

Audio/Video Transport Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: June 3, 2013

A. Clark  
Telchemy  
S. Zhang, Ed.  
J. Zhao  
STTRI  
Q. Wu  
Huawei  
November 30, 2012

**RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Extended Report (XR) Block for Burst/Gap  
Loss metric Reporting  
draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-burst-gap-loss-05.txt**

**Abstract**

This document defines an RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Extended Report (XR) Block that allows the reporting of Burst and Gap Loss metrics for use in a range of RTP applications.

**Status of this Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 3, 2013.

**Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Burst and Gap Loss Report Block . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	<a href="#">RTCP and RTCP XR Reports . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.3.</a>	<a href="#">Performance Metrics Framework . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.4.</a>	<a href="#">Applicability . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Terminology . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	<a href="#">Standards Language . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Burst/Gap Loss Block . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	<a href="#">Report Block Structure . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	<a href="#">Definition of Fields in Burst/Gap Loss Report Block . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	<a href="#">Derived metrics based on reported metrics . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Considerations for Voice-over-IP applications . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">SDP Signaling . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	<a href="#">SDP rtcp-xr-attrib Attribute Extension . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Offer/Answer Usage . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	<a href="#">New RTCP XR Block Type value . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">6.2.</a>	<a href="#">New RTCP XR SDP Parameter . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">6.3.</a>	<a href="#">Contact information for registrations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">Contributors . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgments . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	<a href="#">References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">10.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">10.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">Change Log . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">A.1.</a>	<a href="#">draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-burst-gap-loss-05 . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">A.2.</a>	<a href="#">draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-burst-gap-loss-04 . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">18</a>



## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1. Burst and Gap Loss Report Block**

This draft defines a new block type to augment those defined in [\[RFC3611\]](#) for use in a range of RTP applications. The new block type supports the reporting of the proportion of packets lost by the network. The losses during loss bursts are reported, together with the number of bursts and additional data allowing the calculation of statistical parameters (mean and variance) of the distribution of burst lengths. Some uses of these metrics depend on the availability of the metric "cumulative number of packets lost" from RTCP [\[RFC3550\]](#).

This block provides information on transient IP problems. Burst/Gap metrics are typically used in Cumulative reports however MAY be used in Interval reports. The burstiness of packet loss affects user experience, may influence any sender strategies to mitigate the problem, and may also have diagnostic value.

The metric belongs to the class of transport-related end system metrics defined in [\[RFC6792\]](#).

The definitions of Burst, Gap, Loss and Discard are consistent with definitions in [\[RFC3611\]](#). To accommodate the range of jitter buffer algorithms and packet discard logic that may be used by implementors, the method used to distinguish between bursts and gaps may be an equivalent method to that defined in [\[RFC3611\]](#). The method used SHOULD produce the same result as that defined in [\[RFC3611\]](#) for conditions of burst packet loss, but MAY produce different results for conditions of time varying jitter.

### **1.2. RTCP and RTCP XR Reports**

The use of RTCP for reporting is defined in [\[RFC3550\]](#). [\[RFC3611\]](#) defined an extensible structure for reporting using an RTCP Extended Report (XR). This document defines a new Extended Report block for use with [\[RFC3550\]](#) and [\[RFC3611\]](#).

### **1.3. Performance Metrics Framework**

The Performance Metrics Framework [\[RFC6390\]](#) provides guidance on the definition and specification of performance metrics. The RTP Monitoring Architectures [\[RFC6792\]](#) provides guideline for reporting block format using RTCP XR. The Metrics Block described in this document are in accordance with the guidelines in [\[RFC6390\]](#) and [\[RFC6792\]](#).



#### **1.4. Applicability**

These metrics are applicable to a range of RTP applications which don't use stream repair means.

## **2. Terminology**

### **2.1. Standards Language**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

In addition, the following terms are defined:

Received, Lost and Discarded

A packet shall be regarded as lost if it fails to arrive within an implementation-specific time window. A packet that arrives within this time window but is too early or late to be played out or thrown away before playout due to packet duplication or redundancy shall be regarded as discarded. A packet shall be classified as one of received (or OK), discarded or lost. The metric "cumulative number of packets lost" defined in [[RFC3550](#)] reports a count of packets lost from the media stream (single SSRC within single RTP session). Similarly the metric "number of packets discarded" defined in [[DISCARD](#)] reports a count of packets discarded from the media stream (single SSRC within single RTP session) arriving at the receiver. Another metric defined in [[RFC5725](#)] is available to report on packets which are not recovered by any repair techniques which may be in use.

Bursts and Gaps

The terms Burst and Gap are used in a manner consistent with that of RTCP XR [[RFC3611](#)]. RTCP XR views a RTP stream as being divided into bursts, which are periods during which the loss rate is high enough to cause noticeable quality degradation (generally over 5 percent loss rate), and gaps, which are periods during which lost packets are infrequent and hence quality is generally acceptable.






