Audio/Video Transport Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: March 30, 2013

A. Clark
Telchemy
Q. Wu
Huawei

September 26, 2012

RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Extended Report (XR) Block for Packet Delay Variation Metric Reporting

draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-08.txt

Abstract

This document defines an RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) Extended Report (XR) block that allows the reporting of Packet Delay Variation metrics for a range of RTP applications.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 30, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 3
1.1. Packet Delay Variation Metrics Block 3
1.2. RTCP and RTCP XR Reports 3
1.3. Performance Metrics Framework 3
1.4. Applicability 3
2. Terminology 4
2.1. Standards Language 4
2.2. Notations 4
3. Packet Delay Variation Metrics Block 5
3.1. Report Block Structure 5
3.2. Definition of Fields in PDV Metrics Block 5
3.3. Guidance on use of PDV metrics 9
3.4. Examples of use 9
4. SDP Signaling 11
5. IANA Considerations 12
5.1. New RTCP XR Block Type value 12
5.2. New RTCP XR SDP Parameter 12
5.3. Contact information for registrations 12
5.4. New registry of PDV types 12
6. Security Considerations 14
7. Contributors 15
8. Acknowledgments 16
9. References 17
9.1. Normative References 17
9.2. Informative References 17
Appendix A. Change Log 19
A.1. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-08 19
A.2. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-06 19
A.3. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-05 19
A.4. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-04 19
A.5. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-03 19
A.6. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-02 20
A.7. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-01 20
A.8. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-00 20
A.9. draft-ietf-avt-rtcp-xr-pdv-03 20
Authors' Addresses 21

1. Introduction

1.1. Packet Delay Variation Metrics Block

This draft defines a new block type to augment those defined in [RFC3611], for use in a range of RTP applications.

The new block type provides information on Packet Delay Variation (PDV) using one of several standard metrics,, for example, Mean Absolute Packet Delay Variation 2 (MAPDV2) (Clause 6.2.3.2 of [G.1020]), or 2-point PDV (Clause 6.2.4 of [Y.1540]).

The metrics belong to the class of transport metrics defined in [MONARCH].

1.2. RTCP and RTCP XR Reports

The use of RTCP for reporting is defined in [RFC3550]. [RFC3611] defined an extensible structure for reporting using an RTCP Extended Report (XR). This draft defines a new Extended Report block for use with [RFC3550] and [RFC3611].

1.3. Performance Metrics Framework

The Performance Metrics Framework [RFC6390] provides guidance on the definition and specification of performance metrics. The RTP Monitoring Architectures [MONARCH] provides guideline for reporting block format using RTCP XR. The XR Block described in this document are in accordance with the guidelines in [RFC6390] and [MONARCH].

1.4. Applicability

These metrics are applicable to a wide range of RTP applications in which the application streams are sensitive to delay variation[RFC5481]. For example, applications could use the measurements of these metrics to help adjust the size of adaptive jitter buffers to improve performance. Network managers can use these metrics to compare actual delay variation to targets (i.e., a numerical objective or Service Level Agreement) to help ensure the quality of real-time application performance.

2. Terminology

2.1. Standards Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2.2. Notations

This report block makes use of binary fractions. The terminology used is

Numeric formats S X:Y

where S indicates a two's complement signed representation, X the number of bits prior to the decimal place and Y the number of bits after the decimal place.

Hence 8:8 represents an unsigned number in the range 0.0 to 255.996 with a granularity of 0.0039. S7:8 would represent the range -127.996 to +127.996. 0:16 represents a proper binary fraction with range

0.0 to 1 - 1/65536 = 0.9999847

though note that use of flag values at the top of the numeric range slightly reduces this upper limit. For example, if the 16- bit values 0xfffe and 0xffff are used as flags for "over-range" and "unavailable" conditions, a 0:16 quantity has range

0.0 to 1 - 3/65536 = 0.9999542

3. Packet Delay Variation Metrics Block

Metrics in this block report on packet delay variation in the stream arriving at the RTP system. The measurement of these metrics are made at the receiving end of the RTP stream. Instances of this metric block refer by Synchronization Source (SSRC) to the separate auxiliary Measurement Information Block [MEASI] which contains measurement intervals. This metric block relies on the measurement interval given by the value of the "Measurement Duration (Interval)" field in the Measurement Information Block to indicate the span of the report and MUST be sent in the same compound RTCP packet as the Measurement Information Block. If the measurement interval is not received for this metric block, this metric block MUST be discarded.

