

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: December 8, 2018

D. Benjamin
Google
June 6, 2018

Applying GREASE to TLS Extensibility **draft-ietf-tls-grease-01**

Abstract

This document describes GREASE (Generate Random Extensions And Sustain Extensibility), a mechanism to prevent extensibility failures in the TLS ecosystem. It reserves a set of TLS protocol values that may be advertised to ensure peers correctly handle unknown values.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 8, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Requirements Language	3
2.	GREASE Values	3
3.	Client-Initiated Extension Points	4
3.1.	Client Behavior	4
3.2.	Server Behavior	5
4.	Server-Initiated Extension Points	6
4.1.	Server Behavior	6
4.2.	Client Behavior	6
5.	Sending GREASE Values	7
6.	IANA Considerations	7
7.	Security Considerations	9
8.	Acknowledgements	9
9.	Normative References	10
	Author's Address	10

[1.](#) Introduction

The TLS protocol [[I-D.ietf-tls-tls13](#)] includes several points of extensibility, including the list of cipher suites and the list of extensions. The values in these lists identify implementation capabilities. TLS follows a model where one side, usually the client, advertises capabilities and the peer, usually the server, selects them. The responding side must ignore unknown values so that new capabilities may be introduced to the ecosystem while maintaining interoperability.

However, bugs may cause an implementation to reject unknown values. It will interoperate with existing peers, so the mistake may spread through the ecosystem unnoticed. Later, when new values are defined, updated peers will discover that the metaphorical joint in the protocol has rusted shut and that the new values cannot be deployed without interoperability failures.

To avoid this problem, this document reserves some currently unused values for TLS implementations to advertise at random. Correctly implemented peers will ignore these values and interoperate. Peers that do not tolerate unknown values will fail to interoperate, revealing the mistake before it is widespread.

In keeping with the rusted joint metaphor, this technique is named GREASE (Generate Random Extensions And Sustain Extensibility).

Benjamin

Expires December 8, 2018

[Page 2]

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

2. GREASE Values

This document reserves a number of TLS protocol values, referred to as GREASE values. These values were allocated sparsely to discourage server implementations from conditioning on them. For convenience, they were also chosen so all types share a number scheme with a consistent pattern while avoiding collisions with any existing applicable registries in TLS.

The following values are reserved as GREASE values for cipher suites:

```
{TBD} {0x0A,0x0A}
{TBD} {0x1A,0x1A}
{TBD} {0x2A,0x2A}
{TBD} {0x3A,0x3A}
{TBD} {0x4A,0x4A}
{TBD} {0x5A,0x5A}
{TBD} {0x6A,0x6A}
{TBD} {0x7A,0x7A}
{TBD} {0x8A,0x8A}
{TBD} {0x9A,0x9A}
{TBD} {0xAA,0xAA}
{TBD} {0xBA,0xBA}
{TBD} {0xCA,0xCA}
{TBD} {0xDA,0xDA}
{TBD} {0xEA,0xEA}
{TBD} {0xFA,0xFA}
```

The following values are reserved as GREASE values for extensions, named groups, signature algorithms, and versions:

```
{TBD} 0x0A0A
{TBD} 0x1A1A
{TBD} 0x2A2A
{TBD} 0x3A3A
{TBD} 0x4A4A
{TBD} 0x5A5A
{TBD} 0x6A6A
{TBD} 0x7A7A
{TBD} 0x8A8A
{TBD} 0x9A9A
{TBD} 0xAAAA
```

Benjamin

Expires December 8, 2018

[Page 3]

{TBD} 0xBABA
{TBD} 0xCACA
{TBD} 0xDADA
{TBD} 0xEAEA
{TBD} 0xFAFA

Future versions of TLS or DTLS [[RFC6347](#)] MUST NOT use any of the above values as versions.

The following values are reserved as GREASE values for PskKeyExchangeModes.

