

Workgroup: Network Working Group

Internet-Draft: draft-irtf-cfrg-voprf-15

Published: 21 November 2022

Intended Status: Informational

Expires: 25 May 2023

Authors: A. Davidson	A. Faz-Hernandez	N. Sullivan
Brave Software	Cloudflare, Inc.	Cloudflare, Inc.
C. A. Wood		
Cloudflare, Inc.		

Oblivious Pseudorandom Functions (OPRFs) using Prime-Order Groups

Abstract

An Oblivious Pseudorandom Function (OPRF) is a two-party protocol between client and server for computing the output of a Pseudorandom Function (PRF). The server provides the PRF secret key, and the client provides the PRF input. At the end of the protocol, the client learns the PRF output without learning anything about the PRF secret key, and the server learns neither the PRF input nor output. An OPRF can also satisfy a notion of 'verifiability', called a VOPRF. A VOPRF ensures clients can verify that the server used a specific private key during the execution of the protocol. A VOPRF can also be partially-oblivious, called a POPRF. A POPRF allows clients and servers to provide public input to the PRF computation. This document specifies an OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF instantiated within standard prime-order groups, including elliptic curves. This document is a product of the Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG) in the IRTF.

Discussion Venues

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/cfrg/draft-irtf-cfrg-voprf>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents

at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 25 May 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
 - [1.1. Change log](#)
 - [1.2. Requirements](#)
 - [1.3. Notation and Terminology](#)
- [2. Preliminaries](#)
 - [2.1. Prime-Order Group](#)
 - [2.2. Discrete Logarithm Equivalence Proofs](#)
 - [2.2.1. Proof Generation](#)
 - [2.2.2. Proof Verification](#)
- [3. Protocol](#)
 - [3.1. Configuration](#)
 - [3.2. Key Generation and Context Setup](#)
 - [3.3. Online Protocol](#)
 - [3.3.1. OPRF Protocol](#)
 - [3.3.2. VOPRF Protocol](#)
 - [3.3.3. POPRF Protocol](#)
- [4. Ciphersuites](#)
 - [4.1. Ciphersuite Registry](#)
 - [4.1.1. OPRF\(ristretto255, SHA-512\)](#)
 - [4.1.2. OPRF\(decaf448, SHAKE-256\)](#)
 - [4.1.3. OPRF\(P-256, SHA-256\)](#)
 - [4.1.4. OPRF\(P-384, SHA-384\)](#)
 - [4.1.5. OPRF\(P-521, SHA-512\)](#)
 - [4.2. Future Ciphersuites](#)
 - [4.3. Random Scalar Generation](#)
 - [4.3.1. Rejection Sampling](#)
 - [4.3.2. Random Number Generation Using Extra Random Bits](#)

- 5. [Application Considerations](#)
 - 5.1. [Input Limits](#)
 - 5.2. [External Interface Recommendations](#)
 - 5.3. [Error Considerations](#)
 - 5.4. [POPRF Public Input](#)
- 6. [IANA considerations](#)
- 7. [Security Considerations](#)
 - 7.1. [Security Properties](#)
 - 7.2. [Security Assumptions](#)
 - 7.2.1. [OPRF and VOPRF Assumptions](#)
 - 7.2.2. [POPRF Assumptions](#)
 - 7.2.3. [Static Diffie Hellman Attack and Security Limits](#)
 - 7.3. [Domain Separation](#)
 - 7.4. [Timing Leaks](#)
- 8. [Acknowledgements](#)
- 9. [References](#)
 - 9.1. [Normative References](#)
 - 9.2. [Informative References](#)
- Appendix A. [Test Vectors](#)
 - A.1. [OPRF\(ristretto255, SHA-512\)](#)
 - A.1.1. [OPRF Mode](#)
 - A.1.2. [VOPRF Mode](#)
 - A.1.3. [POPRF Mode](#)
 - A.2. [OPRF\(dec448, SHAKE-256\)](#)
 - A.2.1. [OPRF Mode](#)
 - A.2.2. [VOPRF Mode](#)
 - A.2.3. [POPRF Mode](#)
 - A.3. [OPRF\(P-256, SHA-256\)](#)
 - A.3.1. [OPRF Mode](#)
 - A.3.2. [VOPRF Mode](#)
 - A.3.3. [POPRF Mode](#)
 - A.4. [OPRF\(P-384, SHA-384\)](#)
 - A.4.1. [OPRF Mode](#)
 - A.4.2. [VOPRF Mode](#)
 - A.4.3. [POPRF Mode](#)
 - A.5. [OPRF\(P-521, SHA-512\)](#)
 - A.5.1. [OPRF Mode](#)
 - A.5.2. [VOPRF Mode](#)
 - A.5.3. [POPRF Mode](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#)

1. Introduction

A Pseudorandom Function (PRF) $F(k, x)$ is an efficiently computable function taking a private key k and a value x as input. This function is pseudorandom if the keyed function $K(_) = F(k, _)$ is indistinguishable from a randomly sampled function acting on the same domain and range as $K()$. An Oblivious PRF (OPRF) is a two-party protocol between a server and a client, where the server holds a PRF

key k and the client holds some input x . The protocol allows both parties to cooperate in computing $F(k, x)$ such that the client learns $F(k, x)$ without learning anything about k ; and the server does not learn anything about x or $F(k, x)$. A Verifiable OPRF (VOPRF) is an OPRF wherein the server also proves to the client that $F(k, x)$ was produced by the key k corresponding to the server's public key the client knows. A Partially-Oblivious PRF (POPRF) is a variant of a VOPRF wherein client and server interact in computing $F(k, x, y)$, for some PRF F with server-provided key k , client-provided input x , and public input y , and client receives proof that $F(k, x, y)$ was computed using k corresponding to the public key that the client knows. A POPRF with fixed input y is functionally equivalent to a VOPRF.

