INTERNET-DRAFT Cameron Byrne
Updates: [RFC6333] (if approved) T-Mobile US

Intended Status: Standards Track

Expires: December 13, 2014

IPv4 Service Continuity Prefix draft-ietf-v6ops-clatip-03

Abstract

DS-Lite, defined in RFC 6333, directs IANA to reserve 192.0.0.0/29 for the B4 element. This memo directs IANA to generalize that reservation to include other cases where a non-routed IPv4 interface must be numbered as part of an IPv6 transition solution.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{BCP 78}$ and $\underline{BCP 79}$.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Copyright and License Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of

June 11, 2014

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction										3
<u>2</u> .	The Case of $464XLAT$										3
<u>3</u> .	Choosing 192.0.0.0/29 .										3
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations										3
<u>5</u> .	IANA Considerations										4
<u>6</u> .	Acknowledgements										4
<u>7</u> .	References										4
<u>7.</u>	<u>1</u> . Normative References										4
Auth	nors' Addresses										5

1. Introduction

DS-Lite [RFC6333] directs IANA to reserve 192.0.0.0/29 for the Basic Bridging BroadBand (B4) element. This memo generalizes that IANA reservation to include other cases where a non-routed IPv4 interface must be numbered in an IPv6 transition solution. IANA shall list the address block 192.0.0.0/29 reserved for IPv4 Service Continuity Prefix. The result is that 192.0.0.0/29 may be used in any system that requires IPv4 addresses for backward compatibility with IPv4 communications in an IPv6-only network, but does not emit IPv4 packets "on the wire".

This generalization does not impact the use of the IPv4 Service Continuity Prefix in a DS-Lite context.

2. The Case of 464XLAT

464XLAT [RFC6877] describes an architecture for providing IPv4 communication over an IPv6-only access network. One of the methods described in [RFC6877] is for the client side translator (CLAT) to be embedded in the host, such as a smartphone or a CPE (Customer Premises Equipment). In such scenarios, the host must have an IPv4 address configured to present to the host network stack and for applications to bind IPv4 sockets.

3. Choosing 192.0.0.0/29

To avoid conflicts with any other network that may communicate with the CLAT or other IPv6 transition solution, a locally unique IPv4 address must be assigned.

IANA has defined a well-known range, 192.0.0.0/29, in [RFC6333], which is dedicated for DS-Lite. As defined in [RFC6333], this subnet is only present between the B4 and the AFTR and never emits packets from this prefix "on the wire". 464XLAT has the same need for a nonrouted IPv4 prefix, and this same need may be common for other similar solutions. It is most prudent and effective to generalize 192.0.0.0/29 for the use of supporting IPv4 interfaces in IPv6 transition technologies rather than reserving a prefix for every possible solution.

With this memo, 192.0.0.0/29 is now generalized across multiple IPv4 continuity solutions such as 464XLAT and DS-lite. It is important that a host never enable 2 active IPv4 continuity solutions simultaneously in a way that would cause a node to have overlapping address from 192.0.0.0/29.

4. Security Considerations

No new security considerations beyond what is described [RFC6333] and RFC6877

5. IANA Considerations

This document requests IANA to update the IPv4 Special-Purpose Address Registry available at (http://www.iana.org/assignments/ianaipv4-special-registry/iana-ipv4-special-registry) as follows:

OLD:

192.0.0.0/29 DS-Lite [RFC6333]

NEW:

192.0.0.0/29 IPv4 Service Continuity Prefix [RFC-to-be-xxx]

+	
Attribute	Value
Address Block Name RFC Allocation Date Termination Date Source Destination Forwardable Global Reserved-by-Protocol	192.0.0.0/29 IPv4 Service Continuity Prefix RFC TBD June 2014 N/A True True True False
+	++

Acknowledgements

This document has been substantially improved by specific feedback from Dave Thaler, Fred Baker, Wes George, Lorenzo Colitti, and Mohamed Boucadair.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[RFC6333] Durand, A., Droms, R., Woodyatt, J., and Y. Lee, "Dual-Stack Lite Broadband Deployments Following IPv4 Exhaustion", RFC6333, August 2011.

[RFC6877] Mawatari, M., Kawashima, M., and C. Byrne, "464XLAT: Combination of Stateful and Stateless Translation", RFC6877, April 2013.

Authors' Addresses

Cameron Byrne Bellevue, WA, USA Email: Cameron.Byrne@T-Mobile.com