### 3. Burst/Gap Loss Block

Metrics in this block report on Burst/Gap Loss in the stream arriving at the RTP system.

### 3.1. Report Block Structure

Burst/Gap Loss metrics block





I=11: Cumulative Duration - the reported value applies to the accumulation period characteristic of cumulative measurements.

I=01: Sampled Value - the reported value is a sampled instantaneous value.

In this document, Burst/Gap Loss Metric is not measured at a particular time instant but over one or several reporting intervals. Therefore Burst/Gap Loss Metric MUST NOT be chosen as Sampled Metric.

Loss and Discard Combination flag (C): 1 bit

The 'C' flag is used to indicate whether combining loss/discard report is needed. This field MUST be set to '1' if the burst gap loss report is present in conjunction with the burst gap discard report in the same compound RTCP packet and MUST be set to '0' otherwise. If the burst gap discard is not sent with the burst gap loss, then the receiver MUST discard the burst gap loss with 'C' flag set to 1. If the 'C' flag is set to 0, then receiver MUST NOT discard the burst gap loss metric block when the burst gap discard is not received.

Reserved (resv): 5 bits

These bits are reserved. They SHOULD be set to zero by senders and MUST be ignored by receivers.

block length: 16 bits

The length of this report block in 32-bit words, minus one. For the Burst/Gap Loss block, the block length is equal to 5.

SSRC of source: 32 bits

As defined in [Section 4.1 of \[RFC3611\]](#).

Threshold: 8 bits

The Threshold is equivalent to Gmin in [\[RFC3611\]](#), i.e. the number of successive packets that must be received prior to and following a lost packet in order for this lost packet to be regarded as part of a gap.



**Sum of Burst Durations (ms): 24 bits**

The total duration of bursts of lost packets in the period of the report (Interval or Cumulative).

If the measured value exceeds 0xFFFFFD, the value 0xFFFFFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFFF SHOULD be reported.

**Packets lost in bursts: 24 bits**

The total number of packets lost during loss bursts.

If the measured value exceeds 0xFFFFFD, the value 0xFFFFFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFFF SHOULD be reported.

**Total packets expected in bursts: 24 bits**

The total number of packets expected during loss bursts (that is, the sum of received packets and lost packets).

If the measured value exceeds 0xFFFFFD, the value 0xFFFFFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFFF SHOULD be reported.

**Number of bursts: 16 bits**

The number of bursts in the period of the report (Interval or Cumulative).

If the measured value exceeds 0xFFFFD, the value 0xFFFFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFF SHOULD be reported.

**Sum of Squares of Burst Durations (ms-squared): 36 bits**

The sum of the squares of burst durations (where individual burst durations are expressed in ms) over in the period of the report (Interval or Cumulative). The units for this quantity are milliseconds-squared.

If the measured value exceeds 0xFFFFFFFFD, the value 0xFFFFFFFFFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range measurement. If the



measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFFFFFF SHOULD be reported.

### **3.3. Derived metrics based on reported metrics**

The metrics described here are intended to be used as described in this section, in conjunction with information from the Measurement Information block [[RFC6776](#)] (which MUST be present in the same RTCP packet as the Burst/Gap Loss block) and also with the metric "cumulative number of packets lost" provided in standard RTCP [[RFC3550](#)].

These metrics provides information relevant to statistical parameters, including:

- o The fraction of packets lost during bursts (i.e., Burst Loss Rate in [[SUMSTAT](#)])
- o The fraction of packets lost during gaps (i.e., Gap Loss Rate in [[SUMSTAT](#)])
- o burst duration mean [[SUMSTAT](#)]
- o burst duration variance [[SUMSTAT](#)]

The details on calculation these parameters in the metrics are described in [[SUMSTAT](#)].





#### **4. Considerations for Voice-over-IP applications**

This metric block is applicable to a broad range of RTP applications. Where the metric is used with a Voice-overIP (VoIP) application and the stream repair means is not available, the following considerations apply.

RTCP XR views a call as being divided into bursts, which are periods during which the loss rate is high enough to cause noticeable call quality degradation (generally over 5 percent loss rate), and gaps, which are periods during which lost packets are infrequent and hence call quality is generally acceptable.

If Voice Activity Detection is used the Burst and Gap Duration shall be determined as if silence packets had been sent, i.e. a period of silence in excess of Gmin packets MUST terminate a burst condition.

The recommended value for the threshold Gmin in [[RFC3611](#)] results in a Burst being a period of time during which the call quality is degraded to a similar extent to a typical PCM Severely Errored Second [[PSES](#)].



## **5. SDP Signaling**

[RFC3611] defines the use of SDP (Session Description Protocol) [RFC4566] for signaling the use of XR blocks. XR blocks MAY be used without prior signaling.

