

Audio/Video Transport Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: March 22, 2013

A. Clark
Telchemy
K. Gross
AVA Networks
Q. Wu
Huawei
September 18, 2012

RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Extended Report (XR) Block for Delay metric
Reporting
[draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-09.txt](#)

Abstract

This document defines an RTP Control Protocol(RTCP) Extended Report (XR) Block that allows the reporting of Delay metrics for use in a range of Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) applications.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 22, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4.e](#) of

Internet-Draft

RTCP XR Delay

September 2012

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Packet Delay Metrics Block	3
1.2.	RTCP and RTCP XR Reports	3
1.3.	Performance Metrics Framework	3
1.4.	Applicability	3
2.	Terminology	4
2.1.	Standards Language	4
3.	Delay Block	5
3.1.	Report Block Structure	5
3.2.	Definition of Fields in Delay Metrics Report Block	5
4.	SDP Signaling	8
5.	IANA Considerations	9
5.1.	New RTCP XR Block Type value	9
5.2.	New RTCP XR SDP Parameter	9
5.3.	Contact information for registrations	9
6.	Security Considerations	10
7.	Contributors	11
8.	Acknowledgments	12
9.	References	13
9.1.	Normative References	13
9.2.	Informative References	13
Appendix A.	Change Log	14
A.1.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-09	14
A.2.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-08	14
A.3.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-07	14
A.4.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-06	14
A.5.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-05	14
A.6.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-04	14
A.7.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-03	15
A.8.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-02	15
A.9.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-01	15
A.10.	draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-00	15
	Authors' Addresses	16

Internet-Draft

RTCP XR Delay

September 2012

[1.](#) Introduction

[1.1.](#) Packet Delay Metrics Block

This draft defines a new block type to augment those defined in [\[RFC3611\]](#) for use in a range of RTP applications. The new block type supports the reporting of the mean, minimum and maximum values of the network round-trip delay between RTP interfaces in peer RTP end systems as measured, for example, using the RTCP method described in [\[RFC3550\]](#). It also supports reporting of the component of the round-trip delay internal to the local RTP system.

The network metrics belong to the class of packet transport delay metrics defined in [\[MONARCH\]](#).

[1.2.](#) RTCP and RTCP XR Reports

The use of RTCP for reporting is defined in [\[RFC3550\]](#). [\[RFC3611\]](#) defined an extensible structure for reporting using an RTCP Extended Report (XR). This draft defines a new Extended Report block that MUST be used as defined in [\[RFC3550\]](#) and [\[RFC3611\]](#).

[1.3.](#) Performance Metrics Framework

The Performance Metrics Framework [\[RFC6390\]](#) provides guidance on the definition and specification of performance metrics. The RTP Monitoring Architectures [\[MONARCH\]](#) provides guideline for reporting block format using RTCP XR. The Metrics Block described in this document are in accordance with the guidelines in [\[RFC6390\]](#) and [\[MONARCH\]](#).

[1.4.](#) Applicability

These metrics are applicable to a range of RTP applications in which this report block would be useful, such as multimedia conferencing and streaming audio and video. Knowledge of the round-trip delay and

delay characteristics can aid other receivers in sizing their receive buffers and selecting a playout delay. The same information is also valuable to network managers in troubleshooting network and user experience issues.

[2.](#) Terminology

[2.1.](#) Standards Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

0								1								2								3							
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-




Figure 1: Report Block Structure

3.2. Definition of Fields in Delay Metrics Report Block

Block type (BT): 8 bits

A Delay Report Block is identified by the constant NDEL.

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace NDEL with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

Interval Metric flag (I): 2 bit

This field is used to indicate whether the Delay metrics are Sampled, Interval or Cumulative metrics, that is, whether the reported values applies to the most recent measurement interval duration between successive metrics reports (I=10) (the Interval Duration) or to the accumulation period characteristic of cumulative measurements (I=11) (the Cumulative Duration) or is a sampled instantaneous value (I=01) (Sampled Value).

Reserved (resv): 6 bits

These bits are reserved. They MUST be set to zero by senders and SHOULD be ignored by receivers.

block length: 16 bits

The length of this report block in 32-bit words, minus one. For the Delay block, the block length is equal to 6.

SSRC of source: 32 bits

As defined in [Section 4.1 of \[RFC3611\]](#).

Mean Network Round Trip Delay: 32 bits

The Mean Network Round Trip Delay is the mean value of the RTP-to-RTP interface round trip delay over the measurement period, expressed in units of 1/65536 seconds. This value is typically determined using RTCP SR/RR (See [RFC 3550 section 6.4.1](#)). It also can be determined using RTCP Receiver Reference Time Report Block and DLRR Report Block (See [RFC3611 section 4.5](#)).

If only one measurement of Round Trip Delay is available for the timespan of the report (i.e., the measurement period) (whether Interval or Cumulative), this single value SHOULD be reported as the mean value.

If the measurement is unavailable, the value of this field with all bits set to 1 MUST be reported.

Min Network Round Trip Delay: 32 bits

The Min Network Round Trip Delay is the minimum value of the RTP-to-RTP interface round trip delay over the measurement period, expressed in units of 1/65536 seconds. This value is typically determined using RTCP SR/RR. It also can be determined using RTCP Receiver Reference Time Report Block and DLRR Report Block.

If only one measurement of Round Trip Delay is available for the timespan of the report (i.e., the measurement period) (whether Interval or Cumulative), this single value SHOULD be reported as the minimum value.

If the measurement is unavailable, the value of this field with all bits set to 1 MUST be reported.

