WEBDAV Working Group Internet-Draft Expires: March 10, 2004 J. Slein Xerox J. Whitehead U.C. Santa Cruz J. Davis CourseNet G. Clemm Rational C. Fay FileNet J. Crawford IBM J. Reschke, Ed. greenbytes September 10, 2003

WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources draft-ietf-webdav-redirectref-protocol-04

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 10, 2004.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This specification defines redirect reference resources. A redirect

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 1]

reference resource is a resource whose default response is an HTTP/ 1.1 302 (Found) status code, redirecting the client to a different resource, the target resource. A redirect reference makes it possible to access the target resource indirectly, through any URI mapped to the redirect reference resource. There are no integrity guarantees associated with redirect reference resources.

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Please send comments to the Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WebDAV) working group at w3c-dist-auth@w3.org [1], which may be joined by sending a message with subject "subscribe" to w3c-dist-auth-request@w3.org [2].

Discussions of the WEBDAV working group are archived at URL: <u>http://</u> <u>lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/</u>.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	<u>5</u>
<u>2</u> .	Notational Conventions	<u>7</u>
<u>3</u> .	Terminology	<u>8</u>
<u>4</u> .	Overview of Redirect Reference Resources	<u>9</u>
<u>5</u> .	Creating a Redirect Reference Resource	<u>10</u>
<u>5.1</u>	MKRESOURCE	<u>10</u>
5.2	Example: Creating a Redirect Reference Resource with	
	MKRESOURCE	<u>11</u>
<u>6</u> .	Operations on Redirect Reference Resources	<u>13</u>
6.1	Example: GET on a Redirect Reference Resource	<u>14</u>
6.2	Example: PUT on a Redirect Reference Resource with	
	Apply-To-Redirect-Ref	<u>14</u>
<u>6.3</u>	Example: PROPPATCH on a Redirect Reference Resource	<u>15</u>
7.	Operations on Collections That Contain Redirect Reference	
	Resources	<u>16</u>
7.1	MOVE and DELETE on Collections That Contain Redirect	
	References	<u>16</u>
7.2	LOCK on a Collection That Contains Redirect References	17
7.3	Example: PROPFIND on a Collection with Redirect Reference	
	Resources	17
7.4	Example: PROPFIND with Apply-To-Redirect-Ref on a	
	Collection with Redirect Reference Resources	18
7.5	Example: COPY on a Collection That Contains a Redirect	
	Reference Resource	20
7.6	Example: LOCK on a Collection That Contains a Redirect	
	Reference Resource	21
8.	Operations on Targets of Redirect Reference Resources	24
9.	Relative URIs in DAV:reftarget	25
9.1	Example: Resolving a Relative URI in a MKRESOURCE Request	
9.2	Example: Resolving a Relative URI in a Multi-Status	
	Response	26

[Page 2]

<u>10</u> .	Redirect References to Collections	·	•	•	• •	<u>28</u>
<u>11</u> .	Headers					
<u>11.1</u>	Redirect-Ref Response Header					<u>30</u>
<u>11.2</u>	Apply-To-Redirect-Ref Request Header					<u>30</u>
<u>12</u> .	Properties					<u>31</u>
<u>12.1</u>	reftarget Property					<u>31</u>
12.2	location Pseudo-Property					<u>31</u>
13.	XML Elements					
13.1	redirectref XML Element					
14.	Extensions to the DAV:response XML Element for					
	Multi-Status Responses		_			33
15.	Capability Discovery					
	Example: Discovery of Support for Redirect Reference		•	•	• •	<u><u>u</u></u>
13.1	Resources					24
16						
<u>16</u> .	-					
	Privacy Concerns					
	Redirect Loops					
	Redirect Reference Resources and Denial of Service					
	Revealing Private Locations					
<u>17</u> .	Internationalization Considerations					
<u>18</u> .	IANA Considerations					
<u>19</u> .	Acknowledgements	•	•	•		<u>39</u>
	Normative References					<u>40</u>
	Authors' Addresses					<u>40</u>
<u>A</u> .	Changes to the WebDAV Document Type Definition					<u>42</u>
<u>А</u> . В.	Changes to the WebDAV Document Type Definition Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before	•	•	•		<u>42</u>
_						
_	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)					<u>43</u>
Β.	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)		•			<u>43</u> <u>43</u>
В. <u>В.1</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)		•			<u>43</u> <u>43</u>
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	•	•		 	<u>43</u> <u>43</u> <u>43</u>
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C.	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	•			 	<u>43</u> <u>43</u> <u>43</u> <u>44</u>
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	•			 	<u>43</u> <u>43</u> <u>43</u> <u>44</u> <u>44</u>
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	•	• • • •		 	43 43 43 44 44 44
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	· · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u> <u>C.4</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •	· · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44 44
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u> <u>C.4</u> <u>C.5</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)				· · · · · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 5
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u> <u>C.4</u> <u>C.5</u> <u>C.6</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • •	· · · · · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 45 45
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u> <u>C.4</u> <u>C.5</u> <u>C.6</u> <u>C.7</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 45 45 45
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u> <u>C.4</u> <u>C.5</u> <u>C.6</u> <u>C.7</u> <u>C.8</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44 45 45 45 45 45
B. <u>B.1</u> <u>B.2</u> C. <u>C.1</u> <u>C.2</u> <u>C.3</u> <u>C.4</u> <u>C.5</u> <u>C.6</u> <u>C.7</u> <u>C.8</u> <u>C.9</u>	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	43 43 43 44 44 44 44 44 45 45 45 45 45 45
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	$ \begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 43 \\ $
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10 C.11	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$ \begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 43 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 46 \\$
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10 C.11 C.12	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)				· ·	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45$
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10 C.11 C.12 C.12 C.13	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)				· · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45$
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10 C.11 C.12 C.13 C.14	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)				· · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45$
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10 C.11 C.12 C.13 C.14	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)				· · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45$
B. B.1 B.2 C. C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8 C.9 C.10 C.11 C.12 C.12 C.13 C.14 C.12 C.12 C.11 C.12 C.10 C.10 C.11 C.12 C.10 C.11 C.2 C.11 C.2 C.11 C.12 C.11 C.12 C.11 C.12 C.11 C.12 C.12 C.12 C.12 C.12 C.13 C.12 C.13 C.14 C.12 C.13 C.14 C.12 C.13 C.14 C.12 C.13 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.12 C.13 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.15 C.14 C.15 C.15 C.14 C.15	Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)				· · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 43\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 45$

[Page 3]

<u>C.18</u>	lc-82-iana	<u>48</u>
D.	Open issues (to be removed by RFC Editor before	
	publication)	<u>49</u>
<u>D.1</u>	lc-85-301	<u>49</u>
<u>D.2</u>	lc-38-not-hierarchical	<u>49</u>
<u>D.3</u>	lc-36-server	<u>49</u>
<u>D.4</u>	lc-33-forwarding	<u>49</u>
<u>D.5</u>	lc-56-notjusthttp	<u>50</u>
<u>D.6</u>	lc-37-integrity	<u>50</u>
<u>D.7</u>	3-terminology-redirectref	<u>50</u>
<u>D.8</u>	lc-43-webdav	<u>50</u>
<u>D.9</u>	lc-19-direct-ref	<u>51</u>
<u>D.10</u>	lc-04-standard-data-container	<u>51</u>
<u>D.11</u>	lc-05-standard-data-container	<u>51</u>
<u>D.12</u>	lc-20-intro-mkresource	<u>51</u>
<u>D.13</u>	lc-22-coll	<u>52</u>
	lc-25-atomic	<u>52</u>
D.15	lc-41-no-webdav	<u>52</u>
<u>D.16</u>	lc-42-no-webdav	<u>52</u>
<u>D.17</u>	lc-58-update	<u>53</u>
<u>D.18</u>	lc-23-body	<u>53</u>
<u>D.19</u>	lc-24-properties	<u>53</u>
<u>D.20</u>	lc-47-207	<u>54</u>
<u>D.21</u>	lc-48-s6	<u>54</u>
<u>D.22</u>	lc-28-lang	<u>55</u>
<u>D.23</u>	lc-29-lang	<u>55</u>
<u>D.24</u>	lc-49-put	<u>55</u>
<u>D.25</u>	lc-44-pseudo	<u>55</u>
<u>D.26</u>	lc-61-pseudo	<u>56</u>
<u>D.27</u>	lc-60-ex	<u>56</u>
<u>D.28</u>	lc-62-oldclient	<u>56</u>
<u>D.29</u>	lc-63-move	<u>56</u>
<u>D.30</u>	lc-06-reftarget-relative	<u>57</u>
<u>D.31</u>	lc-57-noautoupdate	<u>57</u>
<u>D.32</u>	lc-71-relative	<u>57</u>
<u>D.33</u>	lc-53-s10	<u>58</u>
<u>D.34</u>	lc-72-trailingslash	<u>58</u>
<u>D.35</u>	lc-50-blindredirect	<u>58</u>
<u>D.36</u>	lc-74-terminology	<u>59</u>
<u>D.37</u>	lc-75-ignore	<u>59</u>
<u>D.38</u>	lc-76-location	<u>59</u>
<u>D.39</u>	lc-79-accesscontrol	<u>59</u>
<u>D.40</u>	lc-80-i18n	<u>60</u>
<u>D.41</u>	lc-55-iana	<u>60</u>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	<u>61</u>

