XCON WG Internet-Draft Expires: February 10, 2005

# Requirements for Conference Policy Control Protocol draft-ietf-xcon-cpcp-reqs-04

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, I certify that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which I am aware have been disclosed, and any of which I become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with RFC 3668.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 10, 2005.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

The conference policy server allows clients to manipulate and interact with the conference policy. One mechanism to manipulate the policy is to use conference policy control protocol (CPCP). This document gives the requirements for CPCP.

Internet-Draft

# Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$ . Introduction	. <u>3</u>
2. Conventions Used in This Document	. <u>4</u>
<u>3</u> . Terminology	. <u>5</u>
$\underline{4}$ . Integration with Floor Control	. <u>6</u>
5. Conference Policy Data Model	· <u>7</u>
<u>6</u> . CPCP Requirements	. <u>8</u>
<u>6.1</u> Conference creation, termination and joining	. <u>8</u>
<u>6.2</u> Manipulating general conference attributes	. <u>9</u>
<u>6.3</u> Authentication and Security	. <u>10</u>
<u>6.4</u> Application and media manipulation	. <u>10</u>
<u>6.5</u> ACL manipulation	. <u>10</u>
<u>6.6</u> Floor control	. <u>11</u>
<u>6.7</u> Inviting and ejecting users	. <u>12</u>
<u>6.8</u> User Privileges	. <u>12</u>
<u>6.9</u> General Protocol Requirements	. <u>12</u>
<u>7</u> . Acknowledgements	. <u>14</u>
<u>8</u> . References	. <u>15</u>
8.1 Normative References	. <u>15</u>
8.2 Informative References	. <u>15</u>
Authors' Addresses	. <u>15</u>
Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	. <u>17</u>

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 2]

# **1**. Introduction

The conferencing framework document [3] describes the overall architecture, terminology, and protocol components needed for multiparty conferencing. It defines a logical function called a conference policy server which can store and manipulate rules associated with participation in a conference. These rules include directives on the lifespan of the conference, who can and cannot join the conference, definitions of roles available in the conference and the responsibilities associated with those roles.

The conference policy is represented by a URI. There is a unique conference policy for each conference. The conference policy URI points to a conference policy server which can manipulate that conference policy.

Note that CPCP is not the only mechanism to manipulate conference policy, but other mechanisms exists as well, such as a Web interface.

This document is based on the definition and description of conference policy and the Conference Policy Control Protocol (CPCP) in the Conferencing framework document [3], with the functionality of CPCP being independent of SIP. Moreover, [6] give useful background information about conferencing and floor control.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 3]

## 2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u>.

# 3. Terminology

This document uses the definitions from  $[\underline{3}]$ .

Additional definitions:

ACL

Access control list (ACL) defines users who can join a conference. Users may have allow, blocked or pending status in the list. Each conference has its own ACL. Floor control Floor control is a mechanism that enables applications or users to gain safe and mutually exclusive or non-exclusive access to the shared object or resource in a conference. Privilege A privilege is a right to perform a manipulation operation in a conference. It is user permission such as the right to modify

ACL or expel users.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 5]

## **<u>4</u>**. Integration with Floor Control

Floor control is an optional feature often used by conferencing applications. It enables applications or users to gain safe and mutually exclusive or non-exclusive input access to a shared object or resource. We define a floor as the temporary permission for a conference participant to access or manipulate a specific shared resource or group of resources.

We assume that the ability of users to create floors is governed by the conference policy. Conference user may use floor control protocol (see e.g. [5]) or some other mechanism to request floors.

The conference policy also defines the floor control policy (e.g. moderator-controlled or server grants the floor randomly) and the floor moderator, if the floor policy is moderator-controlled.

The privileged user in a conference (such as the creator) can remove the floor at any time by modifying the conference policy (so that the resources are no longer floor- controlled), or change the floor chair.

The floor moderator just controls the access to the floor, according to the floor policy, defined by the conference policy at a time when the floor is created.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 6]

# 5. Conference Policy Data Model

Conference policy data is relatively static. It is not updated frequently as e.g. participant list is not part of the conference policy. Users with sufficient privileges are able to manipulate conference policy. For example, a user with sufficient privileges may manipulate conference's access control list by adding a user into the ACL allowed list.

#### 6. CPCP Requirements

This section describes requirements for the conference policy control protocol (CPCP).

