Internet Engineering Task Force

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational

Expires: March 24, 2015

L. Zhou

N. Kong

S. Shen

CNNIC

S. Sheng

ICANN

A. Servin

LACNIC

September 20, 2014

Registration Data Access Protocol Object Inventory Analysis draft-ietf-weirds-object-inventory-04

Abstract

WHOIS output objects from registries (including both Regional Internet Registries (RIRs) and Domain Name Registries (DNRs)) were collected and analyzed. This document describes the statistical analysis process and result of existing WHOIS information. The purpose of this document is to build an object inventory to facilitate discussions of data objects included in Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) responses.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 24, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Inti	roducti	Lon			 									<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	Terr	ninolo	ју.			 									<u>4</u>
<u>3</u> .	Metl	nodolo	gy .			 									<u>4</u>
<u>4</u> .	RIR	Object	ts An	alys:	is	 									
	<u>4.1</u> .	WHOIS													
	<u>4.2</u> .	WHOIS													
		WHOIS													
		WHOIS													
		Concl													
5.		Object													
		0verv													
		Public													
		<u>.1</u> . WI													
		. <u>2</u> . WI													
		.2.2.1													
		.2.2.2		_											
		.2.2.3													
		.2.2.4													
		. <u>3</u> . WI		-	-										
		<u>.4</u> . WI													
		0ther													
		Concl	_												
		. <u>1</u> . Pı													
		. 2. Da		-											
		. <u>3</u> . Lá				-									
		4. 01													

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 2]

<u>5.5</u> . Limitations												<u>26</u>
Reference Extension Objects	3											<u>26</u>
<u>6.1</u> . RIR Reference Extension	1	0k	jε	eci	ts							26
<u>6.2</u> . DNR Reference Extension	1	Ok	jε	eci	ts							27
7. IANA Considerations	ı											<u>27</u>
8. Security considerations												<u>27</u>
9. Acknowledgements	ı											<u>27</u>
<u>10</u> . References	ı											<u>27</u>
10.1. Normative References .												28
10.2. Informative References	3											28
<u>Appendix A</u> . Change Log												28
Authors' Addresses												29

1. Introduction

RIRs and DNRs have historically maintained a lookup service to permit public access to some portion of the registry database. Most registries offer the service via the WHOIS protocol [RFC3912], with additional services being offered via world wide web pages, bulk downloads, and other services, such as Routing Policy Specification Language (RPSL) [RFC2622].

Although the WHOIS protocol is widely adopted and supported, it has several shortcomings that limit its usefulness to the evolving needs of the Internet community. Specifically,

- o It has no query and response format.
- o It does not support user authentication, access control for differentiated access.
- o It has not been internationalized and thus does not consistently support Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs, described in [RFC5890]).

This document records an inventory of registry data objects to facilitate discussions of registration data objects. The Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) was developed using this inventory as input.

In number space, there are altogether five RIRs. Although all RIRs provide information about IP addresses, Autonomous System Number (ASNs) and contacts, the data model used is different for each RIR. In domain name space, there are over 200 country code Top-Level Domains (ccTLDs) and over 400 generic Top-Level Domains (gTLDs) when the document is published. Different domain name registries may have different WHOIS response objects and formats. A common understanding of all these data formats is critical.

This document describes the WHOIS data collection procedures and gives a data object inventory analysis based on the collected data from five RIRs and 106 ccTLDs and 18 gTLDs from DNRs. The RIR data objects are classified into IP address, ASN, person or contact and the organization that held the resource. The DNR data objects are classified into domain, contact, nameserver and registrar related objects. Other objects that do not belong to above categories are viewed as private designed objects. In this document, there is no intent to analyze all the query and response types existed in RIRs and DNRs. The most common query objects are discussed, but other objects such as RPSL data structures used by Internet Routing Registries (IRRs) can be documented later if the community feels it is necessary.

2. Terminology

- o Data element -- The name of specific response object.
- o Label -- The name given to a particular data element, which may vary between registries.
- o Most popular label -- The label which is most supported by the registries.
- o Number of labels -- The number of different labels.
- o Total count -- The number of registries that support a certain data element.

Methodology

WHOIS information, including port 43 response and web response data, was collected following the procedures described below.