3.1. Report Block Structure

PDV metrics block

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     BT=NPDV   | I |pdvtyp |Rsv|       block length=4          |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                        SSRC of Source                         |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|    Pos PDV Threshold/Peak     |     Pos PDV Percentile        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|    Neg PDV Threshold/Peak     |     Neg PDV Percentile        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|          Mean PDV             |           Reserved            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Figure 1: Report Block Structure

3.2. Definition of Fields in PDV Metrics Block

Block type (BT): 8 bits

A Packet Delay Variation Metrics Report Block is identified by the constant NPDV.

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace NPDV with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

Interval Metric flag (I): 2 bit

This field is used to indicate whether the Packet Delay variation metrics are Sampled, Interval or Cumulative metrics [MONARCH], that is, whether the reported values applies to the most recent measurement interval duration between successive metrics reports (I=10) (the Interval Duration) or to the accumulation period characteristic of cumulative measurements (I=11) (the Cumulative Duration) or is a sampled instantaneous value (I=01) (Sampled Value). The value I=00 is reserved, and MUST NOT be used. If the value I=00 is received, then the XR block MUST be ignored by the receiver.

Packet Delay Variation Metric Type (pdvtyp): 4 bits

Packet Delay Variation Metric Type is of type enumerated and is interpreted as an unsigned, 4-bit integer. This field is used to identify the Packet Delay Variation Metric Type used in this report block, according to the following code:

bits 014-011

0: MAPDV2, Clause 6.2.3.2 of [G.1020],

1: 2-point PDV, Clause 6.2.4 of [Y.1540].

Rsv.: 2 bits

This field is reserved for future definition. In the absence of such a definition, the bits in this field MUST be set to zero and ignored by the receiver.

Block Length: 16 bits

The length of this report block in 32-bit words, minus one. For the Packet Delay Variation Metrics block, the block length is equal to 4.

SSRC of source: 32 bits

As defined in Section 4.1 of [RFC3611].

Positive PDV Threshold/Peak: 16 bits

This field is associated with the Positive PDV percentile and expressed in Milliseconds with numeric format S11:4. The term Positive represents that the packets are arriving later than the expected time.

If the measured value is less than -2047.9375 (the value which would be coded as 0x8001), the value 0x8000 SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range negative measurement. If the measured value is greater than +2047.8125 (the value which would be coded as 0x7FFD), the value 0x7FFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range positive measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0x7FFF MUST be reported.

Positive PDV Percentile: 16 bits

The percentages of packets in the RTP stream for which individual packet delays were less than the Positive PDV Threshold. It is expressed in numeric format 8:8 with values from 0 to 100th percentile.

If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFF MUST be reported.

Negative PDV Threshold/Peak: 16 bits

This field is associated with the Negative PDV percentile and expressed in Milliseconds with numeric format S11:4. The term Negative represents that the packets are arriving earlier than the expected time.

If the measured value is more negative than -2047.9375 (the value which would be coded as 0x8001), the value 0x8000 SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range negative measurement. If the measured value is more positive than +2047.8125 (the value which would be coded as 0x7FFD), the value 0x7FFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range positive measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0x7FFF MUST be reported.

Negative PDV Percentile: 16 bits

The percentages of packets in the RTP stream for which individual packet delays were more than the Negative PDV Threshold. It is expressed in numeric format 8:8 with values from 0 to 100th

percentile.

If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0xFFFF MUST be reported.