{TBD} 0x0B
{TBD} 0x2A
{TBD} 0x49
{TBD} 0x68
{TBD} 0x87
{TBD} 0xA6
{TBD} 0xC5
{TBD} 0xE4

Finally, this document reserves all ALPN identifiers [[RFC7301](#)] beginning with the prefix "ignore/". This corresponds to the seven-octet prefix: 0x69, 0x67, 0x6e, 0x6f, 0x72, 0x65, 0x2f.

3. Client-Initiated Extension Points

Most extension points in TLS are offered by the client and selected by the server. This section details client and server behavior around GREASE values for these.

3.1. Client Behavior

When sending a ClientHello, a client MAY behave as follows:

- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE cipher suite values and advertise them in the "cipher_suites" field.
- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE extension values and advertise corresponding extensions with varying length and contents.
- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE named group values and advertise them in the "supported_groups" extension, if sent. It MAY also send KeyShareEntry values for a subset of those selected in the "key_share" extension. For each of these, the "key_exchange" field MAY be any value.

Benjamin

Expires December 8, 2018

[Page 4]

- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE signature algorithm values and advertise them in the "signature_algorithms" extension, if sent.
- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE version values and advertise them in the "supported_versions" extension, if sent.
- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE PskKeyExchangeMode values and advertise them in the "psk_key_exchange_modes" extension, if sent.
- o A client MAY select one or more GREASE ALPN identifiers and advertise them in the "application_layer_protocol_negotiation" extension, if sent.

Clients MUST reject GREASE values when negotiated by the server. Specifically, the client MUST fail the connection if a GREASE value appears any in the following:

- o The "version" value in a ServerHello or HelloRetryRequest
- o The "cipher_suite" value in a ServerHello
- o Any ServerHello extension
- o Any HelloRetryRequest, EncryptedExtensions, or Certificate extension in TLS 1.3
- o The "namedcurve" value in a ServerKeyExchange for an ECDHE cipher in TLS 1.2 or earlier
- o The signature algorithm in a ServerKeyExchange signature in TLS 1.2 or earlier
- o The signature algorithm in a server CertificateVerify signature in TLS 1.3

Note that this requires no special processing on the client. Clients are already required to reject unknown values selected by the server.

3.2. Server Behavior

When processing a ClientHello, servers MUST NOT treat GREASE values differently from any unknown value. Servers MUST NOT negotiate any GREASE value when offered in a ClientHello. Servers MUST correctly ignore unknown values in a ClientHello and attempt to negotiate with one of the remaining parameters.

Benjamin

Expires December 8, 2018

[Page 5]

Note that these requirements are restatements or corollaries of existing server requirements in TLS.

4. Server-Initiated Extension Points

Some extension points are offered by the server and selected by the client. This section details client and server behavior around GREASE values for these.

4.1. Server Behavior

When sending a CertificateRequest in TLS 1.3, a server MAY behave as follows:

- o A server MAY select one or more GREASE extension values and advertise corresponding extensions with varying length and contents.
- o A server MAY select one or more GREASE signature algorithm values and advertise them in the "signature_algorithms" extension.

When sending a NewSessionTicket message in TLS 1.3, a server MAY select one or more GREASE extension values and advertise corresponding extensions with varying length and contents.

Servers MUST reject GREASE values when negotiated by the client. Specifically, the server MUST fail the connection if a GREASE value appears any in the following:

- o Any Certificate extension in TLS 1.3
- o The signature algorithm in a client CertificateVerify signature

Note that this requires no special processing on the server. Servers are already required to reject unknown values selected by the client.

4.2. Client Behavior

When processing a CertificateRequest or NewSessionTicket, clients MUST NOT treat GREASE values differently from any unknown value. Clients MUST NOT negotiate any GREASE value when offered by the server. Clients MUST correctly ignore unknown values offered by the server and attempt to negotiate with one of the remaining parameters.

Note that these requirements are restatements or corollaries of existing client requirements in TLS.