RIR objects collection process:

- (1) The process of RIR data collection was relatively easy. There are altogether five RIRs which are AFRINIC, APNIC, ARIN, LACNIC and RIPE NCC. All the RIRs provide information of IPs, ASNs and contacts. First, find the five RIR WHOIS servers.
- (2) Query the corresponding IPs, ASNs, contacts and organizations registered in five RIRs and make a comparative analysis of the responses data.
- (3) Data elements with the same meaning, but using different labels, were grouped together.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 4]

DNR objects collections process:

- (1) A programming script was applied to collect port 43 response data from 294 ccTLDs. "nic.ccTLD" is used as the query string, which is usually registered in a domain registry. Responses of 106 ccTLDs were received. 18 gTLDs' port 43 response data was collected from their contracts with ICANN. Thus, the sample size of port 43 WHOIS response data is 124 registries in total.
- (2) WHOIS data from web was collected manually from the 124 registries that have port 43 WHOIS responses.
- (3) Some of the responses which were collected by program did not seem to be correct, so data of the top 10 ccTLD registries, like .de, .eu and .uk etc., was re-verified by querying domain names other than "nic.ccTLD".
- (4) In accordance with the specification 4 of new gTLD applicant guide book [ICANN.AGB-201206] and the Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) ([RFC5730], [RFC5731], [RFC5732] and [RFC5733]), the response data objects are classified into public and other data objects. Public data objects are those which are defined in the above references. Other objects are those which are self designed data elements or objects in different registries.
- (5) Data elements with the same meaning, but using different labels, were grouped together. The numbers of registries that support the data elements are shown in the total count column.

4. RIR Objects Analysis

4.1. WHOIS Data for Organizations Holding a Resource

The following table shows the organization objects of five RIRs.

+		+	+		+	
	RIR Objects	İ	APNIC		LACNIC	RIPE NCC
	Organization name	organisation 	•	Name	Owner	org-name org-name
	Organization ID		NA 	Handle	•	organisation
	Company	NA	NA	Company		NA
	Name of	NA	NA	NA NA	responsible	NA

person responsible					
Type of organization	org-type	NA 	NA	NA	org-type
Country	country	NA	country	country	country
Postal Address	address	NA 	address	address	address
City	NA	NA	city	NA	address
State	NA	NA	StateProv	NA	address
Postal Code	NA	NA 	PostalCode 	NA	address
Phone	phone	NA	NA	phone	phone .
Fax Number	fax-no	NA	NA	NA	fax-no .
ID of administrative contact	admin-c	NA 	Admin POC 	owner-c	admin-c (multiple)
ID of technical contact	tech-c	NA 	+	tech-c	tech-c (multiple) (multiple)
Maintainer organization	mnt-ref	+ NA 	+	NA	++ mnt-ref
Maintainer object	mnt-by	NA NA	Abuse POC	NA 	+ mnt-by
Remarks	remarks	NA 	NA	NA	remarks
Date of record creation	Changed	+ NA 	RegDate 	created	Changed
Date of record changed	changed	NA 	Updated	changed	changed
List of resources	NA	NA 	NA NA	list of resources	NA

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 6]

Internet-Draft	Registration	Data	Ohiect	Inventory	September	201/
TIILEI IIEL-DI AI L	registration	Data	ODIECT	THINGHLOHY	Schreiinei	2014

+-		-+-		+-	+-		-+-		+	+
•	Source				•				•	
İ	Reference	İ	NA		NA	Ref	İ	NA	l NA	İ

WHOIS Data for Organizations Holding a Resource

4.2. WHOIS Data for Contacts

The following table shows the contact objects of five RIRs.

+			ARIN	LACNIC	++ RIPE NCC
Name	person	person	Name	person	person
Company	NA	NA	Company	NA	NA
Postal Address	address	address	Address	address	address
City	NA	NA	City	NA	address
State	NA	NA	StateProv	NA	address
Postal Code	NA	NA	PostalCode	NA	address
Country	NA	country	Country	country	NA
Phone	phone	phone	Mobile	phone	phone
Fax Number	fax-no	fax-no	Fax	NA	fax-no
Email	e-mail	e-mail	Email	e-mail	NA
ID	nic-hdl	nic-hdl	Handle	nic-hdl	nic-hdl
Remarks	remarks	remarks	Remarks	NA	remarks
Notify	notify	notify	NA	NA	notify
ID of maintainer	mnt-by 	mnt-by	NA	NA 	mnt-by
Registration Date	changed	NA	RegDate	created	changed
Registration update	changed	changed	Updated	changed	changed
Source			NA		
	NA	NA	Ref	l NA	NA

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 8]

4.3. WHOIS data for IP Addresses

The following table shows the IP address objects of five RIRs.

