v6ops J. Linkova

Internet-Draft Google
Intended status: Informational December 10, 2019

Expires: June 12, 2020

Neighbor Cache Entries on First-Hop Routers: Operational Considerations draft-ietf-v6ops-nd-cache-init-01

Abstract

Neighbor Discovery (RFC4861) is used by IPv6 nodes to determine the link-layer addresses of neighboring nodes as well as to discover and maintain reachability information. This document discusses how the neighbor discovery state machine on a first-hop router is causing user-visible connectivity issues when a new (not being seen on the network before) IPv6 address is being used.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 12, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	2
<u>1.1</u> . Requirements Language	<u>4</u>
<u>1.2</u> . Terminology	<u>4</u>
$\underline{2}$. Proposed Solution	<u>4</u>
2.1. Solution Requirements	<u>4</u>
<u>2.2</u> . Solution Overview	<u>5</u>
3. Solutions Considered but Discarded	<u>6</u>
<u>3.1</u> . Do Nothing	<u>6</u>
3.2. Change to the Registration-Based Neighbor Discovery	
3.3. Host Sending NS to the Router Address from Its GUA	
3.4. Host Sending Router Solicitation from its GUA	7
3.5. Routers Populating Their Caches by Gleaning From Neighbor	
Discovery Packets	8
3.6. Initiating Hosts2Routers Communication	
3.7. Transit Dataplane Traffic From a New Address Triggering	
Address Resolution	9
4. IANA Considerations	
5. Security Considerations	
6. Acknowledgements	
<u>7</u> . References	10
7.1. Normative References	10
7.2. Informative References	
Author's Address	

1. Introduction

The <u>section 7.2.5 of [RFC4861]</u> states: "When a valid Neighbor Advertisement is received (either solicited or unsolicited), the Neighbor Cache is searched for the target's entry. If no entry exists, the advertisement SHOULD be silently discarded. There is no need to create an entry if none exists, since the recipient has apparently not initiated any communication with the target."

This approach is perfectly suitable for host2host communications which are in most cases bi-directional and it could be expected that if a host A has an ND cache entry for the host B IPv6 address, the host B also has the corresponding ND entry for the host A address in its cache. However when a host communicates to off-link destinations via its first-hop router that logic does not apply. The most typical scenario when the problem may arise is a host joining the network, forming a new address and using that address for accessing the Internet:

- 1. A host joins the network and receives a Router Advertisement packet from the first-hop router (either a periodic unsolicited RA or a response to an RS sent by the host). The RA contains information the host needs to perform SLAAC and to configure its network stack. As in most cases the RA also contains the Source link-layer address of the router, the host can populate its Neighbor Cache with the router link-local and link-layer addresses.
- 2. The host starts opening connections to off-link destinations. Very common use case is a mobile device sending probes to detect the Internet connectivity and/or the captive portals presence on the network. To speed up that process many implementations use the Optimistic Duplicate Address Detection [RFC4429] which allows them to send probes from their GUA before the DAD process is completed. At that moment the device ND cache contains all information required to send those probes (such as the default gateway LLA and the link-layer address). The router ND cache, however, might contain an entry for the device link-local address (if the device has been performing the ND process for the roiter LLA) but there are no entries for the device GUA.
- 3. Return traffic is received by the first-hop router. As the router does not have any ND cache entry for the host GUA yet, the router starts the neighbor discovery process by creating an INCOMPLETE cache entry and then sending an NS to the Solicited Node Multicast Address. Most router implementations buffer only one data packet while performing the neighbor discovery for the packet destination address, so it would drop all subsequent packets for the host GUA, until the address resolution process is completed.
- 4. If the host sends multiple probes in parallel it would considered all but one of them failed. It leads to user-visible delay in connecting to the network, especially if the host implements some form of backoff mechanism and does not retransmit the porbes as soon as possible.

This scenario illustrates the problem happening when the device connects to the network for the first time or after a timeout long enough for the device address to be removed from the router neighbor cache. However the same sequence of events happen when the host starts using the new GUA previously unseen by the router, such as a new privacy address [RFC4941] or if the router Neighbor Cache has been flushed.