Benjamin

Expires December 8, 2018

[Page 6]

5. Sending GREASE Values

Implementations advertising GREASE values SHOULD select them at random. This is intended to encourage implementations to ignore all unknown values rather than any individual value. Implementations MUST honor protocol specifications when sending GREASE values. For instance, implementations sending multiple GREASE values as extensions MUST NOT send the same GREASE value twice.

Implementations SHOULD balance diversity in GREASE advertisements with determinism. For example, a client which randomly varies GREASE value positions for each connection may only fail against a broken server with some probability. This risks the failure being masked by automatic retries. A client which positions GREASE values deterministically over a period of time (such as a single software release) stresses fewer cases but is more likely to detect bugs from those cases.

6. IANA Considerations

[[TODO: Update IANA considerations for TLS 1.3 and rebase over [draft-ietf-tls-iana-registry-updates](#).]]

This document updates the TLS Cipher Suite Registry, available from <https://www.iana.org/assignments/tls-parameters>:

Value	Description	DTLS-OK	Reference
{TBD} {0x0A, 0x0A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x1A, 0x1A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x2A, 0x2A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x3A, 0x3A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x4A, 0x4A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x5A, 0x5A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x6A, 0x6A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x7A, 0x7A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x8A, 0x8A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0x9A, 0x9A}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0xAA, 0xAA}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0xBA, 0xBA}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0xCA, 0xCA}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0xDA, 0xDA}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0xEA, 0xEA}	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} {0xFA, 0xFA}	Reserved	Y	(this document)

Additions to the TLS Cipher Suite Registry

The cipher suite numbers listed in the first column are numbers used for cipher suite interoperability testing and it's suggested that IANA use these values for assignment.

This document updates the Supported Groups Registry, available from <https://www.iana.org/assignments/tls-parameters>:

Value	Description	DTLS-OK	Reference
{TBD} 2570	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 6682	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 10794	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 14906	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 19018	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 23130	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 27242	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 31354	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 35466	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 39578	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 43690	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 47802	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 51914	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 56026	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 60138	Reserved	Y	(this document)
{TBD} 64250	Reserved	Y	(this document)

Additions to the Supported Groups Registry

The named group numbers listed in the first column are numbers used for cipher suite interoperability testing and it's suggested that IANA use these values for assignment.

This document updates the ExtensionType Values registry, available from <https://www.iana.org/assignments/tls-extensiontype-values>:

Value	Extension name	Reference
{TBD} 2570	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 6682	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 10794	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 14906	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 19018	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 23130	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 27242	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 31354	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 35466	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 39578	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 43690	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 47802	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 51914	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 56026	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 60138	Reserved	(this document)
{TBD} 64250	Reserved	(this document)

Additions to the ExtensionType Values registry

The extension numbers listed in the first column are numbers used for cipher suite interoperability testing and it's suggested that IANA use these values for assignment.

[[TODO: How do I write IANA instructions to reserve all ALPN identifiers that begin with "ignore/"? Perhaps it would be better to reserve a concrete handful of identifiers instead.]]

7. Security Considerations

GREASE values may not be negotiated, so they do not directly impact the security of TLS connections.

Historically, when interoperability problems arise in deploying new TLS features, implementations have used a fallback retry on error with the feature disabled. This allows an active attacker to silently disable the new feature. By preventing a class of such interoperability problems, GREASE reduces the need for this kind of fallback.

8. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Adam Langley, Nick Harper, and Steven Valdez for their feedback and suggestions. In addition, the rusted joint metaphor is originally due to Adam Langley.

9. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-tls-tls13]
Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", [draft-ietf-tls-tls13-28](#) (work in progress), March 2018.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security Version 1.2", [RFC 6347](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6347, January 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6347>>.
- [RFC7301] Friedl, S., Popov, A., Langley, A., and E. Stephan, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Application-Layer Protocol Negotiation Extension", [RFC 7301](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7301, July 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7301>>.

Author's Address

David Benjamin
Google
355 Main St
Cambridge, MA 02142
USA

Email: davidben@google.com