OPRFs have a variety of applications, including: password-protected secret sharing schemes [[JKKX16](#)], privacy-preserving password stores [[SJKS17](#)], and password-authenticated key exchange or PAKE [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-opaque](#)]. Verifiable POPRFs are necessary in some applications such as Privacy Pass [[I-D.ietf-privacypass-protocol](#)]. Verifiable OPRFs have also been used for password-protected secret sharing schemes such as that of [[JKK14](#)].

This document specifies OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF protocols built upon prime-order groups. The document describes each protocol variant, along with application considerations, and their security properties.

This document represents the consensus of the Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG).

1.1. Change log

[draft-15](#):

- *Apply editorial suggestions from CFRG RGLC.

[draft-14](#):

- *Correct current state of formal analysis for the VOPRF protocol variant.

[draft-13](#):

- *Editorial improvements based on Crypto Panel Review.

[draft-12](#):

- *Small editorial fixes

[draft-11:](#)

- *Change Evaluate to BlindEvaluate, and add Evaluate for PRF evaluation

[draft-10:](#)

- *Editorial improvements

[draft-09:](#)

- *Split syntax for OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF functionalities.
- *Make Blind function fallible for invalid private and public inputs.
- *Specify key generation.
- *Remove serialization steps from core protocol functions.
- *Refactor protocol presentation for clarity.
- *Simplify security considerations.
- *Update application interface considerations.
- *Update test vectors.

[draft-08:](#)

- *Adopt partially-oblivious PRF construction from [[TCRSTW21](#)].
- *Update P-384 suite to use SHA-384 instead of SHA-512.
- *Update test vectors.
- *Apply various editorial changes.

[draft-07:](#)

- *Bind blinding mechanism to mode (additive for verifiable mode and multiplicative for base mode).
- *Add explicit errors for deserialization.
- *Document explicit errors and API considerations.
- *Adopt SHAKE-256 for decaf448 ciphersuite.
- *Normalize HashToScalar functionality for all ciphersuites.

- *Refactor and generalize DLEQ proof functionality and domain separation tags for use in other protocols.

- *Update test vectors.

- *Apply various editorial changes.

[draft-06:](#)

- *Specify of group element and scalar serialization.

- *Remove info parameter from the protocol API and update domain separation guidance.

- *Fold Unblind function into Finalize.

- *Optimize ComputeComposites for servers (using knowledge of the private key).

- *Specify deterministic key generation method.

- *Update test vectors.

- *Apply various editorial changes.

[draft-05:](#)

- *Move to ristretto255 and decaf448 ciphersuites.

- *Clean up ciphersuite definitions.

- *Pin domain separation tag construction to draft version.

- *Move key generation outside of context construction functions.

- *Editorial changes.

[draft-04:](#)

- *Introduce Client and Server contexts for controlling verifiability and required functionality.

- *Condense API.

- *Remove batching from standard functionality (included as an extension)

- *Add Curve25519 and P-256 ciphersuites for applications that prevent strong-DH oracle attacks.

- *Provide explicit prime-order group API and instantiation advice for each ciphersuite.

- *Proof-of-concept implementation in sage.

- *Remove privacy considerations advice as this depends on applications.

[draft-03:](#)

- *Certify public key during VerifiableFinalize.

- *Remove protocol integration advice.

- *Add text discussing how to perform domain separation.

- *Drop OPRF_/VOPRF_ prefix from algorithm names.

- *Make prime-order group assumption explicit.

- *Changes to algorithms accepting batched inputs.

- *Changes to construction of batched DLEQ proofs.

- *Updated ciphersuites to be consistent with hash-to-curve and added OPRF specific ciphersuites.

[draft-02:](#)

- *Added section discussing cryptographic security and static DH oracles.

- *Updated batched proof algorithms.

[draft-01:](#)

- *Updated ciphersuites to be in line with <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve-04>.

- *Made some necessary modular reductions more explicit.

1.2. Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.3. Notation and Terminology

The following functions and notation are used throughout the document.

*For any object x , we write $\text{len}(x)$ to denote its length in bytes.

*For two byte arrays x and y , write $x \parallel y$ to denote their concatenation.

* $\text{I2OSP}(x, \text{xLen})$: Converts a non-negative integer x into a byte array of specified length xLen as described in [[RFC8017](#)]. Note that this function returns a byte array in big-endian byte order.

*The notation $T\ U[N]$ refers to an array called U containing N items of type T . The type opaque means one single byte of uninterpreted data. Items of the array are zero-indexed and referred as $U[j]$ such that $0 \leq j < N$.

All algorithms and procedures described in this document are laid out in a Python-like pseudocode. Each function takes a set of inputs and parameters and produces a set of output values. Parameters become constant values once the protocol variant and the ciphersuite are fixed.

The `PrivateInput` data type refers to inputs that are known only to the client in the protocol, whereas the `PublicInput` data type refers to inputs that are known to both client and server in the protocol. Both `PrivateInput` and `PublicInput` are opaque byte strings of arbitrary length no larger than 2^{13} octets.

String values such as "DeriveKeyPair", "Seed-", and "Finalize" are ASCII string literals.

