    reference "RFC4427.";  
}  
identity link-protection-shared {  
    base link-protection-type;  
    description "Shared protected link type";  
    reference "RFC4872";  
}  
identity link-protection-1-for-1 {  
    base link-protection-type;  
    description "One for one protected link type";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 23]

```
reference "RFC4872";  
}  
identity link-protection-1-plus-1 {  
    base link-protection-type;  
    description "One plus one protected link type";  
    reference "RFC4872";  
}  
identity link-protection-enhanced {  
    base link-protection-type;  
    description "Enhanced protection protected link type";  
    reference "RFC4872";  
}  
  
identity association-type {  
    description "Base identity for tunnel association";  
    reference "RFC6780, RFC4872, RFC4873";  
}  
identity association-type-recovery {  
    base association-type;  
    description  
        "Association Type Recovery used to association LSPs of  
        same tunnel for recovery";  
    reference "RFC4872";  
}  
identity association-type-resource-sharing {  
    base association-type;  
    description  
        "Association Type Resource Sharing used to enable resource  
        sharing during make-before-break.";  
    reference "RFC4873";  
}  
identity association-type-double-sided-bidir {  
    base association-type;  
    description  
        "Association Type Double Sided bidirectional used to associate  
        two LSPs of two tunnels that are independently configured on  
        either endpoint";  
    reference "RFC7551";  
}  
identity association-type-single-sided-bidir {  
    base association-type;  
    description  
        "Association Type Single Sided bidirectional used to associate  
        two LSPs of two tunnels, where a tunnel is configured on one  
        side/endpoint, and the other tunnel is dynamically created on  
        the other endpoint";  
    reference "RFC7551";  
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 24]

```
identity objective-function-type {
    description "Base objective function type";
    reference "RFC4657";
}
identity of-minimize-cost-path {
    base objective-function-type;
    description
        "Minimize cost of path objective function";
    reference "RFC5541";
}
identity of-minimize-load-path {
    base objective-function-type;
    description
        "Minimize the load on path(s) objective
         function";
}
identity of-maximize-residual-bandwidth {
    base objective-function-type;
    description
        "Maximize the residual bandwidth objective
         function";
}
identity of-minimize-agg-bandwidth-consumption {
    base objective-function-type;
    description
        "minimize the aggregate bandwidth consumption
         objective function";
}
identity of-minimize-load-most-loaded-link {
    base objective-function-type;
    description
        "Minimize the load on the most loaded link
         objective function";
}
identity of-minimize-cost-path-set {
    base objective-function-type;
    description
        "Minimize the cost on a path set objective
         function";
}
identity path-computation-method {
    description
        "base identity for supported path computation
         mechanisms";
}
identity path-locally-computed {
    base path-computation-method;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 25]

```
description
  "indicates a constrained-path LSP in which the
   path is computed by the local LER";
}
identity path-externally-queried {
  base path-computation-method;
  description
    "Constrained-path LSP in which the path is
     obtained by querying an external source, such as a PCE server.
     In the case that an LSP is defined to be externally queried, it
     may also have associated explicit definitions (provided
     to the external source to aid computation); and the path that is
     returned by the external source is not required to provide a
     wholly resolved path back to the originating system - that is to
     say, some local computation may also be required";
}
identity path-explicitly-defined {
  base path-computation-method;
  description
    "constrained-path LSP in which the path is
     explicitly specified as a collection of strict or/and loose
     hops";
}

identity lsp-metric-type {
  description
    "Base identity for types of LSP metric specification";
}
identity lsp-metric-relative {
  base lsp-metric-type;
  description
    "The metric specified for the LSPs to which this identity refers
     is specified as a relative value to the IGP metric cost to the
     LSP's tail-end.";
}
identity lsp-metric-absolute {
  base lsp-metric-type;
  description
    "The metric specified for the LSPs to which this identity refers
     is specified as an absolute value";
}
identity lsp-metric-inherited {
  base lsp-metric-type;
  description
    "The metric for the LSPs to which this identity refers is
     not specified explicitly - but rather inherited from the IGP
     cost directly";
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 26]

```
identity te-tunnel-type {
    description
        "Base identity from which specific tunnel types are
         derived.";
}
identity te-tunnel-p2p {
    base te-tunnel-type;
    description
        "TE point-to-point tunnel type.";
}
identity te-tunnel-p2mp {
    base te-tunnel-type;
    description
        "TE point-to-multipoint tunnel type.";
    reference "RFC4875";
}

identity tunnel-action-type {
    description
        "Base identity from which specific tunnel action types
         are derived.";
}
identity tunnel-action-resetup {
    base tunnel-action-type;
    description
        "TE tunnel action resetup. Tears the
         tunnel's current LSP (if any) and
         attempts to re-establish a new LSP";
}
identity tunnel-action-reoptimize {
    base tunnel-action-type;
    description
        "TE tunnel action reoptimize.
         Reoptimizes placement of the tunnel LSP(s)";
}
identity tunnel-action-switchpath {
    base tunnel-action-type;
    description
        "TE tunnel action switchpath
         Switches the tunnel's LSP to use the specified path";
}

identity te-action-result {
    description
        "Base identity from which specific TE action results
         are derived.";
}
identity te-action-success {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 27]

```
base te-action-result;
description "TE action successful.";
}
identity te-action-fail {
  base te-action-result;
  description "TE action failed.";
}
identity tunnel-action-inprogress {
  base te-action-result;
  description "TE action inprogress.";
}

identity tunnel-admin-state-type {
  description
    "Base identity for TE tunnel admin states";
}
identity tunnel-admin-state-up {
  base tunnel-admin-state-type;
  description "Tunnel administratively state up";
}
identity tunnel-admin-state-down {
  base tunnel-admin-state-type;
  description "Tunnel administratively state down";
}

identity tunnel-state-type {
  description
    "Base identity for TE tunnel states";
}
identity tunnel-state-up {
  base tunnel-state-type;
  description "Tunnel state up";
}
identity tunnel-state-down {
  base tunnel-state-type;
  description "Tunnel state down";
}

identity lsp-state-type {
  description
    "Base identity for TE LSP states";
}
identity lsp-path-computing {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description
    "State path compute in progress";
}
identity lsp-path-computation-ok {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 28]

```
base lsp-state-type;
description
  "State path compute successful";
}
identity lsp-path-computation-failed {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description
    "State path compute failed";
}
identity lsp-state-setting-up {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description
    "State setting up";
}
identity lsp-state-setup-ok {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description
    "State setup successful";
}
identity lsp-state-setup-failed {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description
    "State setup failed";
}
identity lsp-state-up {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description "State up";
}
identity lsp-state-tearing-down {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description
    "State tearing down";
}
identity lsp-state-down {
  base lsp-state-type;
  description "State down";
}

identity path-validation-action-type {
  description
    "Base identity for TE path validation action types";
}
identity path-validation-action-drop-type {
  base path-validation-action-type;
  description
    "TE path validation action drop";
}
identity path-validation-action-drop-tear {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 29]

```
base path-validation-action-type;
description
  "TE path invalidation action tear";
}

identity lsp-restoration-type {
  description
    "Base identity from which LSP restoration types are
     derived.";
}
identity lsp-restoration-restore-any {
  base lsp-restoration-type;
  description
    "Restores when any of the LSPs is affected by a failure";
}
identity lsp-restoration-restore-all {
  base lsp-restoration-type;
  description
    "Restores when all the tunnel LSPs are affected by failure";
}

identity restoration-scheme-type {
  description
    "Base identity for LSP restoration schemes";
  reference "RFC4872";
}
identity restoration-scheme-preconfigured {
  base restoration-scheme-type;
  description
    "Restoration LSP is preconfigured prior to the failure";
}
identity restoration-scheme-precomputed {
  base restoration-scheme-type;
  description
    "Restoration LSP is precomputed prior to the failure";
}
identity restoration-scheme-presignaled {
  base restoration-scheme-type;
  description
    "Restoration LSP is presigned prior to the failure";
}

identity lsp-protection-type {
  description
    "Base identity from which LSP protection types are
     derived.";
}
identity lsp-protection-unprotected {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 30]

