Network Working Group G. Swallow Internet-Draft Cisco Systems, Inc.

Category: Standards Track Created: March 28, 2008

Expiration Date: September 28, 2008 Old Dog Consulting

A. Farrel

User-Defined Errors for RSVP

draft-ietf-tsvwg-rsvp-user-error-spec-04.txt

#### Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

#### Abstract

The Resource ReserVation Protocol (RSVP) defines an ERROR\_SPEC object for communicating errors. That object has a defined format that permits the definition of 256 error codes. As RSVP has been developed and extended, the convention has been to be conservative in defining new error codes. Further, no provision for user-defined errors exists in RSVP.

This document defines a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC to be used in addition to the ERROR\_SPEC to carry additional user information related to errors.

### O. Changes Since Last Revision

[This section to be removed before publication as an RFC.]

- Minor editorial changes after working group last call review.
- Add discussion of ResvConf messages.

#### 1. Introduction

The Resource ReserVation Protocol (RSVP) [RFC2205] defines an ERROR\_SPEC object for communicating errors. That object has a defined format that permits the definition of 256 error codes. As RSVP has been developed and extended, the convention has been to be conservative in communicating errors. Further no provision for user defined errors exists in RSVP.

When developing extensions to RSVP, it is often useful for those implementing to define error messages to aid both in the initial debugging and in testing against older versions or other implementations.

This document defines a new RSVP object to permit user-defined errors to be communicated. This will enable organizations to define errors which they can use for internal development. These error values could also be shared with the community at large to aid in promoting interoperability between diverse implementations.

RSVP PathErr and ResvErr messages require the presence of an ERROR\_SPEC object ([RFC2205]). [RFC3473] defines the Notify message that also requires the presence of an ERROR\_SPEC object. In order to not change the mandatory contents of these messages, this document defines a new error code value that indicates that the new object is present and carries a user-defined error code.

Note that the ResvConf message defined in [RFC2205] also carries an ERROR\_SPEC object. But this usage of the object does not carry meaningful Error Codes or Error Values and so the extensions defined in this document are not applicable to that message.

## 1.1. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

#### 2. User-Defined Error

A new Error Code is defined for use in an ERROR\_SPEC object.

```
Error Code = <tba-xxx>: User Error Spec
```

This error code is used to signal the presence of a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC. No Error Values are defined.

When sending this error code, a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object MUST be included in the PathErr, ResvErr, or Notify message.

[RFC Editor's note: <tba-xxx> = to be assigned by IANA as per <u>Section 5</u>. Please replace <tba-xxx> with the number assigned by IANA and remove this note.]

#### 3. USER\_ERROR\_SPEC Class

A new RSVP object class called USER\_ERROR\_SPEC is defined. To support backwards compatibility, its class number is in the range 192-247. As defined in [RFC2205], implementations that do not understand such an object will forward it unmodified.

```
USER_ERROR_SPEC object: Class = <tba-yyy>, C-Type = 1
```

[RFC Editor's note: <tba-yyy> = to be assigned by IANA as per <u>Section 5</u>. Please replace <tba-yyy> with the number assigned by IANA and remove this note.]

### Enterprise Number

A unique identifier of an organization encoded as a 32-bit integer. Enterprise Numbers are assigned by IANA and managed through an IANA registry [RFC2578].

Sub Org

A unique identifier of an organization encoded as an 8-bit integer. An organization MAY use this field to create independent Error Value spaces. This is intended to facilitate teams which are doing parallel development. If independent spaces are not required, this field SHOULD be set to zero.

Err Desc Len

The length of the error description in the Error Description field in bytes excluding any padding.

User Error Value

A 16-bit integer. The meaning is specified by the (sub-)organization indicated by the Enterprise Number and Sub Org fields.

Error Description

A string of characters in US-ASCII padded with nulls (0x00) to a multiple of 4 bytes. While no format is required, it is RECOMMENDED that organizations use a published schema for this string to promote consistent decoding.

