

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: January 5, 2015

M. Tuexen
Muenster Univ. of Appl. Sciences
R. Stewart
Adara Networks
R. Jesup
WorldGate Communications
S. Loreto
Ericsson
July 4, 2014

DTLS Encapsulation of SCTP Packets
draft-ietf-tsvwg-sctp-dtls-encaps-05.txt

Abstract

The Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) is a transport protocol originally defined to run on top of the network protocols IPv4 or IPv6. This document specifies how SCTP can be used on top of the Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) protocol. Using the encapsulation method described in this document, SCTP is agnostic about the protocols being used below DTLS, explicit IP addresses can not be used in the SCTP control chunks. As a consequence, the SCTP associations are single homed.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 5, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Overview	2
2.	Conventions	2
3.	Encapsulation and Decapsulation Procedure	3
4.	General Considerations	3
5.	DTLS Considerations	3
6.	SCTP Considerations	4
7.	IANA Considerations	5
8.	Security Considerations	5
9.	Acknowledgments	6
10.	References	6
	Authors' Addresses	7

[1.](#) Overview

The Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) as defined in [\[RFC4960\]](#) is a transport protocol running on top of the network protocols IPv4 [\[RFC0791\]](#) or IPv6 [\[RFC2460\]](#). This document specifies how SCTP is used on top of the Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) protocol defined in [\[RFC4347\]](#). This encapsulation is used for example within the WebRTC protocol suite (see [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-overview\]](#) for an overview) for transporting non-SRTP data between browsers. The architecture of this stack is described in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel\]](#).

Please note that the procedures defined in [\[RFC6951\]](#) for dealing with the UDP port numbers do not apply here. When using the encapsulation defined in this document, SCTP is agnostic about the protocols used below DTLS.

[2.](#) Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

3. Encapsulation and Decapsulation Procedure

When an SCTP packet is provided to the DTLS layer, the complete SCTP packet, consisting of the SCTP common header and a number of SCTP chunks, MUST be handled as the payload of the application layer protocol of DTLS. When the DTLS layer has processed a DTLS record containing a message of the application layer protocol, the payload MUST be given up to the SCTP layer. The SCTP layer expects an SCTP common header followed by a number of SCTP chunks.

4. General Considerations

An implementation of SCTP over DTLS MUST implement and use a path maximum transmission unit (MTU) discovery method that functions without ICMP to provide SCTP/DTLS with an MTU estimate. An implementation of "Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery" [[RFC4821](#)] either in SCTP or DTLS is RECOMMENDED.

5. DTLS Considerations

The DTLS implementation MUST be based on DTLS 1.0 [[RFC4347](#)].

SCTP performs segmentation and reassembly based on the path MTU. Therefore the DTLS layer MUST NOT use any compression algorithm.

The DTLS MUST support sending messages larger than the current path MTU. This might result in sending IP level fragmented messages.

If path MTU discovery is performed by the DTLS layer, the method described in [[RFC4821](#)] MUST be used. For probe packets, the extension defined in [[RFC6520](#)] MUST be used.

If path MTU discovery is performed by the SCTP layer and IPv4 is used as the network layer protocol, the DTLS implementation SHOULD allow the DTLS user to enforce that the corresponding IPv4 packet is sent with the Don't Fragment (DF) bit set. If controlling the DF bit is not possible, for example due to implementation restrictions, a safe value for the path MTU has to be used by the SCTP stack. It is RECOMMENDED that the save value does not exceed 1200 bytes.

The DTLS implementation SHOULD allow the DTLS user to set the Differentiated services code point (DSCP) used for IP packets being sent (see [[RFC2474](#)]). This requires the DTLS implementation to pass the value through and the lower layer to allow setting this value. If the lower layer does not support setting the DSCP, then the DTLS user will end up with the default value used by protocol stack. Please note that only a single DSCP value can be used for all packets belonging to the same SCTP association.

Using explicit congestion notifications (ECN) in SCTP requires the DTLS layer to pass the ECN bits through and its lower layer to expose access to them for sent and received packets (see [[RFC3168](#)]). If this is not possible, for example due to implementation restrictions, ECN can't be used by SCTP.

6. SCTP Considerations

This section describes the usage of the base protocol and the applicability of various SCTP extensions.

6.1. Base Protocol

This document uses SCTP [[RFC4960](#)] with the following restrictions, which are required to reflect that the lower layer is DTLS instead of IPv4 and IPv6 and that SCTP does not deal with the IP addresses or the transport protocol used below DTLS:

- o A DTLS connection MUST be established before an SCTP association can be set up.
- o All SCTP associations are single-homed, because DTLS does not expose any address management to its upper layer. Therefore it is RECOMMENDED to set the SCTP parameter `path.max.retrans` to `association.max.retrans`.
- o The INIT and INIT-ACK chunk MUST NOT contain any IPv4 Address or IPv6 Address parameters. The INIT chunk MUST NOT contain the Supported Address Types parameter.
- o The implementation MUST NOT rely on processing ICMP or ICMPv6 packets. This applies in particular to path MTU discovery when performed by SCTP.
- o If the SCTP is notified about a path change by its lower layers, SCTP SHOULD retest the Path MTU and reset the congestion state to the initial state. In case of a window based congestion control like the one specified in [[RFC4960](#)], this means setting the congestion window and slow start threshold to its initial values.