```
base lsp-protection-type;
description
  "LSP protection 'Unprotected'";
  reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-reroute-extra {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection '(Full) Rerouting'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-reroute {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection 'Rerouting without Extra-Traffic'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-1-for-n {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection '1:N Protection with Extra-Traffic'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-unidir-1-for-1 {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection '1:1 Unidirectional Protection'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-bidir-1-for-1 {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection '1:1 Bidirectional Protection'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-unidir-1-plus-1 {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection '1+1 Unidirectional Protection'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-bidir-1-plus-1 {
  base lsp-protection-type;
  description
    "LSP protection '1+1 Bidirectional Protection'";
    reference "RFC4872";
}
identity lsp-protection-extra-traffic {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 31]

```
base lsp-protection-type;
description
  "LSP protection 'Extra-Traffic'";
reference
  "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427.";
}

identity lsp-protection-state {
  description
    "Base identity of protection states for reporting
     purposes.";
}
identity normal {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description "Normal state.";
}
identity signal-fail-of-protection {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "There is a SF condition on the protection transport
     entity which has higher priority than the FS command.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.873.1, G.8031, G.8131";
}
identity lockout-of-protection {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "A Loss of Protection (LoP) command is active.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity forced-switch {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "A forced switch (FS) command is active.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity signal-fail {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "There is a SF condition on either the working
     or the protection path.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity signal-degrade {
  base lsp-protection-state;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 32]

```
description
  "There is an SD condition on either the working or the
   protection path.";
reference
  "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity manual-switch {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "A manual switch (MS) command is active.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity wait-to-restore {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "A wait time to restore (WTR) is running.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity do-not-revert {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "A DNR condition is active because of a non-revertive
     behavior.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity failure-of-protocol {
  base lsp-protection-state;
  description
    "The protection is not working because of a failure of
     protocol condition.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.873.1, G.8031, G.8131";
}
identity protection-external-commands {
  description
    "Protection external commands for trouble shooting
     purposes.";
}
identity action-freeze {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "A temporary configuration action initiated by an operator
     command to prevent any switch action to be taken and as such
     freezes the current state.";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 33]

```
reference
  "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity clear-freeze {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "An action that clears the active freeze state.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity action-lockout-of-normal {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "A temporary configuration action initiated by an operator
     command to ensure that the normal traffic is not allowed
     to use the protection transport entity.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity clear-lockout-of-normal {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "An action that clears the active lockout of normal state.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity action-lockout-of-protection {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "A temporary configuration action initiated by an operator
     command to ensure that the protection transport entity is
     temporarily not available to transport a traffic signal
     (either normal or extra traffic).";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity action-forced-switch {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "A switch action initiated by an operator command to switch
     the extra traffic signal, the normal traffic signal, or the
     null signal to the protection transport entity, unless an
     equal or higher priority switch command is in effect.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity action-manual-switch {
  base protection-external-commands;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 34]

```
description
  "A switch action initiated by an operator command to switch
   the extra traffic signal, the normal traffic signal, or
   the null signal to the protection transport entity, unless
   a fault condition exists on other transport entities or an
   equal or higher priority switch command is in effect.";
reference
  "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity action-exercise {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "An action to start testing if the APS communication is
     operating correctly. It is lower priority than any other
     state or command.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}
identity clear {
  base protection-external-commands;
  description
    "An action that clears the active near-end lockout of
     protection, forced switch, manual switch, WTR state,
     or exercise command.";
  reference
    "ITU-T G.808, RFC 4427";
}

identity switching-capabilities {
  description
    "Base identity for interface switching capabilities";
  reference "RFC3471";
}
identity switching-psc1 {
  base switching-capabilities;
  description
    "Packet-Switch Capable-1 (PSC-1)";
  reference "RFC3471";
}
identity switching-evpl {
  base switching-capabilities;
  description
    "Ethernet Virtual Private Line (EVPL)";
}
identity switching-l2sc {
  base switching-capabilities;
  description
    "Layer-2 Switch Capable (L2SC);
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 35]

```
reference "RFC3471";  
}  
identity switching-tdm {  
    base switching-capabilities;  
    description  
        "Time-Division-Multiplex Capable (TDM)";  
    reference "RFC3471";  
}  
identity switching-otn {  
    base switching-capabilities;  
    description  
        "OTN-TDM capable";  
}  
identity switching-dcsc {  
    base switching-capabilities;  
    description  
        "Data Channel Switching Capable (DCSC)";  
}  
identity switching-lsc {  
    base switching-capabilities;  
    description  
        "Lambda-Switch Capable (LSC)";  
    reference "RFC3471";  
}  
identity switching-fsc {  
    base switching-capabilities;  
    description  
        "Fiber-Switch Capable (FSC)";  
    reference "RFC3471";  
}  
  
identity lsp-encoding-types {  
    description  
        "Base identity for encoding types";  
    reference "RFC3471";  
}  
identity lsp-encoding-packet {  
    base lsp-encoding-types;  
    description  
        "Packet LSP encoding";  
    reference "RFC3471";  
}  
identity lsp-encoding-ethernet {  
    base lsp-encoding-types;  
    description  
        "Ethernet LSP encoding";  
    reference "RFC3471";  
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 36]

```
identity lsp-encoding-pdh {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "ANSI/ETSI LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC3471";
}
identity lsp-encoding-sdh {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "SDH ITU-T G.707 / SONET ANSI T1.105 LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC3471";
}
identity lsp-encoding-digital-wrapper {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "Digital Wrapper LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC3471";
}
identity lsp-encoding-lambda {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "Lambda (photonic) LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC3471";
}
identity lsp-encoding-fiber {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "Fiber LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC3471";
}
identity lsp-encoding-fiber-channel {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "Fiber Channel LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC3471";
}
identity lsp-encoding-oduk {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "G.709 ODUk (Digital Path) LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC4328";
}
identity lsp-encoding-optical-channel {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "G.709 Optical Channel LSP encoding";
    reference "RFC4328";
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 37]

```
identity lsp-encoding-line {
    base lsp-encoding-types;
    description
        "Line (e.g., 8B/10B) LSP encoding";
        reference "RFC6004";
}
identity path-signaling-type {
    description
        "base identity from which specific LSPs path
         setup types are derived";
}
identity path-setup-static {
    base path-signaling-type;
    description
        "Static LSP provisioning path setup";
}
identity path-setup-rsvp {
    base path-signaling-type;
    description
        "RSVP-TE signaling path setup";
        reference "RFC3209";
}
identity path-setup-sr {
    base path-signaling-type;
    description
        "Segment-routing path setup";
}

identity path-scope-type {
    description
        "base identity from which specific path
         scope types are derived";
}
identity path-scope-segment {
    base path-scope-type;
    description
        "Path scope segment";
}
identity path-scope-end-to-end {
    base path-scope-type;
    description
        "Path scope end to end";
}

identity route-usage-type {
    description
        "Base identity for route usage";
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 38]

```
identity route-include-object {
    base route-usage-type;
    description
        "Include route object";
}
identity route-exclude-object {
    base route-usage-type;
    description
        "Exclude route object";
}
identity route-exclude-srlg {
    base route-usage-type;
    description "Exclude SRLG";
}

identity path-metric-type {
    description
        "Base identity for path metric type";
}
identity path-metric-te {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "TE path metric";
    reference "RFC3785";
}
identity path-metric-igp {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "IGP path metric";
    reference "RFC3785";
}
identity path-metric-hop {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "Hop path metric";
}
identity path-metric-delay-average {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "Unidirectional average link delay";
    reference "RFC7471";
}
identity path-metric-delay-minimum {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "Unidirectional minimum link delay";
    reference "RFC7471";
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 39]

```
identity path-metric-residual-bandwidth {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "Unidirectional Residual Bandwidth, which is defined to be
         Maximum Bandwidth [RFC3630] minus the bandwidth currently
         allocated to LSPs.";
    reference "RFC7471";
}
identity path-metric-optimize-includes {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "A metric that optimizes the number of included resources
         specified in a set";
}
identity path-metric-optimize-excludes {
    base path-metric-type;
    description
        "A metric that optimizes the number of excluded resources
         specified in a set";
}

identity path-tiebreaker-type {
    description
        "Base identity for path tie-breaker type";
}
identity path-tiebreaker-minfill {
    base path-tiebreaker-type;
    description
        "Min-Fill LSP path placement";
}
identity path-tiebreaker-maxfill {
    base path-tiebreaker-type;
    description
        "Max-Fill LSP path placement";
}
identity path-tiebreaker-random {
    base path-tiebreaker-type;
    description
        "Random LSP path placement";
}

identity resource-affinities-type {
    description
        "Base identity for resource affinities";
    reference "RFC2702";
}
identity resource-aff-include-all {
    base resource-affinities-type;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 40]