[Page 4]

1. Introduction

This is one of a pair of specifications that extend the WebDAV Distributed Authoring Protocol to enable clients to create new access paths to existing resources. This capability is useful for several reasons:

URIS of WebDAV-compliant resources are hierarchical and correspond to a hierarchy of collections in resource space. The WebDAV Distributed Authoring Protocol makes it possible to organize these resources into hierarchies, placing them into groupings, known as collections, which are more easily browsed and manipulated than a single flat collection. However, hierarchies require categorization decisions that locate resources at a single location in the hierarchy, a drawback when a resource has multiple valid categories. For example, in a hierarchy of vehicle descriptions containing collections for cars and boats, a description of a combination car/boat vehicle could belong in either collection. Ideally, the description should be accessible from both. Allowing clients to create new URIs that access the existing resource lets them put that resource into multiple collections.

Hierarchies also make resource sharing more difficult, since resources that have utility across many collections are still forced into a single collection. For example, the mathematics department at one university might create a collection of information on fractals that contains bindings to some local resources, but also provides access to some resources at other universities. For many reasons, it may be undesirable to make physical copies of the shared resources on the local server: to conserve disk space, to respect copyright constraints, or to make any changes in the shared resources visible automatically. Being able to create new access paths to existing resources in other collections or even on other servers is useful for this sort of case.

The redirect reference resources defined here provide a mechanism for creating alternative access paths to existing resources. A redirect reference resource is a resource in one collection whose purpose is to forward requests to another resource (its target), possibly in a different collection. In this way, it allows clients to submit requests to the target resource from another collection. It redirects most requests to the target resource using the HTTP 302 (Found) status code, thereby providing a form of mediated access to the target resource.

A redirect reference is a resource, and so can have properties and a body of its own. Properties of a redirect reference resource can contain such information as who created the reference, when, and why.

[Page 5]

Since redirect reference resources are implemented using HTTP 302 responses, it generally takes two round trips to submit a request to the intended resource. Servers are not required to enforce the integrity of redirect references. Redirect references work equally well for local resources and for resources that reside on a different server from the reference.

The remainder of this document is structured as follows: <u>Section 3</u> defines terms that will be used throughout the specification. <u>Section 4</u> provides an overview of redirect reference resources. <u>Section 5</u> discusses how to create a redirect reference resource. <u>Section 6</u> defines the semantics of existing methods when applied to redirect reference resources, and <u>Section 7</u> discusses their semantics when applied to collections that contain redirect reference resources. Sections <u>8</u> through <u>10</u> discuss several other issues raised by the existence of redirect reference resources. Sections <u>11</u> through 14 define the new headers, properties, and XML elements required to support redirect reference resources. <u>Section 15</u> discusses capability discovery. Sections <u>16</u> through <u>18</u> present the security, internationalization, and IANA concerns raised by this specification. The remaining sections provide a variety of supporting information.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 6]

<u>2</u>. Notational Conventions

Since this document describes a set of extensions to the WebDAV Distributed Authoring Protocol [RFC2518], itself an extension to the HTTP/1.1 protocol, the augmented BNF used here to describe protocol elements is exactly the same as described in <u>Section 2.1 of</u> [RFC2616]. Since this augmented BNF uses the basic production rules provided in <u>Section 2.2 of [RFC2616]</u>, these rules apply to this document as well.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [<u>RFC2119</u>].

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 7]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

3. Terminology

The terminology used here follows and extends that in the WebDAV Distributed Authoring Protocol specification [<u>RFC2518</u>]. Definitions of the terms resource, Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), and Uniform Resource Locator (URL) are provided in [<u>RFC2396</u>].

Redirect Reference Resource

A resource created to redirect all requests made to it, using 302 (Found), to a defined target resource.

Non-Reference Resource

A resource that is not a reference to another resource.

Target Resource

The resource to which requests are forwarded by a reference resource.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 8]

4. Overview of Redirect Reference Resources

For all operations submitted to a redirect reference resource, the default response is a 302 (Found), accompanied by the Redirect-Ref header (defined in <u>Section 11.1</u> below) and the Location header set to the URI of the target resource. With this information, the client can resubmit the request to the URI of the target resource.

A redirect reference resource never automatically forwards requests to its target resource. Redirect resources bring the same benefits as links in HTML documents. They can be created and maintained without the involvement or even knowledge of their target resource. This reduces the cost of linking between resources."

If the client is aware that it is operating on a redirect reference resource, it can resolve the reference by retrieving the reference resource's DAV:reftarget property (defined in <u>Section 12.1</u> below), whose value contains the URI of the target resource. It can then submit requests to the target resource.

A redirect reference resource is a new type of resource. To distinguish redirect reference resources from non-reference resources, a new value of the DAV:resourcetype property (defined in [<u>RFC2518</u>]), DAV:redirectref, is defined in <u>Section 13.1</u> below.

Since a redirect reference resource is a resource, it can have its own properties and body, and methods can be applied to the reference resource as well as to its target resource. The Apply-To-Redirect-Ref request header (defined in <u>Section 11.2</u> below) is provided so that referencing-aware clients can control whether an operation is applied to the redirect reference resource or to its target resource. The Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header can be used with most requests to redirect reference resources. This header is particularly useful with PROPFIND, to retrieve the reference resource's own properties.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 9]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

5. Creating a Redirect Reference Resource

The MKRESOURCE method is used to create new redirect reference resources. As defined in <u>Section 5.1</u>, MKRESOURCE can be used to create a resource of any type other than standard data containers and collections. In order to create a redirect reference resource using MKRESOURCE, the values of two properties must be set in the body of the MKRESOURCE request. The value of DAV:resourcetype MUST be set to DAV:redirectref, a new value of DAV:resourcetype defined in <u>Section</u> <u>13.1</u>. The value of DAV:reftarget MUST be set to the URI of the target resource.

Used in this way, the MKRESOURCE method creates a redirect reference resource whose target is identified by the DAV:reftarget property.

5.1 MKRESOURCE

The MKRESOURCE method requests the creation of a resource and initialization of its properties. It allows resources other than standard data containers and collections to be created and their properties initialized in one atomic operation.

Preconditions:

A resource MUST NOT exist at the Request-URI.

Request Marshalling:

The location of the new resource to be created is specified by the Request-URI.

The request body of the MKRESOURCE method MUST consist of the DAV:propertyupdate XML element defined in <u>Section 12.13 of</u> [RFC2518].

Postconditions:

If the response status code is 201, a new resource exists at the Request-URI.

The body of the new resource is empty.

The properties of the new resource are as specified by the DAV:propertyupdate request body, using PROPPATCH semantics. If the DAV:propertyupdate does not specify a DAV:resourcetype, the resource will be a standard data container.

If the response status code is not 201, then a new resource is not

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 10]

created at the Request-URI, and any existing resource at the Request-URI is unaffected.

Response Marshalling:

Responses from a MKRESOURCE request MUST NOT be cached, as MKRESOURCE has non-idempotent semantics.

The following status codes can be expected in responses to MKRESOURCE:

201 (Created): The new resource was successfully created.

207 (Multi-Status): This response is generated if an error was encountered while initializing the properties of the resource, in which case the response is as defined in <u>Section 8.2.1 of</u> [RFC2518].

403 (Forbidden): The server does not allow the creation of the requested resource type at the requested location, or the parent collection of the Request-URI exists but cannot accept members.

409 (Conflict): A resource cannot be created at the Request-URI because the parent collection for the resource does not exist, or because there is already a resource at that request-URL.

423 (Locked): The Request-URI is locked, and the lock token was not passed with the request.

507 (Insufficient Storage): The server does not have sufficient space to record the state of the resource.

