

INTERNET-DRAFT

Enterprise Profile for PTP

December 2017

TICTOC Working Group

Internet Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: June 12, 2018

Doug Arnold

Meinberg-USA

Heiko Gerstung

Meinberg

December 12, 2017

Enterprise Profile for the Precision Time Protocol
With Mixed Multicast and Unicast Messages

[draft-ietf-tictoc-ntp-enterprise-profile-09.txt](#)

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#). This document may not be modified, and derivative works of it may not be created, except to publish it as an RFC and to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 12, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with

respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Abstract

This document describes a profile for the use of the Precision Time Protocol in an IPV4 or IPv6 Enterprise information system environment. The profile uses the End to End Delay Measurement Mechanism, allows both multicast and unicast Delay Request and Delay Response Messages.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Conventions used in this document	3
3.	Technical Terms	3
4.	Problem Statement	5
5.	Network Technology	6
6.	Time Transfer and Delay Measurement	7
7.	Default Message Rates	8
8.	Requirements for Master Clocks	8
9.	Requirements for Slave Clocks	8
10.	Requirements for Transparent Clocks	9
11.	Requirements for Boundary Clocks	9
12.	Management and Signaling Messages	9
13.	Forbidden PTP Options	9
14.	Interoperation with Other PTP Profiles	10
15.	Profile Identification	10
16.	Security Considerations	10
17.	IANA Considerations	10
18.	References	11
	18.1. Normative References	11
	18.2. Informative References	11
19.	Acknowledgments	11
20.	Authors addresses	12

[1.](#) Introduction

The Precision Time Protocol ("PTP"), standardized in IEEE 1588, has been designed in its first version (IEEE 1588-2002) with the goal to minimize configuration on the participating nodes. Network

communication was based solely on multicast messages, which unlike NTP did not require that a receiving node ("slave clock") in [[IEEE1588](#)] needs to know the identity of the time sources in the network (the Master Clocks).

The so-called "Best Master Clock Algorithm" ([[IEEE1588](#)] Clause 9.3), a mechanism that all participating PTP nodes must follow, set up strict rules for all members of a PTP domain to determine which node shall be the active sending time source (Master Clock). Although the multicast communication model has advantages in smaller networks, it complicated the application of PTP in larger networks, for example in environments like IP based telecommunication networks or financial data centers. It is considered inefficient that, even if the content of a message applies only to one receiver, it is forwarded by the underlying

network (IP) to all nodes, requiring them to spend network bandwidth and other resources like CPU cycles to drop the message.

The second revision of the standard (IEEE 1588-2008) is the current version (also known as PTPv2) and introduced the possibility to use unicast communication between the PTP nodes in order to overcome the limitation of using multicast messages for the bi-directional information exchange between PTP nodes. The unicast approach avoided that, in PTP domains with a lot of nodes, devices had to throw away more than 99% of the received multicast messages because they carried information for some other node. PTPv2 also introduced so-called "PTP profiles" ([[IEEE1588](#)] Clause 19.3). This construct allows organizations to specify selections of attribute values and optional features, simplifying the configuration of PTP nodes for a specific application. Instead of having to go through all possible parameters and configuration options and individually set them up, selecting a profile on a PTP node will set all the parameters that are specified in the profile to a defined value. If a PTP profile definition allows multiple values for a parameter, selection of the profile will set the profile-specific default value for this parameter. Parameters not allowing multiple values are set to the value defined in the PTP profile. Many PTP features and functions are optional, and a profile should also define which optional features of PTP are required, permitted, or prohibited. It is possible to extend the PTP standard with a PTP profile by using the TLV mechanism of PTP (see [[IEEE1588](#)] Clause 13.4), defining an optional Best Master Clock Algorithm and a few other ways. PTP has its own management protocol (defined in [[IEEE1588](#)] Clause 15.2) but allows a PTP

profile specify an alternative management mechanism, for example SNMP.

2. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

In this document, these words will appear with that interpretation only when in ALL CAPS. Lower case uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying [RFC-2119](#) significance.

3. Technical Terms

Acceptable Master Table: A PTP Slave Clock may maintain a list of masters which it is willing to synchronize to.

Alternate Master: A PTP Master Clock, which is not the Best Master, may act as a master with the Alternate Master flag set on the messages it sends.

Announce message: Contains the master clock properties of a Master clock. Used to determine the Best Master.

Best Master: A clock with a port in the master state, operating consistently with the Best Master Clock Algorithm.

Best Master Clock Algorithm: A method for determining which state a port of a PTP clock should be in. The algorithm works by identifying which of several PTP Master capable clocks is the best master. Clocks have priority to become the acting Grandmaster, based on the properties each Master Clock sends in its Announce Message.