```
description
  "The set of attribute filters associated with a
   tunnel all of which must be present for a link
   to be acceptable";
  reference "RFC2702 and RFC3209";
}
identity resource-aff-include-any {
  base resource-affinities-type;
  description
    "The set of attribute filters associated with a
     tunnel any of which must be present for a link
     to be acceptable";
    reference "RFC2702 and RFC3209";
}
identity resource-aff-exclude-any {
  base resource-affinities-type;
  description
    "The set of attribute filters associated with a
     tunnel any of which renders a link unacceptable";
    reference "RFC2702 and RFC3209";
}

identity te-optimization-criterion {
  description
    "Base identity for TE optimization criterion.";
  reference
    "RFC3272: Overview and Principles of Internet Traffic
     Engineering.";
}
identity not-optimized {
  base te-optimization-criterion;
  description "Optimization is not applied.";
}
identity cost {
  base te-optimization-criterion;
  description "Optimized on cost.";
}
identity delay {
  base te-optimization-criterion;
  description "Optimized on delay.";
}

identity path-computation-srlg-type {
  description
    "Base identity for SRLG path computation";
}
identity srlg-ignore {
  base path-computation-srlg-type;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 41]

```
description
  "Ignores SRLGs in path computation";
}
identity srlg-strict {
  base path-computation-srlg-type;
  description
    "Include strict SRLG check in path computation";
}
identity srlg-preferred {
  base path-computation-srlg-type;
  description
    "Include preferred SRLG check in path computation";
}
identity srlg-weighted {
  base path-computation-srlg-type;
  description
    "Include weighted SRLG check in path computation";
}

identity otn-rate-type {
  description
    "Base type to identify OTN bit rates of various information
     structures.";
  reference "RFC7139";
}
identity odu0 {
  base otn-rate-type;
  description
    "ODU0 bit rate.";
}
identity odu1 {
  base otn-rate-type;
  description
    "ODU1 bit rate.";
}
identity odu2 {
  base otn-rate-type;
  description
    "ODU2 bit rate.";
}
identity odu3 {
  base otn-rate-type;
  description
    "ODU3 bit rate.";
}
identity odu4 {
  base otn-rate-type;
  description
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 42]

```
        "ODU4 bit rate.";
    }
identity odu2e {
    base otn-rate-type;
    description
        "ODU2e bit rate.";
}
identity oduc {
    base otn-rate-type;
    description
        "ODUCn bit rate.";
}
identity odumflex {
    base otn-rate-type;
    description
        "ODUflex bit rate.";
}

identity wdm-spectrum-type {
    description
        "Base type to identify WDM spectrum type.";
}
identity cwdm {
    base wdm-spectrum-type;
    description "CWDW.";
    reference "RFC6205";
}
identity dwdm {
    base wdm-spectrum-type;
    description "DWDM.";
    reference "RFC6205";
}
identity flexible-grid {
    base wdm-spectrum-type;
    description "Flexible grid.";
    reference "RFC6205";
}

/**
 * TE bandwidth groupings
 */
grouping te-bandwidth {
    description
        "This grouping defines the generic TE bandwidth.
         For some known data plane technologies, specific modeling
         structures are specified. The string encoded te-bandwidth
         type is used for un-specified technologies.
         The modeling structure can be augmented later for other
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 43]

```
technologies.";  
container te-bandwidth {  
    description  
        "Container that specifies TE bandwidth. The choices  
        can be augmented for specific dataplane technologies.";  
    choice technology {  
        default generic;  
        description  
            "Data plane technology type.";  
        case generic {  
            leaf generic {  
                type te-bandwidth;  
                description  
                    "Bandwidth specified in a generic format.";  
            }  
        }  
    }  
}  
}  
  
/**  
 * TE label groupings  
 **/  
grouping te-label {  
    description  
        "This grouping defines the generic TE label.  
        The modeling structure can be augmented for each technology.  
        For un-specified technologies, rt-types:generalized-label  
        is used.";  
    container te-label {  
        description  
            "Container that specifies TE label. The choices can  
            be augmented for specific dataplane technologies.";  
        choice technology {  
            default generic;  
            description  
                "Data plane technology type.";  
            case generic {  
                leaf generic {  
                    type rt-types:generalized-label;  
                    description  
                        "TE label specified in a generic format.";  
                }  
            }  
        }  
    }  
    leaf direction {  
        type te-label-direction;  
        default forward;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 44]

```
        description "Label direction";
    }
}

grouping te-topology-identifier {
    description
        "Augmentation for TE topology.";
    container te-topology-identifier {
        description "TE topology identifier container";
        leaf provider-id {
            type te-global-id;
            default 0;
            description
                "An identifier to uniquely identify a provider. If omitted,
                 it assumes the default topology provider ID=0";
        }
        leaf client-id {
            type te-global-id;
            default 0;
            description
                "An identifier to uniquely identify a client. If omitted,
                 it assumes the default topology client ID=0";
        }
        leaf topology-id {
            type te-topology-id;
            default '';
            description
                "When the datastore contains several topologies, the
                 topology-id distinguishes between them. If omitted, the
                 default empty string topology-id is assumed";
        }
    }
}

/***
 * TE performance metric groupings
 ***/
grouping performance-metrics-one-way-delay-loss {
    description
        "Performance metric information in real time that can
         be applicable to links or connections. PM defined
         in this grouping is applicable to generic TE performance
         metrics as well as packet TE performance metrics.";
    reference
        "RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
         RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
         RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 45]

```
Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric
Extensions";
leaf one-way-delay {
    type uint32 {
        range 0..16777215;
    }
    description "One-way delay or latency in micro seconds.";
}
leaf one-way-delay-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    description "One-way delay normality.";
}

grouping performance-metrics-two-way-delay-loss {
    description
        "Performance metric information in real time that can
        be applicable to links or connections. PM defined
        in this grouping is applicable to generic TE performance
        metrics as well as packet TE performance metrics.";
    reference
        "RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
        RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
        RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly
        Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric
        Extensions";
    leaf two-way-delay {
        type uint32 {
            range 0..16777215;
        }
        description "Two-way delay or latency in micro seconds.";
    }
    leaf two-way-delay-normality {
        type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
        description "Two-way delay normality.";
    }
}

grouping performance-metrics-one-way-bandwidth {
    description
        "Performance metric information in real time that can
        be applicable to links. PM defined
        in this grouping is applicable to generic TE performance
        metrics as well as packet TE performance metrics.";
    reference
        "RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
        RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
        RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 46]

```
Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric
Extensions";  
  
leaf one-way-residual-bandwidth {  
    type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;  
    default '0x0p0';  
    description  
        "Residual bandwidth that subtracts tunnel  
        reservations from Maximum Bandwidth (or link capacity)  
        [RFC3630] and provides an aggregated remainder across QoS  
        classes.";  
}  
leaf one-way-residual-bandwidth-normality {  
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;  
    default "normal";  
    description "Residual bandwidth normality.";  
}  
leaf one-way-available-bandwidth {  
    type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;  
    default '0x0p0';  
    description  
        "Available bandwidth that is defined to be residual  
        bandwidth minus the measured bandwidth used for the  
        actual forwarding of non-RSVP-TE LSP packets. For a  
        bundled link, available bandwidth is defined to be the  
        sum of the component link available bandwidths.";  
}  
leaf one-way-available-bandwidth-normality {  
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;  
    default "normal";  
    description "Available bandwidth normality.";  
}  
leaf one-way-utilized-bandwidth {  
    type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;  
    default '0x0p0';  
    description  
        "Bandwidth utilization that represents the actual  
        utilization of the link (i.e. as measured in the router).  
        For a bundled link, bandwidth utilization is defined to  
        be the sum of the component link bandwidth  
        utilizations.";  
}  
leaf one-way-utilized-bandwidth-normality {  
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;  
    default "normal";  
    description "Bandwidth utilization normality.";  
}  
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 47]

```
grouping one-way-performance-metrics {
  description
    "One-way performance metrics throttle grouping.";
  leaf one-way-delay {
    type uint32 {
      range 0..16777215;
    }
    default 0;
    description "One-way delay or latency in micro seconds.";
  }
  leaf one-way-min-delay {
    type uint32 {
      range 0..16777215;
    }
    default 0;
    description "One-way minimum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
  }
  leaf one-way-max-delay {
    type uint32 {
      range 0..16777215;
    }
    default 0;
    description "One-way maximum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
  }
  leaf one-way-delay-variation {
    type uint32 {
      range 0..16777215;
    }
    default 0;
    description "One-way delay variation in micro seconds.";
  }
  leaf one-way-packet-loss {
    type decimal64 {
      fraction-digits 6;
      range "0 .. 50.331642";
    }
    default 0;
    description
      "One-way packet loss as a percentage of the total traffic sent
       over a configurable interval. The finest precision is
       0.000003%.";
  }
  leaf one-way-residual-bandwidth {
    type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;
    default '0x0p0';
    description
      "Residual bandwidth that subtracts tunnel
       reservations from Maximum Bandwidth (or link capacity)"
  }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 48]