5.2 Example: Creating a Redirect Reference Resource with MKRESOURCE

>> Request:

MKRESOURCE /~whitehead/dav/spec08.ref HTTP/1.1
Host: www.ics.uci.edu
Content-Type: text/xml; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: xxx
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<D:propertyupdate xmlns:D="DAV:">
 </D:propertyupdate xmlns:D="DAV:">

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 11]

```
<D:href>/i-d/draft-webdav-protocol-08.txt</D:href>
</D:reftarget>
</D:prop>
</D:set>
</D:propertyupdate>
```

>> Response:

HTTP/1.1 201 Created

This request resulted in the creation of a new redirect reference resource at www.ics.uci.edu/~whitehead/dav/spec08.ref, which points to the resource identified by the DAV:reftarget property. In this example, the target resource is identified by the URI http://www.ics.uci.edu/i-d/draft-webdav-protocol-08.txt. The redirect reference resource's DAV:resourcetype property is set to DAV:redirectref.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 12]

<u>6</u>. Operations on Redirect Reference Resources

Although non-referencing-aware clients cannot create reference resources, they should be able to submit requests through the reference resources created by reference-aware WebDAV clients. They should be able to follow any references to their targets. To make this possible, a server that receives any request made via a redirect reference resource MUST return a 302 (Found) status code, unless the request includes an Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header. The client and server MUST follow [RFC2616] Section 10.3.3 "302 Found," but with these additional rules:

- o The Location response header MUST contain an absolute URI that identifies the target of the reference resource.
- o The response MUST include the Redirect-Ref header. This header allows reference-aware WebDAV clients to recognize the resource as a reference resource and understand the reason for the redirection.

A reference-aware WebDAV client can act on this response in one of two ways. It can, like a non-referencing client, resubmit the request to the URI in the Location header in order to operate on the target resource. Alternatively, it can resubmit the request to the URI of the redirect reference resource with the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header in order to operate on the reference resource itself. If the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is present, the request MUST be applied to the reference resource itself, and a 302 response MUST NOT be returned.

A reference-aware client may know before submitting its request that the Request-URI identifies a redirect reference resource. In this case, if the client wants to apply the method to the reference resource, it can save the round trip caused by the 302 response by using an Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header in its initial request to the URI.

A few methods need additional explanation:

The Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header can be used with GET or HEAD to retrieve the entity headers of a redirect reference resource. When Apply-To-Redirect-Ref is used with GET or HEAD, the Redirect-Ref entity header MUST be returned.

A redirect reference resource MAY have a body, though none is defined for it in this specification. The PUT method can be used, with Apply-To-Redirect-Ref, to create or replace the body of a redirect reference resource.

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 13]

6.1 Example: GET on a Redirect Reference Resource

>> Request:

GET /bar.html HTTP/1.1 Host: www.foo.com

>> Response:

HTTP/1.1 302 Found Location: <u>http://www.svr.com/Internet/xxspec08.html</u> Redirect-Ref:

Since /bar.html is a redirect reference resource and the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is not included in the request, the response is a 302 (Found). The Redirect-Ref header informs a reference-aware client that this is not an ordinary HTTP 1.1 redirect, but is a redirect reference resource. The URI of the target resource is provided in the Location header so that the client can resubmit the request to the target resource.

6.2 Example: PUT on a Redirect Reference Resource with Apply-To-Redirect-Ref

>> Request:

PUT /bar.html HTTP/1.1 Host: www.foo.com Apply-To-Redirect-Ref: Content-Type: text/xml; charset="utf-8" Content-Length: xxxx

. . . some content . . .

>> Response:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Although /bar.html is a redirect reference resource, the presence of the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header prevents a 302 response, and instead causes the request to be applied to the reference resource. The result in this case is that the reference resource is replaced by a non-reference resource having the content submitted with the request.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 14]

6.3 Example: PROPPATCH on a Redirect Reference Resource

```
>> Request:
PROPPATCH /bar.html HTTP/1.1
Host: www.foo.com
Content-Type: text/xml; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: xxxx
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<D:propertyupdate xmlns:D="DAV:"
xmlns:Z="http://www.w3.com/standards/z39.50/">
 <D:set>
   <D:prop>
      <Z:authors>
        <Z:Author>Jim Whitehead</Z:Author>
        <Z:Author>Roy Fielding</Z:Author>
      </Z:authors>
   </D:prop>
 </D:set>
  <D:remove>
    <D:prop>
      <Z:Copyright-Owner/>
    </D:prop>
  </D:remove>
</D:propertyupdate>
```

```
>> Response:
```

```
HTTP/1.1 302 Found
Location: <u>http://www.svr.com/Internet/xxspec08.html</u>
Redirect-Ref:
```

Since /bar.html is a redirect reference resource and the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is not included in the request, the response is a 302 (Found). The Redirect-Ref header informs a reference-aware client that this is not an ordinary HTTP 1.1 redirect, but is a redirect reference resource. The URI of the target resource is provided in the Location header so that the client can resubmit the request to the target resource.

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 15]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

7. Operations on Collections That Contain Redirect Reference Resources

Consistent with the rules in <u>Section 6</u>, the response for each redirect reference encountered while processing a collection MUST be a 302 (Found) unless a Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is included with the request. The overall response will therefore be a 207 (Multi-Status). Since a Location header and Redirect-Ref header cannot be returned for each redirect reference encountered, the same information is provided using properties in the response elements for those resources. The DAV:location pseudo-property and the DAV:resourcetype property MUST be included with the 302 status code. This necessitates an extension to the syntax of the DAV:response element that was defined in [<u>RFC2518</u>]. The extension is defined in <u>Section 14</u> below.

A referencing-aware client can tell from the DAV:resourcetype property that the collection contains a redirect reference resource. The DAV:location pseudo-property contains the absolute URI of the target resource. A referencing-aware client can either use the URI value of the DAV:location pseudo-property to resubmit its request to the target resource, or it can submit the request to the redirect reference resource with Apply-To-Redirect-Ref.

It is recommended that future editors of [RFC2518] define the DAV:location pseudo-property in [RFC2518], so that non-referencing clients will also be able to use the response to operate on the target resource. (This will also enable clients to operate on traditional HTTP/1.1 302 responses in Multi-Status responses.) Until then, non-referencing clients will not be able to process 302 responses from redirect reference resources encountered while processing a collection.

The Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header (defined in <u>Section 11.2</u>) MAY be used with any request on a collection. If present, it will be applied to all redirect reference resources encountered while processing the collection.

7.1 MOVE and DELETE on Collections That Contain Redirect References

DELETE removes the binding that corresponds to the Request-URI. MOVE removes that binding and creates a new binding to the same resource. In cases where DELETE and MOVE are applied to a collection, these operations affect all the descendents of the collection, but they do so indirectly. There is no need to visit each descendent in order to process the request. Consequently, even if there are redirect reference resources in a tree that is being deleted or moved, there will be no 302 responses from the redirect reference resources.

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 16]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

7.2 LOCK on a Collection That Contains Redirect References

LOCK poses special problems because it is atomic. An attempt to lock (with Depth: infinity) a collection that contains redirect references will always fail. The Multi-Status response will contain a 302 response for each redirect reference.

Reference-aware clients can lock the collection by using Apply-To-Redirect-Ref, and, if desired, lock the targets of the redirect references individually.

Non-referencing clients must resort to locking each resource individually.

7.3 Example: PROPFIND on a Collection with Redirect Reference Resources

Suppose a PROPFIND request with Depth: infinity is submitted to the following collection, with the members shown here:

```
http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/
     (non-reference resource) diary.html
     (redirect reference resource) nunavut
>> Request:
PROPFIND /MyCollection/ HTTP/1.1
Host: www.svr.com
Depth: infinity
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: xxxx
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<D:propfind xmlns:D="DAV: ">
 <D:prop xmlns:J="http://www.svr.com/jsprops/">
    <D:resourcetype/>
   <J:keywords/>
 </D:prop>
</D:propfind>
>> Response:
HTTP/1.1 207 Multi-Status
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: xxxx
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<D:multistatus xmlns:D="DAV:" xmlns:J="http://www.svr.com/jsprops/">
  <D:response>
```

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 17]

```
<D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/</D:href>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop>
        <D:resourcetype><D:collection/></D:resourcetype>
        <J:keywords>diary, interests, hobbies</J:keywords>
      </D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/diary.html</D:href>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop>
        <D:resourcetype/>
        <J:keywords>diary, travel, family, history</J:keywords>
      </D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/nunavut</D:href>
    <D:status>HTTP/1.1 302 Found</D:status>
   <D:prop>
      <D:location>
        <D:href>http://www.inac.gc.ca/art/inuit/</D:href>
      </D:location>
      <D:resourcetype><D:redirectref/></D:resourcetype>
    </D:prop>
  </D:response>
</D:multistatus>
```

In this example the Depth header is set to infinity, and the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is not used. The collection contains one URI that identifies a redirect reference resource. The response element for the redirect reference resource has a status of 302 (Found), and includes a DAV:prop element with the DAV:location pseudo-property and the DAV:resourcetype property to allow clients to retrieve the properties of its target resource. (The response element for the redirect reference resource does not include the requested properties. The client can submit another PROPFIND request to the URI in the DAV:location pseudo-property to retrieve those properties.)

