

INTERNET DRAFT

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd

Motorola

Expires April 2003

October 2002

DNS SRV Location of Higher Level Services
<[draft-ietf-trade-srv-higher-services-00.txt](#)>

Status of this Memo

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the authors or the IETF TRADE working group <ietf-trade@lists.elistx.com>.

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC 2026](#). Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress." The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt> The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) 2002, The Internet Society. All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

DNS naming conventions specified in [RFC 2782](#) are extended to higher level services and a registry created for the tokens used.

Table of Contents

Status of this Memo.....	1
Copyright Notice.....	1
Abstract.....	1
Table of Contents.....	2
1 . Introduction.....	3
2 . Specification.....	3
3 . International Considerations.....	4
4 . IANA Considerations.....	4
5 . Security Considerations.....	4
Normative References.....	5
Informative References.....	5
Authors Address.....	6
Full Copyright Statement.....	7
File name and Expiration.....	7

1. Introduction

[RFC 2782](#) specifies a DNS SRV Resource Record for the location of services. In addition, it provides a DNS naming convention for the DNS nodes at which such SRV RRs are stored. That is the form

`_Service._Proto.name.example`

as the name of the DNS node at which SRV RRs would be stored for obtaining the "Service" from "name.example".

While there are a variety of means for locating higher level application services, some such services may wish to use the SRV RR. Such higher level services would, typically, be provided over the sorts of services that the [RFC 2782](#) syntax is designed to specify. This document extends that syntax so that higher level protocols can be specified.

2. Specification

SRV RRs can be stored at nodes with names of the following form

`_Higher._Service._Proto.name.example`

For example

`_iotp._http._tcp.example.net.`

The definition of the "_Proto" DNS label is the same as in [RFC 2782](#).

For the use described in this document, the "_Service" DNS label is a port specifying token registered with IANA in Assigned Numbers (such as http or ldap), prefixed with an underscore character.

The DNS label "_Higher" is a token consisting from 2 to 12 ASCII letters and digits, registered as provided in [Section 4](#) below, and prefixed with an underscore character.

Higher level protocols sometimes have a number of services. To minimize the burden on the registration authority and maximize convenience to the protocol specifier, "Higher" may also consist of a token as registered hereunder followed by a hyphen character, followed by any characters allowed in connection with location of the higher level service. For example

`_xkms-xkiss-soap._http._tcp.example.org.`

Neither this specification nor registration hereunder is intended to imply over what services or protocols a higher level service can be used. Such limitations are specified in connection with that higher level service. For example, you need to look at the IOTP related specification for any information as to whether

`_iotp._smtp._sctp.foo.example`

could be useful.

D. Eastlake

[Page 3]

Due to the case insensitive nature of DNS labels [[RFC 1035](#)], all of "_Higher", "_Service", and "_Proto" are case insensitive.

3. International Considerations

The fully qualified DNS names discussed in this document and the Higher, Service, and Proto tokens appearing therein will normally be program generated and not seen by users. Thus no internationalization provisions are made.

4. IANA Considerations

Upon approval by the IESG of the document, IANA will maintain a registry of higher level application designating tokens for use as specified in [Section 2](#) above. The initial contents of the registry will be the two tokens

IOTP - [[RFC 2801](#)]

XKMS - [XML XKMS]

The requirement for the registration of additional tokens is the documentation of each in an RFC or a similar free publicly accessible and reproducible document. To minimize the burden on the registry maintainer and maximize interoperability and convenience for the protocol specifier, such registration is to be taken as automatically incorporating later free publicly accessible and reproducible versions or amendments to the initial registration basis document by the entity with change control over the higher level service specification. To the extent reasonable, only a single token should be registered for a family of related higher level protocols or protocol versions that are under common control. The mechanism specified in [Section 2](#) for extending such a token should be used, where needed, to distinguish variations for location purposes.

Registration, control, and documentation of a higher level service token's extensions is not the concern of IANA unless an IESG approved RFC creates an IANA registration for that token's extensions.

5. Security Considerations

The security considerations are essentially the same as in [RFC 2782](#).

Normative References

[RFC 1035] - "Domain names - Implementation and Specification", Paul Mockapetris, November 1987.

[RFC 2782] - "A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV)", A. Gulbrandsen, P. Vixie, L. Esibov, February 2000.

Informative References

[RFC 2801] - "Internet Open Trading Protocol - IOTP Version 1.0", D. Burdett, April 2000.

[XML XKMS] - "XML Key Management Specification (XKMS 2.0)", Phillip Hallam-Baker, August 2002,
<<http://www.w3.org/2001/XKMS/Drafts/XKMS/xkms-part-1.html>>

Authors Address

Donald E. Eastlake 3rd
Motorola
155 Beaver Street
Milford, MA 01757 USA

Phone: +1-508-851-8280 (w)
+1-508-634-2066 (h)

Email: Donald.Eastlake@motorola.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (c) 2002 The Internet Society, All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

File name and Expiration

This file is [draft-ietf-trade-srv-higher-services-00.txt](#).

It expires April 2003.

