

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 23, 2008

E. Rescorla
Network Resonance
February 20, 2008

Keying Material Extractors for Transport Layer Security (TLS)
draft-ietf-tls-extractor-01.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 23, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

Abstract

A number of protocols wish to leverage Transport Layer Security (TLS) to perform key establishment but then use some of the keying material for their own purposes. This document describes a general mechanism for allowing that.

Internet-Draft

TLS Extractors

February 2008

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) [3](#)
- [2. Conventions Used In This Document](#) [3](#)
- [3. Binding to Application Contexts](#) [3](#)
- [4. Extractor Definition](#) [4](#)
- [5. Security Considerations](#) [5](#)
- [6. IANA Considerations](#) [5](#)
- [7. Acknowledgments](#) [6](#)
- [8. References](#) [6](#)
 - [8.1. Normative References](#) [6](#)
 - [8.2. Informational References](#) [6](#)
- [Author's Address](#) [6](#)
- [Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements](#) [7](#)

1. Introduction

A number of protocols wish to leverage Transport Layer Security (TLS) [[RFC4346](#)] or Datagram TLS (DTLS) [[RFC4347](#)] to perform key establishment but then use some of the keying material for their own purposes. A typical example is DTLS-SRTP [[I-D.ietf-avt-dtls-srtp](#)], which uses DTLS to perform a key exchange and negotiate the SRTP [[RFC3711](#)] protection suite and then uses the DTLS master_secret to generate the SRTP keys.

These applications imply a need to be able to extract keying material (later called Exported Keying Material or EKM) from TLS/DTLS, and securely agree on the upper-layer context where the keying material will be used. The mechanism for extracting the keying material has the following requirements:

- o Both client and server need to be able to extract the same EKM value.
- o EKM values should be indistinguishable from random by attackers who don't know the master_secret.
- o It should be possible to extract multiple EKM values from the same TLS/DTLS association.
- o Knowing one EKM value should not reveal any information about the master_secret or about other EKM values.

The mechanism described in this document is intended to fill these requirements.

2. Conventions Used In This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

3. Binding to Application Contexts

In addition to extracting keying material, an application using the keying material has to securely establish the upper-layer layer context where the keying material will be used. The details of this context depend on the application, but it could include things such as algorithms and parameters that will be used with the keys, identifier(s) for the endpoint(s) who will use the keys, identifier(s) for the session(s) where the keys will be used, and the lifetime(s) for the context and/or keys. At minimum, there should be some mechanism for signalling that an extractor will be used.

This specification does not mandate a single mechanism for agreeing on such context; instead, there are several possibilities that can be used (and can complement each other). For example:

- o One important part of the context -- which application will use the extracted keys -- is given by the disambiguating label string (see [Section 4](#)).
- o Information about the upper-layer context can be included in the optional data after the extractor label (see [Section 4](#)).
- o Information about the upper-layer context can be exchanged in TLS extensions included in the ClientHello and ServerHello messages. This approach is used in [DTLS-SRTP]. The handshake messages are protected by the Finished messages, so once the handshake completes, the peers will have the same view of the information. Extensions also allow a limited form of negotiation: for example, the TLS client could propose several alternatives for some context parameters, and TLS server could select one of them.
- o The upper-layer protocol can include its own handshake which can be protected using the keys extracted from TLS.

It is important to note that just embedding TLS messages in the upper-layer protocol may not automatically secure all the important context information, since the upper-layer messages are not covered by TLS Finished messages.

4. Extractor Definition

An extractor takes as input three values:

- o A disambiguating label string
- o A per-association context value provided by the extractor using application
- o A length value

It then computes:

```
PRF(master_secret, label,  
    SecurityParameters.client_random +  
    SecurityParameters.server_random +  
    context_value_length + context_value  
)[length]
```

The output is a pseudorandom bit string of length bytes generated from the master_secret.

Label values beginning with "EXPERIMENTAL" MAY be used for private

use without registration. All other label values MUST be registered via Specification Required as described by [RFC 2434](#) [RFC2434]. Note that extractor labels have the potential to collide with existing PRF labels. In order to prevent this, labels SHOULD begin with "EXTRACTOR". This is not a MUST because there are existing uses which have labels which do not begin with this prefix.

The context value allows the application using the extractor to mix its own data with the TLS PRF for the extractor output. The context value length is encoded as an unsigned 16-bit quantity (uint16) representing the length of the context value.

[5.](#) Security Considerations

Because an extractor produces the same value if applied twice with the same label to the same master_secret, it is critical that two EKM values generated with the same label be used for two different purposes--hence the requirement for IANA registration. However, because extractors depend on the TLS PRF, it is not a threat to the use of an EKM value generated from one label to reveal an EKM value generated from another label.

6. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create (has created) a TLS Extractor Label registry for this purpose. The initial contents of the registry are given below:

Value	Reference
-----	-----
client finished	[RFC4346]
server finished	[RFC4346]
master secret	[RFC4346]
key expansion	[RFC4346]
client EAP encryption	[RFC2716]
ttls keying material	[draft-funk-eap-ttls-v0-01]

Future values are allocated via [RFC2434](#) Specification Required policy. The label is a string consisting of printable ASCII characters. IANA MUST also verify that one label is not a prefix of any other label. For example, labels "key" or "master secretary" are forbidden.

7. Acknowledgments

Thanks to Pasi Eronen for valuable comments and the contents of the IANA section and [Section 3](#).

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[RFC2434] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 2434](#),

October 1998.

[RFC4346] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1", [RFC 4346](#), April 2006.

8.2. Informational References

[RFC4347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security", [RFC 4347](#), April 2006.

[RFC3711] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and K. Norrman, "The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", [RFC 3711](#), March 2004.

[I-D.ietf-avt-dtls-srtp]
McGrew, D. and E. Rescorla, "Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Extension to Establish Keys for Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", [draft-ietf-avt-dtls-srtp-01](#) (work in progress), November 2007.

Author's Address

Eric Rescorla
Network Resonance
2064 Edgewood Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94303
USA

Email: ekr@networkresonance.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).