```
[RFC3630] and provides an aggregated remainder across QoS
classes.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf one-way-available-bandwidth {
```

```
    type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;
```

```
    default '0x0p0';
```

```
    description
```

```
        "Available bandwidth that is defined to be residual
```

```
        bandwidth minus the measured bandwidth used for the
```

```
        actual forwarding of non-RSVP-TE LSP packets. For a
```

```
        bundled link, available bandwidth is defined to be the
```

```
        sum of the component link available bandwidths.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf one-way-utilized-bandwidth {
```

```
    type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;
```

```
    default '0x0p0';
```

```
    description
```

```
        "Bandwidth utilization that represents the actual
```

```
        utilization of the link (i.e. as measured in the router).
```

```
        For a bundled link, bandwidth utilization is defined to
```

```
        be the sum of the component link bandwidth
```

```
        utilizations.";
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
grouping two-way-performance-metrics {
```

```
    description
```

```
        "Two-way performance metrics throttle grouping.";
```

```
leaf two-way-delay {
```

```
    type uint32 {
```

```
        range 0..16777215;
```

```
    }
```

```
    default 0;
```

```
    description "Two-way delay or latency in micro seconds.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf two-way-min-delay {
```

```
    type uint32 {
```

```
        range 0..16777215;
```

```
    }
```

```
    default 0;
```

```
    description "Two-way minimum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf two-way-max-delay {
```

```
    type uint32 {
```

```
        range 0..16777215;
```

```
    }
```

```
    default 0;
```

```
    description "Two-way maximum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 49]

```
}
```

```
leaf two-way-delay-variation {
```

```
    type uint32 {
```

```
        range 0..16777215;
```

```
    }
```

```
    default 0;
```

```
    description "Two-way delay variation in micro seconds.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf two-way-packet-loss {
```

```
    type decimal64 {
```

```
        fraction-digits 6;
```

```
        range "0 .. 50.331642";
```

```
    }
```

```
    default 0;
```

```
    description
```

```
        "Two-way packet loss as a percentage of the total traffic sent
```

```
        over a configurable interval. The finest precision is
```

```
        0.000003%.";
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
grouping performance-metrics-thresholds {
```

```
    description
```

```
        "Grouping for configurable thresholds for measured attributes";
```

```
    uses one-way-performance-metrics;
```

```
    uses two-way-performance-metrics;
```

```
}
```

```
grouping performance-metrics-attributes {
```

```
    description
```

```
        "A container containing performance metric attributes.";
```

```
    container performance-metrics-one-way {
```

```
        description
```

```
            "One-way link performance information in real time.";
```

```
        reference
```

```
            "RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
```

```
            RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.
```

```
            RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly
```

```
            Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric
```

```
            Extensions";
```

```
        uses performance-metrics-one-way-delay-loss;
```

```
        uses performance-metrics-one-way-bandwidth;
```

```
}
```

```
    container performance-metrics-two-way {
```

```
        description
```

```
            "Two-way link performance information in real time.";
```

```
        reference
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 50]

```
"RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.  
  RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.  
  RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly  
    Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric  
    Extensions";  
  uses performance-metrics-two-way-delay-loss;  
}  
}  
  
grouping performance-metrics-throttle-container {  
  description  
    "A container controlling performance metric throttle.";  
  container throttle {  
    must "suppression-interval >= measure-interval" {  
      error-message  
        "suppression-interval cannot be less than  
          measure-interval.";  
      description  
        "Constraint on suppression-interval and  
          measure-interval.";  
    }  
    description  
    "Link performance information in real time.";  
    reference  
      "RFC7471: OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.  
       RFC7810: IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions.  
       RFC7823: Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly  
         Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric  
         Extensions";  
    leaf one-way-delay-offset {  
      type uint32 {  
        range 0..16777215;  
      }  
      default 0;  
      description  
        "Offset value to be added to the measured delay value.";  
    }  
    leaf measure-interval {  
      type uint32;  
      default 30;  
      description  
        "Interval in seconds to measure the extended metric  
          values.";  
    }  
    leaf advertisement-interval {  
      type uint32;  
      default 0;  
      description
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 51]

```
        "Interval in seconds to advertise the extended metric
        values.";
    }
leaf suppression-interval {
    type uint32 {
        range "1 .. max";
    }
    default 120;
    description
        "Interval in seconds to suppress advertising the extended
        metric values.";
}
container threshold-out {
    uses performance-metrics-thresholds;
    description
        "If the measured parameter falls outside an upper bound
        for all but the min delay metric (or lower bound for
        min-delay metric only) and the advertised value is not
        already outside that bound, anomalous announcement will be
        triggered.";
}
container threshold-in {
    uses performance-metrics-thresholds;
    description
        "If the measured parameter falls inside an upper bound
        for all but the min delay metric (or lower bound for
        min-delay metric only) and the advertised value is not
        already inside that bound, normal (anomalous-flag cleared)
        announcement will be triggered.";
}
container threshold-accelerated-advertisement {
    description
        "When the difference between the last advertised value and
        current measured value exceed this threshold, anomalous
        announcement will be triggered.";
    uses performance-metrics-thresholds;
}
}
}
} // performance-metrics-throttle-container

/***
 * TE tunnel generic groupings
 ***/
grouping explicit-route-hop {
    description
        "The explicit route entry grouping";
    choice type {
        description
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 52]

```
"The explicit route entry type";
case numbered-node-hop {
    container numbered-node-hop {
        leaf node-id {
            type te-node-id;
            mandatory true;
            description
                "The identifier of a node in the TE topology.";
        }
        leaf hop-type {
            type te-hop-type;
            default strict;
            description "strict or loose hop";
        }
        description "Numbered node route hop";
        reference
            "RFC3209: section 4.3 for EXPLICIT_ROUTE in RSVP-TE
            RFC3477: Signalling Unnumbered Links in RSVP-TE";
    }
}
case numbered-link-hop {
    container numbered-link-hop {
        leaf link-tp-id {
            type te-tp-id;
            mandatory true;
            description
                "TE link termination point identifier.";
        }
        leaf hop-type {
            type te-hop-type;
            default strict;
            description "strict or loose hop";
        }
        leaf direction {
            type te-link-direction;
            default outgoing;
            description "Link route object direction";
        }
        description
            "Numbered link explicit route hop";
        reference
            "RFC3209: section 4.3 for EXPLICIT_ROUTE in RSVP-TE
            RFC3477: Signalling Unnumbered Links in RSVP-TE";
    }
}
case unnumbered-link-hop {
    container unnumbered-link-hop {
        leaf link-tp-id {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 53]

```
    type te-tp-id;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "TE link termination point identifier. The combination
       of TE link ID and the TE node ID is used to identify an
       unnumbered TE link.";
  }
  leaf node-id {
    type te-node-id;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "The identifier of a node in the TE topology.";
  }
  leaf hop-type {
    type te-hop-type;
    default strict;
    description "strict or loose hop";
  }
  leaf direction {
    type te-link-direction;
    default outgoing;
    description "Link route object direction";
  }
  description
    "Unnumbered link explicit route hop";
  reference
    "RFC3209: section 4.3 for EXPLICIT_ROUTE in RSVP-TE
     RFC3477: Signalling Unnumbered Links in RSVP-TE";
}
}
case as-number {
  container as-number-hop {
    leaf as-number {
      type binary {
        length 2;
      }
      mandatory true;
      description "The AS number";
    }
    leaf hop-type {
      type te-hop-type;
      default strict;
      description "strict or loose hop";
    }
    description
      "Autonomous System explicit route hop";
  }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 54]