While in dual-stack networks this problem might hidden by Happy Eyeballs [RFC8305] it manifest quite clearly in IPv6-only

environments, especially wireless ones, leading to poor user experience and contributing to negative perception of IPv6-only solutions as unstable and non-deployable.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.2. Terminology

ND: Neighbor Discovery, $[\underline{\mathsf{RFC4861}}]$.

SLAAC: IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration, [RFC4862].

NS: Neighbor Solicitation, [RFC4861].

NA: Neighbor Advertisement, [RFC4861].

RS: Router Solicitation, [RFC4861].

RA: outer Advertisement, [RFC4861].

SLLA: Source link-layer Address, an option in the ND packets containing the link-layer address of the sender of the packet, [RFC4861].

TLLA: Target link-layer Address, an option in the ND packets containing the link-layer address of the target, [RFC4861].

GUA: Global Unicast Address, [RFC4291].

DAD: Duplicate Address Detection, [RFC4862].

Optimistic DAD: a modification of DAD, [RFC4429].

2. Proposed Solution

2.1. Solution Requirements

It would be highly desirable to improve the Neighbor Discovery mechanics so routers have a usable cache entry for a host address by the time the first packet for that address is received by the router. In particular,

- o If the router does not have a Neighbor Cache entry for the address, a STALE entry needs to be created.
- o The solution needs to work for Optimistic addresses as well.

 Devices implementing the Optimistic DAD usually attempt to

 minimize the delay in connecting to the network and therefore are

 more likely to be affected by the problem described in this

 document.
- o In case of duplicate addresses present in the network the proposed solution MUST NOT override the existing entry.
- o In topologies with multiple first hop routers the cache needs to be updated on all of them, as traffic might be asymmetric: outgoing flows leaving the network via one router while the return traffic enters the segment via another one.

2.2. Solution Overview

The Neighbor Discovery is designed to allow IPv6 nodes to discover neighboring nodes reachability and learn IPv6 to link-layer addresses mapping. Therefore ND seems to be the most appropriate tool to inform the first-hop routers about addresses the host is going to use.

Section 4.4 of [RFC4861] says:

"A node sends Neighbor Advertisements in response to Neighbor Solicitations and sends unsolicited Neighbor Advertisements in order to (unreliably) propagate new information quickly."

Propagating information about new GUA as quickly as possible is exactly what is required to solve the problem outlined in this document. Therefore the host might send an unsolicited NA with the target link-layer address option to advertize its GUA as soon as the said address enters Optimistic or Preferred state.

The proposed solution is discussed in [I-D.linkova-6man-grand]. In summary the follwing changes to [RFC4861] are suggested:

- o Hosts SHOULD send at least one unsolicited NA packet to allrouters multicast address (ff02::2) as soon as one of the following events happens:
 - * (if Optimistic DAD is used): a new Optimistic GUA is assigned to the host interface.

- * (if Optimistic DAD is not used): a GUA changes the state from tentative to preferred.
- o Routers SHOULD create a new ND cache entry upon receiving unsolicited NAs.

It should be noted that some routing and switching platforms have implemented such behaviour already. Administrators could enable creating neighbor discovery cache entries based on unsolicited NA packets sent from the previously unknown neighbors on that interface.

3. Solutions Considered but Discarded

The problem could be addressed from different angles. Possible approaches are:

- o Just do nothing.
- o Migrate from the "reactive" Neighbor Discovery ([RFC4861]) to the registration-based mechanisms ([RFC8505]).
- o The router creates new entries in its Neighbor Cache by gleaning from Neighbor Discovery DAD messages.
- o The host initiates bidirectional communication to the router using the host GUA.
- o Making the probing logic on hosts more robust.
- o Increasing the buffer size on routers.
- o Transit dataplane traffic from an unknown address (an address w/o the corresponding neighbor cache entry) triggers an address resolution process on the router.

The following sections discuss those approaches in more detail.

