TRILL Working Group INTERNET-DRAFT Intended status: Proposed Standard Lucy Yong Donald Eastlake Sam Aldrin Huawei Technologies Jon Hudson Brocade September 4, 2013

Expires: March 3, 2014

TRILL Over Pseudowires

<<u>draft-ietf-trill-o-pw-00.txt</u>>

Abstract

This document specifies how to interconnect a pair of TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) switch ports using pseudowires under existing TRILL and PWE3 (Pseudowire Emulation End-to-End) standards.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{BCP 78}$ and $\underline{BCP 79}$.

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the authors.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <u>http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html</u>. The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <u>http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</u>.

[Page 1]

Table of Contents

$\begin{array}{c} \underline{1}. \mbox{ Introduction}\underline{3} \\ \underline{1.1} \mbox{ Conventions used in this document}\underline{3} \\ \end{array}$
<pre>2. PWE3 Interconnection of TRILL Switches</pre>
3. IANA Considerations4. Security Considerations
Acknowledgements
Authors' Addresses <u>9</u>

[Page 2]

1. Introduction

The IETF has standardized the TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) protocol [<u>RFC6325</u>] that provides optimal pair-wise data frame routing without configuration in multi-hop networks with arbitrary topology. TRILL supports multipathing of both unicast and multicast traffic. Devices that implement TRILL are called TRILL Switches or RBridges (Routing Bridges).

Links between TRILL Switches can be based on arbitrary link protocols, for example PPP [<u>RFC6361</u>], as well as Ethernet [<u>RFC6325</u>]. A set of connected TRILL Switches together form a TRILL campus which is bounded by end stations and layer 3 routers.

This document specifies how to interconnect a pair of TRILL Switch ports using a pseudowire under existing TRILL and PWE3 (pseudowire Emulation End-to-End) standards.

<u>1.1</u> Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [<u>RFC2119</u>].

Acronyms used in this document include the following:

- IS-IS Intermediate System to Intermediate System [IS-IS]
- MPLS Multi-Protocol Label Switching
- PPP Point-to-Point Protocol [<u>RFC1661</u>]
- PW Pseudowire [RFC3985]
- PWE3 PW Emulation End-to-End
- RBridge Routing Bridge, an alternative name for a TRILL Switch
- TRILL Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links [RFC6325]
- TRILL Switch A device implementing the TRILL protocol

[Page 3]

2. PWE3 Interconnection of TRILL Switches

When a pseudowire is used to interconnect a pair of TRILL Switch ports, a PPP [<u>RFC4618</u>] pseudowire is used as described below. The pseudowire between such ports can be auto-configured [<u>RFC4447</u>] or manually configured. In this context, the TRILL Switch ports at the ends of the pseudowire are acting as native service processing elements (NSP [<u>RFC3985</u>]) and, assuming the pseudowires are over MPLS or IP [<u>RFC4023</u>], as label switched routers.

(Although Ethernet pseudowires would be possible, they would require an additional 12 or 16 bytes per frame. It would also be possible to specify a special custom pseudowire type for TRILL traffic but the authors feel that any efficiency gain over PPP pseudowires would be too small to be worth the complexity of adding such a specification.)

Pseudowires provide transparent transport and the two TRILL Switch ports appear directly interconnected with a transparent link. With such an interconnection the TRILL adjacency over the link is automatically discovered and established through TRILL IS-IS control messages [<u>RFC6327bis</u>].

A pseudowire is carried over a packet switched network tunnel [<u>RFC3985</u>]. For example, an MPLS or MPLS-TP label switched path tunnel in MPLS networks. Either a signaling protocol or manual configuration can be used to configure a label switched path tunnel between two TRILL Switch ports. This application needs no additions to the existing pseudowire standards.

2.1 PWE3 Type Independent Details

The sending pseudowire TRILL Switch port MUST copy the priority of the TRILL Data packets being sent to the 3-bit Class of Service field of the pseudowire label [<u>RFC5462</u>] so the priority will be visible to pseudowire transit devices and they can take the priority into account.

If a pseudowire supports fragmentation and re-assembly, there is no reason to do TRILL MTU testing on it and the pseudowire will not be a constraint on the TRILL campus wide Sz (see <u>Section 4.3.1 [RFC6325]</u>). If the pseudowire does not support fragmentation, then the available TRILL IS-IS packet payload size over the pseudowire (taking into account MPLS encapsulation with a control word) or some lower value, MUST be used in helping to determine Sz (see <u>Section 5</u> [ClearCorrect]).

An intervening MPLS label switched router or similar packet switched

network device has no awareness of TRILL. Such devices will not

L. Yong, et al

[Page 4]

change the TRILL Header hop count.

2.2 TRILL over PPP PWE3

For a PPP pseudowire (PW type = 0x0007), the two TRILL Switch ports being connected are configured to form a pseudowire with PPP encapsulation [<u>RFC4618</u>]. After the pseudowire is established and TRILL use is negotiated within PPP, the two TRILL Switch ports appear directly connected with a PPP link [<u>RFC1661</u>] [<u>RFC6361</u>].