### 6.1 Conference creation, termination and joining

REQ-A1: It MUST be possible to create a new conference addressable by a URI.

REQ-A2: It MUST be possible to associate policy attributes to a conference URI.

REQ-A3: It MUST be possible to reserve a conference URI for future use with or without associating policy attributes to it.

REQ-A4: It MUST be possible for a privileged user to read conference policy for a given conference URI, during and before joining the conference.

REQ-A5: It MUST be possible to delete existing conference policy. This results in terminating the conference, deleting conference URI and releasing all resources associated with it.

REQ-A6: It MUST be possible to anonymously participate in a conference.

REQ-A7: It MUST NOT be possible for a user to authenticate himself as an anonymous user.

Note: A conference focus must not accept users to authenticate themselves with a username "anonymous" (like in Digest authentication).

REQ-A8: It MUST be possible to assign multiple conference URIs to a conference, one for each session signaling protocol scheme that the conference server supports.

REQ-A9: It MUST be possible to define the time when media mixing may start ("don't-mix-before-time") and stop ("cannot-continue-after") operating in the conference.

REQ-A10: It MUST be possible to define the time after which users are allowed to join the conference.

REQ-A11: It MUST be possible to define the time after which new users are not allowed to join the conference anymore.

REQ-A12: It MUST be possible to define the time when users or resources on the dial-out list are invited to join the conference.

REQ-A13: It MUST be possible define whether the conference can be extended. Note: This does not guarantee that resources are available.

REQ-A14: It MUST be possible to indicate key participants.

REQ-A15a: It MUST be possible to define when media mixing starts based on the latter of the mixing start time, and the time the first participant arrives.

REQ X15b: It MUST be possible to define when media mixing starts based on the latter of the mixing start time, and the time the first key participant arrives.

REQ-A16a: It MUST be possible to define when media mixing stops based on the earlier of the mixing stop time, and the time the last participant leaves the conference.

REQ-A16b: It MUST be possible to define when media mixing stops based on the earlier of the mixing stop time, and the time the last key participant leaves.

REQ-A16c: It MUST be possible to define when media mixing stops based on the time only.

REQ-A17: It MUST be possible to define that the users and resources on the dial-out list are invited only after first key participant has joined.

Note: This parameter, if set, overrides the time defined by REQ-A12.

#### **<u>6.2</u>** Manipulating general conference attributes

REQ-B1: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete a conference Subject.

REQ-B2: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete conference URI display name.

REQ-B3: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete conference creator information (as is seen e.g. in SDP o line).

REQ-B4: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete conference URI link for more information (as used e.g. in SDP u line).

REQ-B5: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete conference host contact information (as used e.g. in SDP e and p lines).

REQ-B6: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete short conference session description (as used e.g. in SDP i line). This can be per session or per media.

REQ-B7: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete the parameter for max number of conference participants. This defines the maximum number of participants present at the same time.

REQ-B8: It MUST be possible to hide conference related information from non-privileged users.

Note: This defines the level of visibility of the basic conference information (e.g. visible only to participants). This feature may be needed e.g. in search operations.

REQ-B9: It MUST be possible to set, modify and delete conference Keywords.

Note: (This may be useful e.g. for search engines).

#### **<u>6.3</u>** Authentication and Security

REQ-C1: It MUST be possible to define appropriate authentication for joining users.

### 6.4 Application and media manipulation

REQ-D1: It MAY be possible to define media policy within conference policy.

REQ-D2: It MUST be possible to define the media types for the conference.

Note: This means MIME main types, such as audio and video. The conference server can use this information e.g when placing m lines in SIP/SDP dial-outs.

#### 6.5 ACL manipulation

REQ-E1: It MUST be possible to define which users are not allowed to join the conference.

REQ-E2: It MUST be possible to define which users are not allowed to join a conference in a single operation.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 10]

REQ-E3: It MUST be possible to define which users are allowed to join the conference.

REQ-E4: It MUST be possible to define which users are allowed to join a conference in a single operation.

REQ-E5: It MUST be possible to define which users are places into pending list, waiting for further approval e.g. from moderator.

REQ-E6: It MUST be possible to use wildcards in ACL.

REQ-E7: ACL conflicts MUST be solved in a well-defined way (e.g. what if user appears both in blocked list and in allowed list) e.g. by mandating the order in which ACL definitions are evaluated (e.g. most specific expression first).