3.1. Do Nothing

One of the possible approaches might be to declare that everything is working as intended and let the upper-layer protocols to deal with packet loss. The obvious drawbacks include:

- o Unhappy users.
- o Many support tickets.
- o More resistance to deploy IPv6 and IPv6-Only networks.

3.2. Change to the Registration-Based Neighbor Discovery

The most radical approach would be to move away from the reactive ND as defined in [RFC4861] and expand the registration-based ND ([RFC6775], [RFC8505]) used in Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Networks (6LoWPANs) to the rest of IPv6 deployments. This option required some investigation and discussions and seems to be an overkill for the problem described in this document.

3.3. Host Sending NS to the Router Address from Its GUA

The host could force creating a STALE entry for its GUA in the router ND cache by sending the following Neighbor Solicitation message:

- o The NS source address is the host GUA.
- o The Source Link-Layer Address option contains the host link-layer address.
- o The target address is the host default gateway address (the default router address the host received in the RA).

The main disadvantages of this approach are:

- o Would not work for Optimistic addresses as <u>section 2.2 of</u>
 [RFC4429] explicitly prohibits sending Neighbor Solicitations from an Optimistic Address.
- o If first-hop redundancy is deployed in the network, the NS would reach the active router only, so all backup routers (or all active routers ex. one) would not get their neighbor cache updated.
- o Some wireless devices are known to fiddle with ND packets and perform various non-obvious forms of ND proxy actions. In some cases unsolicited NAs might not even reach the routers.

3.4. Host Sending Router Solicitation from its GUA

The host could send a router solicitation message to 'all routers' multicast address, using its GUA as a source. If the host link-layer address is included in the Source Link-Layer Address option, the router would create a STALE entry for the host GUA as per the section 6.2.6 of [RFC4861]. However this approach can not be used if the GUA is in optimistic state: the section 2.2 of [RFC4429] explicitly prohibits using an Optimistic Address as the source address of a Router Solicitation with a SLLAO as it might disrupt the rightful owner of the address in the case of a collision. So for the optimistic addresses the host can send an RS without SLLAO included.

In that case the router may respond with either a multicast or a unicast RA (only the latter would create a cache entry).

This approach has the following drawbacks:

- o If the address is in the Optimistic state the RS can not contain SLLAO. As a result the router would only create a cache entry if the solicited RAs is sent as as a unicast. Routers sending solicited RAs as multicast would not create a new cache entry as they do not need to send a unicast packet back to the host.
- o There might be a random delay between receiving an RS and sending a unicast RA back (and creating a cache entry) which might undermine the idea of creating the cache entry proactively.
- o Some wireless devices are known to fiddle with ND packets and perform various non-obvious forms of ND proxy actions. In some cases RSes might not even reach the routers.

3.5. Routers Populating Their Caches by Gleaning From Neighbor Discovery Packets

Routers may be able to learn about new addresses by gleaning from the DAD Neighbor Solicitation messages. The router could listen to all solicited node multicast address groups and upon receiving a Neighbor Solicitation from the unspecified address search its Neighbor Cache for the solicitation's Target Address. If no entry exists the router may create an entry, set its reachability state to 'INCOMPLETE' and start the address resolution for that entry.

The same solution was proposed in [I-D.halpern-6man-nd-pre-resolve-addr]. Some routing vendors support such optimization already. However this approach has a number of drawbacks and therefore should not be used as the only solution:

- o Routers need to receive all multicast Neighbor Discovery packets which might negatively impact the routers CPU.
- o If the router starts the address resolution as soon as it receives the DAD Neighbor Solicitation the host might be still performing the DAD and the target address might be tentative. In that case the host SHOULD silently ignore the received Neighbor Solicitation from the router as per the Section 5.4.3 of [RFC4862]. As a result the router might not be able to complete the address resolution before the return traffic arrives.