_	L .				
RIR Objects	AFRINIC	APNIC	ARIN	LACNIC 	RIPE NCC
IP address range	inetnum 	inetnum 	NetRange	NA 	inetnum
IPV6 address range	inet6num 	inet6num/ inetnum 	CIDR	inetnum 	inet6num/ inetnum
Description	descr 	descr 	NetName	NA 	descr
Remarks	remarks 	remarks 	NA	NA 	remarks
Origin AS	NA 	origin (on route/6)	•	OriginAS (future)	
Network name/ID	netname 	netname 	NetHandle	inetrev	netname
Maintainer	mnt-by	NA	NA	NA	mnt-by
Maintainer	mnt-lower 	NA 	NA	NA 	NA
Administrative contact	admin-c 	admin-c 	OrgId	ownerid 	admin-c
Parent range	parent	NA 	Parent	NA 	NA
Status	status	status	NetType	status	status
Registration Date	changed	NA 	RegDate	created 	changed
Registration update	-	changed		changed changed	changed
Reference	NA	NA	Ref	NA	NA

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 9]

+	+		++		++
ID organization holding the resource	org 	NA 	OrgId 	owner	organisation
Referral	NA	NA 	ReferralServer 	NA	NA
Technical contact	tech-c	tech-c	OrgTechHandle 	tech-c	tech-c (multiple)
Abuse contact	NA	NA	OrgAbuseHandle 	abuse-c	abuse-mailbox
Referral technical contact	NA 	NA	RTechHandle 	NA	NA
Referral abuse contact	mnt-irt 	mnt-irt 	RAbuseHandle 	NA	NA
Referral NOC contact	NA 	NA 	RNOCHandle 	NA	NA
Name server	NA 	NA 	NA 	nserver	NA

WHOIS Data for IP Addresses

4.4. WHOIS data for ASNs

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 10]

+	+	+			
RIR Objects	AFRINIC	APNIC	ARIN	LACNIC	RIPE NCC
ID	aut-num 	aut-num 	ASNumber	aut-num	aut-num
Description	descr 	descr	NA	NA	descr
Organization	+ org 	NA 	OrgId 	owner	org
Comment	remarks	NA	Comment	NA	remarks
Administrative contact ID	admin-c 	admin-c	ASHandle	owner-id	admin-c
Technical contact ID	tech-c 	tech-c 	OrgTechHandle 	routing-c	tech-c (multiple)
Organization	NA 	nic-hdl 	NA	owner-c	organisation
Notify	notify	notify	NA NA	NA	NA
Abuse contact	NA 	NA 	OrgAbuse Handle	abuse-c	NA
Maintainer	mnt-by	mnt-by	NA	NA	mnt-by
Maintainer	mnt-lower	mnt-lower	NA	NA	mnt-lower
Maintainer	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	mnt-ref
Registration Date	changed	NA 	RegDate	created	NA
Registration update		changed		changed	NA
Source	source 		NA	NA	source
'			'		

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 11]

4.5. Conclusion

As it can be observed, for each object (Organization, Contact Person, Net-num and ASN) there are fields that are unique to only one or a set of RIRs and there are fields that have the same meaning but are labeled differently for each RIR. In order to construct a single data model for each object, a selection of the most common and useful fields was made. That initial selection was the starting point for [I-D.ietf-weirds-json-response].

5. DNR Objects Analysis

5.1. Overview

WHOIS data was collected from 124 registries, including 106 ccTLDs and 18 gTLDs. All the 124 registries support domain queries. Among 124 registries, eight ccTLDs and 15 gTLDs support queries for specific contact persons or roles. 10 ccTLDs and 18 gTLDs support queries by nameserver. four ccTLDs and 18 gTLDs support registrar queries. Domain WHOIS data contain 68 data elements that use a total of 550 labels. There is a total of 392 other objects for domain WHOIS data. The raw data can be accessed at WHOIS Statistics Data File [Stat-Data-File].

5.2. Public Objects

As mentioned above, public objects are those data elements selected according to the new gTLD applicant guide book and EPP protocols. They are generally classified into four categories: domain, contact, nameserver and registrar related information.