The following terms are used throughout this document.

*PRF: Pseudorandom Function.

*OPRF: Oblivious Pseudorandom Function.

*VOPRF: Verifiable Oblivious Pseudorandom Function.

*POPRF: Partially Oblivious Pseudorandom Function.

*Client: Protocol initiator. Learns pseudorandom function evaluation as the output of the protocol.

*Server: Computes the pseudorandom function over a private key. Learns nothing about the client's input or output.

2. Preliminaries

The protocols in this document have two primary dependencies:

*Group: A prime-order group implementing the API described below in [Section 2.1](#). See [Section 4](#) for specific instances of groups.

*Hash: A cryptographic hash function whose output length is N_h bytes.

[Section 4](#) specifies ciphersuites as combinations of Group and Hash.

2.1. Prime-Order Group

In this document, we assume the construction of an additive, prime-order group G for performing all mathematical operations. In prime-order groups, any element can generate the other elements of the group. Usually, one element is fixed and defined as the group generator. Such groups are uniquely determined by the choice of the prime p that defines the order of the group. (There may, however, exist different representations of the group for a single p . [Section 4](#) lists specific groups which indicate both order and representation.)

The fundamental group operation is addition $+$ with identity element I . For any elements A and B of the group, $A + B = B + A$ is also a member of the group. Also, for any A in the group, there exists an element $-A$ such that $A + (-A) = (-A) + A = I$. Scalar multiplication is equivalent to the repeated application of the group operation on an element A with itself $r-1$ times, this is denoted as $r*A = A + \dots + A$. For any element A , $p*A=I$. The case when the scalar multiplication is performed on the group generator is denoted as $\text{ScalarMultGen}(r)$. Given two elements A and B , the discrete logarithm problem is to find an integer k such that $B = k*A$. Thus, k is the discrete logarithm of B with respect to the base A . The set of scalars corresponds to $\text{GF}(p)$, a prime field of order p , and are represented as the set of integers defined by $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. This document uses types `Element` and `Scalar` to denote elements of the group and its set of scalars, respectively.

We now detail a number of member functions that can be invoked on a prime-order group.

*Order(): Outputs the order of the group (i.e. p).

*Identity(): Outputs the identity element of the group (i.e. I).

*Generator(): Outputs the generator element of the group.

*HashToGroup(x): A member function of Group that deterministically maps an array of bytes x to an element of Group. The map must ensure that, for any adversary receiving $R = \text{HashToGroup}(x)$, it is computationally difficult to reverse the mapping. This function is optionally parameterized by a domain separation tag (DST); see [Section 4](#).

*HashToScalar(x): A member function of Group that deterministically maps an array of bytes x to an element in $\text{GF}(p)$. This function is optionally parameterized by a DST; see [Section 4](#).

*RandomScalar(): A member function of Group that chooses at random a non-zero element in $\text{GF}(p)$.

*ScalarInverse(s): Returns the inverse of input Scalar s on $\text{GF}(p)$.

*SerializeElement(A): A member function of Group that maps an Element A to a canonical byte array buf of fixed length N_e .

*DeserializeElement(buf): A member function of Group that attempts to map a byte array buf to an Element A , and fails if the input is not the valid canonical byte representation of an element of the group. This function can raise a DeserializeError if deserialization fails or A is the identity element of the group; see [Section 4](#) for group-specific input validation steps.

*SerializeScalar(s): A member function of Group that maps a Scalar s to a canonical byte array buf of fixed length N_s .

*DeserializeScalar(buf): A member function of Group that attempts to map a byte array buf to a Scalar s . This function can raise a DeserializeError if deserialization fails; see [Section 4](#) for group-specific input validation steps.

[Section 4](#) contains details for the implementation of this interface for different prime-order groups instantiated over elliptic curves. In particular, for some choices of elliptic curves, e.g., those detailed in [\[RFC7748\]](#), which require accounting for cofactors, [Section 4](#) describes required steps necessary to ensure the resulting group is of prime order.

2.2. Discrete Logarithm Equivalence Proofs

A proof of knowledge allows a prover to convince a verifier that some statement is true. If the prover can generate a proof without interaction with the verifier, the proof is noninteractive. If the verifier learns nothing other than whether the statement claimed by the prover is true or false, the proof is zero-knowledge.

This section describes a noninteractive zero-knowledge proof for discrete logarithm equivalence (DLEQ). A DLEQ proof demonstrates that two pairs of group elements have the same discrete logarithm without revealing the discrete logarithm.

The DLEQ proof resembles the Chaum-Pedersen [[ChaumPedersen](#)] proof, which is shown to be zero-knowledge by Jarecki, et al. [[JKK14](#)] and is noninteractive after applying the Fiat-Shamir transform [[FS00](#)]. Furthermore, Davidson, et al. [[DGSTV18](#)] showed a proof system for batching DLEQ proofs that has constant-size proofs with respect to the number of inputs. The specific DLEQ proof system presented below follows this latter construction with two modifications: (1) the transcript used to generate the seed includes more context information, and (2) the individual challenges for each element in the proof is derived from a seed-prefixed hash-to-scalar invocation rather than being sampled from a seeded PRNG. The description is split into two sub-sections: one for generating the proof, which is done by servers in the verifiable protocols, and another for verifying the proof, which is done by clients in the protocol.

2.2.1. Proof Generation

Generating a proof is done with the `GenerateProof` function, defined below. Given elements A and B , two non-empty lists of elements C and D of length m , and a scalar k ; this function produces a proof that $k \cdot A = B$ and $k \cdot C[i] = D[i]$ for each i in $[0, \dots, m - 1]$. The output is a value of type `Proof`, which is a tuple of two `Scalar` values.

