

Audio/Video Transport Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 20, 2012

G. Hunt
Unaffiliated
A. Clark
Telchemy
G. Zorn
Network Zen
Q. Wu
Huawei
April 18, 2012

RTCP XR Report Block for Discard metric Reporting
draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-discard-02.txt

Abstract

This document defines an RTCP XR Report Block that allows the reporting of a simple discard count metric for use in a range of RTP applications.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 20, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Discard Report Block	3
1.2.	RTCP and RTCP XR Reports	3
1.3.	Performance Metrics Framework	3
1.4.	Applicability	3
2.	Terminology	4
2.1.	Standards Language	4
3.	Discard Metric Report Block	5
3.1.	Report Block Structure	5
3.2.	Definition of Fields in Discard Metric Report Block	5
4.	SDP Signaling	7
5.	IANA Considerations	8
5.1.	New RTCP XR Block Type value	8
5.2.	New RTCP XR SDP Parameter	8
5.3.	Contact information for registrations	8
6.	Security Considerations	9
7.	Contributors	10
8.	Changes from previous version	11
9.	References	12
9.1.	Normative References	12
9.2.	Informative References	12
	Authors' Addresses	13

1. Introduction

1.1. Discard Report Block

This draft defines a new block type to augment those defined in [\[RFC3611\]](#) for use in a range of RTP applications. The new block type supports the reporting of the number of packets which are received correctly but are never played out, typically because they arrive too late to be played out (buffer underflow) or too early (buffer overflow). The metric is applicable both to systems which use packet loss repair techniques (such as forward error correction [\[RFC5109\]](#) or retransmission [\[RFC4588\]](#)) and to those which do not.

This metric is useful for identifying the existence, and characterising the severity, of a packet transport problem which may affect users' perception of a service delivered over RTP.

The metric belongs to the class of transport-related terminal metrics defined in [\[MONARCH\]](#) (work in progress).

1.2. RTCP and RTCP XR Reports

The use of RTCP for reporting is defined in [\[RFC3550\]](#). [\[RFC3611\]](#) defined an extensible structure for reporting using an RTCP Extended Report (XR). This draft defines a new Extended Report block that MUST be used as defined in [\[RFC3550\]](#) and [\[RFC3611\]](#).

1.3. Performance Metrics Framework

The Performance Metrics Framework [\[RFC6390\]](#) provides guidance on the definition and specification of performance metrics. Metrics described in this draft either reference external definitions or define metrics generally in accordance with the guidelines in [\[RFC6390\]](#).

1.4. Applicability

This metric is believed to be applicable to a large class of RTP applications which use a jitter buffer.

2. Terminology

2.1. Standards Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

In addition, the following terms are defined:

Received, Lost and Discarded

A packet shall be regarded as lost if it fails to arrive within an implementation-specific time window. A packet that arrives within this time window but is too early or late to be played out shall be regarded as discarded. A packet shall be classified as one of received (or OK), discarded or lost. The Discard Metric counts only discarded packets. The metric "cumulative number of packets lost" defined in [[RFC3550](#)] reports a count of packets lost from the media stream (single SSRC within single RTP session). Similarly the metric "number of packets discarded" reports a count of packets discarded from the media stream (single SSRC within single RTP session) arriving at the receiver. Another metric defined in [[RFC5725](#)] is available to report on packets which are not recovered by any repair techniques which may be in use.

3. Discard Metric Report Block

3.1. Report Block Structure




Figure 1: Report Block Structure

3.2. Definition of Fields in Discard Metric Report Block

Block type (BT): 8 bits

A Discard Metric Report Block is identified by the constant ND.

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace ND with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

Interval Metric flag (I): 2 bits

This field is used to indicate whether the Discard metric is an sampled, Interval or Cumulative metric, that is, whether the reported values applies to the most recent measurement interval duration between successive metrics reports (I=10) (the Interval Duration) or to the accumulation period characteristic of cumulative measurements (I=11) (the Cumulative Duration) or to the value of a continuously measured or calculated that has been sampled at end of the interval (I=01) (Sampled Value).

Discard Type (DT): 2bits

This field is used to identify the discard type used in this report block. The discard type is defined as follows:

01: packets are discarded due to too early arrival.

10: packets are discarded due to too late arrival.

11: packets are discarded due to both early arrival and late arrival.

Reserved (resv): 5 bits

These bits are reserved. They SHOULD be set to zero by senders and MUST be ignored by receivers.

block length: 16 bits

The length of this report block in 32-bit words, minus one. For the Delay block, the block length is equal to 2.