```
case label {
    container label-hop {
        description "Label hop type";
        uses te-label;
    }
    description
        "The label explicit route hop type";
}
}

grouping record-route_state {
    description
        "The record route grouping";
    leaf index {
        type uint32;
        description
            "Record route hop index. The index is used to
            identify an entry in the list. A lower index
            indicates the path traverses it earlier";
    }
    choice type {
        description
            "The record route entry type";
        case numbered-node-hop {
            container numbered-node-hop {
                description "Numbered node route hop container";
                leaf node-id {
                    type te-node-id;
                    mandatory true;
                    description
                        "The identifier of a node in the TE topology.";
                }
                leaf-list flags {
                    type path-attribute-flags;
                    description "Record route per hop flags";
                    reference "RFC3209 and others";
                }
            }
            description "Numbered node route hop";
        }
        case numbered-link-hop {
            container numbered-link-hop {
                description "Numbered link route hop container";
                leaf link-tp-id {
                    type te-tp-id;
                    mandatory true;
                    description
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 55]

```
        "Numbered TE link termination point identifier.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf-list flags {
```

```
    type path-attribute-flags;
```

```
    description "Record route per hop flags";
```

```
    reference "RFC3209 and others";
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
description "Numbered link route hop";
```

```
}
```

```
case unnumbered-link-hop {
```

```
    container unnumbered-link-hop {
```

```
        leaf link-tp-id {
```

```
            type te-tp-id;
```

```
            mandatory true;
```

```
            description
```

```
                "TE link termination point identifier. The combination
```

```
                of TE link ID and the TE node ID is used to identify an
```

```
                unnumbered TE link.";
```

```
}
```

```
        leaf node-id {
```

```
            type te-node-id;
```

```
            description
```

```
                "The identifier of a node in the TE topology.";
```

```
}
```

```
        leaf-list flags {
```

```
            type path-attribute-flags;
```

```
            description "Record route per hop flags";
```

```
            reference "RFC3209 and others";
```

```
}
```

```
        description
```

```
            "Unnumbered link record route hop";
```

```
        reference
```

```
            "RFC3477: Signalling Unnumbered Links in
```

```
            RSVP-TE";
```

```
}
```

```
        description "Unnumbered link route hop";
```

```
}
```

```
case label {
```

```
    container label-hop {
```

```
        description "Label route hop type";
```

```
        uses te-label;
```

```
        leaf-list flags {
```

```
            type path-attribute-flags;
```

```
            description "Record route per hop flags";
```

```
            reference "RFC3209 and others";
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 56]

```
description
  "The Label record route entry types";
}
}
}

grouping label-restriction-info {
  description "Label set item info";
  leaf restriction {
    type enumeration {
      enum inclusive {
        description "The label or label range is inclusive.";
      }
      enum exclusive {
        description "The label or label range is exclusive.";
      }
    }
    default inclusive;
    description
      "Whether the list item is inclusive or exclusive.";
  }
  leaf index {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Then index of the label restriction list entry.";
  }
  container label-start {
    must "not(..../label-end/te-label/direction) or "
    + "not(te-label/direction)"
    + "or ..../label-end/te-label/direction = te-label/direction" {
      error-message
        "label-start and label-end must have the same direction.";
    }
    description
      "This is the starting label if a label range is specified.
       This is the label value if a single label is specified,
       in which case, attribute 'label-end' is not set.";
    uses te-label;
  }
  container label-end {
    description
      "The ending label if a label range is specified;
       This attribute is not set, If a single label is
       specified.";
    uses te-label;
  }
  container label-step {
    description
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 57]

```
"The step increment between labels in the label range.  
The label start/end values will have to be consistent  
with the sign of label step. For example,  
label-start < label-end enforces label-step > 0  
label-start > label-end enforces label-step < 0";  
choice technology {  
    default generic;  
    description  
        "Data plane technology type.";  
    case generic {  
        leaf generic {  
            type int32;  
            default 1;  
            description "Label range step";  
        }  
    }  
}  
leaf range-bitmap {  
    type binary;  
    description  
        "When there are gaps between label-start and label-end,  
        this attribute is used to specify the positions  
        of the used labels. This is represented in big-endian and  
        in base64 representation as RFC7951, section 6.6 states";  
}  
}  
  
grouping label-set-info {  
    description  
        "Grouping for List of label restrictions specifying what labels  
        may or may not be used on a link connectivity.";  
    container label-restrictions {  
        description  
            "The label restrictions container";  
        list label-restriction {  
            key "index";  
            description  
                "The absence of label-set implies that all labels are  
                acceptable; otherwise only restricted labels are  
                available.";  
            reference  
                "RFC7579: General Network Element Constraint Encoding  
                for GMPLS-Controlled Networks";  
            uses label-restriction-info;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 58]

```
grouping optimizations_config {
    description "Optimization metrics configuration grouping";
    leaf metric-type {
        type identityref {
            base path-metric-type;
        }
        description
            "Identifies an entry in the list of metric-types to
             optimize the TE path for.";
    }
    leaf weight {
        type uint8;
        default 1;
        description "TE path metric normalization weight";
    }
    container explicit-route-exclude-objects {
        when "../metric-type = " +
            "'path-metric-optimize-excludes'";
        description
            "Container for the exclude route object list";
        uses path-route-exclude-objects;
    }
    container explicit-route-include-objects {
        when "../metric-type = " +
            "'path-metric-optimize-includes'";
        description
            "Container for the include route object list";
        uses path-route-include-objects;
    }
}

grouping common-constraints_config {
    description
        "Common constraints grouping that can be set on
         a constraint set or directly on the tunnel";

    uses te-bandwidth {
        description
            "A requested bandwidth to use for path computation";
    }

    leaf link-protection {
        type identityref {
            base link-protection-type;
        }
        default link-protection-unprotected;
        description
            "Link Protection Type desired for this link.";
    }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 59]

```
reference
  "RFC4202: Routing Extensions in Support of
  Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS).";
}

leaf setup-priority {
  type uint8 {
    range "0..7";
  }
  default 7;
  description
    "TE LSP requested setup priority";
  reference "RFC3209";
}
leaf hold-priority {
  type uint8 {
    range "0..7";
  }
  default 7;
  description
    "TE LSP requested hold priority";
  reference "RFC3209";
}
leaf signaling-type {
  type identityref {
    base path-signaling-type;
  }
  default path-setup-rsvp;
  description "TE tunnel path signaling type";
}
}

grouping tunnel-constraints_config {
  description
    "Tunnel constraints grouping that can be set on
     a constraint set or directly on the tunnel";
  uses te-topology-identifier;
  uses common-constraints_config;
}

grouping path-metrics-bounds_config {
  description "TE path metric bounds grouping";
}

grouping path-objective-function_config {
  description "Optimization metrics configuration grouping";
  leaf objective-function-type {
    type identityref {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 60]

```
    base objective-function-type;
}
description "Objective function entry";
}
}

grouping path-constraints-route-objects {
  description
    "List of route entries to be included or excluded when performing
     path computation.";
  container explicit-route-objects-always {
    description
      "Container for the exclude route object list";
    list route-object-exclude-always {
      key index;
      description
        "List of route objects to always exclude
         from path computation";
      leaf index {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Explicit route object index. The index is used to
           identify an entry in the list. A lower index indicates
           the path traverses it earlier";
      }
      uses explicit-route-hop;
    }
    list route-object-include-exclude {
      key index;
      description
        "List of route objects to include or exclude in path
         computation";
      leaf explicit-route-usage {
        type identityref {
          base route-usage-type;
        }
        description "Include or exclude usage";
      }
      leaf index {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Route object entry index. A lower index indicates
           path traverses the hop earlier than the higher index
           hop(s)";
      }
      uses explicit-route-hop {
        augment "type" {
          case srlg {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 61]

```
        container srlg {
            description "SRLG container";
            leaf srlg {
                type uint32;
                description "SRLG value";
            }
        }
        description "An SRLG value to be included or excluded";
    }
    description
        "Augmentation to generic explicit route for SRLG
         exclusion";
    }
}
}

grouping path-route-include-objects {
    description
        "List of route object(s) to be included when performing
         the path computation.";
    list route-object-include-object {
        key index;
        description
            "List of explicit route objects to be included
             in path computation";
        leaf index {
            type uint32;
            description
                "Route object entry index. A lower index indicates
                 path traverses the hop earlier than the higher index
                 hop(s)";
        }
        uses explicit-route-hop;
    }
}

grouping path-route-exclude-objects {
    description
        "List of route object(s) to be excluded when performing
         the path computation.";
    list route-object-exclude-object {
        key index;
        description
            "List of explicit route objects to be excluded
             in path computation";
        leaf index {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 62]