`GenerateProof` accepts lists of inputs to amortize the cost of proof generation. Applications can take advantage of this functionality to produce a single, constant-sized proof for m DLEQ inputs, rather than m proofs for m DLEQ inputs.

Input:

Scalar k
Element A
Element B
Element $C[m]$
Element $D[m]$

Output:

Proof $proof$

Parameters:

Group G

```
def GenerateProof(k, A, B, C, D)
    (M, Z) = ComputeCompositesFast(k, B, C, D)

    r = G.RandomScalar()
    t2 = r * A
    t3 = r * M

    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    a0 = G.SerializeElement(M)
    a1 = G.SerializeElement(Z)
    a2 = G.SerializeElement(t2)
    a3 = G.SerializeElement(t3)

    h2Input = I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
               I2OSP(len(a0), 2) || a0 ||
               I2OSP(len(a1), 2) || a1 ||
               I2OSP(len(a2), 2) || a2 ||
               I2OSP(len(a3), 2) || a3 ||
               "Challenge"

    c = G.HashToScalar(h2Input)
    s = r - c * k

    return [c, s]
```

The helper function `ComputeCompositesFast` is as defined below, and is an optimization of the `ComputeComposites` function for servers since they have knowledge of the private key.

Input:

Scalar k
Element B
Element $C[m]$
Element $D[m]$

Output:

Element M
Element Z

Parameters:

Group G
PublicKey contextString

```
def ComputeCompositesFast(k, B, C, D):
    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    seedDST = "Seed-" || contextString
    h1Input = I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
              I2OSP(len(seedDST), 2) || seedDST
    seed = Hash(h1Input)

    M = G.Identity()
    for i in range(m):
        Ci = G.SerializeElement(C[i])
        Di = G.SerializeElement(D[i])
        h2Input = I2OSP(len(seed), 2) || seed || I2OSP(i, 2) ||
                  I2OSP(len(Ci), 2) || Ci ||
                  I2OSP(len(Di), 2) || Di ||
                  "Composite"

        di = G.HashToScalar(h2Input)
        M = di * C[i] + M

    Z = k * M

    return (M, Z)
```

When used in the protocol described in [Section 3](#), the parameter contextString is as defined in [Section 3.2](#).

2.2.2. Proof Verification

Verifying a proof is done with the VerifyProof function, defined below. This function takes elements A and B , two non-empty lists of elements C and D of length m , and a Proof value output from

GenerateProof. It outputs a single boolean value indicating whether or not the proof is valid for the given DLEQ inputs. Note this function can verify proofs on lists of inputs whenever the proof was generated as a batched DLEQ proof with the same inputs.

Input:

Element A
Element B
Element C[m]
Element D[m]
Proof proof

Output:

boolean verified

Parameters:

Group G

```
def VerifyProof(A, B, C, D, proof):
    (M, Z) = ComputeComposites(B, C, D)
    c = proof[0]
    s = proof[1]

    t2 = ((s * A) + (c * B))
    t3 = ((s * M) + (c * Z))

    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    a0 = G.SerializeElement(M)
    a1 = G.SerializeElement(Z)
    a2 = G.SerializeElement(t2)
    a3 = G.SerializeElement(t3)

    h2Input = I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
               I2OSP(len(a0), 2) || a0 ||
               I2OSP(len(a1), 2) || a1 ||
               I2OSP(len(a2), 2) || a2 ||
               I2OSP(len(a3), 2) || a3 ||
               "Challenge"

    expectedC = G.HashToScalar(h2Input)
    verified = (expectedC == c)

    return verified
```

The definition of ComputeComposites is given below.

Input:

Element B
Element C[m]
Element D[m]

Output:

Element M
Element Z

Parameters:

Group G
PublicInput contextString

```
def ComputeComposites(B, C, D):
    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    seedDST = "Seed-" || contextString
    h1Input = I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
              I2OSP(len(seedDST), 2) || seedDST
    seed = Hash(h1Input)

    M = G.Identity()
    Z = G.Identity()
    for i in range(m):
        Ci = G.SerializeElement(C[i])
        Di = G.SerializeElement(D[i])
        h2Input = I2OSP(len(seed), 2) || seed || I2OSP(i, 2) ||
                  I2OSP(len(Ci), 2) || Ci ||
                  I2OSP(len(Di), 2) || Di ||
                  "Composite"

        di = G.HashToScalar(h2Input)
        M = di * C[i] + M
        Z = di * D[i] + Z

    return (M, Z)
```

When used in the protocol described in [Section 3](#), the parameter contextString is as defined in [Section 3.2](#).

3. Protocol

In this section, we define three protocol variants referred as the OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF modes with the following properties.