Boundary Clock: A device with more than one PTP port. Generally boundary clocks will have one port in slave state to receive timing and then other ports in master state to re-distribute the timing.

Clock Identity: In IEEE 1588-2008 this is a 64-bit number assigned to each PTP clock which must be unique. Often the

Ethernet MAC address is used since there is already an international infrastructure for assigning unique numbers to each device manufactured.

Domain: Every PTP message contains a domain number. Domains are treated as separate PTP systems in the network. Slaves, however, can combine the timing information derived from multiple domains.

End to End Delay Measurement Mechanism: A network delay measurement mechanism in PTP facilitated by an exchange of messages between a Master Clock and Slave Clock.

Grandmaster: the primary master clock within a domain of a PTP system

IEEE 1588: The timing and synchronization standard which defines PTP, and describes the node, system, and communication properties necessary to support PTP.

Master clock: a clock with at least one port in the master state.

NTP: Network Time Protocol, defined by [RFC 5905](#), see [\[NTP\]](#).

Ordinary Clock: A clock that has a single Precision Time Protocol (PTP) port in a domain and maintains the timescale used in the domain. It may serve as a master clock, or be a slave clock.

Peer to Peer Delay Measurement Mechanism: A network delay measurement mechanism in PTP facilitated by an exchange of messages between adjacent devices in a network.

Preferred Master: A device intended to act primarily as the Grandmaster of a PTP system, or as a back up to a Grandmaster.

PTP: The Precision Time Protocol, the timing and synchronization protocol define by IEEE 1588.

PTP port: An interface of a PTP clock with the network. Note that there may be multiple PTP ports running on one physical interface, for example, a unicast slave which talks to several Grandmaster clocks in parallel.

PTPv2: Refers specifically to the second version of PTP defined by IEEE 1588-2008.

Rogue Master: A clock with a port in the master state, even though it should not be in the master state according to the Best Master Clock Algorithm, and does not set the alternate master flag.

Slave clock: a clock with at least one port in the slave state, and no ports in the master state.

Slave Only Clock: An Ordinary clock which cannot become a Master clock.

TLV: Type Length Value, a mechanism for extending messages in networked communications.

Transparent Clock. A device that measures the time taken for a PTP event message to transit the device and then updates the message with a correction for this transit time.

Unicast Discovery: A mechanism for PTP slaves to establish a unicast communication with PTP masters using a configured table of master IP addresses and Unicast Message Negotiation.

Unicast Negotiation: A mechanism in PTP for Slave Clocks to negotiate unicast Sync, announce and Delay Request Message Rates from a Master Clock.

4. Problem Statement

This document describes a version of PTP intended to work in large enterprise networks. Such networks are deployed, for example, in financial corporations. It is becoming increasingly common in such networks to perform distributed time tagged measurements, such as one-way packet latencies and cumulative delays on software systems spread across multiple computers. Furthermore, there is often a desire to check the age of information time tagged by a different machine. To perform these measurements, it is necessary to deliver a common precise time to multiple devices on a network. Accuracy currently required in the Financial Industry range from 100 microseconds to 500 nanoseconds to the Grandmaster. This profile does not specify timing performance requirements, but such requirements explain why the needs cannot always be met by NTP, as commonly implemented. Such accuracy cannot usually be achieved with a traditional time transfer such as NTP, without adding

path support. These features are currently part of PTP, or are allowed by it. Because PTP has a complex range of features and options it is necessary to create a profile for enterprise networks to achieve interoperability between equipment manufactured by different vendors.

Although enterprise networks can be large, it is becoming increasingly common to deploy multicast protocols, even across multiple subnets. For this reason, it is desired to make use of multicast whenever the information going to many destinations is the same. It is also advantageous to send information which is unique to one device as a unicast message. The latter can be essential as the number of PTP slaves becomes hundreds or thousands.

PTP devices operating in these networks need to be robust. This includes the ability to ignore PTP messages which can be identified as improper, and to have redundant sources of time.

5. Network Technology

This PTP profile SHALL operate only in networks characterized by UDP [[RFC768](#)] over either IPv4 [[RFC791](#)] or IPv6 [[RFC2460](#)], as described by Annexes D and E in [[IEEE1588](#)] respectively. If a network contains both IPv4 and IPv6, then they SHALL be treated as separate communication paths. Clocks which communicate using IPv4 can interact with clocks using IPv6 if there is an intermediary device which simultaneously communicates with both IP versions. A boundary clock might perform this function, for example. A PTP domain SHALL use either IPv4 or IPv6 over a communication path, but not both. The PTP system MAY include switches and routers. These devices MAY be transparent clocks, boundary clocks, or neither, in any combination. PTP Clocks MAY be Preferred Masters, Ordinary Clocks, or Boundary Clocks. The ordinary clocks may be Slave Only Clocks, or be master capable.