5.2.1. WHOIS Data for Domains

WHOIS replies about domains include "Domain Name", "Creation Date", "Domain Status", "Expiration Date", "Updated Date", "Domain ID", "DNSSEC" and "Last Transferred Date". The following table gives the element name, most popular label and corresponding numbers of TLDs and labels.

+ -		+	+	
	Data Element	Most Popular Label	No. of	Labels
	Domain Name	Domain Name	118	6
Ţ		Created	106	24
	Domain Status	•	95	8
	Expiration Date	Expiration Date	81	21
	•	Modified	70	20
		Domain ID	34	5
	DNSSEC	DNSSEC	14	4
	Last Transferred Date	Last Transferred Date	4 	3 3

WHOIS Data for Domains

Several statistical conclusion that obtained from above data include:

- o About 95.16% of the 124 registries support a "Domain Name" data element.
- o Nearly 85.48% of the 124 registries support a "Creation Date" data
- o Almost 76.61% of the 124 registries support a "Domain Status" data element
- o On the other hand, some elements such as "DNSSEC" and "Last Transferred Date" are only supported by 11.29% and 3.23% of all the registries seperately.

5.2.2. WHOIS Data for Contacts

In domain name space, contacts are typically divided into registrant, administrative contact, technical contact and billing contact.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 13]

<u>5.2.2.1</u>. Registrant

The following table shows all the contact information for a registrant. 14 data elements are listed below.

+	.	-	- - +
Data Element	Most Popular Label 	No. of TLDs	No. of Labels
Registrant Name	Name	65	7
Registrant Email	Registrant Email	59	7
Registrant ID	Registrant ID	50	12
Registrant Phone	Registrant Phone	48	6
Registrant Fax	Registrant Fax	44	6
Registrant Organization	Registrant Organization	42 	4
Registrant Country Code	Country	42	6
Registrant City	Registrant City	38	4
Registrant Postal Code	Registrant Postal	37	5
Registrant State/Province	Registrant State/Province	32	4
Registrant Street	Registrant Street1	31	16
Registrant Country	Registrant Country	19	4
Registrant Phone Ext.	Registrant Phone Ext.	18 	2 2
Registrant Fax Ext	Registrant Fax Ext	17	2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Registrant

Among all the data elements, "Registrant Name", "Registrant Email" and "Registrant ID" are the top three data elements.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 14]

5.2.2.2. Admin Contact

The following table shows all the contact information for an administrative contact. 14 data elements are listed below.

+	-	.	-
Data Element	Most Popular Label 	No. of TLDs	No. of Labels
Admin Street	Address	64	19
Admin Name	Admin Name	60	9
Admin Email	Admin Email	54	12
Admin ID	Admin ID	52	16
Admin Fax	Admin Fax	44	8
Admin Phone	Admin Phone	43	9
Admin Organization	Admin Organization	42	9
Admin Country Code	Country	42	7
Admin City	Admin City	35	5
Admin Postal Code	Admin Postal Code	35	7
Admin State/Province	Admin State/Province	28	5
Admin Country	Admin Country	17	5
Admin Phone Ext.	Admin Phone Ext.	17	3
Admin Fax Ext.	Admin Fax Ext.	17	3
т	r		

Admin Contact

Among all the data elements, "Admin Street", "Admin Name" and "Admin Email" are the top three data elements.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 15]

5.2.2.3. Tech Contact

The following table shows all the information for a domain name technical contact. 14 data elements are listed below.

+	+	+	++
Data Element +	Most Popular Label +	No. of TLDs	No. of Labels
Tech Email	Tech Email	59	9
Tech ID	Tech ID	55	16
Tech Name	Tech Name	47	6
Tech Fax	Tech Fax	45	9
Tech Phone	Tech Phone	45	10
Tech Country Code	Country	43	9
Tech Organization	Tech Organization	39	7
Tech City	Tech City	36	4
Tech Postal Code	Tech Postal Code	36	7
Tech State/Province	Tech State/Province	30 	4
Tech Street	Tech Street1	27	16
Tech Country	Tech Country	18	5
Tech Fax Ext	Tech Fax Ext	18	3
Tech Phone Ext.	Tech Phone Ext.	13	3

Tech Contact

Among all the data elements, "Tech Email", "Tech ID" and "Tech Name" are the top three data elements.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 16]

5.2.2.4. Billing Contact

The following table shows all the information for a domain name billing contact. 14 data elements are listed below.