If the PDV Type indicated is 2-point PDV and the Positive and Negative PDV Percentiles are set to 100.0 then the Positive and Negative Threshold/Peak PDV values are the peak values measured during the reporting interval (which may be from the start of the call for cumulative reports). In this case, the difference between the Positive and Negative Threshold/Peak values defines the range of 2-point PDV.

Mean PDV: 16 bits

The mean PDV value of data packets is expressed in milliseconds with Numeric format S11:4 format.

For MAPDV2 this value is generated according to Clause 6.2.3.2 of [G.1020]. For interval reports the MAPDV2 value is reset at the start of the interval.

For 2-point PDV, the value reported is the mean of per-packet 2-point PDV values. This metric indicates the arrival time of the first media packet of the session with respect to the mean of the arrival times of every packet of the session. A single value of the metric (for a single session) may not be useful by itself, but its average over a number of sessions may be useful in diagnosing media delay at session startup. For example, this might occur if media packets are often delayed behind signalling packets due to head-of-line blocking.

If the measured value is more negative than -2047.9375 (the value which would be coded as 0x8001), the value 0x8000 SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range negative measurement. If the measured value is more positive than +2047.8125 (the value which would be coded as 0x7FFD), the value 0x7FFE SHOULD be reported to indicate an over-range positive measurement. If the measurement is unavailable, the value 0x7FFF MUST be reported.

Reserved: 16 bits

These bits are reserved for future definition. They MUST be set to zero by the sender and ignored by the receiver.

3.3. Guidance on use of PDV metrics

This subsection provides informative guidance on when it might be appropriate to use each of the PDV metric types.

MAPDV2 (Clause 6.2.3.2 of [G.1020]) is the envelope of instantaneous (per-packet) delay when compared to the short term moving average delay. This metric could be useful in determining residual impairment when an RTP end system uses an adaptive de-jitter buffer which tracks the average delay variation, provided that the averaging behavior of the adaptive algorithm is similar to that of the MAPDV2 algorithm.

2-point PDV (Clause 6.2.4 of [Y.1540]) reports absolute packet delay variation with respect to a defined reference packet transfer delay . Note that the reference packet is generally selected as the packet with minimum delay based on the most common criterion (See section 1 and section 5.1 of [RFC5481]). In an RTP context, the two "points" are at the sender (the synchronization source which applies RTP timestamps) and at the receiver. The value of this metric for the packet with index j is identical to the quantity D(i,j) defined in Section 6.4.1 of [RFC3550] and the packet index i should be set equal to the index of the reference packet for the metric in practice. The metric includes the effect of the frequency offsets of clocks in both the sender and receiver end systems, so it is useful mainly in network where synchronization is distributed. As well as measuring packet delay variation in such networks, it may be used to ensure that synchronization is effective, for example where the network carries ISDN data traffic over RTP [RFC4040]. The metric is likely to be useful in networks which use fixed de-jitter buffering, because it may be used to determine the length of the required de-jitter buffer, or to determine if network performance has deteriorated such that existing de-jitter buffers are too small to accommodate the observed delay variation.

3.4. Examples of use

(b) To report MAPDV2 [G.1020]:

Pos PDV Threshold = 50.0; Pos PDV Percentile = 95.3; Neg PDV Threshold = 50.0 (note this implies -50ms); Neg PDV Percentile = 98.4; PDV type = 0 (MAPDV2)

causes average MAPDV2 to be reported in the Mean PDV field.

Note that implementations may either fix the reported percentile and calculate the associated PDV level or may fix a threshold PDV level and calculate the associated percentile.

From a practical implementation perspective it is simpler to use the second of these approaches (except of course in the extreme case of a 100% percentile).

(b) To report 2-point PDV [Y.1540]:

Pos PDV Threshold = 60 (note this implies +60ms); Pos PDV Percentile = 96.3; Neg PDV Threshold = 0; Neg PDV Percentile = 0; PDV type = 1 (2-point PDV)

causes 2-point PDV to be reported in the Mean PDV field.