### **5.1. SDP rtcp-xr-attrib Attribute Extension**

This section augments the SDP [RFC4566] attribute "rtcp-xr" defined in [RFC3611] by providing an additional value of "xr-format" to signal the use of the report block defined in this document.

xr-format =/ xr-bgl-block

xr-bgl-block = "brst-gap-loss"

### **5.2. Offer/Answer Usage**

When SDP is used in offer-answer context, the SDP Offer/Answer usage defined in [RFC3611] for unilateral "rtcp-xr" attribute parameters applies. For detailed usage in Offer/Answer for unilateral parameter, refer to [section 5.2 of \[RFC3611\]](#).



## **6. IANA Considerations**

New block types for RTCP XR are subject to IANA registration. For general guidelines on IANA considerations for RTCP XR, refer to [\[RFC3611\]](#).

### **6.1. New RTCP XR Block Type value**

This document assigns the block type value NDEL in the IANA "RTCP XR Block Type Registry" to the "Burst/Gap Loss Metrics Block".

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace NBGL with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

### **6.2. New RTCP XR SDP Parameter**

This document also registers a new parameter "brst-gap-loss" in the "RTCP XR SDP Parameters Registry".

### **6.3. Contact information for registrations**

The contact information for the registrations is:

Qin Wu (sunseawq@huawei.com)

101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District  
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012  
China



## **7. Security Considerations**

It is believed that this proposed RTCP XR report block introduces no new security considerations beyond those described in [[RFC3611](#)]. This block does not provide per-packet statistics so the risk to confidentiality documented in [Section 7](#), paragraph 3 of [[RFC3611](#)] does not apply.

## **8. Contributors**

Geoff Hunt wrote the initial draft of this document.



## **9. Acknowledgments**

The authors gratefully acknowledge reviews and feedback provided by Bruce Adams, Philip Arden, Amit Arora, Bob Biskner, Kevin Connor, Claus Dahm, Randy Ethier, Roni Even, Jim Frauenthal, Albert Higashi, Tom Hock, Shane Holthaus, Paul Jones, Rajesh Kumar, Keith Lantz, Mohamed Mostafa, Amy Pendleton, Colin Perkins, Mike Ramalho, Ravi Raviraj, Albrecht Schwarz, Tom Taylor, and Hideaki Yamada.

## **10. References**

### **10.1. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", March 1997.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", [RFC 3550](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3611] Friedman, T., Caceres, R., and A. Clark, "RTP Control Protocol Extended Reports (RTCP XR)", November 2003.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", July 2006.
- [RFC5725] Begen, A., Hsu, D., and M. Lague, "Post-Repair Loss RLE Report Block Type for RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Extended Reports (XRs)", [RFC 5725](#), February 2020.

### **10.2. Informative References**

- [DISCARD] Hunt, G., "RTCP XR Report Block for Discard metric Reporting", ID [draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-discard-06](#), October 2012.
- [PSES] "URL", [http://www.its.bldrdoc.gov/projects/devglossary/severely\\_errored\\_second.html](http://www.its.bldrdoc.gov/projects/devglossary/severely_errored_second.html), October 2011.
- [RFC6390] Clark, A. and B. Claise, "Framework for Performance Metric Development", [RFC 6390](#), July 2011.
- [RFC6776] Wu, Q., "Measurement Identity and information Reporting using SDES item and XR Block", [RFC 6776](#), October 2012.
- [RFC6792] Hunt, G., "Monitoring Architectures for RTP", [RFC 6792](#), November 2012.
- [SUMSTAT] Zorn, G., "RTCP XR for Summary Statistics Metrics Reporting", ID [draft-zorn-xrblock-rtcp-xr-al-stat-06](#), July 2012.



## **Appendix A. Change Log**

Note to the RFC-Editor: please remove this section prior to publication as an RFC.

### **A.1. [draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-burst-gap-loss-05](#)**

The following are the major changes compared to previous version:

- o SDP update based on SDP Directorate Review.

### **A.2. [draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-burst-gap-loss-04](#)**

The following are the major changes compared to previous version:

- o Outdated reference update.
- o Editorial changes based on comments that applied to PDV and Delay drafts.



## Authors' Addresses

Alan Clark  
Telchemy Incorporated  
2905 Premiere Parkway, Suite 280  
Duluth, GA 30097  
USA

Email: alan.d.clark@telchemy.com

Sunshine Zhang (editor)  
Shanghai Research Institute of China Telecom Corporation Limited  
No.1835, South Pudong Road  
Shanghai 200122  
China

Email: zhangyx@sttri.com.cn

Jing Zhao  
Shanghai Research Institute of China Telecom Corporation Limited  
No.1835, South Pudong Road  
Shanghai 200122  
China

Email: zhaojing@sttri.com.cn

Qin Wu  
Huawei  
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District  
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012  
China

Email: sunseawq@huawei.com