+	.	-	-
Data Element	Most Popular Label 	No. of	No. of Labels
Billing Name	Name	47	5
Billing Fax	Fax	43	6
Billing Email	Email Address	42	7
Billing Country Code	Country	38	4
Billing Phone	Phone Number	34	6
Billing ID	Billing ID	28	9
Billing City	Billing City	28	4
Billing Organization	Billing Organization	28	5
Billing Postal Code	Billing Postal Code	27	4
Billing State/Province	Billing State/Province	21	4
Billing Street	Billing Street1	19	13
Billing Country	Billing Country	13	5
Billing Phone Ext.	Billing Phone Ext.	10	2
Billing Fax Ext	Billing Fax Ext	10	2
			- 1

Billing Contact

Among all the data elements, "Billing Name", "Tech Fax" and "Billing Email" are the top three data elements.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 17]

5.2.3. WHOIS Data for Nameservers

114 registries (about 92% of all the 124 registries) have the "nameserver" data element in their WHOIS responses. However, there are 63 different labels for this element. The top three labels for this element are "Name Server" which is supported by 25% of all the registries, "Name Servers" which is supported by 16% of all the registries and "nserver" which is supported by 12% of all the registries.

+	+	+		+		+
Data Element				•		
NameServer	Name Serve	er	114		63	Ī
+	+	+		+		+

WHOIS Data for Nameservers

Some registries have nameserver elements such like "nameserver 1", "nameserver 2" till "nameserver n". Thus, there are more labels than of other data elements.

5.2.4. WHOIS Data for Registrars

There are three data elements about registrar information.

+				++
	Data Element	Most Popular Label	No. of	No. of Labels
	Sponsoring Registrar	Registrar	84 	6
	Created by Registrar	Created by	14	3
	Updated by Registrar	Last Updated by Registrar	11	3

WHOIS Data for Registrars

67.7% of the registries have "Sponsoring Registrar" data element. Elements such as "Created by Registrar" and "Updated by Registrar" are supported by 11.3% and 8.9% of the registries.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 18]

5.3. Other Objects

So-called "other objects" are those data elements that are selfdesigned or are difficult to be classified. There are 392 other objects altogether. The following tables lists the top 50 other objects according to the data collection result.

+	++
Data Element	No. of TLDs +
Registrant	41
Phone	32
Technical contact	26
Administrative contact	15
source	14
fax-no	13
nic-hdl	13
Billing Contact	12
referral url	11
e-mail	10
WHOIS server	9
Admin Contact	9
Type	9
Website	9
zone-c	8
remarks	† -
Registration URL	6
anonymous	6
anniversary	6
-	+

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 19]

hold	6
nsl-id	6
obsoleted	6
Customer Service Contact	5
Customer Service Email	4
Registrar ID	4
org	4
person	4
Maintainer	4
Nombre	3
Sponsoring Registrar IANA ID	3
Trademark Number	3
Trademark Country	3
descr	3
url	3
Postal address	3
Registrar URL	3
International Name	3
International Address	3
Admin Contacts	2
Contractual Language	2
Date Trademark Registered	2
Date Trademark Applied For	2
IP Address	2

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 20]

Keys	2
Language	2
NIC handle	2
Record maintained by	2
Registration Service Provider	2
Registration Service Provided By	2
Registrar URL (registration services)	2

The Top 50 Other Objects

Some registries returned things that looked like labels, but were not. For example, in this reply:

Registrant: Name: Email: . . .

"Name" and "Email" appeared to be data elements, but "Registrant" was not. The inventory work proceeded on that assumption, i.e., there were two data elements to be recorded in this example.

Some other data elements, like "Remarks", "anniversary" and "Customer service Contact" etc., are designed particularly for their own purpose by different registries.

5.4. Conclusion

5.4.1. Preliminary Statistics

Some preliminary conclusions could be drawn from the raw data.

- o All of the 124 domain registries have the object names in their responses although they are in various formats.
- o Of the 118 WHOIS services contacted, 65 registries show their registrant contact. About half of the registries (60 registries) support admin contact information. There are 47 registries, which is about one third of the total number, have technical and billing

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 21]

contact information. Only seven of all the 124 registries give their abuse email in a "remarks" section. No explicit abuse contact information is provided.

o There are mainly two presentation formats. One is key:value, the other is data block format. Example of key-value format:

Domain Information Query: na-nic.com.na Status: Delegated Created: 17 Apr 2004 Modified: 14 Nov 2010 Expires: 31 Dec 9999

Name Servers: oshikoko.omadhina.net

ns1.na.afrisp.net ns2.na.afrisp.net

. . .