7.4 Example: PROPFIND with Apply-To-Redirect-Ref on a Collection with Redirect Reference Resources

Suppose a PROPFIND request with Apply-To-Redirect-Ref and Depth: infinity is submitted to the following collection, with the members

```
shown here:
/MyCollection/
     (non-reference resource) diary.html
     (redirect reference resource) nunavut
>> Request:
PROPFIND /MyCollection/ HTTP/1.1
Host: www.svr.com
Depth: infinity
Apply-To-Redirect-Ref:
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: xxxx
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<D:propfind xmlns:D="DAV:">
  <D:prop>
    <D:resourcetype/>
    <D:reftarget/>
 </D:prop>
</D:propfind>
>> Response:
HTTP/1.1 207 Multi-Status
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: xxxx
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<D:multistatus xmlns:D="DAV:">
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/</D:href>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop>
        <D:resourcetype><D:collection/></D:resourcetype>
      </D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop><D:reftarget/></D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 404 Not Found</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/diary.html</D:href>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop>
```

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 19]

```
<D:resourcetype/>
      </D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
   </D:propstat>
   <D:propstat>
      <D:prop><D:reftarget/></D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 404 Not Found</D:status>
   </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/nunavut</D:href>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop>
        <D:resourcetype><D:redirectref/></D:resourcetype>
        <D:reftarget>
          <D:href>http://www.inac.gc.ca/art/inuit/</D:href>
        </D:reftarget>
      </D:prop>
   <D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
</D:multistatus>
```

Since the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is present, the response shows the properties of the redirect reference resource in the collection rather than reporting a 302 status.

<u>7.5</u> Example: COPY on a Collection That Contains a Redirect Reference Resource

Suppose a COPY request is submitted to the following collection, with the members shown:

/MyCollection/

(non-reference resource) diary.html
(redirect reference resource) nunavut with target
/Someplace/nunavut.map

>> Request:

COPY /MyCollection/ HTTP/1.1 Host: www.svr.com Depth: infinity Destination: <u>http://www.svr.com/OtherCollection/</u>

>> Response:

HTTP/1.1 207 Multi-Status

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 20]

```
Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003
```

```
Content-Type: text/xml; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: xxx
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<D:multistatus xmlns:D="DAV:">
        <D:response>
        <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/nunavut</D:href>
        <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/nunavut</D:href>
        <D:href>http://l.1 302 Found</D:status>
        <D:prop>
        <D:href>http://www.svr.com//Someplace/nunavut.map</D:href>
        <D:href>http://www.svr.com//Someplace/nunavut.map</D:href>
        <D:href>http://www.svr.com//Someplace/nunavut.map</D:href>
        </D:location>
        <D:resourcetype><D:redirectref/></D:resourcetype>
</D:prop>
        </D:response>
</D:multistatus>
```

In this case, since /MyCollection/nunavut is a redirect reference resource, the COPY operation was only a partial success. The redirect reference resource was not copied, but a 302 response was returned for it. So the resulting collection is as follows:

```
/OtherCollection/
      (non-reference resource) diary.html
```

<u>7.6</u> Example: LOCK on a Collection That Contains a Redirect Reference Resource

Suppose a LOCK request is submitted to the following collection, with the members shown:

/MyCollection/ (non-reference resource) diary.html (redirect reference resource) nunavut

>> Request:

```
LOCK /MyCollection/ HTTP/1.1
Host: www.svr.com
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: nnnn
Authorizaton: Digest username="jas",
realm=jas@webdav.sb.aol.com, nonce=". . . ",
uri="/MyCollection/tuva",
response=". . . ", opaque=". . . "
```

<?xml version="1.0" ?>

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 21]

```
<D:lockinfo xmlns:D="DAV:">
  <D:lockscope><D:exclusive/></D:lockscope>
 <D:locktype><D:write/></D:locktype>
 <D:owner>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/~jas/contact.html</D:href>
  </D:owner>
</D:lockinfo>
>> Response:
HTTP/1.1 207 Multi-Status
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: nnnn
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<D:multistatus xmlns:D="Dav:">
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/</D:href>
   <D:propstat>
      <D:prop><D:lockdiscovery/></D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 424 Failed Dependency</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
 <D:response>
   <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/diary.html</D:href>
    <D:status>HTTP/1.1 424 Failed Dependency</D:status>
  </D:response>
  <D:response>
    <D:href>http://www.svr.com/MyCollection/nunavut</D:href>
    <D:status>HTTP/1.1 302 Found</D:status>
    <D:prop>
      <D:location>
        <D:href>http://www.inac.gc.ca/art/inuit/</D:href>
      </D:location>
      <D:resourcetype><D:redirectref/></D:resourcetype>
    </D:prop>
  </D:response>
</D:multistatus>
```

The server returns a 302 response code for the redirect reference resource in the collection. Consequently, neither the collection nor any of the resources identified by its internal member URIs were locked. A referencing-aware client can submit a separate LOCK request to the URI in the DAV:location pseudo-property returned for the redirect reference resource, and can resubmit the LOCK request with the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header to the collection. At that point both the reference resource and its target resource will be locked (as well as the collection and all the resources identified by its

other members).

8. Operations on Targets of Redirect Reference Resources

Operations on targets of redirect reference resources have no effect on the reference resource.

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

9. Relative URIs in DAV:reftarget

The URI in the href in a DAV:reftarget property MAY be a relative URI. In this case, the base URI to be used for resolving the relative URI to absolute form is the URI used in the HTTP message to identify the redirect reference resource to which the DAV:reftarget property belongs.

When DAV:reftarget occurs in the body of a MKRESOURCE request, the base URI is constructed as follows: Its scheme component is "http", its authority component is the value of the Host header in the request, and its path component is the Request-URI in the request. See <u>Section 5 of [RFC2396]</u> for a discussion of relative URI references and how to resolve them.

When DAV:reftarget appears in the context of a Multi-Status response, it is in a DAV:response element that contains a single DAV:href element. The value of this DAV:href element serves as the base URI for resolving a relative URI in DAV:reftarget. The value of DAV:href may itself be relative, in which case it must be resolved first in order to serve as the base URI for the relative URI in DAV:reftarget. If the DAV:href element is relative, its base URI is constructed from the scheme component "http", the value of the Host header in the request, and the request-URI.

9.1 Example: Resolving a Relative URI in a MKRESOURCE Request

>> Request:

```
MKRESOURCE /north/inuvik HTTP/1.1
Host: www.somehost.edu
Content-Type: text/xml; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: xxx
</?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8" ?>
<D:propertyupdate xmlns:D="DAV:">
<D:propertyupdate xmlns:D="DAV:">
<D:set>
<D:prop>
<D:reftarget>
<D:reftarget>
<D:reftarget>
<D:href>mapcollection/inuvik.gif</D:href>
</D:prop>
</D:set>
</D:prop>
</D:set>
</D:propertyupdate>
```

```
>> Response:
```

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 25]

HTTP/1.1 201 Created

In this example, the base URI is http://www.somehost.edu/north/ inuvik. Then, following the rules in http://www.somehost.edu/north/mapcollection/inuvik.gif.