2-point PDV, according to [Y.1540] is the difference in delay between the current packet and the referenced packet of the stream. If the sending and receiving clocks are not synchronized, this metric includes the effect of relative timing drift.

4. SDP Signaling

[RFC3611] defines the use of SDP (Session Description Protocol) [RFC4566] for signaling the use of XR blocks. XR blocks MAY be used without prior signaling.

This section augments the SDP [RFC4566] attribute "rtcp-xr" defined in [RFC3611] by providing an additional value of "xr-format" to signal the use of the report block defined in this document.

```
xr-format =/ xr-pdv-block

xr-pdv-block  = "pkt-dly-var" [ "," pdvtype ] [ "," nspec "," pspec ]

     pdvtype  = "pdv="  ( "0"         ; MAPDV2 ITU-T G.1020
                        / "1"         ; 2-point PDV ITU-T Y.1540
                        / 1*2DIGIT )  ;Value 2~15 are valid and
                                      ;reserved for future use
     nspec    = ("nthr=" fixpoint)     ; negative PDV threshold (ms)
                / ("npc=" fixpoint )  ; negative PDV percentile
     pspec    = ("pthr=" fixpoint)     ; positive PDV threshold (ms)
                / ("ppc=" fixpoint)   ; positive PDV percentile

     fixpoint       = 1*DIGIT "." 1*DIGIT  ; fixed point decimal
     DIGIT          = <as defined in Section 3.4 of [RFC5234]>
```

When SDP is used in offer-answer, a system sending SDP may request a specific type of PDV measurement. In addition, they may state a specific percentile or threshold value, and expect to receive the corresponding threshold or percentile metric, respectively. The system receiving the SDP SHOULD send the PDV metrics requested, but if the metric is not available, the system receiving the SDP MUST send the metric block with the flag value indicating that the metric is unavailable.

5. IANA Considerations

New block types for RTCP XR are subject to IANA registration. For general guidelines on IANA considerations for RTCP XR, refer to [RFC3611].

5.1. New RTCP XR Block Type value

This document assigns the block type value NPDV in the IANA "RTCP XR Block Type Registry" to the "Packet Delay Variation Metrics Block".

[Note to RFC Editor: please replace NPDV with the IANA provided RTCP XR block type for this block.]

5.2. New RTCP XR SDP Parameter

This document also registers a new parameter "pkt-dly-var" in the "RTCP XR SDP Parameters Registry".

5.3. Contact information for registrations

The contact information for the registrations is:

Qin Wu (sunseawq@huawei.com)

101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

5.4. New registry of PDV types

This document creates a new registry to be called "RTCP XR PDV block - PDV type" as a sub-registry of the "RTP Control Protocol Extended Reports (RTCP XR) Block Type Registry". Policies for this new registry are as follows:

- The information required to support an assignment is an unambiguous definition of the new metric, covering the base measurements and how they are processed to generate the reported metric. This should include the units of measurement, how values of the metric are reported in the three 16-bit fields "Pos PDV Threshold/Peak", "Neg PDV Threshold/Peak" and "Mean PDV" within the report block, and how the metric uses the two 16-bit fields "Pos PDV Percentile" and "Neg PDV Percentile".

- o The review process for the registry is "Specification Required" as described in Section 4.1 of [RFC5226].

- o Entries in the registry are unsigned 4-bit integers. The valid range is 0 to 15 corresponding to the 4-bit field "pdvtyp" in the block. Values are to be recorded in decimal.

- o Initial assignments are as follows:

  * 0: MAPDV2, Clause 6.2.3.2 of [G.1020],

  * 1: 2-point PDV, Clause 6.2.4 of [Y.1540],

  * 2-15: Reserved for future use.

6. Security Considerations

It is believed that this proposed RTCP XR report block introduces no new security considerations beyond those described in [RFC3611]. This block does not provide per-packet statistics so the risk to confidentiality documented in Section 7, paragraph 3 of [RFC3611] does not apply.