```
type uint32;
description
  "Route object entry index. The index in this case
   identifies the entry and holds no other meaning";
}

uses explicit-route-hop {
  augment "type" {
    case srlg {
      container srlg {
        description "SRLG container";
        leaf srlg {
          type uint32;
          description "SRLG value";
        }
      }
      description "An SRLG value to be included or excluded";
    }
    description
      "Augmentation to generic explicit route for SRLG exclusion";
  }
}
}

grouping generic-path-metric-bounds {
  description "TE path metric bounds grouping";
  container path-metric-bounds {
    description "TE path metric bounds container";
    list path-metric-bound {
      key metric-type;
      description "List of TE path metric bounds";
      leaf metric-type {
        type identityref {
          base path-metric-type;
        }
      }
      description
        "Identifies an entry in the list of metric-types
         bound for the TE path.";
    }
    leaf upper-bound {
      type uint64;
      default 0;
      description
        "Upper bound on end-to-end TE path metric. A zero indicate
         an unbounded upper limit for the specific metric-type";
    }
  }
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 63]

```
}
```

```
grouping generic-path-optimization {
    description "TE generic path optimization grouping";
```

```
    container optimizations {
        description
            "The objective function container that includes
             attributes to impose when computing a TE path";
```

```
        choice algorithm {
            description "Optimizations algorithm.";
            case metric {
                if-feature path-optimization-metric;
                /* Optimize by metric */
                list optimization-metric {
                    key "metric-type";
                    description "TE path metric type";
                    uses optimizations_config;
                }
                /* Tiebreakers */
                container tiebreakers {
                    description
                        "The list of tiebreaker criterion to apply
                         on an equally favored set of paths to pick best";
                    list tiebreaker {
                        key "tiebreaker-type";
                        description
                            "The list of tiebreaker criterion to apply
                             on an equally favored set of paths to pick best";
                        leaf tiebreaker-type {
                            type identityref {
                                base path-metric-type;
                            }
                            description
                                "Identifies an entry in the list of tiebreakers.";
                        }
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
case objective-function {
    if-feature path-optimization-objective-function;
    /* Objective functions */
    container objective-function {
        description
            "The objective function container that includes
             attributes to impose when computing a TE path";
        uses path-objective-function_config;
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 64]

```
        }
    }
}
}

grouping generic-path-affinities {
    description
        "Path affinities grouping";
    container path-affinities-values {
        description
            "Path affinities values representation";
        list path-affinities-value {
            key "usage";
            description
                "List of named affinity constraints";
            leaf usage {
                type identityref {
                    base resource-affinities-type;
                }
                description
                    "Identifies an entry in the list of value affinities
                     constraints";
            }
            leaf value {
                type admin-groups;
                default '';
                description "The affinity value. The default is empty.";
            }
        }
    }
    container path-affinity-names {
        description
            "Path affinities named representation style";
        list path-affinity-name {
            key "usage";
            description "List of named affinity constraints";
            leaf usage {
                type identityref {
                    base resource-affinities-type;
                }
                description
                    "Identifies an entry in the list of named affinities
                     constraints";
            }
            list affinity-name {
                key "name";
                leaf name {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 65]

```
        type string;
        description "Identify a named affinity entry.";
    }
    description "List of named affinities";
}
}
}

grouping generic-path-srlgs {
    description
        "Path SRLG grouping";
    container path-srlgs-lists {
        description
            "Path SRLG properties container";
        list path-srlgs-list {
            key "usage";
            description
                "List entries of value SRLGs to be included or excluded";
            leaf usage {
                type identityref {
                    base route-usage-type;
                }
                description
                    "Identifies an entry of list of SRLGs to either include
                     or exclude";
            }
            leaf-list values {
                type srlg;
                description "List of SRLG values";
            }
        }
    }
    container path-srlgs-names {
        description "Container for named SRLG list";
        list path-srlgs-name {
            key "usage";
            description
                "List entries of named SRLGs to be included or excluded";
            leaf usage {
                type identityref {
                    base route-usage-type;
                }
                description
                    "Identifies an entry of list of named SRLGs to either
                     include or exclude";
            }
            leaf-list names {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 66]

```
    type string;
    description "List named SRLGs";
}
}
}

grouping generic-path-disjointness {
  description "Path disjointness grouping";
  leaf disjointness {
    type te-path-disjointness;
    description
      "The type of resource disjointness.
      Under primary path, disjointness level applies to
      all secondary LSPs. Under secondary, disjointness
      level overrides the one under primary";
  }
}

grouping common-path-constraints-attributes {
  description
    "Common path constraints configuration grouping";
  uses common-constraints-config;
  uses generic-path-metric-bounds;
  uses generic-path-affinities;
  uses generic-path-srlgs;
}

grouping generic-path-constraints {
  description
    "Global named path constraints configuration
     grouping";
  container path-constraints {
    description "TE named path constraints container";
    uses common-path-constraints-attributes;
    uses generic-path-disjointness;
  }
}

grouping generic-path-properties {
  description "TE generic path properties grouping";
  container path-properties {
    config false;
    description "The TE path properties";
    list path-metric {
      key metric-type;
      description "TE path metric type";
      leaf metric-type {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 67]

```

type identityref {
    base path-metric-type;
}
description "TE path metric type";
}

leaf accumulative-value {
    type uint64;
    description "TE path metric accumulative value";
}

uses generic-path-affinities;
uses generic-path-srlgs;
container path-route-objects {
    description
        "Container for the list of route objects either returned by
         the computation engine or actually used by an LSP";
    list path-route-object {
        key index;
        description
            "List of route objects either returned by the computation
             engine or actually used by an LSP";
        leaf index {
            type uint32;
            description
                "Route object entry index. A lower index indicates
                 path traverses the hop earlier than the higher index
                 hop(s)";
        }
        uses explicit-route-hop;
    }
}
}

}

}

<CODE ENDS>
```

Figure 1: TE basic types YANG module

[5. IETF Packet TE Types YANG Module](#)

```

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-te-packet-types@2019-01-10.yang"
module ietf-te-packet-types {
    yang-version 1.1;
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-packet-types";

    /* Replace with IANA when assigned */
    prefix "te-packet-types";
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 68]

```
/* Import TE generic types */
import ietf-te-types {
    prefix te-types;
    reference
        "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Common Traffic Engineering
         Types";
}

organization
    "IETF TEAS Working Group";

contact
    "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas/>
     WG List: <mailto:teas@ietf.org>

    WG Chair: Lou Berger
                <mailto:lberger@labn.net>

    WG Chair: Vishnu Pavan Beeram
                <mailto:vbeeram@juniper.net>

    Editor: Tarek Saad
            <mailto:tsaad@cisco.com>

    Editor: Rakesh Gandhi
            <mailto:rgandhi@cisco.com>

    Editor: Vishnu Pavan Beeram
            <mailto:vbeeram@juniper.net>

    Editor: Himanshu Shah
            <mailto:hshah@ciena.com>

    Editor: Xufeng Liu
            <mailto:xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com>

    Editor: Igor Bryskin
            <mailto:Igor.Bryskin@huawei.com>

    Editor: Young Lee
            <mailto:leeyoung@huawei.com>";

description
    "This module contains a collection of generally useful MPLS TE
     specific YANG data type definitions. The model fully conforms
     to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA)."
```


identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in [Section 4.c](#) of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

```
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.

revision "2019-01-10" {
    description "Latest revision of TE MPLS types";
    reference
        "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Common Traffic Engineering
         Types";
}