9.2 Example: Resolving a Relative URI in a Multi-Status Response

```
>> Request:
PROPFIND /geog/ HTTP/1.1
Host: www.xxsvr.com
Apply-To-Redirect-Ref:
Depth: 1
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: nnn
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<D:propfind xmlns:D="DAV:">
 <D:prop>
    <D:resourcetype/>
    <D:reftarget/>
 </D:prop>
</D:propfind>
>> Response:
HTTP/1.1 207 Multi-Status
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-Length: nnn
<?xml version="1/0" ?>
<D:multistatus xmlns:D="DAV:">
  <D:response>
    <D:href>/geog/</D:href>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop>
        <D:resourcetype><D:collection/></D:resourcetype>
      </D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
    <D:propstat>
      <D:prop><D:reftarget/></D:prop>
      <D:status>HTTP/1.1 404 Not Found</D:status>
    </D:propstat>
  </D:response>
  <D:response>
```

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 26]

```
<D:href>/geog/stats.html</D:href>
<D:propstat>
<D:prop>
<D:resourcetype><D:redirectref/></D:resourcetype>
<D:reftarget>
<D:href>statistics/population/1997.html</D:href>
</D:reftarget>
</D:prop>
<D:status>HTTP/1.1 200 OK</D:status>
</D:propstat>
</D:response>
</D:multistatus>
```

In this example, the relative URI statistics/population/1997.html is returned as the value of reftarget for the reference resource identified by href /geog/stats.html. The href is itself a relative URI, which resolves to http://www.xxsrv.com/geog/stats.html. This is the base URI for resolving the relative URI in reftarget. The absolute URI of reftarget is http://www.xxsrv.com/geog/stats.html. This is the base URI for resolving the relative URI in reftarget. The absolute URI of reftarget is http://www.xxsrv.com/geog/statistics/population/1997.html.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 27]

10. Redirect References to Collections

In a Request-URI /segment1/segment2/segment3, any of the three segments may identify a redirect reference resource. (See [RFC2396], Section 3.3, for definitions of "path" and "segment".) If any segment in a Request- URI identifies a redirect reference resource, the response is a 302. The value of the Location header in the 302 response is as follows:

The leftmost path segment of the request-URI that identifies a redirect reference resource, together with all path segments and separators to the left of it, is replaced by the value of the redirect reference resource's DAV:reftarget property (resolved to an absolute URI). The remainder of the request-URI is concatenated to this path.

Note: If the DAV:reftarget property ends with a "/" and the remainder of the Request-URI is non-empty (and therefore must begin with a "/ "), the final "/" in the DAV:reftarget property is dropped before the remainder of the Request-URI is appended.

Consider Request-URI /x/y/z.html. Suppose that /x/ is a redirect reference resource whose target resource is collection /a/, which contains redirect reference resource y whose target resource is collection /b/, which contains redirect reference resource z.html whose target resource is /c/d.html.

```
/x/y/z.html
    |
    | /x -> /a
    |
    v
/a/y/z.html
    |
    | /a/y -> /b
    |
    v
/b/z.html
    |
    | /b/z.html -> /c/d.html
    |
    v
/c/d.html
```

In this case the client must follow up three separate 302 responses before finally reaching the target resource. The server responds to the initial request with a 302 with Location: /a/y/z.html, and the client resubmits the request to /a/y/z.html. The server responds to

this request with a 302 with Location: /b/z.html, and the client resubmits the request to /b/z.html. The server responds to this request with a 302 with Location: /c/d.html, and the client resubmits the request to /c/d.html. This final request succeeds.

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources

<u>11</u>. Headers

11.1 Redirect-Ref Response Header

Redirect-Ref = "Redirect-Ref:"

The Redirect-Ref header is used in all 302 responses from redirect reference resources. Its presence informs reference-aware clients that the response is not a plain HTTP/1.1 redirect, but is a response from a redirect reference resource.

11.2 Apply-To-Redirect-Ref Request Header

Apply-To-Redirect-Ref = "Apply-To-Redirect-Ref" ":"

The optional Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header can be used on any request to a redirect reference resource. When it is used, the request MUST be applied to the reference resource itself, and a 302 response MUST NOT be returned.

If the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is used on a request to any other sort of resource besides a redirect reference resource, the server SHOULD ignore it.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 30]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources

12. Properties

<u>12.1</u> reftarget Property

Name: reftarget

Namespace: DAV:

- Purpose: A property of redirect reference resources that provides an efficient way for clients to discover the URI of the target resource. This is a read-only property after its initial creation. Its value can only be set in a MKRESOURCE request.
- Value: href containing the URI of the target resource. This value MAY be a relative URI. The reftarget property can occur in the entity bodies of MKRESOURCE requests and of responses to PROPFIND requests.

<!ELEMENT reftarget href >

12.2 location Pseudo-Property

Name: location

Namespace: DAV:

Purpose: For use with 302 (Found) response codes in Multi-Status responses. It contains the absolute URI of the temporary location of the resource. In the context of redirect reference resources, this value is the absolute URI of the target resource. It is analogous to the Location header in HTTP 302 responses defined in [RFC2616] Section 10.3.3 "302 Found." Including the location pseudo-property in a Multi- Status response requires an extension to the syntax of the DAV:response element defined in [RFC2518], which is defined in Section 14 below. This pseudo-property is not expected to be stored on the reference resource. It is modeled as a property only so that it can be returned inside a DAV:prop element in a Multi-Status response.

Value: href containing the absolute URI of the target resource.

<!ELEMENT location href >

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 31]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

<u>13</u>. XML Elements

13.1 redirectref XML Element

Name: redirectref

Namespace: DAV:

Purpose: Used as the value of the DAV:resourcetype property to specify that the resource type is a redirect reference resource.

<!ELEMENT redirectref EMPTY >

<u>14</u>. Extensions to the DAV:response XML Element for Multi-Status Responses

As described in <u>Section 7</u>, the DAV:location pseudo-property and the DAV:resourcetype property may be returned in the DAV:response element of a 207 Multi-Status response, to allow clients to resubmit their requests to the target resource of a redirect reference resource.

Whenever these properties are included in a Multi-Status response, they are placed in a DAV:prop element associated with the href to which they apply. This structure provides a framework for future extensions by other standards that may need to include additional properties in their responses.

Consequently, the definition of the DAV:response XML element changes to the following:

<!ELEMENT response (href, ((href*, status, prop?) | (propstat+)), responsedescription?) >

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 33]

September 2003

15. Capability Discovery

Sections 9.1 and 15 of [RFC2518] describe the use of compliance classes with the DAV header in responses to OPTIONS, to indicate which parts of the WebDAV Distributed Authoring protocols the resource supports. This specification defines an OPTIONAL extension to [<u>RFC2518</u>]. It defines a new compliance class, called redirectrefs, for use with the DAV header in responses to OPTIONS requests. If a resource does support redirect references, its response to an OPTIONS request may indicate that it does, by listing the new redirectrefs compliance class in the DAV headerand by listing the MKRESOURCE method as one it supports.

When responding to an OPTIONS request, any type of resource can include redirectrefs in the value of the DAV header. Doing so indicates that the server permits a redirect reference resource at the request URI.

15.1 Example: Discovery of Support for Redirect Reference Resources

>> Request:

OPTIONS /somecollection/someresource HTTP/1.1 HOST: somehost.org

>> Response:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK Date: Tue, 20 Jan 1998 20:52:29 GMT Connection: close Accept-Ranges: none Allow: OPTIONS, GET, HEAD, POST, PUT, DELETE, TRACE, COPY, MOVE, MKCOL, PROPFIND, PROPPATCH, LOCK, UNLOCK, MKRESOURCE DAV: 1, 2, redirectrefs

The DAV header in the response indicates that the resource / somecollection/someresource is level 1 and level 2 compliant, as defined in [RFC2518]. In addition, /somecollection/someresource supports redirect reference resources. The Allow header indicates that MKRESOURCE requests can be submitted to /somecollection/ someresource. The Public header shows that other Request-URIs on the server support additional methods.

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 34]

<u>16</u>. Security Considerations

This section is provided to make applications that implement this protocol aware of the security implications of this protocol.

All of the security considerations of HTTP/1.1 and the WebDAV Distributed Authoring Protocol specification also apply to this protocol specification. In addition, redirect reference resources introduce several new security concerns and increase the risk of some existing threats. These issues are detailed below.

<u>16.1</u> Privacy Concerns

By creating redirect reference resources on a trusted server, it is possible for a hostile agent to induce users to send private information to a target on a different server. This risk is mitigated somewhat, since clients are required to notify the user of the redirection for any request other than GET or HEAD. (See [RFC2616], Section 10.3.3 302 Found.)

16.2 Redirect Loops

Although redirect loops were already possible in HTTP 1.1, the introduction of the MKRESOURCE method creates a new avenue for clients to create loops accidentally or maliciously. If the reference resource and its target are on the same server, the server may be able to detect MKRESOURCE requests that would create loops. See also [RFC2616], Section 10.3 "Redirection 3xx."

16.3 Redirect Reference Resources and Denial of Service

Denial of service attacks were already possible by posting URLs that were intended for limited use at heavily used Web sites. The introduction of MKRESOURCE creates a new avenue for similar denial of service attacks. Clients can now create redirect reference resources at heavily used sites to target locations that were not designed for heavy usage.