REQ-E8: Conference MUST have default policy for those users that no matching rule is found in ACL.

REQ-E9: It MUST be possible to allow and disallow anonymous membership in a conference.

### 6.6 Floor control

REQ-F1: It MUST be possible to define whether floor control is in use or not.

REQ-F2: It MUST be possible to define the algorithm to be used in granting the floor.

Note: Example algorithms might be e.g. moderator-controlled, FCFS, random.

REQ-F3: It MUST be possible to define how many users can have the floor at the same time.

REQ-F4: It MUST be possible to have one floor for one or more media types.

REQ-F5: It MUST be possible to have multiple floors in a conference.

REQ-F6: It MUST be possible to define whether a floor is moderator-controlled or not.

REQ-F7: If the floor is moderator-controlled, it MUST be possible to assign and replace the floor moderator.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 11]

## 6.7 Inviting and ejecting users

REQ-G1: It MUST be possible to define a dial-out list of users that the conference focus invites.

REQ-G2: It MUST be possible to set a dial-out list in a single operation.

REQ-G3: It MUST be possible to expel users from a currently occurring conference.

REQ-G4: It MUST be possible to expel many users in a single operation.

REQ-G5: It MUST be possible to define list of users who the focus should refer to the conference (so that the referred users will dial in the conference).

REQ-G6: It MUST be possible to set the list of referred users in a single operation.

#### 6.8 User Privileges

REQ-H1: It MUST be possible to give a privilege to a user.

REQ-H2: It MUST be possible to give privileges to many users in a single operation.

REQ-H3: It MUST be possible to remove a privilege from a user.

REQ-H4: It MUST be possible to remove privileges from many users in a single operation.

REQ-H5: It MUST be possible to define users who are allowed to subscribe to the conference event package [4].

REQ-H6: It MUST be only be possible for a users with sufficient privileges to manipulate conference policy.

Note: For example, the creator of the conference may manipulate conference policy.

#### <u>6.9</u> General Protocol Requirements

REQ-CP-1: Protocol behaviour: CPCP protocol MUST be a reliable client-server protocol. Hence, it MUST have a positive response indicating that the request has been received, or error response if an error has occurred.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 12]

REQ-CP-2: Manipulations of the policy collection MUST exhibit the ACID property; that is, they MUST be atomic, be consistent, durable, and operate independently.

REQ-CP-3: It MUST be possible for the server to authenticate the client.

REQ-CP-4: It MUST be possible for the client to authenticate the server.

REQ-CP-5: It MUST be possible for message integrity to be ensured between the client and the server.

REQ-CP-6: It MUST be possible for privacy to be ensured between the client and server.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 13]

# 7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Eric Burger, Keith Drage, Brian Rosen, Xiaotao Wu, Henning Schulzrinne, Simo Veikkolainen, Mary Barnes and IETF conferencing design team for their feedback. Internet-Draft

## 8. References

#### 8.1 Normative References

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>RFC 2119</u>, BCD 14, March 1997.
- [2] Rosenberg et al., J., "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", <u>RFC</u> <u>3261</u>, June 2002.
- [3] Rosenberg, J., "A Framework for Conferencing with the Session Initiation Protocol", <u>draft-rosenberg-sipping-conferencing-framework-01</u> (work in progress), February 2003.
- [4] Rosenberg, J., "A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Event Package for Conference State", <u>draft-ietf-sipping-conference-package-03</u> (work in progress), February 2004.

# 8.2 Informative References

- [5] Wu, X., Schulzrinne, H. and P. Koskelainen, "Use of SIP and SOAP for conference floor control", <u>draft-wu-sipping-floor-control-04</u> (work in progress), January 2003.
- [6] Koskelainen, P., Schulzrinne, H. and X. Wu, "A sip-based conference control framework", Nossdav'2002 Miami Beach, May 2002.

Authors' Addresses

Petri Koskelainen Nokia P.O. Box 100 (Visiokatu 1) Tampere FIN-33721 Finland

EMail: petri.koskelainen@nokia.com

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 15]

Hisham Khartabil Nokia P.O. Box 321 Helsinki FIN-00045 Finland

EMail: hisham.khartabil@nokia.com

Internet-Draft

CPCP-req

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

#### Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

#### Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in <u>BCP 78</u>, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

### Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Koskelainen & Khartabil Expires February 10, 2005 [Page 17]