7. Contributors

Geoff Hunt wrote the initial version of this document.

8. Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge reviews and feedback provided by Bruce Adams, Philip Arden, Amit Arora, Bob Biskner, Kevin Connor, Claus Dahm, Randy Ethier, Roni Even, Jim Frauenthal, Albert Higashi, Tom Hock, Shane Holthaus, Paul Jones, Rajesh Kumar, Keith Lantz, Mohamed Mostafa, Amy Pendleton, Colin Perkins, Mike Ramalho, Ravi Raviraj, Albrecht Schwarz, Tom Taylor, Hideaki Yamada, Jing Zhao, Kevin Gross, Colin Perkins, Charles Eckel, Glen Zorn, Shida Schubert, Benoit Claise, Adrian Farrel and Pete Resnick.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[G.1020] ITU-T, "ITU-T Rec. G.1020, Performance parameter definitions for quality of speech and other voiceband applications utilizing IP networks", July 2006.

[MEASI] Hunt, G., "Measurement Identity and information Reporting using SDES item and XR Block", ID draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-meas-identity-10, August 2012.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", March 1997.

[RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", RFC 3550, July 2003.

[RFC3611] Friedman, T., Caceres, R., and A. Clark, "RTP Control Protocol Extended Reports (RTCP XR)", November 2003.

[RFC4040] Kreuter, R., "RTP Payload Format for a 64 kbit/s Transparent Call", April 2005.

[RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", July 2006.

[RFC5226] Narten, T., "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", May 2008.

BCP 26

[RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", January 2008.

[Y.1540] ITU-T, "ITU-T Rec. Y.1540, IP packet transfer and availability performance parameters", November 2007.

9.2. Informative References

[MONARCH] Hunt, G., "Monitoring Architectures for RTP", ID draft-ietf-avtcore-monarch-22, September 2012.

[RFC5481] Morton, A. and B. Claise, "Packet Delay Variation Applicability Statement", RFC 5481, March 2009.

[RFC6390] Clark, A. and B. Claise, "Framework for Performance Metric

Development", RFC 6390, October 2011.

Appendix A. Change Log

Note to the RFC-Editor: please remove this section prior to publication as an RFC.

A.1. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-08

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-07,6:

- o Editorial change based on IESG Review.

A.2. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-06

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-05:

- o Editorial change based on IESG Review.
- o SDP element update based on pete's suggestion.
- o Clarify the value of PDV in the applicability section.
- o Clarify measurement point and timing in section 3.

A.3. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-05

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-04:

- o Move Geoff Hunt from author list to Contributors section based on his suggestion.

A.4. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-04

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-03:

- o Editorial changes based on Gen-Art Review and Secdir Review.

A.5. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-03

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-02:

- o Make definition of pdvtype get alignment with IANA section.

- o Make Guidance on use of PDV metrics get alignment with RFC5481.

- o Other Editorial changes.

A.6. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-02

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-01:

- o Updated references.

- o Allocate one more bit for Interval metric flag to indicate sampled metric can be used.

- o Add a few clarification text for failure mode.

A.7. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-01

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-00:

- o Fix typos or nits in the definition of Negative PDV Threshold/ Peak.

- o Fix nits in Numeric format S7:8.

- o remove the text that is relevant to tag field.

- o Add text in SDP signaling section to clarify indicationof metric unavailable.

A.8. draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-pdv-00

The following are the major changes to previous version draft-ietf-avt-rtcp-xr-pdv-03:

- o Updated references.

A.9. draft-ietf-avt-rtcp-xr-pdv-03

The following are the major changes to previous version :

- o Changed BNF for SDP following Christian Groves' and Tom Taylor's comments (4th and 5th May 2009).

- o Updated references.

Authors' Addresses

Alan Clark
Telchemy Incorporated
2905 Premiere Parkway, Suite 280
Duluth, GA 30097
USA

Email: alan.d.clark@telchemy.com

Qin Wu
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

Email: sunseawq@huawei.com