<u>16.4</u> Revealing Private Locations

There are several ways that redirect reference resources may reveal information about collection structures. First, the DAV:reftarget property of every redirect reference resource contains the URI of the target resource. Anyone who has access to the reference resource can discover the collection path that leads to the target resource. The owner of the target resource may have wanted to limit knowledge of this collection structure.

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 35]

Sufficiently powerful access control mechanisms can control this risk to some extent. Property-level access control could prevent users from examining the DAV:reftarget property. (The Location header returned in responses to requests on redirect reference resources reveals the same information, however.) In some environments, the owner of a resource might be able to use access control to prevent others from creating references to that resource.

This risk is no greater than the similar risk posed by HTML links.

September 2003

17. Internationalization Considerations

This specification follows the practices of [RFC2518] in encoding all human-readable content using XML [XML] and in the treatment of names. Consequently, this specification complies with the IETF Character Set Policy [RFC2277].

WebDAV applications MUST support the character set tagging, character set encoding, and the language tagging functionality of the XML specification. This constraint ensures that the human-readable content of this specification complies with [RFC2277].

As in [RFC2518], names in this specification fall into three categories: names of protocol elements such as methods and headers, names of XML elements, and names of properties. Naming of protocol elements follows the precedent of HTTP, using English names encoded in USASCII for methods and headers. The names of XML elements used in this specification are English names encoded in UTF-8.

For error reporting, [RFC2518] follows the convention of HTTP/1.1 status codes, including with each status code a short, English description of the code (e.g., 423 Locked). Internationalized applications will ignore this message, and display an appropriate message in the user's language and character set.

This specification introduces no new strings that are displayed to users as part of normal, error-free operation of the protocol.

For rationales for these decisions and advice for application implementors, see [<u>RFC2518</u>].

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 37]

<u>18</u>. IANA Considerations

All IANA considerations mentioned in [RFC2518] also apply to this document.

19. Acknowledgements

This draft has benefited from thoughtful discussion by Jim Amsden, Peter Carlson, Steve Carter, Tyson Chihaya, Ken Coar, Ellis Cohen, Bruce Cragun, Spencer Dawkins, Mark Day, Rajiv Dulepet, David Durand, Roy Fielding, Yaron Goland, Fred Hitt, Alex Hopmann, James Hunt, Marcus Jager, Chris Kaler, Manoj Kasichainula, Rohit Khare, Daniel LaLiberte, Steve Martin, Larry Masinter, Jeff McAffer, Joe Orton, Surendra Koduru Reddy, Juergen Reuter, Max Rible, Sam Ruby, Bradley Sergeant, Nick Shelness, John Stracke, John Tigue, John Turner, Kevin Wiggen, and others.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 39]

Normative References

- [RFC2277] Alvestrand, H., "IETF Policy on Character Sets and Languages", <u>BCP 18</u>, <u>RFC 2277</u>, January 1998.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2396] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R. and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax", <u>RFC 2396</u>, August 1998.
- [RFC2518] Goland, Y., Whitehead, E., Faizi, A., Carter, S. and D. Jensen, "HTTP Extensions for Distributed Authoring --WEBDAV", <u>RFC 2518</u>, February 1999.
- [RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P. and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", <u>RFC 2616</u>, June 1999.
- [XML] Bray, T., Paoli, J., Sperberg-McQueen, C. and E. Maler, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (2nd ed)", W3C REC-xml, October 2000, <<u>http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/</u> REC-xml-20001006>.
- [1] <mailto:w3c-dist-auth@w3.org>
- [2] <mailto:w3c-dist-auth-request@w3.org?subject=subscribe>
- [3] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [4] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [5] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0286.html>
- [6] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0359.html</u>>
- [7] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [8] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0287.html>
- [9] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u>

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 40]

0188.html>

- [10] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0266.html</u>>
- [11] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0266.html</u>>
- [12] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0290.html</u>>
- [13] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0291.html>
- [14] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0295.html>
- [15] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0188.html</u>>
- [16] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [17] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [18] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0304.html</u>>
- [19] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0359.html>
- [20] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0359.html</u>>
- [21] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0289.html</u>>
- [22] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0285.html</u>>
- [23] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0284.html</u>>
- [24] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0306.html</u>>
- [25] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u>

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 41]

0288.html>

- [26] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0290.html</u>>
- [27] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0294.html>
- [28] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0266.html</u>>
- [29] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0189.html</u>>
- [30] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0189.html>
- [31] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0266.html</u>>
- [32] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [33] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [34] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0292.html>
- [35] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0293.html>
- [36] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0308.html</u>>
- [37] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [38] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0266.html>
- [39] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0297.html</u>>
- [40] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0298.html</u>>
- [41] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u>

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 42]

0266.html>

- [42] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0266.html</u>>
- [43] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0299.html>
- [44] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0302.html</u>>
- [45] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [46] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [47] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0316.html>
- [48] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [49] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0222.html>
- [50] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0307.html</u>>
- [51] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0316.html>
- [52] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0304.html</u>>
- [53] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [54] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0300.html</u>>
- [55] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [56] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0316.html</u>>
- [57] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u>

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 43]

0359.html>

- [58] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0359.html</u>>
- [59] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/</u> 0359.html>
- [60] <<u>http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/w3c-dist-auth/2000JanMar/ 0305.html</u>>

Authors' Addresses

J. Slein Xerox Corporation 800 Phillips Road, 105-50C Webster, NY 14580

EMail: jslein@crt.xerox.com

Jim Whitehead UC Santa Cruz, Dept. of Computer Science 1156 High Street Santa Cruz, CA 95064 US

EMail: ejw@cse.ucsc.edu

J. Davis CourseNet Systems 170 Capp Street San Francisco, CA 94110

EMail: jrd3@alum.mit.edu

G. Clemm
Rational Software Corporation
20 Maguire Road
Lexington, MA 02173-3104

EMail: geoffrey.clemm@us.ibm.com

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 44]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources

September 2003

C. Fay FileNet Corporation 3565 Harbor Boulevard Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1420

EMail: cfay@filenet.com

J. Crawford IBM Research P.O. Box 704 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

EMail: ccjason@us.ibm.com

Julian F. Reschke (editor) greenbytes GmbH Salzmannstrasse 152 Muenster, NW 48159 Germany Phone: +49 251 2807760 Fax: +49 251 2807761 EMail: julian.reschke@greenbytes.de URI: <u>http://greenbytes.de/tech/webdav/</u>

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 45]

Appendix A. Changes to the WebDAV Document Type Definition

```
<!-- XML Elements from <u>Section 13</u> -->
<!ELEMENT redirectref EMPTY >
<!-- -->Property Elements from Section 12 -->
<!ELEMENT reftarget href>
<!ELEMENT location href>
<!-- Changes to the DAV:response Element from Section 14 -->
<!ELEMENT response (href, ((href*, status, prop?) | (propstat+)),
responsedescription?) >
```

<u>Appendix B</u>. Change Log (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)

B.1 Since draft-ietf-webdav-redirectref-protocol-02

Julian Reschke takes editorial role (added to authors list). Cleanup XML indentation. Start adding all unresolved last call issues. Update some author's contact information. Update references, split into "normative" and "informational". Remove non-RFC2616 headers ("Public") from examples. Fixed width problems in artwork. Start resolving editorial issues.

B.2 Since draft-ietf-webdav-redirectref-protocol-03

Added Joe Orton and Juergen Reuter to Acknowledgements section. Close more editorial issues. Remove dependencies on BIND spec.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 47]

Internet-Draft WebDAV Redirect Reference Resources September 2003

<u>Appendix C</u>. Resolved issues (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)

Issues that were either rejected or resolved in this version of this document.

<u>C.1</u> lc-07-bind

Type: change

[3]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): Abstract should discuss only redirect references, not bindings. Expand discussion of redirect references.

Resolution: Abstract will discuss only redirect references. See also issue 34.

<u>C.2</u> lc-08-bind

Type: change

[4]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): Get rid of cross-references to the binding spec: in the abstract, in the introduction, in the definition of Reference Resource.

Resolution: Cross-references to bindings will be removed. See also issue 34.

C.3 lc-34-bind

Type: change

[5]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): NoBind: Remove all cross-references to the binding spec. Prefer also removing all mention of bindings.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issues 7, 8, 14

<u>C.4</u> lc-83-bind

Type: change

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 48]

[6]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-22): References: Get rid of the reference to the bindings spec.

Resolution: Agreed.

