

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Experimental
Expires: May 20, 2020

R. Housley
Vigil Security
November 17, 2019

TLS 1.3 Extension for Certificate-based Authentication with an External
Pre-Shared Key
[draft-ietf-tls-tls13-cert-with-extern-psk-03](https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/draft-ietf-tls-tls13-cert-with-extern-psk-03)

Abstract

This document specifies a TLS 1.3 extension that allows a server to authenticate with a combination of a certificate and an external pre-shared key (PSK).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 20, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft

Certificate with External PSK

November 2019

1. Introduction

The TLS 1.3 [[RFC8446](#)] handshake protocol provides two mutually exclusive forms of server authentication. First, the server can be authenticated by providing a signature certificate and creating a valid digital signature to demonstrate that it possesses the corresponding private key. Second, the server can be authenticated by demonstrating that it possesses a pre-shared key (PSK) that was established by a previous handshake. A PSK that is established in this fashion is called a resumption PSK. A PSK that is established by any other means is called an external PSK. This document specifies a TLS 1.3 extension permitting certificate-based server authentication to be combined with an external PSK as an input to the TLS 1.3 key schedule.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Motivation and Design Rationale

The development of a large-scale quantum computer would pose a serious challenge for the cryptographic algorithms that are widely deployed today, including the digital signature algorithms that are used to authenticate the server in the TLS 1.3 handshake protocol. It is an open question whether or not it is feasible to build a large-scale quantum computer, and if so, when that might happen. However, if such a quantum computer is invented, many of the cryptographic algorithms and the security protocols that use them would become vulnerable.

The TLS 1.3 handshake protocol employs key agreement algorithms and digital signature algorithms that could be broken by the development of a large-scale quantum computer [[I-D.hoffman-c2pg](#)]. The key agreement algorithms include Diffie-Hellman (DH) [[DH1977](#)] and Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) [[IEEE1363](#)]; the digital signature algorithms include RSA [[RFC8017](#)] and Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) [[FIPS186](#)]. As a result, an adversary that stores a TLS 1.3 handshake protocol exchange today could decrypt

the associated encrypted communications in the future when a large-scale quantum computer becomes available.

In the near-term, this document describes TLS 1.3 extension to protect today's communications from the future invention of a large-

scale quantum computer by providing a strong external PSK as an input to the TLS 1.3 key schedule while preserving the authentication provided by the existing certificate and digital signature mechanisms.

4. Extension Overview

This section provides a brief overview of the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension.

The client includes the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension in the ClientHello message. The "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension MUST be accompanied by the "key_share", "psk_key_exchange_modes", and "pre_shared_key" extensions. The client MAY also find it useful to include the "supported_groups" extension. Since the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is intended to be used only with initial handshakes, it MUST NOT be sent alongside the "early_data" extension. These extensions are all described in [Section 4.2 of \[RFC8446\]](#), which also requires the "pre_shared_key" extension to be the last extension in the ClientHello message.

If the server is willing to use one of the external PSKs listed in the "pre_shared_key" extension and perform certificate-based authentication, then the server includes the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension in the ServerHello message. The "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension MUST be accompanied by the "key_share" and "pre_shared_key" extensions. If none of the external PSKs in the list provided by the client is acceptable to the server, then the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is omitted from the ServerHello message.

When the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is successfully negotiated, the TLS 1.3 key schedule processing includes both the selected external PSK and the (EC)DHE shared secret value. As a result, the Early Secret, Handshake Secret, and Master Secret values all depend upon the value of the selected external PSK. Of course,

the Early Secret does not depend upon the (EC)DHE shared secret.

The authentication of the server and optional authentication of the client depend upon the ability to generate a signature that can be validated with the public key in their certificates. The authentication processing is not changed in any way by the selected external PSK.

Each external PSK is associated with a single hash algorithm, which is required by [Section 4.2.11 of \[RFC8446\]](#). The hash algorithm MUST be set when the PSK is established, with a default of SHA-256.

[5.](#) Certificate with External PSK Extension

This section specifies the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension, which MAY appear in the ClientHello message and ServerHello message. It MUST NOT appear in any other messages. The "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension MUST NOT appear in the ServerHello message unless the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension appeared in the preceding ClientHello message. If an implementation recognizes the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension and receives it in any other message, then the implementation MUST abort the handshake with an "illegal_parameter" alert.