In the OPRF mode, a client and server interact to compute output = $F(skS, \text{input})$, where input is the client's private input, skS is the server's private key, and output is the OPRF output. After the execution of the protocol, the client learns output and the server learns nothing. This interaction is shown below.

```

Client                                     Server(skS)
-----
blind, blindedElement = Blind(input)

                                blindedElement
                                ----->

                                evaluatedElement = BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement)

                                evaluatedElement
                                <-----

output = Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement)

```

Figure 1: OPRF protocol overview

In the VOPRF mode, the client additionally receives proof that the server used skS in computing the function. To achieve verifiability, as in [JKK14], the server provides a zero-knowledge proof that the key provided as input by the server in the BlindEvaluate function is the same key as it used to produce the server's public key, pkS, which the client receives as input to the protocol. This proof does not reveal the server's private key to the client. This interaction is shown below.

```

Client(pkS)          <---- pkS ----- Server(skS, pkS)
-----
blind, blindedElement = Blind(input)

                                blindedElement
                                ----->

                                evaluatedElement, proof = BlindEvaluate(skS, pkS,
                                                                    blindedElement)

                                evaluatedElement, proof
                                <-----

output = Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement,
                  blindedElement, pkS, proof)

```

Figure 2: VOPRF protocol overview with additional proof

The POPRF mode extends the VOPRF mode such that the client and server can additionally provide a public input info that is used in computing the pseudorandom function. That is, the client and server interact to compute $\text{output} = F(\text{skS}, \text{input}, \text{info})$ as is shown below.

```

Client(pkS, info)    <---- pkS ----- Server(skS, pkS, info)
-----
blind, blindedElement, tweakedKey = Blind(input, info, pkS)

                                blindedElement
                                ----->

                                evaluatedElement, proof = BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement,
                                                                           info)

                                evaluatedElement, proof
                                <-----

output = Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement,
                  blindedElement, proof, info, tweakedKey)

```

Figure 3: POPRF protocol overview with additional public input

Each protocol consists of an offline setup phase and an online phase, described in [Section 3.2](#) and [Section 3.3](#), respectively. Configuration details for the offline phase are described in [Section 3.1](#).

3.1. Configuration

Each of the three protocol variants are identified with a one-byte value (in hexadecimal):

Mode	Value
modeOPRF	0x00
modeVOPRF	0x01
modePOPRF	0x02

Table 1:
Identifiers for
OPRF modes

Additionally, each protocol variant is instantiated with a ciphersuite, or suite. Each ciphersuite is identified with a two-byte value, referred to as suiteID; see [Section 4](#) for the registry of initial values.

The mode and ciphersuite ID values are combined to create a "context string" used throughout the protocol with the following function:

```
def CreateContextString(mode, suiteID):
    return "VOPRF10-" || I2OSP(mode, 1) || I2OSP(suiteID, 2)
```

[[RFC editor: please change "VOPRF10" to "RFCXXXX", where XXXX is the final number, here and elsewhere before publication.]]

3.2. Key Generation and Context Setup

In the offline setup phase, the server key pair (skS, pkS) is generated using the following function, which accepts a randomly generated seed of length Ns bytes and an optional (and possible empty) public info string. The constant Ns corresponds to the size in bytes of a serialized Scalar and is defined in [Section 2.1](#).

Input:

```
opaque seed[Ns]
PublicInput info
```

Output:

```
Scalar skS
Element pkS
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
PublicInput contextString
```

Errors: DeriveKeyPairError

```
def DeriveKeyPair(seed, info):
    deriveInput = seed || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    counter = 0
    skS = 0
    while skS == 0:
        if counter > 255:
            raise DeriveKeyPairError
        skS = G.HashToScalar(deriveInput || I2OSP(counter, 1),
                             DST = "DeriveKeyPair" || contextString)
        counter = counter + 1
    pkS = G.ScalarMultGen(skS)
    return skS, pkS
```

Also during the offline setup phase, both the client and server create a context used for executing the online phase of the protocol

after agreeing on a mode and ciphersuite value suiteID. The context, such as OPRFServerContext, is an implementation-specific data structure that stores a context string and the relevant key material for each party.

The OPRF variant server and client contexts are created as follows:

```
def SetupOPRFServer(suiteID, skS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeOPRF, suiteID)
    return OPRFServerContext(contextString, skS)

def SetupOPRFClient(suiteID):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeOPRF, suiteID)
    return OPRFClientContext(contextString)
```

The VOPRF variant server and client contexts are created as follows:

```
def SetupVOPRFServer(suiteID, skS, pkS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeVOPRF, suiteID)
    return VOPRFServerContext(contextString, skS)

def SetupVOPRFClient(suiteID, pkS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeVOPRF, suiteID)
    return VOPRFClientContext(contextString, pkS)
```

The POPRF variant server and client contexts are created as follows:

```
def SetupPOPRFServer(suiteID, skS, pkS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modePOPRF, suiteID)
    return POPRFServerContext(contextString, skS)

def SetupPOPRFClient(suiteID, pkS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modePOPRF, suiteID)
    return POPRFClientContext(contextString, pkS)
```

3.3. Online Protocol

In the online phase, the client and server engage in a two message protocol to compute the protocol output. This section describes the protocol details for each protocol variant. Throughout each description the following parameters are assumed to exist:

*G, a prime-order Group implementing the API described in [Section 2.1](#).

*contextString, a PublicInput domain separation tag constructed during context setup as created in [Section 3.1](#).

*skS and pkS, a Scalar and Element representing the private and public keys configured for client and server in [Section 3.2](#).

Applications serialize protocol messages between client and server for transmission. Elements and scalars are serialized to byte arrays, and values of type Proof are serialized as the concatenation of two serialized scalars. Deserializing these values can fail, in which case the application MUST abort the protocol with a DeserializeError failure.

Applications MUST check that input Element values received over the wire are not the group identity element. This check is handled after deserializing Element values; see [Section 4](#) for more information and requirements on input validation for each ciphersuite.