Example of data block format:

WHOIS database domain nic.vg

Domain Name nic.vg Registered 1998-09-02 Expiry 2012-09-02

Resource Records

a 195.153.6.27
mx 10 terpsichore.william.org
www a 195.153.6.27

Contact details

Registrant,
Technical Contact,
Billing Contact,
Admin. Contact AdamsNames Reserved Domains (i)
These domains are not available for registration
United Kingdom
Identifier: neams048s

Servidor WHOIS de NIC-Venezuela (.VE)

Este servidor contiene informacion autoritativa exclusivamente de dominios .VE Cualquier consulta sobre este servicio, puede hacerla al correo electronico whois@nic.ve

Titular:

Jhonny Valera (nic.ve-dom) jhovalera@conatel.gob.ve Comision Nacional de Telecomunicaciones Av. Veracruz con calle Cali, Edif Aguila, Urb. Las Mercedes Caracas, Distrito Capital VE 0212-9090493 (FAX) +582127718599

o 11 registries give local script responses. The WHOIS information of other registries are all represented in English.

<u>5.4.2</u>. Data Elements Analysis

The top 10 data elements are as follows:

+	++
Data Element	No. of TLDs
Domain Name	118
Name Server	114
Creation Date	106
Domain Status	95
Sponsoring Registrar	84
Expiration Date	81
Updated Date	70
Registrant Name	65
Admin Street	64
Admin Name	60

The Top 10 Data Elements

Most of the domain related WHOIS information is included in the top 10 data elements. Other information like name server and registrar name are also supported by most registries.

A cumulative distribution analysis of all the data elements was done.

- (1) About 5% of the data elements discovered by the inventory work are supported by over 111 (90%) registries.
- (2) About 30% of the data elements discovered by the inventory work are supported by over 44 (35%) registries.
- (3) About 60% of the data elements discovered by the inventory work are supported by over 32 (26%) registries.
- (4) About 90% of the data elements discovered by the inventory work are supported by over 14 (11%) registries.

From the above result, it is clear that only a few registries support all the public objects, most of the registries support just parts of all the objects.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 24]

5.4.3. Labels Analysis

The top 10 labels of different data elements include:

+	++
Labels	No. of Labels ++
Name Server	63
Creation Date	
Expiration Date	21 +
Updated Date	
Admin Street	19
Tech ID	18 +
Registrant Street	16
Admin ID	16 +
Tech Street	16
Billing Street	13
	· ·

The Top 10 Labels

As explained above, the "Name Server" label is a unique example that many registries define the name server elements from "nameserver 1" till "nameserver n". Thus, the count of labels for name servers is much higher than other elements. Data elements representing dates and street addresses were also common.

A cumulative distribution analysis of label numbers was done. About 90% of data elements have more than two labels. It is therefore necessary to specify a standard and unified format for object names in a WHOIS response.

5.4.4. Other Objects Analysis

The results indicate that there are 392 other data objects in total that are not easy to be classified or are privately defined by various registries. The top 50 other objects are listed in the table in section 4.3. It is clear that various different objects are designed for some particular purpose. In order to ensure uniqueness

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 25]

of JSON names used in the RDAP service, establishment of an IANA registry is advised.

5.5. Limitations

This section enumerates limitations of the survey and some assumptions that were made in the execution of this work.

- o The input "nic.ccTLD" maybe is not a good choice.
- o 11 registries did not provide responses in English. The classification of data elements within their responses may not be accurate.
- o The extension data elements are used randomly by different registries. It is difficult to do statistical analysis.
- o Sample sizes of contact, name server and registrar queries are small.
 - * Only WHOIS queries for contact ID, nameserver and registrar were used.
 - * Some registries may not support contact, name server or registrar queries.
 - * Some may not support query contact by ID.
 - * Contact information of some registries may be protected.

6. Reference Extension Objects

There are some objects that are included in the existing WHOIS system but not mentioned in [I-D.ietf-weirds-json-response]. This document is intended to give a list of reference extension objects for discussion.