If pseudowire interconnection of two TRILL Switch ports is autoconfigured [<u>RFC4447</u>], the initiating TRILL Switch port MUST attempt the connection set-up with pseudowire type PPP (0x0007).

Behavior for TRILL with a PPP pseudowire continues to follow that of TRILL over PPP as specified in <u>Section 3 of [RFC6361]</u>.

[Page 5]

3. IANA Considerations

No IANA actions are required by this document. RFC Editor: Please remove this section before publication.

<u>4</u>. Security Considerations

For PPP link TRILL security considerations, see [RFC6361].

For security considerations introduced by carrying PPP TRILL links over pseudowires, see [<u>RFC3985</u>].

Not all implementations need to include specific security mechanisms at the pseudowire layer, for example if they are designed to be deployed only in cases where the networking environment is trusted or where other layers provide adequate security. A complete enumeration of possible deployment scenarios and associated threats and options is not possible and is outside the scope of this document. For applications involving sensitive data, end-to-end security should always be considered, in addition to link security, to provide security in depth. In this context, such end-to-end security should be between the end stations involved so as to protect the entire path to, through, and from the TRILL campus.

For general TRILL protocol security considerations, see [RFC6325].

[Page 6]

INTERNET-DRAFT

Acknowledgements

The document was prepared in raw nroff. All macros used were defined within the source file.

Normative References

- [RFC1661] Simpson, W., Ed., "The Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP)", STD 51, <u>RFC 1661</u>, July 1994.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC4447] Martini, L., Ed., Rosen, E., El-Aawar, N., Smith, T., and G. Heron, "Pseudowire Setup and Maintenance Using the Label Distribution Protocol (LDP)", <u>RFC 4447</u>, April 2006.
- [RFC4618] Martini, L., "Encapsulation Methods for Transport of PPP/High-Level Data Link Control (HDLC) over MPLS Networks", BCP 116, RFC 4618, September 2006.
- [RFC5462] Andersson, L. and R. Asati, "Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Label Stack Entry: "EXP" Field Renamed to "Traffic Class" Field", <u>RFC 5462</u>, February 2009.
- [RFC6325] Perlman, R., Eastlake 3rd, D., Dutt, D., Gai, S., and A. Ghanwani, "Routing Bridges (RBridges): Base Protocol Specification", <u>RFC6325</u>, July 2011.
- [RFC6361] Carlson, J., and D. Eastlake, "PPP Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links (TRILL) Protocol Control Protocol", <u>RFC6361</u>, August 2011.
- [ClearCorrect] Eastlake, D., M. Zhang, A. Ghanwani, V. Manral, and A. Banerjee, "TRILL: Clarifications, Corrections, and Updates", <u>draft-ietf-trill-clear-correct</u>, in RFC Editor's queue.

Informative References

[IS-IS] - International Organization for Standardization, "Intermediate system to Intermediate system intra-domain routing information exchange protocol for use in conjunction with the protocol for providing the connectionless-mode Network Service (ISO 8473)", ISO/IEC10589:2002, Second Edition, Nov 2002

[Page 7]

- [RFC3985] Bryant, S., Ed., and P. Pate, Ed., "Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge-to-Edge (PWE3) Architecture", <u>RFC 3985</u>, March 2005.
- [RFC4023] Worster, T., Rekhter, Y., and E. Rosen, Ed., "Encapsulating MPLS in IP or Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE)", <u>RFC 4023</u>, March 2005.
- [RFC6327bis] Eastlake 3rd, D., Perlman, R., Ghanwani, A., Howard, Y., and V. Manral, "TRILL: Adjacency", draft-ietf-trillrfc6327bis, work in progress.

[Page 8]

Authors' Addresses

Lucy Yong Huawei Technologies 5340 Legacy Drive Plano, TX 75025 USA

Phone: +1-469-227-5837 Email: lucy.yong@huawei.com

Donald E. Eastlake, 3rd Huawei Technologies 155 Beaver Street Milford, MA 01757 USA

Phone: +1-508-333-2270 Email: d3e3e3@gmail.com

Sam Aldrin Huawei Technologies 2330 Central Expressway Santa Clara, CA 95050 USA

Phone: +1-408-330-4517 Email: sam.aldrin@huawei.com

Jon Hudson Brocade 130 Holger Way San Jose, CA 95134 USA

Phone: +1-408-333-4062 jon.hudson@gmail.com

[Page 9]

Copyright and IPR Provisions

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License. The definitive version of an IETF Document is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of IETF Documents that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of IETF Documents. The definitive version of these Legal Provisions is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of these Legal Provisions that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of these Legal Provisions. For the avoidance of doubt, each Contributor to the IETF Standards Process licenses each Contribution that he or she makes as part of the IETF Standards Process to the IETF Trust pursuant to the provisions of RFC 5378. No language to the contrary, or terms, conditions or rights that differ from or are inconsistent with the rights and licenses granted under RFC 5378, shall have any effect and shall be null and void, whether published or posted by such Contributor, or included with or in such Contribution.

[Page 10]