3.3.1. OPRF Protocol

The OPRF protocol begins with the client blinding its input, as described by the Blind function below. Note that this function can fail with an InvalidInputError error for certain inputs that map to the group identity element. Dealing with this failure is an application-specific decision; see [Section 5.3](#).

Input:

PrivateInput input

Output:

Scalar blind
Element blindedElement

Parameters:

Group G

Errors: InvalidInputError

```
def Blind(input):
    blind = G.RandomScalar()
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError
    blindedElement = blind * inputElement

    return blind, blindedElement
```

Clients store `blind` locally, and send `blindedElement` to the server for evaluation. Upon receipt, servers process `blindedElement` using the `BlindEvaluate` function described below.

Input:

```
Scalar skS  
Element blindedElement
```

Output:

```
Element evaluatedElement
```

```
def BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement):  
    evaluatedElement = skS * blindedElement  
    return evaluatedElement
```

Servers send the output `evaluatedElement` to clients for processing. Recall that servers may process multiple client inputs by applying the `BlindEvaluate` function to each `blindedElement` received, and returning an array with the corresponding `evaluatedElement` values.

Upon receipt of `evaluatedElement`, clients process it to complete the OPRF evaluation with the `Finalize` function described below.

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
Scalar blind
Element evaluatedElement
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

```
def Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement):
    N = G.ScalarInverse(blind) * evaluatedElement
    unblindedElement = G.SerializeElement(N)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(unblindedElement), 2) || unblindedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

Servers can compute the PRF result using a given input using the following Evaluate function.

Input:

```
Scalar skS
PrivateInput input
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: `InvalidInputError`

```
def Evaluate(skS, input):
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError
    evaluatedElement = skS * inputElement
    issuedElement = G.SerializeElement(evaluatedElement)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(issuedElement), 2) || issuedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

3.3.2. VOPRF Protocol

The VOPRF protocol begins with the client blinding its input, using the same `Blind` function as in [Section 3.3.1](#). Clients store the output blind locally and send `blindedElement` to the server for evaluation. Upon receipt, servers process `blindedElement` to compute an evaluated element and DLEQ proof using the following `BlindEvaluate` function.

Input:

Scalar `skS`
Element `pkS`
Element `blindedElement`

Output:

Element `evaluatedElement`
Proof `proof`

Parameters:

Group `G`

```
def BlindEvaluate(skS, pkS, blindedElement):  
    evaluatedElement = skS * blindedElement  
    blindedElements = [blindedElement]      // list of length 1  
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1  
    proof = GenerateProof(skS, G.Generator(), pkS,  
                          blindedElements, evaluatedElements)  
    return evaluatedElement, proof
```

In the description above, inputs to `GenerateProof` are one-item lists. Using larger lists allows servers to batch the evaluation of multiple elements while producing a single batched DLEQ proof for them.

The server sends both `evaluatedElement` and `proof` back to the client. Upon receipt, the client processes both values to complete the VOPRF computation using the `Finalize` function below.

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
Scalar blind
Element evaluatedElement
Element blindedElement
Element pkS
Proof proof
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: `VerifyError`

```
def Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement,
             blindedElement, pkS, proof):
    blindedElements = [blindedElement] // list of length 1
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1
    if VerifyProof(G.Generator(), pkS, blindedElements,
                  evaluatedElements, proof) == false:
        raise VerifyError

    N = G.ScalarInverse(blind) * evaluatedElement
    unblindedElement = G.SerializeElement(N)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(unblindedElement), 2) || unblindedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

As in `BlindEvaluate`, inputs to `VerifyProof` are one-item lists. Clients can verify multiple inputs at once whenever the server produced a batched DLEQ proof for them.

Finally, servers can compute the PRF result using a given input using the `Evaluate` function described in [Section 3.3.1](#).

3.3.3. POPRF Protocol

The POPRF protocol begins with the client blinding its input, using the following modified `Blind` function. In this step, the client also binds a public info value, which produces an additional `tweakedKey` to be used later in the protocol. Note that this function can fail

with an `InvalidInputError` error for certain private inputs that map to the group identity element, as well as certain public inputs that, if not detected at this point, will cause server evaluation to fail. Dealing with either failure is an application-specific decision; see [Section 5.3](#).

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
PublicInput info
Element pkS
```

Output:

```
Scalar blind
Element blindedElement
Element tweakedKey
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: `InvalidInputError`

```
def Blind(input, info, pkS):
    framedInfo = "Info" || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    m = G.HashToScalar(framedInfo)
    T = G.ScalarMultGen(m)
    tweakedKey = T + pkS
    if tweakedKey == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError

    blind = G.RandomScalar()
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError

    blindedElement = blind * inputElement

    return blind, blindedElement, tweakedKey
```

Clients store the outputs `blind` and `tweakedKey` locally and send `blindedElement` to the server for evaluation. Upon receipt, servers process `blindedElement` to compute an evaluated element and DLEQ proof using the following `BlindEvaluate` function.