Note that clocks SHOULD always be identified by their clock ID and not the IP or Layer 2 address. This is important in IPv6 networks since Transparent clocks are required to change the source address of any packet which they alter. In IPv4 networks some clocks might be hidden behind a NAT, which hides their IP addresses from the rest of the network. Note also that the use of NATs may place limitations on the topology of PTP networks, depending on the port forwarding scheme employed. Details of implementing PTP with NATs are out of scope of this document.

PTP, like NTP, assumes that the one-way network delay for Sync Messages and Delay Response Messages are the same. When this is not true it can cause errors in the transfer of time from the Master to the Slave. It is up to the system integrator to design

the network so that such effects do not prevent the PTP system from meeting the timing requirements. The details of

network asymmetry are outside the scope of this document. See for example, [[G8271](#)].

[6.](#) Time Transfer and Delay Measurement

Master clocks, Transparent clocks and Boundary clocks MAY be either one-step clocks or two-step clocks. Slave clocks MUST support both behaviors. The End to End Delay Measurement Method MUST be used.

Note that, in IP networks, Sync messages and Delay Request messages exchanged between a master and slave do not necessarily traverse the same physical path. Thus, wherever possible, the network SHOULD be traffic engineered so that the forward and reverse routes traverse the same physical path. Traffic engineering techniques for path consistency are out of scope of this document.

Sync messages MUST be sent as PTP event multicast messages (UDP port 319) to the PTP primary IP address. Two step clocks SHALL send Follow-up messages as PTP general messages (UDP port 320). Announce messages MUST be sent as multicast messages (UDP port 320) to the PTP primary address. The PTP primary IP address is 224.0.1.129 for IPv4 and FF0X:0:0:0:0:0:0:181 for Ipv6, where X can be a value between 0x0 and 0xF, see [[IEEE1588](#)] Annex E, Section E.3.

Delay Request Messages MAY be sent as either multicast or unicast PTP event messages. Master clocks SHALL respond to multicast Delay Request messages with multicast Delay Response PTP general messages. Master clocks SHALL respond to unicast Delay Request PTP event messages with unicast Delay Response PTP general messages. This allow for the use of Ordinary clocks which do not support the Enterprise Profile, if they are slave Only Clocks.

Clocks SHOULD include support for multiple domains. The purpose is to support multiple simultaneous masters for redundancy. Leaf devices (non-forwarding devices) can use timing information from multiple masters by combining information from multiple instantiations of a PTP stack, each operating in a different domain. Redundant sources of timing can be ensembled, and/or

compared to check for faulty master clocks. The use of multiple simultaneous masters will help mitigate faulty masters reporting as healthy, network delay asymmetry, and security problems. Security problems include man-in-the-middle attacks such as delay attacks, packet interception / manipulation attacks. Assuming the path to each master is different, failures malicious or otherwise would have to happen at more than one path simultaneously. Whenever feasible, the underlying network transport technology SHOULD be configured so that timing messages in different domains traverse different network paths.

7. Default Message Rates

The Sync, Announce and Delay Request default message rates SHALL each be once per second. The Sync and Delay Request message rates MAY be set to other values, but not less than once every 128 seconds, and not more than 128 messages per second. The Announce message rate SHALL NOT be changed from the default value. The Announce Receipt Timeout Interval SHALL be three Announce Intervals for Preferred Masters, and four Announce Intervals for all other masters.

Unicast Discovery and Unicast Message Negotiation options SHALL NOT be utilized.

8. Requirements for Master Clocks

Master clocks SHALL obey the standard Best Master Clock Algorithm from [[IEEE1588](#)]. PTP systems using this profile MAY support multiple simultaneous Grandmasters if each active Grandmaster is operating in a different PTP domain.

A port of a clock SHALL NOT be in the master state unless the clock has a current value for the number of UTC leap seconds.

If a unicast negotiation signaling message is received it SHALL be ignored.

9. Requirements for Slave Clocks

Slave clocks MUST be able to operate properly in a network which contains multiple Masters in multiple domains. Slaves SHOULD make

use of information from the all Masters in their clock control subsystems. Slave Clocks MUST be able to operate properly in the presence of a Rogue Master. Slaves SHOULD NOT Synchronize to a Master which is not the Best Master in its domain. Slaves will continue to recognize a Best Master for the duration of the Announce Time Out Interval. Slaves MAY use an Acceptable Master Table. If a Master is not an Acceptable Master, then the Slave MUST NOT synchronize to it. Note that IEEE 1588-2008 requires slave clocks to support both two-step or one-step Master clocks. See [[IEEE1588](#)], section 11.2.