Max Network Round Trip Delay: 32 bits

The Max Network Round Trip Delay is the maximum value of the RTP-to-RTP interface round trip delay over the measurement period, expressed in units of 1/65536 seconds. This value is typically determined using RTCP SR/RR. It also can be determined using RTCP Receiver Reference Time Report Block and DLRR Report Block.

If only one measurement of Round Trip Delay is available for the timespan of the report (i.e., the measurement period) (whether Interval or Cumulative), this single value SHOULD be reported as the maximum value.

If the measurement is unavailable, the value of this field with all bits set to 1 MUST be reported.

End System Delay: 64 bits

The End System Delay is the internal round trip delay within the reporting endpoint, calculated using the nominal value of the jitter buffer delay plus the accumulation/encoding and decoding/playout delay associated with the codec being used. The value of this field is represented using a 64-bit NTP-format timestamp as defined in [[RFC5905](#)], which is 64-bit unsigned fixed-point number with the integer part in the first 32 bits and the fractional part in the last 32 bits.

If the measurement is unavailable, the value of this field with all bits set to 1 MUST be reported.

[RFC3611] defines the use of SDP (Session Description Protocol) [RFC4566] for signaling the use of XR blocks. XR blocks MAY be used without prior signaling.

This section augments the SDP [RFC4566] attribute "rtcp-xr" defined in [RFC3611] by providing an additional value of "xr-format" to signal the use of the report block defined in this document.

xr-format =/ xr-delay-block

xr-delay-block = "delay"

[5.](#) IANA Considerations

New block types for RTCP XR are subject to IANA registration. For general guidelines on IANA considerations for RTCP XR, refer to [\[RFC3611\]](#).

[5.1.](#) New RTCP XR Block Type value

This document assigns the block type value NDEL in the IANA "RTCP XR Block Type Registry" to the "Delay Metrics Block".

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace NDEL with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

[5.2.](#) New RTCP XR SDP Parameter

This document also registers a new parameter "delay" in the "RTCP XR SDP Parameters Registry".

[5.3.](#) Contact information for registrations

The contact information for the registrations is:

Qin Wu (sunseawq@huawei.com)

101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

6. Security Considerations

It is believed that this proposed RTCP XR report block introduces no new security considerations beyond those described in [[RFC3611](#)]. This block does not provide per-packet statistics so the risk to confidentiality documented in [Section 7](#), paragraph 3 of [[RFC3611](#)] does not apply.

[7.](#) Contributors

Geoff Hunt wrote the initial version of this document.

[8.](#) Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments and contributions made by Bruce Adams, Philip Arden, Amit Arora, Bob Biskner, Kevin Connor, Claus Dahm, Randy Ethier, Roni Even, Jim Frauenthal, Albert Higashi, Tom Hock, Shane Holthaus, Paul Jones, Rajesh Kumar, Keith Lantz, Mohamed Mostafa, Amy Pendleton, Colin Perkins, Mike Ramalho, Ravi Raviraj, Albrecht Schwarz, Tom Taylor, and Hideaki Yamada, Jing Zhao, Kevin Gross, Colin Perkins, Charles Eckel, Glen Zorn, Shida Schubert.

[9.](#) References

[9.1.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", March 1997.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", [RFC 3550](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3611] Friedman, T., Caceres, R., and A. Clark, "RTP Control Protocol Extended Reports (RTCP XR)", November 2003.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", July 2006.
- [RFC5905] Mills, D., Martin, J., Burbank, J., and W. Kasch, "Network Time Protocol Version 4: Protocol and Algorithms

Specification", [RFC 5905](#), June 2010.

[9.2.](#) Informative References

- [MEASI] Hunt, G., "Measurement Identity and information Reporting using SDES item and XR Block", ID [draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-meas-identity-09](#), July 2012.
- [MONARCH] Hunt, G., "Monitoring Architectures for RTP", ID [draft-ietf-avtcore-monarch-18](#), August 2012.
- [RFC6390] Clark, A. and B. Claise, "Framework for Performance Metric Development", [RFC 6390](#), October 2011.

Clark, et al.

Expires March 22, 2013

[Page 13]

Internet-Draft

RTCP XR Delay

September 2012

[Appendix A.](#) Change Log

Note to the RFC-Editor: please remove this section prior to publication as an RFC.

[A.1.](#) [draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-09](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Editorial changes based on comments that are applied to PDV draft.

[A.2. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-08](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Move Geoff Hunt from author list to Contributors section based on his suggestion.

[A.3. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-07](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Editorial changes.
- o Reference updates.

[A.4. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-06](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Editorial changes.

[A.5. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-05](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o One typo fixed.

[A.6. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-04](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Revise the definition of sampled metric to get alignment with MONARCH.
- o Clarify sending the metric block in the same RTCP compound packet as the measurement information block.

[A.7. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-03](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Allocate 64 bit for end system delay and represent it using 64 bit NTP-Timestamp.
- o Other editorial changes.

[A.8. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-02](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Allocate 32bits for each metrics and change unit for each metric from ms to 1/65536 seconds.

[A.9. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-01](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Updated references.
- o Allocate one more bit for Interval metric flag to indicate sampled metric can be used.
- o add a few clarification text for failure mode.

[A.10. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-delay-00](#)

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Changed BNF for SDP following Christian Groves' and Tom Taylor's comments (4th and 5th May 2009).
- o Updated references.

Authors' Addresses

Alan Clark
Telchemy Incorporated
2905 Premiere Parkway, Suite 280
Duluth, GA 30097
USA

Email: alan.d.clark@telchemy.com

Kevin Gross
AVA Networks

Email: kevin.gross@avanw.com

Qin Wu
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

Email: sunseawq@huawei.com