3.6. Initiating Hosts2Routers Communication

The host may trigger the router to start the address resolution by sending a data packet such as ping or traceroute to its default router link-local address, using the GUA as a source address. As the RTT to the default gateway is lower than RTT to any off-link destinations it's quite likely that the router would start the neighbor discovery process for the host GUA before the first packet of the returning traffic arrives.

The downside of this approach includes:

- o Data packets to the router LLA could be blocked by security policy or control plane protection mechanism.
- o Additional overhead for routers control plane (in addition to processing ND packets, the data packet needs to be processed as well).
- o Unless the data packet is sent to 'all routers' ff02::2 multicast address, if the network provides a first-hop redundancy then only the active router would create a new cache entry.

3.7. Transit Dataplane Traffic From a New Address Triggering Address Resolution

When a router receives a transit packet it might check the presence of the neighbor cache entry for the packet source address and if the entry does not exist start address resolution process. This approach does ensure that a Neighbor Cache entry is proactively created every time a new, previously unseen GUA is used for sending offlink traffic. However this functionality needs to be limited to explicitly configured networks/interfaces, as the router needs to distinguish between onlink addresses (ones the router needs to have Neighbor Cache entries for) and the rest of the address space. In addition, implementing such functionality is much more complicated than all other solutions as it would involve complex data-control planes interaction.

4. IANA Considerations

This memo asks the IANA for no new parameters.

5. Security Considerations

This memo documents the operational issue and does not introduce any new security considerations. Security considerations of the proposed

solution are discussed in the corresponding section of [I-D.linkova-6man-grand].

6. Acknowledgements

Thanks to the following people (in alphabetical order) for their review and feedback: Lorenzo Colitti, Igor Gashinsky, Tatuya Jinmei, Erik Kline, Warren Kumari, Michael Richardson, Pascal Thubert, Loganaden Velvindron, Eric Vyncke.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[I-D.linkova-6man-grand]

Linkova, J., "Gratuitous Neighbor Discovery: Creating Neighbor Cache Entries on First-Hop Routers", <u>draft-linkova-6man-grand-01</u> (work in progress), November 2019.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
 Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.
- [RFC4291] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", <u>RFC 4291</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4291, February 2006, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4291.
- [RFC4429] Moore, N., "Optimistic Duplicate Address Detection (DAD) for IPv6", <u>RFC 4429</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4429, April 2006, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4429.
- [RFC4861] Narten, T., Nordmark, E., Simpson, W., and H. Soliman,
 "Neighbor Discovery for IP version 6 (IPv6)", RFC 4861,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC4861, September 2007,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4861>.
- [RFC4862] Thomson, S., Narten, T., and T. Jinmei, "IPv6 Stateless
 Address Autoconfiguration", RFC 4862,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC4862, September 2007,
 <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4862>.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
 May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.
- [RFC8305] Schinazi, D. and T. Pauly, "Happy Eyeballs Version 2:
 Better Connectivity Using Concurrency", RFC 8305,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC8305, December 2017,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8305.
- [RFC8505] Thubert, P., Ed., Nordmark, E., Chakrabarti, S., and C.
 Perkins, "Registration Extensions for IPv6 over Low-Power
 Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Neighbor
 Discovery", RFC 8505, DOI 10.17487/RFC8505, November 2018,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8505>.

7.2. Informative References

- [I-D.halpern-6man-nd-pre-resolve-addr]

 Chen, I. and J. Halpern, "Triggering ND Address Resolution on Receiving DAD-NS", <u>draft-halpern-6man-nd-pre-resolve-addr-00</u> (work in progress), January 2014.
- [RFC4941] Narten, T., Draves, R., and S. Krishnan, "Privacy Extensions for Stateless Address Autoconfiguration in IPv6", RFC 4941, DOI 10.17487/RFC4941, September 2007, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4941.
- [RFC6583] Gashinsky, I., Jaeggli, J., and W. Kumari, "Operational Neighbor Discovery Problems", RFC 6583, DOI 10.17487/RFC6583, March 2012, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6583>.

Author's Address

Jen Linkova Google 1 Darling Island Rd Pyrmont, NSW 2009 AU

Email: furry@google.com