Since Announce messages are sent as multicast messages slaves can obtain the IP addresses of master from the Announce messages. Note that the IP source addresses of Sync and Follow-up messages may have been replaced by the source addresses of a transparent clock, so, slaves MUST send Delay Request messages to the IP address in the Announce message. Sync and Follow-up messages can be correlated with the Announce message using the clock ID, which is never altered by Transparent clocks in this profile.

[10.](#) Requirements for Transparent Clocks

Transparent clocks SHALL NOT change the transmission mode of an Enterprise Profile PTP message. For example, a Transparent clock SHALL NOT change a unicast message to a multicast message. Transparent Clocks SHOULD support multiple domains. Transparent Clocks which syntonize to the master clock will need to maintain separate clock rate offsets for each of the supported domains.

[11.](#) Requirements for Boundary Clocks

Boundary Clocks SHOULD support multiple simultaneous PTP domains. This will require them to maintain servo loops for each of the domains supported, at least in software. Boundary clocks MUST NOT combine timing information from different domains.

[12.](#) Management and Signaling Messages

PTP Management messages MAY be used. Management messages intended for a specific clock, i.e. the [[IEEE1588](#)] defined attribute `targetPortIdentity.clockIdentity` is not set to All 1's, MUST be sent as a unicast message. Similarly, if any signaling

messages are used they MUST also be sent as unicast messages whenever the message is intended for a specific clock.

13. Forbidden PTP Options

Clocks operating in the Enterprise Profile SHALL NOT use peer to peer timing for delay measurement. Grandmaster Clusters are NOT ALLOWED. The Alternate Master option is also forbidden. Clocks operating in the Enterprise Profile SHALL NOT use Alternate Timescales.

14. Interoperation with IEEE 1588 Default Profile

Clocks operating in the Enterprise Profile will interoperate with clocks operating in the Default Profile described in [[IEEE1588](#)] Annex J.3. This variant of the Default Profile uses the End to End Delay Measurement Mechanism. In addition, the Default Profile would have to operate over IPv4 or IPv6 networks, and use management messages in unicast when those messages are directed at a specific clock. If either of these requirements are not met than Enterprise Profile clocks will not interoperate with Annex J.3 Default Profile Clocks. The Enterprise Profile will not interoperate with the Annex J.4 variant of the Default Profile which requires use of the Peer to Peer Delay Measurement Mechanism.

Enterprise Profile Clocks will interoperate with clocks operating in other profiles if the clocks in the other profiles obey the rules of the Enterprise Profile. These rules MUST NOT be changed to achieve interoperability with other profiles.

15. Profile Identification

The IEEE 1588 standard requires that all profiles provide the following identifying information.

PTP Profile:
Enterprise Profile
Version: 1.0
Profile identifier: 00-00-5E-00-01-00

This profile was specified by the IETF

A copy may be obtained at
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/tictoc/documents>

16. Security Considerations

Protocols used to transfer time, such as PTP and NTP can be important to security mechanisms which use time windows for keys and authorization. Passing time through the networks poses a security risk since time can potentially be manipulated. The use of multiple simultaneous masters, using multiple PTP domains can mitigate problems from rogue masters and man-in-the-middle attacks. See sections [9](#) and [10](#). Additional security mechanisms are outside the scope of this document.

17. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA requirements in this specification.

18. References

18.1. Normative References

- [IEEE1588] IEEE std. 1588-2008, "IEEE Standard for a Precision Clock Synchronization for Networked Measurement and Control Systems." July, 2008.
- [[RFC768](#)] Postel, J., "User Datagram Protocol," [RFC 768](#), August, 1980.
- [RFC791] "Internet Protocol DARPA Internet Program Protocol Specification," [RFC 791](#), September, 1981.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2460] Deering, S., Hinden, R., "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification," [RFC 2460](#), December, 1998.

18.2. Informative References

- [G8271] ITU-T G.8271/Y.1366, "Time and Phase Synchronization Aspects of Packet Networks" February, 2012.

[NTP] Mills, D., Martin, J., Burbank, J., Kasch, W.,
"Network Time Protocol Version 4: Protocol and
Algorithms Specification," [RFC 5905](#), June 2010.

[19.](#) Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank members of IETF for reviewing and providing feedback on this draft.

This document was initially prepared using
2-Word-v2.0.template.dot.

Arnold and Gerstung

December 12, 2017

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

Enterprise Profile for PTP

December 2017

[20.](#) Authors' Addresses

Doug Arnold
Meinberg USA
929 Salem End Road
Framingham, MA 01702
USA

Email: doug.arnold@meinberg-usa.com

Heiko Gerstung
Meinberg Funkuhren GmbH & Co. KG
Lange Wand 9
D-31812 Bad Pyrmont
Germany

Email: Heiko.gerstung@meinberg.de

Arnold and Gerstung

December 12, 2017

[Page 12]