User-Defined Subobjects

User-defined subobjects MAY be included. The generic format of subobjects is specified in <u>Section 3.1</u>. The semantics of a subobject is indicated by the Type field, but the semantics, format and contents of the Value field are specified by the (sub-)organization indicated by the Enterprise Number and Sub Org fields of this object.

## 3.1. Subobjects

Each subobject is encoded as a TLV in the following format:

Type

An 8-bit number assigned by the the (sub-)organization indicated by the Enterprise Number and Sub Org fields of the USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object.

Length

The Length contains the total length of the subobject in bytes, including the Type and Length fields. The Length MUST be at least 4, and MUST be a multiple of 4.

## 4. Procedures for Using the User Error Spec

### 4.1. Procedures for Sending the User Error Spec

A USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object MAY be included in any PathErr, ResvErr, or Notify message for any defined error code. The Enterprise Number MUST be a valid value assigned by IANA.

As specified in [RFC2205] and [RFC3473], an ERROR\_SPEC object with a valid error code MUST be included in all PathErr, ResvErr, and Notify messages. This rule is not changed by these procedures even when a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object is included. If no other error code applies, the Error Code in the ERROR\_SPEC object MUST be set to "User Error Spec" as defined in Section 2 of this document.

#### 4.2. Procedures for Receiving the User Error Spec

It is RECOMMENDED that implementations that receive a PathErr, ResvErr, or Notify message carrying a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object at a minimum log the Enterprise Number, Sub-organization, User Error Value, and Error Description. Implementations capable of interpreting the contents of the USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object should take further action based on the reported error.

If a message is received containing an ERROR\_SPEC object using the "User Error Spec" error code, but not containing a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object, the message MUST be treated as malformed and handled according to [RFC2205].

Implementations SHOULD ignore repeated occurences of USER\_ERROR\_SPEC objects, and SHOULD forward them unchanged on any messages that they forward. This provides for forward compatiblity.

Implementations receiving a USER\_ERROR\_SPEC object on some message other than a PathErr, ResvErr, or Notify message MUST treat the error as a malformed message and process according to [RFC2205].

### 5. IANA Considerations

This document makes the following assignments from the RSVP Error Codes and Globally-Defined Error Value Sub-Codes registry (pending IANA action):

Value Name

<tba-xxx> User Error Spec

This document makes the following assignments from the RSVP Class Names, Class Numbers, and Class Types registry (pending IANA action):

Number Space Value Name

Class Numbers <tba-yyy>\* User Error Spec

Class Type 1 User-Defined Error

## 6. Security Considerations

This document makes no changes to the basic message exchanges of [RFC2205] and [RFC3473]. It will result in a small increase in the number of error messages sent in cases where messages were previously silently dropped due to the lack of an appropriate error code.

The mechanisms defined in this document may be used by implementations to report additional error conditions and information arising from security issues and attacks on the RSVP network.

### 7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Elisheva Halevy for motivating this document. Thanks to Tom Nadeau, Magnus Westerlund, and Hannes Tschofenig for their review and comments.

### 8. References

## **8.1**. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

[RFC2205] Braden, R., Zhang, L., Berson, S., Herzog, S., and S.
 Jamin, "Resource ReSerVation Protocol (RSVP) -- Version 1
 Functional Specification", RFC 2205, September 1997.

<sup>\*</sup> Assignment is requested from the range 192 through 247

[RFC3473] Berger, L., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Resource ReserVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions", RFC 3473, January 2003.

#### 8.1. Informative References

### 9. Authors' Addresses

George Swallow
Cisco Systems, Inc.
EMail: swallow@cisco.com

Adrian Farrel
Old Dog Consulting
EMail: adrian@olddog.co.uk

# 10. Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ipr">http://www.ietf.org/ipr</a>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

# Full Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in  $\underline{BCP\ 78}$ , and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Swallow & Farrel Standards Track [Page 8]