<u>C.5</u> lc-12-bind

Type: change

[7]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): First 3 paragraphs of Introduction are identical to those in binding spec. Make sure that any changes made there are also incorporated here.

Resolution: These paragraphs will change as necessary to make the redirect spec completely independent of the rest of WebDAV.

<u>C.6</u> lc-35-bind

Type: change

[8]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): ReallyNoBind: Remove paras. 6 and 8 from Intro.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issue 14.

<u>C.7</u> lc-01-body

Type: change

[9]

joe@orton.demon.co.uk (2000-01-26): Entity Bodies for Redirect References: Clarify: Are there 2 resources, one that redirects and one that responds with its own entity body? Clarify: What is the effect of PUT for a URI that currently maps to a redirect reference?

Resolution: Redirect resource MUST NOT have a body. See also issue last call issue 23.

<u>C.8</u> lc-14-bind

Type: change

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 49]

[10]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): Limit the discussion of bindings to just what is needed to understand the differences from redirect references. Maybe the paragraph in the Intro that starts "By contrast, a BIND request . . ." is all that is needed.

Resolution: Get rid of discussion of bindings altogether. See also issue 34, 35.

<u>C.9</u> lc-15-direct-ref

Type: change

[11]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): Don't define Direct Reference Resource, since direct references are out of scope. (If you do keep the definition, say explicitly that a direct reference resource is a type of reference resource.)

Resolution: Remove definition of Direct Reference Resource. See also issue 39.

<u>C.10</u> lc-39-no-reference-or-direct-resource

Type: change

[12]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): NoReferenceOrDirectResource: Remove the definitions of "Reference" and "Direct Reference Resource." Change the definition of "Redirect Reference Resource" to be: Redirect Resource: A resource created to redirect all requests made to it, using 302 (Found), to a defined target resource.

julian.reschke@greenbytes.de (2003-07-27): (Rename from "redirect reference resource" to "redirect resource" delayed for now).

Resolution: Agreed. See also issue 15.

C.11 lc-40-direct

Type: change

[13]

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 50]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Assorted changes to <u>Section 4</u>, para 2 to get rid of the word "forward" and the word "server" and remove comparison with direct references.

Resolution: See also issue 33 (forward). See also issue 36 (server). Remove discussion of direct references.

C.12 lc-45-apply-to-rr

Type: change

[14]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Suggested replacement text for this paragraph, which briefly introduces Apply-To-Redirect-Ref. Includes a note that even with this header, the response may be a 302.

Resolution: See issue 19 for replacement text. Disagree. Redirect reference will never respond to Apply-To-RR with 302.

C.13 lc-01A-body

Type: change

[15]

joe@orton.demon.co.uk (2000-01-26): In the definition of MKRESOURCE, "Body" needs to be defined or else terminology changed.

Resolution: We will use MKREF instead of MKRESOURCE.

<u>C.14</u> lc-31-MKCOL

Type: edit

[16]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 6</u>, para on MKRESOURCE and MKCOL is obvious and doesn't need to be stated. Maybe show in an example.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issue 48.

C.15 lc-67-redirectref

Type: change

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 51]

[17]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): 7.4: The explanation should not contrast displaying the properties of the redirect ref with displaying the properties of its target, but with returning a 302.

Resolution: Revise as recommended.

<u>C.16</u> lc-54-s10

Type: change

[18]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): The Note: in section 10 has the same problem pointed out in Bindings.NoSlash and needs to be fixed. It contradicts RFC 2518 and 2616, which both assume that a URL and the same URL + "/" may map to different resources.

Resolution: Agreed in mailing list discussions that no change is needed.

C.17 lc-78-directory

Type: change

[19]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-22): Section 16.4: Change "directory" to "collection". Not new to this protocol. Holds for any protocol that has hierarchical access paths.

C.18 lc-82-iana

Type: change

[20]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-22): Section 18: Just reference [WebDAV] and say this protocol does not introduce any new considerations.

Resolution: Simplify, then resolve issue 55.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 52]

Appendix D. Open issues (to be removed by RFC Editor before publication)

D.1 lc-85-301

Type: change

ejw@cse.ucsc.edu (2000-01-03): Support creation of other than 302 redirects, especially 301.

D.2 lc-38-not-hierarchical

Type: change

[21]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Not Hierarchical: The first sentence of the second paragraph of the introduction of the redirect spec asserts that the URIs of WebDAV compliant resources match to collections. The WebDAV standard makes no such requirement. I therefore move that this sentence be stricken.

Resolution: State the more general HTTP rationale first (alternative names for the same resource), then introduce the collection hierarchy rationale, which applies only if you are in a WebDAV-compliant space.

D.3 lc-36-server

Type: change

[22]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Servers: Replace "server" with "unrelated system" throughout.

Resolution: Try replacing "server" with "host" in some contexts, rephrasing in passive voice in others. See also issue 40.

D.4 lc-33-forwarding

Type: change

[23]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Forwarding: Replace "forward" with "redirect" throughout.

Resolution: Use "redirect" for the behavior redirect resources do exhibit. Use "forward" for the contrasting behavior (passing a method

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 53]

on to the target with no client action needed). Define these two terms. See also issue 40.

D.5 lc-56-notjusthttp

Type: change

[24]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Make it clear in examples and text that the redirection URI could be non-HTTP.

Resolution: We agree that it is possible to create redirect references to non-HTTP resources. Add example.

D.6 lc-37-integrity

Type: change

[25]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Integrity: Intro, para 7 "Servers are not required to enforce the integrity of redirect references." Integrity is not defined. Replace with something clearer.

Resolution: Rewrite to say that the server MUST NOT update the target See also issue 6.

D.7 3-terminology-redirectref

Type: change

[26]

julian.reschke@greenbytes.de (2003-07-27): Consider global rename of "redirect reference resource" to "redirect resource".

D.8 lc-43-webdav

Type: change

[27]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Get rid of the DAV:reftarget property.

Resolution: DAV:reftarget is readonly and is present only for

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 54]

redirect references that are also WebDAV resources. We'll also have a method for setting target; Redirect-Ref header (returned on all 302 responses) will have the target as its value. See also issue 6, 17, 50.

D.9 lc-19-direct-ref

Type: change

[28]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 4</u>, para 5 and <u>Section 6</u>, para 3 discussions of the Apply-to-Redirect-Ref header make it sound as if we are specifying direct reference behavior.

Resolution: Change these passages so that the contrast is between applying the method to the redirect reference and responding with a 302.

D.10 lc-04-standard-data-container

Type: change

[29]

joe@orton.demon.co.uk (2000-01-26): "Standard data container" needs to be defined in the context of MKRESOURCE

Resolution: Not relevant once we switch to MKREF.

D.11 lc-05-standard-data-container

Type: change

[30]

joe@orton.demon.co.uk (2000-01-26): Inconsistency about whether a "standard data container" can be created with MKRESOURCE or not.

Resolution: Not relevant once we switch to MKREF.

D.12 lc-20-intro-mkresource

Type: change

[31]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 5</u>: Start with "The new

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 55]

MKRESOURCE method" to make it clear that it is being introduced for the first time here.

Resolution: Say "The MKREF method defined normatively here . . ."

D.13 lc-22-coll

Type: change

[32]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): Inconsistency about whether collections can be created with MKRESOURCE.

Resolution: Not relevant for MKREF.

D.14 lc-25-atomic

Type: change

[33]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): Is MKRESOURCE atomic as viewed by a client? Can another client access the new resource's properties before they have been fully initialized? Maybe the MKRESOURCE request should let the client ask for it to be atomic.

Resolution: No longer relevant once we switch to MKREF with no request body.

D.15 lc-41-no-webdav

Type: change

[34]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Make redirect references independent of the rest of WebDAV. The creation method for redirect references shouldn't require an XML request body.

Resolution: We will make redirect references independent of the rest of WebDAV. MKREF will not have an XML request body.

D.16 lc-42-no-webdav

Type: change

[35]

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 56]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Use a creation method that creates only redirect references. The MKRESOURCE method hinders experiment because a user of a server who wishes to add support for the creation of a new resource type can't simply throw in another Apache module and allow it to provide the code for the new resource type. They have to find the code used for MKRESOURCE and change it to support the new resource type.

Resolution: We will replace MKRESOURCE with MKREF, which creates only redirect reference resources.

D.17 lc-58-update

Type: change

[36]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): There needs to be a way to update the target of a redirect reference.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issues 6, 43.