<u>6.1</u>. RIR Reference Extension Objects

- o company -- the company name registered by the registrant.
- o maintainer -- authentication information that identifies who can modify the contents of this object.
- o list of resources -- include a list of all the Internet resources assigned to this organization.
- o referral NOC contact -- the Network Operation Center contact.

6.2. DNR Reference Extension Objects

The following objects are selected from the top 50 other objects in section 5.3 that are supported by more than five registries. These objects are considered as possible extension objects.

- o zone-c -- The handle of a 'role' object with authority over a zone.
- o maintainer -- authentication information that identifies who can modify the contents of this object.
- o Registration URL -- typically the website address of a registry.
- o anonymous -- whether the registration information is anonymous or not.
- o hold -- whether the domain is "on hold" or not.
- o nsl-id -- nameserver list ID.
- o obsoleted -- whether a domain is obsoleted or not.
- o Customer Service Contact -- a kind of contact.

7. IANA Considerations

This document does not specify any IANA actions. [RFC Editor: Please delete this section prior to publication.]

8. Security considerations

This document does not provide any other security services or introduce any additional considerations.

9. Acknowledgements

This document has been reviewed and improved by the WEIRDS working group. The authors especially thank the following individuals who gave their suggestions and contributions to this document: Guangqing Deng, Frederico A C Neves, Ray Bellis, Edward Shryane, Kaveh Ranjbar, Murray Kucherawy and Edward Lewis.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-weirds-json-response]

Newton, A. and S. Hollenbeck, "JSON Responses for the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)", <u>draft-ietf-weirds-json-response-08</u> (work in progress), August 2014.

- [RFC2622] Alaettinoglu, C., Villamizar, C., Gerich, E., Kessens, D.,
 Meyer, D., Bates, T., Karrenberg, D., and M. Terpstra,
 "Routing Policy Specification Language (RPSL)", RFC 2622,
 June 1999.
- [RFC3912] Daigle, L., "WHOIS Protocol Specification", <u>RFC 3912</u>, September 2004.
- [RFC5730] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP)", STD 69, RFC 5730, August 2009.
- [RFC5731] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Domain Name Mapping", STD 69, <u>RFC 5731</u>, August 2009.
- [RFC5732] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Host Mapping", STD 69, <u>RFC 5732</u>, August 2009.
- [RFC5733] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Contact Mapping", STD 69, RFC 5733, August 2009.
- [RFC5890] Klensin, J., "Internationalized Domain Names for Applications (IDNA): Definitions and Document Framework", RFC 5890, August 2010.

10.2. Informative References

[ICANN.AGB-201206]

ICANN, "gTLD Applicant Guidebook", June 2012,
<http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb/
guidebook-full-04jun12-en.pdf>.

[Stat-Data-File]

Kong, N., Zhou, L., and G. Deng, "WHOIS Statistics Data
File", July 2012, <<u>https://docs.google.com/</u>
open?id=0B96TtoK8a--MTTRuVUt3UHZMdEk>.

Appendix A. Change Log

Initial -00: Adopted as working group document.

Zhou, et al. Expires March 24, 2015 [Page 28]

- * Added Change Log section.
- * Added RIR data objects.
- * Exchanged <u>section 2</u> and <u>section 3</u>.

-02:

- * Modified some object names in the section of RIR Objects Analysis.
- * Added reference extension objects.

-03:

* Updated to the keep-alive version. Changed the expiry dates and the draft number.

-04:

* Updated based on Murray's and Edward's comments during the WG last call.

Authors' Addresses

Linlin Zhou CNNIC 4 South 4th Street, Zhongguancun, Haidian District Beijing, Beijing 100190 China

Phone: +86 10 5881 2677 Email: zhoulinlin@cnnic.cn

Ning Kong CNNIC 4 South 4th Street, Zhongguancun, Haidian District Beijing, Beijing 100190 China

Phone: +86 10 5881 3147 Email: nkong@cnnic.cn Sean Shen CNNIC 4 South 4th Street, Zhongguancun, Haidian District Beijing, Beijing 100190 China

Phone: +86 10 5881 3038 Email: shenshuo@cnnic.cn

Steve Sheng ICANN 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90094-2536 USA

Phone: +1 310 301 5800

Email: steve.sheng@icann.org

Arturo Servin LACNIC Rambla Mexico 6125 Montevideo, Montevideo 11400 Uruguay

Phone: +598-2604-2222 Email: aservin@lacnic.net