The general extension mechanisms enable clients and servers to negotiate the use of specific extensions. Clients request extended functionality from servers with the extensions field in the ClientHello message. If the server responds with a HelloRetryRequest message, then the client sends another ClientHello message as described in [Section 4.1.2 of \[RFC8446\]](#), including the same "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension as the original ClientHello message or abort the handshake.

Many server extensions are carried in the EncryptedExtensions message; however, the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is carried in the ServerHello message. Successful negotiation of the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension affects the key used for encryption, so it cannot be carried in the EncryptedExtensions message. Therefore, the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is only present in the ServerHello message if the server recognizes the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension and the server possesses one of

the external PSKs offered by the client in the "pre_shared_key" extension in the ClientHello message.

The Extension structure is defined in [[RFC8446](#)]; it is repeated here for convenience.

```
struct {
    ExtensionType extension_type;
    opaque extension_data<0..2^16-1>;
} Extension;
```

The "extension_type" identifies the particular extension type, and the "extension_data" contains information specific to the particular extension type.

This document specifies the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension, adding one new type to ExtensionType:

```
enum {
    tls_cert_with_extern_psk(TBD), (65535)
} ExtensionType;
```

The "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is relevant when the client and server possess an external PSK in common that can be used as an input to the TLS 1.3 key schedule. The "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is essentially a flag to use the external PSK in the key schedule, and it has the following syntax:

```
struct {
    select (Handshake.msg_type) {
        case client_hello: Empty;
        case server_hello: Empty;
    };
} CertWithExternPSK;
```

[5.1](#). Companion Extensions

[Section 4](#) lists the extensions that are required to accompany the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension. Most of those extension are not impacted in any way. This section discusses the impacts on the other extensions.

The "psk_key_exchange_modes" extension is defined in [Section 4.2.9 of \[RFC8446\]](#). The "psk_key_exchange_modes" extension restricts both the use of PSKs offered in this ClientHello and those which the server might supply via a subsequent NewSessionTicket. As a result, when the "psk_key_exchange_modes" extension is included in the ClientHello message, clients MUST include psk_dhe_ke mode. In addition, clients MAY also include psk_ke mode to support a subsequent NewSessionTicket. When the "psk_key_exchange_modes" extension is included in the ServerHello message, servers MUST select the psk_dhe_ke mode for the initial handshake. Servers MUST select a key exchange mode that is listed by the client for subsequent handshakes that include the resumption PSK from the initial handshake.

The "pre_shared_key" extension is defined in [Section 4.2.11 of \[RFC8446\]](#). The syntax is repeated below for convenience. All of the listed PSKs MUST be external PSKs. If a resumption PSK is listed along with the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension, the server MUST abort the handshake with an "illegal_parameter" alert.

```
struct {
    opaque identity<1..2^16-1>;
    uint32 obfuscated_ticket_age;
} PskIdentity;

opaque PskBinderEntry<32..255>;

struct {
    PskIdentity identities<7..2^16-1>;
    PskBinderEntry binders<33..2^16-1>;
} OfferedPsks;

struct {
    select (Handshake.msg_type) {
        case client_hello: OfferedPsks;
```

```
        case server_hello: uint16 selected_identity;
    };
} PreSharedKeyExtension;
```

The OfferedPsks contains the list of PSK identities and associated binders for the external PSKs that the client is willing to use with the server.

The identities are a list of external PSK identities that the client is willing to negotiate with the server. Each external PSK has an associated identity that is known to the client and the server; the associated identities may be known to other parties as well. In addition, the binder validation (see below) confirms that the client and server have the same key associated with the identity.

The obfuscated_ticket_age is not used for external PSKs. As stated in [Section 4.2.11 of \[RFC8446\]](#), clients SHOULD set this value to 0, and servers MUST ignore the value.

The binders are a series of HMAC values, one for each external PSK offered by the client, in the same order as the identities list. The HMAC value is computed using the binder_key, which is derived from the external PSK, and a partial transcript of the current handshake. Generation of the binder_key from the external PSK is described in [Section 7.1 of \[RFC8446\]](#). The partial transcript of the current handshake includes a partial ClientHello up to and including the PreSharedKeyExtension.identities field as described in [Section 4.2.11.2 of \[RFC8446\]](#).

The selected_identity contains the index of the external PSK identity that the server selected from the list offered by the client. As described in [Section 4.2.11.2 of \[RFC8446\]](#), the server MUST validate

the binder value that corresponds to the selected external PSK, and if the binder does not validate, the server MUST abort the handshake with an "illegal_parameter" alert.