D.18 lc-23-body

Type: change

[37]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 5.1</u>: Get rid of the statement that the body of the resource is empty (PostConditions). It would be good if the response to GET included a response body that could be shown to a user by a client that doesn't do automatic redirection. There is a related problem in <u>Section 6</u> on PUT. It is wrong to assume that what is PUT to a resource is what GET will return. In <u>Section 6</u>, say "A PUT with Apply-To-RR MAY contain a request body. The semantics of the request body is out of scope for this specification..." Also fix the discussion of example 6.2.

Resolution: Redirect references cannot have bodies. GET with Apply-To-RR MUST fail with 403. PUT with Apply-To-RR MUST fail with 403. See also issue 1.

D.19 lc-24-properties

Type: change

[38]

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 57]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 5.1</u>: Replace the sentence "The properties of the new resource are as specified by the DAV:propertyupdate request body, using PROPPATCH semantics" with the following: "The MKRESOURCE request MAY contain a DAV:propertyupdate request body to initialize resource properties. Herein, the semantics is the same as when sending a MKRESOURCE request without a request body, followed by a PROPPATCH with the DAV:propertyupdate request body."

Resolution: No longer relevant once we switch to MKREF with no request body.

D.20 lc-47-207

Type: change

[39]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): In line with his wish to get rid of the request message body of MKRESOURCE, 207 would not be an appropriate response code. The description of 409 might lead someone to believe that you can't create redirect references outside of WebDAV namespaces. Suggests a different description.

Resolution: No longer relevant - MKREF can't get a 207 response. Revise to make it clear that the first condition will only occur in WebDAV-compliant namespaces.

D.21 lc-48-s6

Type: change

[40]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Replace all of <u>section 6</u> with just this: A redirect resource, upon receiving a request without an Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header, MUST respond with a 302 (Found) response. The 302 (Found) response MUST include a location header identifying the target and a Redirect-Ref header. If a redirect resource receives a request with an Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header then the redirect reference resource MUST apply the method to itself rather than blindly returning a 302 (Found) response.

Resolution: Keep a summary along the lines of Yaron's proposal (don't use the word "blindly"). Keep the bullets detailing the headers to be returned. Delete the rest, including the examples. See also issue 28, 29, 30, 31, 32.

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 58]

<u>D.22</u> lc-28-lang

Type: edit

[41]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 6</u>: Get rid of the sentence "A reference-aware WebDAV client can act on this response in one of two ways." A client can act on the response in any way it wants.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issue 48.

D.23 lc-29-lang

Type: edit

[42]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-07): <u>Section 6</u>, para 4: Obvious, doesn't need to be stated. Maybe note in an example.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issue 48.

D.24 lc-49-put

Type: change

[43]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Remove the last sentence of Example 6.2, which says that PUT replaces the reference with a different resource.

Resolution: No longer relevant. Deleted this example in response to issue 48.

D.25 lc-44-pseudo

Type: change

[44]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Instead of adding an optional prop XML element to the response element in 207 responses, define a new location XML element and a new refresource XML element.

Resolution: Agree to define new XML elements that are not pseudo-properties. Disagreement about whether refresource is needed.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 59]

See issue 61.

D.26 lc-61-pseudo

Type: change

[45]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): <u>Section 7</u>: It doesn't make sense to ask future editors of <u>RFC 2518</u> to define DAV:location with the semantics it has here. <u>RFC 2518</u> should provide the information in the Location header somehow in multistatus responses, but not by using properties.

Resolution: Define an XML element for location that is not a pseudo-property. We'll keep the recommendation that <u>RFC 2518</u> add this for 302 responses. See also issue 44.

D.27 lc-60-ex

Type: change

[46]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): <u>Section 7</u>, para 3: Make it clear that these are just examples of client behavior, and are not meant to limit the client's behavior to these options.

Resolution: Agreed to delete this paragraph. Continue discussion of what information should be returned with 302 in multistatus. Just location? Also redirectref?

D.28 lc-62-oldclient

Type: change

[47]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): <u>Section 7</u>: It's too strong to claim that non-referencing clients can't process 302 responses occurring in Multi-Status responses. They just have an extra round trip for each 302.

Resolution: Remove last sentence of the paragraph that recommends changes to <u>RFC 2518</u>.

D.29 lc-63-move

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 60]

Type: change

[48]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): <u>Section 7.1</u>: Is MOVE atomic from the perspective of a client? Agrees that there should be no 302s for member redirect references, but finds the rationale dubious.

Resolution: Remove 7.1. Reword 7.2 to avoid concerns with "poses special problems" and "due to atomicity".

D.30 lc-06-reftarget-relative

Type: change

[49]

joe@orton.demon.co.uk (2000-01-29): Why does the spec talk about relative URIs in DAV:reftarget in MKRESOURCE requests? Is the server required to resolve the relative URI and store it as absolute? Is the server required to keep DAV:reftarget pointing to the target resource as the reference / target move, or is DAV:reftarget a dead property?

Resolution: DAV:reftarget is readonly and present only on redirect references that are also WebDAV resources. Add a method for setting the target. Change definition of Redirect-Ref header so that it has the target as its value (comes back on all 302 responses). Server MUST store the target exactly as it is set. It MUST NOT resolve relatives to absolutes and MUST NOT update if target resource moves. See also issue 17, 43, 50, 57

D.31 lc-57-noautoupdate

Type: change

[50]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Add language to forbid servers from automatically updating redirect resources when their targets move.

Resolution: Agreed. See also issue 6.

D.32 lc-71-relative

Type: change

[51]

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 61]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): <u>Section 9</u>: Base URI should be the Request-URI or href minus its final segment.

Resolution: Fix this.

D.33 lc-53-s10

Type: change

[52]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): The behavior described in this section would have a very serious impact on the efficiency of mapping Request-URIs to resources in HTTP request processing. Also specify another type of redirect resource that does not behave as in <u>section 10</u>, but instead would "expose the behavior we see today in various HTTP servers that allow their users to create 300 resources." Be sure we know what behavior will be if the redirect location is not an HTTP URL, but, say ftp.

Resolution: We won't define 2 sorts of redirect references here. Servers SHOULD respond with 302 as described here, but if they can't do that, respond with 404 Not Found. (It's hard to modularize the behavior specified - it impacts processing Not Found cases of all methods, so you can't just add it to an HTTP server in a redirect ref module.)

D.34 lc-72-trailingslash

Type: change

[53]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): Section 10: Forbid DAV:reftarget
from ending in "/"

Resolution: Make the note warn about the possibility of two slashes in a row, recommend against ending target with a slash, since that could result in two slashes in a row.

D.35 lc-50-blindredirect

Type: change

[54]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Replace current language explaining the purpose of the Redirect-Ref header with language that

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 62]

simply states that it marks blind 302 responses from redirect resources. (Section 6.3, 11.1)

Resolution: <u>Section 6.3</u> was removed in response to issue 48. In 11.1, change the definition of the Redirect-Ref header to have the value of the target (relative URI) as its value. Then we don't need a method for retrieving the target's relative URI. Presence of the Redirect-Ref header lets the client know that the resource accepts Apply-To-RR header and the new method for updating target. Reject Yaron's suggested language, but make the above changes.

D.36 lc-74-terminology

Type: change

[55]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): "plain HTTP/1.1 redirect" - find some good name for this an use it consistently

D.37 lc-75-ignore

Type: change

[56]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-14): 11.2: "If the Apply-To-Redirect-Ref header is used on a request to any other sort of resource besides a redirect reference resource, the server SHOULD ignore it." Don't need to say this since HTP already says that any header that is not understood should be ignored.

D.38 lc-76-location

Type: change

[57]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-22): 12.2: Make DAV:location a real (live) property, get rid of the DAV:reftarget property

D.39 lc-79-accesscontrol

Type: change

[58]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-22): Section 16.4: "In some environments,

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 63]

the owner of a resource might be able to use access control to prevent others from creating references to that resource." That would not be consistent with the concept of redirect references as weak links (e.g. think of moving a resource to a different locationo that is already the target of some redirection reference.

D.40 lc-80-i18n

Type: change

[59]

reuterj@ira.uka.de (2000-02-22): <u>Section 17</u>: Could get rid of a lot of this section, since this protocol extends WebDAV. Just reference [WebDAV].

D.41 lc-55-iana

Type: change

[60]

yarong@Exchange.Microsoft.com (2000-02-11): Expand the IANA section to list all methods, headers, XML elements, MIME types, URL schemes, etc., defined by the spec.

Resolution: Agreed.

Slein, et al. Expires March 10, 2004 [Page 64]

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in <u>BCP-11</u>. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assignees.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION

Slein, et al.Expires March 10, 2004[Page 65]

HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.