

TLS Working Group
Internet Draft
Expires: April 2007

U. Blumenthal
P. Goel
Intel Corporation
October 20, 2006

Pre-Shared Key Cipher Suites with NULL Encryption for
Transport Layer Security (TLS)

[draft-ietf-tls-psk-null-03.txt](#)

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 20, 2007.

Abstract

This document specifies authentication-only cipher suites (with no encryption) for the Pre-Shared Key based Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol. These cipher suites are useful when authentication and integrity protection is desired, but confidentiality is not needed or not permitted.

Internet-Draft PSK NULL-encryption Cipher Suites for TLS October
2006

Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	2
2.	Cipher Usage.....	2
3.	Security Considerations.....	3
4.	IANA Considerations.....	3
5.	Acknowledgments.....	3
6.	References.....	4
6.1.	Normative References.....	4
	Author's Addresses.....	4
	Intellectual Property Statement.....	5
	Disclaimer of Validity.....	5
	Copyright Statement.....	5
	Acknowledgment.....	4

[1.](#) Introduction

The RFC for Pre-Shared Key based TLS [[TLS-PSK](#)] specifies cipher suites for supporting TLS using pre-shared symmetric keys. However all the cipher suites defined in [[TLS-PSK](#)] require encryption. However there are cases when only authentication and integrity protection is required, and confidentiality is not needed. There are also cases when confidentiality is not permitted - e.g. for implementations that must meet import restrictions in some countries. Even though no encryption is used, these cipher suites support authentication of the client and server to each other, and message integrity. This document augments [[TLS-PSK](#)] by adding three more cipher suites (PSK, DHE_PSK, RSA_PSK) with authentication and integrity only - no encryption. The reader is expected to become familiar with [[TLS-PSK](#)] standard prior to studying this document.

[2.](#) Cipher Usage

The three new cipher suites proposed here match the three cipher suites defined in [\[TLS-PSK\]](#), except that we define suites with null encryption.

Internet-Draft PSK NULL-encryption Cipher Suites for TLS October 2006

The cipher suites defined here use the following options for key exchange and hash part of the protocol:

CipherSuite	Key Exchange	Cipher	Hash
TLS_PSK_WITH_NULL_SHA	PSK	NULL	SHA
TLS_DHE_PSK_WITH_NULL_SHA	DHE_PSK	NULL	SHA
TLS_RSA_PSK_WITH_NULL_SHA	RSA_PSK	NULL	SHA

For the meaning of the terms PSK please refer to [section 1](#) in [\[TLS-PSK\]](#). For the meaning of the terms DHE, RSA and SHA please refer to sections A.5 and [Appendix B](#) in [\[TLS\]](#).

[3.](#) Security Considerations

As with all schemes involving shared keys, special care should be taken to protect the shared values and to limit their exposure over time. As this document augments [\[TLS-PSK\]](#), everything stated in its Security Consideration section applies here. In addition, as cipher suites defined here do not support confidentiality - care should be taken not to send sensitive information (such as passwords) over connection protected with one of the cipher suites defined in this document.

[4.](#) IANA Considerations

This document defines three new cipher suites, whose values are to be assigned from the TLS Cipher Suite registry defined in [\[TLS\]](#).

```
CipherSuite TLS_PSK_WITH_NULL_SHA = { 0x00, 0xTBD1 };
CipherSuite TLS_DHE_PSK_WITH_NULL_SHA = { 0x00, 0xTBD2 };
CipherSuite TLS_RSA_PSK_WITH_NULL_SHA = { 0x00, 0xTBD3 };
```

[5.](#) Acknowledgments

The cipher suites defined in this document are an augmentation to and

based on [[TLS-PSK](#)].

Internet-Draft PSK NULL-encryption Cipher Suites for TLS October
2006

[6](#). References

[6.1](#). Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[TLS] Dierks, T. and Rescorla, E., "The TLS Protocol Version 1.1", [RFC 4346](#), April 2006.

[TLS-PSK] Eronen, P., Tschofenig, H., "Pre-Shared Key CipherSuites for Transport Layer Security (TLS)", [RFC 4279](#), December 2005.

Author's Addresses

Uri Blumenthal
Intel Corporation
1515 State Route 10,
PY2-1 10-4
Parsippany, NJ 07054
USA

Email: Uri.Blumenthal@intel.com

Purushottam Goel
Intel Corporation
2111 N.E. 25 Ave.
JF3-414
Hillsboro, OR 97124
USA

Email: Purushottam.Goel@intel.com

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Blumenthal & Goel

Expires April 20, 2007

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft PSK NULL-encryption Cipher Suites for TLS October
2006

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED

WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.