SSRC of source: 32 bits

As defined in [Section 4.1 of \[RFC3611\]](#).

number of packets discarded: 32 bits

Number of packets discarded over the period (Interval or Cumulative) covered by this report.

If the measured value exceeds 0xFFFFFFFF, the value 0xFFFFFFFF SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFFFFFF SHOULD be reported.

Note that the number of packets expected in the period covered by the metric (whether interval or cumulative) is available from the difference between a pair of extended sequence numbers in the Measurement Identity block, so need not be repeated in this block.

4. SDP Signaling

[RFC3611] defines the use of SDP (Session Description Protocol) [RFC4566] for signaling the use of XR blocks. XR blocks MAY be used without prior signaling.

This section augments the SDP [RFC4566] attribute "rtcp-xr" defined in [RFC3611] by providing an additional value of "xr-format" to signal the use of the report block defined in this document.

rtcp-xr-attrb = "a=" "rtcp-xr" ":" [xr-format *(SP xr-format)] CRLF

(defined in [RFC3611])

xr-format =/ xr-pd-block

xr-pd-block = "pkt-dscrd"

5. IANA Considerations

New block types for RTCP XR are subject to IANA registration. For general guidelines on IANA considerations for RTCP XR, refer to [\[RFC3611\]](#).

5.1. New RTCP XR Block Type value

This document assigns the block type value ND in the IANA "RTCP XR Block Type Registry" to the "Discard Metrics Block".

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace ND with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

5.2. New RTCP XR SDP Parameter

This document also registers a new parameter "pkt-dscrd" in the "RTCP XR SDP Parameters Registry".

5.3. Contact information for registrations

The contact information for the registrations is:

Geoff Hunt (r.geoff.hunt@gmail.com)

Orion 2 PP3, Adastral Park, Martlesham Heath, Ipswich IP5 3RE, United Kingdom

6. Security Considerations

It is believed that this proposed RTCP XR report block introduces no new security considerations beyond those described in [[RFC3611](#)]. This block does not provide per-packet statistics so the risk to confidentiality documented in [Section 7](#), paragraph 3 of [[RFC3611](#)] does not apply.

7. Contributors

The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments and contributions made by Bruce Adams, Philip Arden, Amit Arora, Bob Biskner, Kevin Connor, Claus Dahm, Randy Ethier, Roni Even, Jim Frauenthal, Albert Higashi, Tom Hock, Shane Holthaus, Paul Jones, Rajesh Kumar, Keith Lantz, Mohamed Mostafa, Amy Pendleton, Colin Perkins, Mike Ramalho, Ravi Raviraj, Albrecht Schwarz, Tom Taylor, and Hideaki Yamada.

8. Changes from previous version

Changed BNF for SDP following Christian Groves' and Tom Taylor's comments (4th and 5th May 2009), now aligned with [RFC 5234 section 3.3](#) "Incremental Alternatives".

Updated references.

Allocate 1 bit to distinguish early discard from later discard.

Expand Interval Metric flag to 2 bit to allow using sampled metric.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", March 1997.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", [RFC 3550](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3611] Friedman, T., Caceres, R., and A. Clark, "RTP Control Protocol Extended Reports (RTCP XR)", November 2003.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", July 2006.

9.2. Informative References

- [MONARCH] Wu, Q., "Monitoring Architectures for RTP", ID [draft-ietf-avtcore-monarch-12](#), April 2012.
- [RFC4588] Rey, J., "RTP Retransmission Payload Format", [RFC 4588](#), July 2006.
- [RFC5109] Li, A., "RTP Payload Format for Generic Forward Error Correction", [RFC 5109](#), July 2006.
- [RFC5725] Begen, A., "RTCP XR Report Block for Post-Repair Loss metric Reporting", [RFC 5725](#), February 2010.
- [RFC6390] Clark, A. and B. Claise, "Framework for Performance Metric Development", [RFC 6390](#), October 2011.

Authors' Addresses

Geoff Hunt
Unaffiliated

Email: r.geoff.hunt@gmail.com

Alan Clark
Telchemy Incorporated
2905 Premiere Parkway, Suite 280
Duluth, GA 30097
USA

Email: alan.d.clark@telchemy.com

Glen Zorn
Network Zen
77/440 Soi Phoomjit, Rama IV Road
Phra Khanong, Khlong Toie
Bangkok 10110
Thailand

Phone: +66 (0) 87 502 4274
Email: gwz@net-zen.net

Qin Wu
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

Email: sunseawq@huawei.com