[5.2.](#) Authentication

When the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is successfully negotiated, authentication of the server depends upon the ability to

generate a signature that can be validated with the public key in the server's certificate. This is accomplished by the server sending the Certificate and CertificateVerify messages as described in Sections 4.4.2 and 4.4.3 of [\[RFC8446\]](#).

TLS 1.3 does not permit the server to send a CertificateRequest message when a PSK is being used. This restriction is removed when the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension is negotiated, allowing certificate-based authentication for both the client and the server. If certificate-based client authentication is desired, this is accomplished by the client sending the Certificate and CertificateVerify messages as described in Sections [4.4.2](#) and [4.4.3](#) of [\[RFC8446\]](#).

[5.3.](#) Keying Material

[Section 7.1 of \[RFC8446\]](#) specifies the TLS 1.3 Key Schedule. The successful negotiation of the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension requires the key schedule processing to include both the external PSK and the (EC)DHE shared secret value.

If the client and the server have different values associated with the selected external PSK identifier, then the client and the server will compute different values for every entry in the key schedule, which will lead to the client aborting the handshake with a "decrypt_error" alert.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to update the TLS ExtensionType Registry to include "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" with a value of TBD and the list of messages "CH, SH" in which the "tls_cert_with_extern_psk" extension may appear.

[7.](#) Security Considerations

The Security Considerations in [\[RFC8446\]](#) remain relevant.

TLS 1.3 [\[RFC8446\]](#) does not permit the server to send a CertificateRequest message when a PSK is being used. This

is offered by the client and accepted by the server. However, TLS 1.3 does not permit an external PSK to be used in the same fashion as a resumption PSK, and this extension does not alter those restrictions. Thus, a certificate **MUST NOT** be used with a resumption PSK.

Implementations must protect the external pre-shared key (PSK). Compromise of the external PSK will make the encrypted session content vulnerable to the future development of a large-scale quantum computer.

Implementers should not transmit the same content on a connection that is protected with an external PSK and a connection that is not. Doing so may allow an eavesdropper to correlate the connections, making the content vulnerable to the future invention of a large-scale quantum computer.

Implementations must generate external PSKs with a secure key management technique, such as pseudo-random generation of the key or derivation of the key from one or more other secure keys. The use of inadequate pseudo-random number generators (PRNGs) to generate external PSKs can result in little or no security. An attacker may find it much easier to reproduce the PRNG environment that produced the external PSKs and searching the resulting small set of possibilities, rather than brute force searching the whole key space. The generation of quality random numbers is difficult. [[RFC4086](#)] offers important guidance in this area.

If the external PSK is known to any party other than the client and the server, then the external PSK **MUST NOT** be the sole basis for authentication. The reasoning is explained in [[K2016](#)] (see [Section 4.2](#)). When this extension is used, authentication is based on certificates, not the external PSK.

In this extension, the external PSK preserves secrecy if the (EC)DH key agreement is ever broken by cryptanalysis or the future invention of a large-scale quantum computer. As long as the attacker does not know the PSK and the key derivation algorithm remains unbroken, the attacker cannot derive the session secrets even if they are able to compute the (EC)DH shared secret.

TLS 1.3 key derivation makes use of the HKDF algorithm, which depends upon the HMAC construction and a hash function. This extension provides the desired protection for the session secrets as long as HMAC with the selected hash function is a pseudorandom function (PRF) [[GGM1986](#)].

In the future, if the (EC)DH key agreement is broken by cryptanalysis or the invention of a large-scale quantum computer, the forward secrecy advantages traditionally associated with ephemeral (EC)DH keys will no longer be relevant. Although the ephemeral private keys used during a given TLS session would be destroyed at the end of a session, preventing the attacker from later accessing them, the private keys would nevertheless be recoverable due to the break in the algorithm. A more general notion of "secrecy after key material is destroyed" would still be achievable using external PSKs, of course, provided that they are managed in a way that ensures their destruction when they are no longer needed, and with the assumption that the algorithms that use the external PSKs remain quantum-safe.