Input:

```
Scalar skS
Element blindedElement
PublicInput info
```

Output:

```
Element evaluatedElement
Proof proof
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: InverseError

```
def BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement, info):
    framedInfo = "Info" || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    m = G.HashToScalar(framedInfo)
    t = skS + m
    if t == 0:
        raise InverseError

    evaluatedElement = G.ScalarInverse(t) * blindedElement

    tweakedKey = G.ScalarMultGen(t)
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1
    blindedElements = [blindedElement] // list of length 1
    proof = GenerateProof(t, G.Generator(), tweakedKey,
                          evaluatedElements, blindedElements)

    return evaluatedElement, proof
```

In the description above, inputs to `GenerateProof` are one-item lists. Using larger lists allows servers to batch the evaluation of multiple elements while producing a single batched DLEQ proof for them.

`BlindEvaluate` triggers `InverseError` when the function is about to calculate the inverse of a zero scalar, which does not exist and therefore yields a failure in the protocol. This only occurs for `info` values that map to the secret key of the server. Thus, clients that observe this signal are assumed to know the server secret key. Hence, this error can be a signal for the server to replace its secret key.

The server sends both `evaluatedElement` and `proof` back to the client. Upon receipt, the client processes both values to complete the POPRF computation using the `Finalize` function below.

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
Scalar blind
Element evaluatedElement
Element blindedElement
Proof proof
PublicInput info
Element tweakedKey
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: `VerifyError`

```
def Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement, blindedElement,
             proof, info, tweakedKey):
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1
    blindedElements = [blindedElement]     // list of length 1
    if VerifyProof(G.Generator(), tweakedKey, evaluatedElements,
                  blindedElements, proof) == false:
        raise VerifyError

    N = G.ScalarInverse(blind) * evaluatedElement
    unblindedElement = G.SerializeElement(N)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info ||
                I2OSP(len(unblindedElement), 2) || unblindedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

As in `BlindEvaluate`, inputs to `VerifyProof` are one-item lists. Clients can verify multiple inputs at once whenever the server produced a batched DLEQ proof for them.

Finally, servers can compute the PRF result using a given input using the `Evaluate` function described below.

Input:

```
Scalar skS
PrivateInput input
PublicInput info
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: `InvalidInputError`, `InverseError`

```
def Evaluate(skS, input, info):
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError

    framedInfo = "Info" || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    m = G.HashToScalar(framedInfo)
    t = skS + m
    if t == 0:
        raise InverseError
    evaluatedElement = G.ScalarInverse(t) * inputElement
    issuedElement = G.SerializeElement(evaluatedElement)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info ||
                I2OSP(len(issuedElement), 2) || issuedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

4. Ciphersuites

A ciphersuite (also referred to as 'suite' in this document) for the protocol wraps the functionality required for the protocol to take place. The ciphersuite should be available to both the client and server, and agreement on the specific instantiation is assumed throughout.

A ciphersuite contains instantiations of the following functionalities:

*Group: A prime-order Group exposing the API detailed in [Section 2.1](#), with the generator element defined in the

corresponding reference for each group. Each group also specifies HashToGroup, HashToScalar, and serialization functionalities. For HashToGroup, the domain separation tag (DST) is constructed in accordance with the recommendations in [\[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve\]](#), Section 3.1. For HashToScalar, each group specifies an integer order that is used in reducing integer values to a member of the corresponding scalar field.

*Hash: A cryptographic hash function whose output length is N_h bytes long.

This section specifies an initial registry of ciphersuites with supported groups and hash functions. It also includes implementation details for each ciphersuite, focusing on input validation, as well as requirements for future ciphersuites.

4.1. Ciphersuite Registry

For each ciphersuite, contextString is that which is computed in the Setup functions. Applications should take caution in using ciphersuites targeting P-256 and ristretto255. See [Section 7.2](#) for related discussion.

4.1.1. OPRF(ristretto255, SHA-512)

*Group: ristretto255 [[RISTRETTO](#)]

-Order(): Return $2^{252} + 2774231777372353535851937790883648493$ (see [[RISTRETTO](#)])

-Identity(): As defined in [[RISTRETTO](#)].

-Generator(): As defined in [[RISTRETTO](#)].

-HashToGroup(): Use hash_to_ristretto255 [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)] with DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString, and expand_message = expand_message_xmd using SHA-512.

-HashToScalar(): Compute uniform_bytes using expand_message = expand_message_xmd, DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString, and output length 64, interpret uniform_bytes as a 512-bit integer in little-endian order, and reduce the integer modulo Group.Order().

-ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar s mod Group.Order().

- RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Refer to [Section 4.3](#) for implementation guidance.
- SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the 'Encode' function from Section 4.3.2 of [\[RISTRETTO\]](#); $N_e = 32$.
- DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented using the 'Decode' function from Section 4.3.1 of [\[RISTRETTO\]](#). Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an InputValidationError error.
- SerializeScalar(s): Implemented by outputting the little-endian 32-byte encoding of the Scalar value with the top three bits set to zero; $N_s = 32$.
- DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a little-endian 32-byte string. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Note that this means the top three bits of the input MUST be zero.

*Hash: SHA-512; $N_h = 64$.

*ID: 0x0001

4.1.2. OPRF(decaf448, SHAKE-256)

*Group: decaf448 [\[RISTRETTO\]](#)

- Order(): Return $2^{446} - 13818066809895115352007386748515426880336692474882178609894547503885$
- Identity(): As defined in [\[RISTRETTO\]](#).
- Generator(): As defined in [\[RISTRETTO\]](#).
- RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Refer to [Section 4.3](#) for implementation guidance.
- HashToGroup(): Use hash_to_decaf448 [\[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve\]](#) with `DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString`, and `expand_message = expand_message_xof` using SHAKE-256.
- HashToScalar(): Compute uniform_bytes using `expand_message = expand_message_xof`, `DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString`,

and output length 64, interpret uniform_bytes as a 512-bit integer in little-endian order, and reduce the integer modulo `Group.Order()`.

-`ScalarInverse(s)`: Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar `s mod Group.Order()`.