6.2. Padding Extension

The padding extension defined in [[RFC4820](#)] MUST be supported and used for probe packets when performing path MTU discovery as specified in [[RFC4821](#)] by the SCTP layer.

6.3. Dynamic Address Reconfiguration Extension

If the dynamic address reconfiguration extension defined in [[RFC5061](#)] is used, only wildcard addresses MUST be used in ASCONF chunks.

6.4. SCTP Authentication Extension

The SCTP authentication extension defined in [[RFC4895](#)] can be used with DTLS encapsulation, but does not provide any additional benefit.

6.5. Partial Reliability Extension

Partial reliability as defined in [[RFC3758](#)] can be used in combination with DTLS encapsulation. It is also possible to use additional PR-SCTP policies.

6.6. Stream Reset Extension

The SCTP stream reset extension defined in [[RFC6525](#)] can be used with DTLS encapsulation. It is used to reset SCTP streams and add SCTP streams during the lifetime of the SCTP association.

6.7. Interleaving of Large User Messages

SCTP as defined in [[RFC4960](#)] does not support the interleaving of large user messages that need to be fragmented and reassembled by the SCTP layer. The protocol extension defined in [[I-D.ietf-tsvwg-sctp-ndata](#)] overcomes this limitation and can be used with DTLS encapsulation.

7. IANA Considerations

This document requires no actions from IANA.

8. Security Considerations

Security considerations for DTLS are specified in [[RFC4347](#)] and for SCTP in [[RFC4960](#)], [[RFC3758](#)], and [[RFC6525](#)]. The combination of SCTP and DTLS introduces no new security considerations.

SCTP should not process the IP addresses used for the underlying communication since DTLS provides no guarantees about them.

It should be noted that the inability to process ICMP or ICMPv6 messages does not add any security issue. The processing of these messages for SCTP carried over a connection-less lower layer like IP, IPv6 or UDP is required to protect nodes not supporting SCTP. Since

DTLS provides a connection-oriented lower layer, this kind of protection is not necessary.

9. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Gorrry Fairhurst, Eric Rescorla, Joe Touch and Magnus Westerlund for their invaluable comments.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security", [RFC 4347](#), April 2006.
- [RFC4820] Tuexen, M., Stewart, R., and P. Lei, "Padding Chunk and Parameter for the Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)", [RFC 4820](#), March 2007.
- [RFC4821] Mathis, M. and J. Heffner, "Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery", [RFC 4821](#), March 2007.
- [RFC4960] Stewart, R., "Stream Control Transmission Protocol", [RFC 4960](#), September 2007.
- [RFC6520] Seggelmann, R., Tuexen, M., and M. Williams, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) and Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Heartbeat Extension", [RFC 6520](#), February 2012.

10.2. Informative References

- [RFC0791] Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", STD 5, [RFC 791](#), September 1981.
- [RFC2460] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", [RFC 2460](#), December 1998.
- [RFC2474] Nichols, K., Blake, S., Baker, F., and D. Black, "Definition of the Differentiated Services Field (DS Field) in the IPv4 and IPv6 Headers", [RFC 2474](#), December 1998.
- [RFC3168] Ramakrishnan, K., Floyd, S., and D. Black, "The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP", [RFC 3168](#), September 2001.

- [RFC3758] Stewart, R., Ramalho, M., Xie, Q., Tuexen, M., and P. Conrad, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Partial Reliability Extension", [RFC 3758](#), May 2004.
- [RFC4895] Tuexen, M., Stewart, R., Lei, P., and E. Rescorla, "Authenticated Chunks for the Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)", [RFC 4895](#), August 2007.
- [RFC5061] Stewart, R., Xie, Q., Tuexen, M., Maruyama, S., and M. Kozuka, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Dynamic Address Reconfiguration", [RFC 5061](#), September 2007.
- [RFC6525] Stewart, R., Tuexen, M., and P. Lei, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Stream Reconfiguration", [RFC 6525](#), February 2012.
- [RFC6951] Tuexen, M. and R. Stewart, "UDP Encapsulation of Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Packets for End-Host to End-Host Communication", [RFC 6951](#), May 2013.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-overview]
Alvestrand, H., "Overview: Real Time Protocols for Browser-based Applications", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-overview-10](#) (work in progress), June 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel]
Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data Channels", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-channel-10](#) (work in progress), June 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-tsvwg-sctp-ndata]
Stewart, R., Tuexen, M., Loreto, S., and R. Seggelmann, "A New Data Chunk for Stream Control Transmission Protocol", [draft-ietf-tsvwg-sctp-ndata-00](#) (work in progress), February 2014.

Authors' Addresses

Michael Tuexen
Muenster University of Applied Sciences
Stegerwaldstrasse 39
48565 Steinfurt
DE

Email: tuexen@fh-muenster.de

Randall R. Stewart
Adara Networks
Chapin, SC 29036
US

Email: randall@lakerest.net

Randell Jesup
WorldGate Communications
3800 Horizon Blvd, Suite #103
Trevose, PA 19053-4947
US

Phone: +1-215-354-5166
Email: randell_ietf@jesup.org

Salvatore Loreto
Ericsson
Hirsalantie 11
Jorvas 02420
FI

Email: Salvatore.Loreto@ericsson.com