/***
 * Typedefs
 */
typedef te-bandwidth-requested-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum specified {
            description
                "Bandwidth is explicitly specified";
        }
        enum auto {
            description
                "Bandwidth is automatically computed";
        }
    }
    description
        "enumerated type for specifying whether bandwidth is
         explicitly specified or automatically computed";
}

typedef te-class-type {
    type uint8;
    description
        "Diffserv-TE class-type that defines a set of Traffic
         Trunks crossing a link that is governed by a specific
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 70]

```
    set of bandwidth constraints. CT is used for the
    purposes of link bandwidth allocation, constraint-
    based routing and admission control.";
    reference "RFC4124: Protocols for Diffserv-aware TE";
}

typedef bc-type {
    type uint8 {
        range "0..7";
    }
    description
        "Diffserv-TE bandwidth constraint as defined in RFC4124";
    reference "RFC4124: Protocols for Diffserv-aware TE";
}

typedef bandwidth-kbps {
    type uint64;
    units "Kbps";
    description
        "Bandwidth values expressed in kilobits per second";
}

typedef bandwidth-mbps {
    type uint64;
    units "Mbps";
    description
        "Bandwidth values expressed in megabits per second";
}

typedef bandwidth-gbps {
    type uint64;
    units "Gbps";
    description
        "Bandwidth values expressed in gigabits per second";
}

identity backup-protection-type {
    description
        "Base identity for backup protection type";
}

identity backup-protection-link {
    base backup-protection-type;
    description
        "backup provides link protection only";
}

identity backup-protection-node-link {
```



```
base backup-protection-type;
description
  "backup offers node (preferred) or link protection";
}

identity bc-model-type {
  description
    "Base identity for Diffserv-TE bandwidth constraint
     model type";
  reference "RFC4124: Protocols for Diffserv-aware TE";
}

identity bc-model-rdm {
  base bc-model-type;
  description
    "Russian Doll bandwidth constraint model type.";
  reference "RFC4127: Russian Dolls Model for DS-TE";
}

identity bc-model-mam {
  base bc-model-type;
  description
    "Maximum Allocation bandwidth constraint
     model type.";
  reference "RFC4125: Maximum Allocation Model for DS-TE";
}

identity bc-model-mar {
  base bc-model-type;
  description
    "Maximum Allocation with Reservation
     bandwidth constraint model type.";
  reference "RFC4126: MAR Bandwidth Constraints Model for DS-TE";
}

grouping performance-metrics-attributes-packet {
  description
    "A container containing performance metric attributes.";
  uses te-types:performance-metrics-attributes {
    augment performance-metrics-one-way {
      leaf one-way-min-delay {
        type uint32 {
          range 0..16777215;
        }
        description
          "One-way minimum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
      }
      leaf one-way-min-delay-normality {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 72]

```
type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
default "normal";
description "One-way minimum delay or latency normality.";
}
leaf one-way-max-delay {
    type uint32 {
        range 0..16777215;
    }
    description
        "One-way maximum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
}
leaf one-way-max-delay-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    default "normal";
    description "One-way maximum delay or latency normality.";
}
leaf one-way-delay-variation {
    type uint32 {
        range 0..16777215;
    }
    description "One-way delay variation in micro seconds.";
}
leaf one-way-delay-variation-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    default "normal";
    description "One-way delay variation normality.";
}
leaf one-way-packet-loss {
    type decimal64 {
        fraction-digits 6;
        range "0 .. 50.331642";
    }
    description
        "One-way packet loss as a percentage of the total traffic
         sent over a configurable interval. The finest precision is
         0.000003%.";
}
leaf one-way-packet-loss-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    default "normal";
    description "Packet loss normality.";
}
description
    "PM one-way packet specific augmentation to generic PM
     grouping";
}
augment performance-metrics-two-way {
    leaf two-way-min-delay {
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 73]

```
type uint32 {
    range 0..16777215;
}
default 0;
description
    "Two-way minimum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
}

leaf two-way-min-delay-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    default "normal";
    description "Two-way minimum delay or latency normality.";
}

leaf two-way-max-delay {
    type uint32 {
        range 0..16777215;
    }
    default 0;
    description
        "Two-way maximum delay or latency in micro seconds.";
}

leaf two-way-max-delay-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    default "normal";
    description "Two-way maximum delay or latency normality.";
}

leaf two-way-delay-variation {
    type uint32 {
        range 0..16777215;
    }
    default 0;
    description "Two-way delay variation in micro seconds.";
}

leaf two-way-delay-variation-normality {
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;
    default "normal";
    description "Two-way delay variation normality.";
}

leaf two-way-packet-loss {
    type decimal64 {
        fraction-digits 6;
        range "0 .. 50.331642";
    }
    default 0;
    description
        "Two-way packet loss as a percentage of the total traffic
         sent over a configurable interval. The finest precision is
         0.000003%.";
}
```

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 74]

```
leaf two-way-packet-loss-normality {  
    type te-types:performance-metrics-normality;  
    default "normal";  
    description "Two-way packet loss normality.";  
}  
description  
    "PM two-way packet specific augmentation to generic PM  
    grouping";  
}  
}  
}  
}  
<CODE ENDS>
```

Figure 2: TE packet types YANG module

[6. IANA Considerations](#)

This document registers the following URIs in the IETF XML registry [[RFC3688](#)]. Following the format in [[RFC3688](#)], the following registration is requested to be made.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-types XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-packet-types XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [[RFC6020](#)].

name: ietf-te-types namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-types prefix: ietf-te-types reference: [RFC3209](#)

name: ietf-te-packet-types namespace:
urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-te-packet-types prefix: ietf-te-packet-types reference: [RFC3209](#)

[7. Security Considerations](#)

This document defines common TE type definitions (i.e., `typedef`, `identity` and `grouping` statements) using the YANG data modeling language. The definitions themselves have no security or privacy impact on the Internet, but the usage of these definitions in concrete YANG modules might have. The security considerations spelled out in the YANG 1.1 specification [[RFC7950](#)] apply for this document as well.

Saad, et al.

Expires July 14, 2019

[Page 75]

8. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the members of the multi-vendor YANG design team who are involved in the definition of these data types.

The authors would also like to thank Loa Andersson, Lou Berger, Sergio Belotti, Italo Busi, Carlo Perocchio, Francesco Lazzeri, Aihua Guo, Dhruv Dhody, Anurag Sharma, and Xian Zhang for their comments and providing valuable feedback on this document.

9. Contributors

Himanshu Shah
Ciena

Email: hshah@ciena.com

Young Lee
Huawei Technologies

Email: leeyoung@huawei.com

10. References

10.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-rsvp]

Beeram, V., Saad, T., Gandhi, R., Liu, X., Bryskin, I., and H. Shah, "A YANG Data Model for Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP)", [draft-ietf-teas-yang-rsvp-09](#) (work in progress), May 2018.

[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te]

Saad, T., Gandhi, R., Liu, X., Beeram, V., Shah, H., and I. Bryskin, "A YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Tunnels and Interfaces", [draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-17](#) (work in progress), October 2018.

[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te-topo]

Liu, X., Bryskin, I., Beeram, V., Saad, T., Shah, H., and O. Dios, "YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering (TE) Topologies", [draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-topo-18](#) (work in progress), June 2018.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3209] Awduch, D., Berger, L., Gan, D., Li, T., Srinivasan, V., and G. Swallow, "RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels", [RFC 3209](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3209, December 2001, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3209>>.
- [RFC3471] Berger, L., Ed., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Functional Description", [RFC 3471](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3471, January 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3471>>.
- [RFC3477] Kompella, K. and Y. Rekhter, "Signalling Unnumbered Links in Resource ReSerVation Protocol - Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE)", [RFC 3477](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3477, January 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3477>>.
- [RFC3630] Katz, D., Kompella, K., and D. Yeung, "Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions to OSPF Version 2", [RFC 3630](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3630, September 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3630>>.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.
- [RFC3785] Le Faucheur, F., Uppili, R., Vedrenne, A., Merckx, P., and T. Telkamp, "Use of Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP) Metric as a second MPLS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric", [BCP 87](#), [RFC 3785](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3785, May 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3785>>.
- [RFC4090] Pan, P., Ed., Swallow, G., Ed., and A. Atlas, Ed., "Fast Reroute Extensions to RSVP-TE for LSP Tunnels", [RFC 4090](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4090, May 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4090>>.
- [RFC4124] Le Faucheur, F., Ed., "Protocol Extensions for Support of Diffserv-aware MPLS Traffic Engineering", [RFC 4124](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4124, June 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4124>>.