This specification does not require that external PSK is known only by the client and server. The external PSK may be known to a group. Since authentication depends on the public key in a certificate, knowledge of the external PSK by other parties does not enable impersonation. Since confidentiality depends on the shared secret from (EC)DH, knowledge of the external PSK by other parties does not enable eavesdropping. However, group members can record the traffic of other members, and then decrypt it if they ever gain access to a large-scale quantum computer. Also, when many parties know the external PSK, there are many opportunities for theft of the external PSK by an attacker. Once an attacker has the external PSK, they can decrypt stored traffic if they ever gain access to a large-scale quantum computer in the same manner as a legitimate group member.

TLS 1.3 [[RFC8446](#)] takes a conservative approach to PSKs; they are bound to a specific hash function and KDF. By contrast, TLS 1.2 [[RFC5246](#)] allows PSKs to be used with any hash function and the TLS 1.2 PRF. Thus, the safest approach is to use a PSK exclusively with TLS 1.2 or exclusively with TLS 1.3. Given one PSK, one can derive a PSK for exclusive use with TLS 1.2 and derive another PSK for exclusive use with TLS 1.3 using the mechanism specified in [[I-D.ietf-tls-external-psk-importer](#)].

TLS 1.3 [[RFC8446](#)] has received careful security analysis, and some informal reasoning shows that the addition of this extension does not introduce any security defects. This extension requires the use of certificates for authentication, but the processing of certificates is unchanged by this extension. This extension places an external PSK in the key schedule as part of the computation of the Early Secret. In the initial handshake without this extension, the Early Secret is computed as:

$$\text{Early Secret} = \text{HKDF-Extract}(0, 0)$$

With this extension, the Early Secret is computed as:

Early Secret = HKDF-Extract(External PSK, 0)

Any entropy contributed by the external PSK can only make the Early Secret better; the External PSK cannot make it worse. For these two reasons, TLS 1.3 continues to meet its security goals when this extension is used.

8. Privacy Considerations

[Appendix E.6 of \[RFC8446\]](#) discusses identity exposure attacks on PSKs. The guidance in this section remains relevant.

This extension makes use of external PSKs to improve resilience against attackers that gain access to a large-scale quantum computer in the future. This extension is always accompanied by the "pre_shared_key" extension to provide the PSK identities in plaintext in the ClientHello message. Passive observation of these PSK identities will aid an attacker to track users of this extension.

9. Acknowledgments

Many thanks to Liliya Akhmetzyanova, Christian Huitema, Ben Kaduk, Geoffrey Keating, Hugo Krawczyk, Nikos Mavrogiannopoulos, Nick Sullivan, Martin Thomson, and Peter Yee for their review and comments; their efforts have improved this document.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", [RFC 8446](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.

[10.2](#). Informative References

- [DH1977] Diffie, W. and M. Hellman, "New Directions in Cryptography", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory V.IT-22 n.6, June 1977.

Housley

Expires May 20, 2020

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft

Certificate with External PSK

November 2019

- [FIPS186] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS PUB) 186-4, July 2013.
- [GGM1986] Goldreich, O., Goldwasser, S., and S. Micali, "How to construct random functions", J. ACM 1986 (33), pp. 792-807, 1986.
- [I-D.hoffman-c2pq]
Hoffman, P., "The Transition from Classical to Post-Quantum Cryptography", [draft-hoffman-c2pq-05](#) (work in progress), May 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-tls-external-psk-importer]
Benjamin, D. and C. Wood, "Importing External PSKs for TLS", [draft-ietf-tls-external-psk-importer-01](#) (work in progress), October 2019.
- [IEEE1363]
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, "IEEE Standard Specifications for Public-Key Cryptography", IEEE Std 1363-2000, 2000.
- [K2016] Krawczyk, H., "A Unilateral-to-Mutual Authentication Compiler for Key Exchange (with Applications to Client Authentication in TLS 1.3)", IACR ePrint 2016/711, August 2016.
- [RFC2104] Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication", [RFC 2104](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2104, February 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2104>>.

- [RFC4086] Eastlake 3rd, D., Schiller, J., and S. Crocker, "Randomness Requirements for Security", [BCP 106](#), [RFC 4086](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4086, June 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4086>>.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.
- [RFC8017] Moriarty, K., Ed., Kaliski, B., Jonsson, J., and A. Rusch, "PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2", [RFC 8017](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8017, November 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8017>>.

Housley

Expires May 20, 2020

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

Certificate with External PSK

November 2019

Author's Address

Russ Housley
Vigil Security, LLC
516 Dranesville Road
Herndon, VA 20170
USA

Email: housley@vigilsec.com

Housley

Expires May 20, 2020

[Page 12]