- [RFC4203] Kompella, K., Ed. and Y. Rekhter, Ed., "OSPF Extensions in Support of Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS)", [RFC 4203](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4203, October 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4203>>.
- [RFC4872] Lang, J., Ed., Rekhter, Y., Ed., and D. Papadimitriou, Ed., "RSVP-TE Extensions in Support of End-to-End Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Recovery", [RFC 4872](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4872, May 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4872>>.
- [RFC4873] Berger, L., Bryskin, I., Papadimitriou, D., and A. Farrel, "GMPLS Segment Recovery", [RFC 4873](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4873, May 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4873>>.
- [RFC4875] Aggarwal, R., Ed., Papadimitriou, D., Ed., and S. Yasukawa, Ed., "Extensions to Resource Reservation Protocol - Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) for Point-to-Multipoint TE Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", [RFC 4875](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4875, May 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4875>>.
- [RFC4920] Farrel, A., Ed., Satyanarayana, A., Iwata, A., Fujita, N., and G. Ash, "Crankback Signaling Extensions for MPLS and GMPLS RSVP-TE", [RFC 4920](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4920, July 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4920>>.
- [RFC5003] Metz, C., Martini, L., Balus, F., and J. Sugimoto, "Attachment Individual Identifier (AII) Types for Aggregation", [RFC 5003](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5003, September 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5003>>.
- [RFC5150] Ayyangar, A., Kompella, K., Vasseur, JP., and A. Farrel, "Label Switched Path Stitching with Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching Traffic Engineering (GMPLS TE)", [RFC 5150](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5150, February 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5150>>.
- [RFC5151] Farrel, A., Ed., Ayyangar, A., and JP. Vasseur, "Inter-Domain MPLS and GMPLS Traffic Engineering -- Resource Reservation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions", [RFC 5151](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5151, February 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5151>>.
- [RFC5305] Li, T. and H. Smit, "IS-IS Extensions for Traffic Engineering", [RFC 5305](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5305, October 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5305>>.

- [RFC5307] Kompella, K., Ed. and Y. Rekhter, Ed., "IS-IS Extensions in Support of Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS)", [RFC 5307](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5307, October 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5307>>.
- [RFC5329] Ishiguro, K., Manral, V., Davey, A., and A. Lindem, Ed., "Traffic Engineering Extensions to OSPF Version 3", [RFC 5329](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5329, September 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5329>>.
- [RFC5420] Farrel, A., Ed., Papadimitriou, D., Vasseur, JP., and A. Ayyangarps, "Encoding of Attributes for MPLS LSP Establishment Using Resource Reservation Protocol Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE)", [RFC 5420](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5420, February 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5420>>.
- [RFC5541] Le Roux, JL., Vasseur, JP., and Y. Lee, "Encoding of Objective Functions in the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)", [RFC 5541](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5541, June 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5541>>.
- [RFC5712] Meyer, M., Ed. and JP. Vasseur, Ed., "MPLS Traffic Engineering Soft Preemption", [RFC 5712](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5712, January 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5712>>.
- [RFC6001] Papadimitriou, D., Vigoureux, M., Shiomoto, K., Brungard, D., and JL. Le Roux, "Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) Protocol Extensions for Multi-Layer and Multi-Region Networks (MLN/MRN)", [RFC 6001](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6001, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6001>>.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6020](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.
- [RFC6119] Harrison, J., Berger, J., and M. Bartlett, "IPv6 Traffic Engineering in IS-IS", [RFC 6119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6119, February 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6119>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6241](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.

- [RFC6370] Bocci, M., Swallow, G., and E. Gray, "MPLS Transport Profile (MPLS-TP) Identifiers", [RFC 6370](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6370, September 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6370>>.
- [RFC6378] Weingarten, Y., Ed., Bryant, S., Osborne, E., Sprecher, N., and A. Fulignoli, Ed., "MPLS Transport Profile (MPLS-TP) Linear Protection", [RFC 6378](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6378, October 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6378>>.
- [RFC6780] Berger, L., Le Faucheur, F., and A. Narayanan, "RSVP ASSOCIATION Object Extensions", [RFC 6780](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6780, October 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6780>>.
- [RFC6790] Komella, K., Drake, J., Amante, S., Henderickx, W., and L. Yong, "The Use of Entropy Labels in MPLS Forwarding", [RFC 6790](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6790, November 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6790>>.
- [RFC6991] Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., "Common YANG Data Types", [RFC 6991](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6991, July 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6991>>.
- [RFC7260] Takacs, A., Fedyk, D., and J. He, "GMPLS RSVP-TE Extensions for Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Configuration", [RFC 7260](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7260, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7260>>.
- [RFC7471] Giacalone, S., Ward, D., Drake, J., Atlas, A., and S. Previdi, "OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions", [RFC 7471](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7471, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7471>>.
- [RFC7570] Margaria, C., Ed., Martinelli, G., Balls, S., and B. Wright, "Label Switched Path (LSP) Attribute in the Explicit Route Object (ERO)", [RFC 7570](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7570, July 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7570>>.
- [RFC7810] Previdi, S., Ed., Giacalone, S., Ward, D., Drake, J., and Q. Wu, "IS-IS Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions", [RFC 7810](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7810, May 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7810>>.
- [RFC7950] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language", [RFC 7950](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.

- [RFC8001] Zhang, F., Ed., Gonzalez de Dios, O., Ed., Margaria, C., Hartley, M., and Z. Ali, "RSVP-TE Extensions for Collecting Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG) Information", [RFC 8001](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8001, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8001>>.
- [RFC8149] Saad, T., Ed., Gandhi, R., Ed., Ali, Z., Venator, R., and Y. Kamite, "RSVP Extensions for Reoptimization of Loosely Routed Point-to-Multipoint Traffic Engineering Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", [RFC 8149](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8149, April 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8149>>.
- [RFC8169] Mirsky, G., Ruffini, S., Gray, E., Drake, J., Bryant, S., and A. Vainshtein, "Residence Time Measurement in MPLS Networks", [RFC 8169](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8169, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8169>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8294] Liu, X., Qu, Y., Lindem, A., Hopps, C., and L. Berger, "Common YANG Data Types for the Routing Area", [RFC 8294](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8294, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8294>>.
- [RFC8345] Clemm, A., Medved, J., Varga, R., Bahadur, N., Ananthakrishnan, H., and X. Liu, "A YANG Data Model for Network Topologies", [RFC 8345](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8345, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8345>>.

[10.2. Informative References](#)

- [RFC2702] Awdueh, D., Malcolm, J., Agogbua, J., O'Dell, M., and J. McManus, "Requirements for Traffic Engineering Over MPLS", [RFC 2702](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2702, September 1999, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2702>>.
- [RFC4125] Le Faucheur, F. and W. Lai, "Maximum Allocation Bandwidth Constraints Model for Diffserv-aware MPLS Traffic Engineering", [RFC 4125](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4125, June 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4125>>.
- [RFC4126] Ash, J., "Max Allocation with Reservation Bandwidth Constraints Model for Diffserv-aware MPLS Traffic Engineering & Performance Comparisons", [RFC 4126](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4126, June 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4126>>.

- [RFC4127] Le Faucheur, F., Ed., "Russian Dolls Bandwidth Constraints Model for Diffserv-aware MPLS Traffic Engineering", [RFC 4127](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4127, June 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4127>>.
- [RFC4427] Mannie, E., Ed. and D. Papadimitriou, Ed., "Recovery (Protection and Restoration) Terminology for Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS)", [RFC 4427](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4427, March 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4427>>.
- [RFC4736] Vasseur, JP., Ed., Ikejiri, Y., and R. Zhang, "Reoptimization of Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Traffic Engineering (TE) Loosely Routed Label Switched Path (LSP)", [RFC 4736](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4736, November 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4736>>.
- [RFC7823] Atlas, A., Drake, J., Giacalone, S., and S. Previdi, "Performance-Based Path Selection for Explicitly Routed Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Using TE Metric Extensions", [RFC 7823](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7823, May 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7823>>.

Authors' Addresses

Tarek Saad
Cisco Systems Inc

Email: tsaad@cisco.com

Rakesh Gandhi
Cisco Systems Inc

Email: rgandhi@cisco.com

Xufeng Liu
Volta Networks

Email: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com

Vishnu Pavan Beeram
Juniper Networks

Email: vbeeram@juniper.net

Igor Bryskin
Huawei Technologies

Email: Igor.Bryskin@huawei.com