-`SerializeElement(A)`: Implemented using the 'Encode' function from Section 5.3.2 of [[RISTRETTO](#)]; `Ne = 56`.

-`DeserializeElement(buf)`: Implemented using the 'Decode' function from Section 5.3.1 of [[RISTRETTO](#)]. Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an `InputValidationError` error.

-`SerializeScalar(s)`: Implemented by outputting the little-endian 56-byte encoding of the Scalar value; `Ns = 56`.

-`DeserializeScalar(buf)`: Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a little-endian 56-byte string. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range `[0, G.Order() - 1]`.

*Hash: SHAKE-256; `Nh = 64`.

*ID: `0x0002`

4.1.3. OPRF(P-256, SHA-256)

*Group: P-256 (secp256r1) [[NISTCurves](#)]

-`Order()`: Return
`0xffffffff00000000ffffffffffffffffbce6faada7179e84f3b9cac2fc632551`.

-`Identity()`: As defined in [[NISTCurves](#)].

-`Generator()`: As defined in [[NISTCurves](#)].

-`RandomScalar()`: Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range `[0, G.Order() - 1]`. Refer to [Section 4.3](#) for implementation guidance.

-`HashToGroup()`: Use `hash_to_curve` with suite
`P256_XMD:SHA-256_SSWU_RO_` [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)] and
`DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString`.

-`HashToScalar()`: Use `hash_to_field` from
[\[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve\]](#) using `L = 48`, `expand_message_xmd`

with SHA-256, DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString, and prime modulus equal to Group.Order().

-ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar $s \bmod \text{Group.Order}()$.

-SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the compressed Elliptic-Curve-Point-to-Octet-String method according to [\[SEC1\]](#); $N_e = 33$.

-DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a 33 byte input string to a public key using the compressed Octet-String-to-Elliptic-Curve-Point method according to [\[SEC1\]](#), and then performs partial public-key validation as defined in section 5.6.2.3.4 of [\[KEYAGREEMENT\]](#). This includes checking that the coordinates of the resulting point are in the correct range, that the point is on the curve, and that the point is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an InputValidationError error.

-SerializeScalar(s): Implemented using the Field-Element-to-Octet-String conversion according to [\[SEC1\]](#); $N_s = 32$.

-DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a 32-byte string using Octet-String-to-Field-Element from [\[SEC1\]](#). This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range $[0, G.\text{Order}() - 1]$.

*Hash: SHA-256; $N_h = 32$.

*ID: 0x0003

4.1.4. OPRF(P-384, SHA-384)

*Group: P-384 (secp384r1) [\[NISTCurves\]](#)

-Order(): Return

0xfffc7634d81f4372ddf581a0db248b0a77ae

-Identity(): As defined in [\[NISTCurves\]](#).

-Generator(): As defined in [\[NISTCurves\]](#).

-RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.\text{Order}() - 1]$. Refer to [Section 4.3](#) for implementation guidance.

- HashToGroup(): Use hash_to_curve with suite P384_XMD:SHA-384_SSWU_RO_ [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)] and DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString.
- HashToScalar(): Use hash_to_field from [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)] using L = 72, expand_message_xmd with SHA-384, DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString, and prime modulus equal to Group.Order().
- ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar s mod Group.Order().
- SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the compressed Elliptic-Curve-Point-to-Octet-String method according to [[SEC1](#)]; Ne = 49.
- DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a 49-byte array to a public key using the compressed Octet-String-to-Elliptic-Curve-Point method according to [[SEC1](#)], and then performs partial public-key validation as defined in section 5.6.2.3.4 of [[KEYAGREEMENT](#)]. This includes checking that the coordinates of the resulting point are in the correct range, that the point is on the curve, and that the point is not the point at infinity. Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an InputValidationError error.
- SerializeScalar(s): Implemented using the Field-Element-to-Octet-String conversion according to [[SEC1](#)]; Ns = 48.
- DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a 48-byte string using Octet-String-to-Field-Element from [[SEC1](#)]. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range [0, G.Order() - 1].

*Hash: SHA-384; Nh = 48.

*ID: 0x0004

4.1.5. OPRF(P-521, SHA-512)

*Group: P-521 (secp521r1) [[NISTCurves](#)]

- Order(): Return
0x01fffa51868783bf2f9
- Identity(): As defined in [[NISTCurves](#)].

- Generator(): As defined in [[NISTCurves](#)].
- RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Refer to [Section 4.3](#) for implementation guidance.
- HashToGroup(): Use hash_to_curve with suite P521_XMD:SHA-512_SSWU_RO_ [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)] and DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString.
- HashToScalar(): Use hash_to_field from [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)] using $L = 98$, expand_message_xmd with SHA-512, DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString, and prime modulus equal to Group.Order().
- ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar $s \bmod \text{Group.Order}()$.
- SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the compressed Elliptic-Curve-Point-to-Octet-String method according to [[SEC1](#)]; Ne = 67.
- DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a 49 byte input string to a public key using the compressed Octet-String-to-Elliptic-Curve-Point method according to [[SEC1](#)], and then performs partial public-key validation as defined in section 5.6.2.3.4 of [[KEYAGREEMENT](#)]. This includes checking that the coordinates of the resulting point are in the correct range, that the point is on the curve, and that the point is not the point at infinity. Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an InputValidationError error.
- SerializeScalar(s): Implemented using the Field-Element-to-Octet-String conversion according to [[SEC1](#)]; Ns = 66.
- DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a 66-byte string using Octet-String-to-Field-Element from [[SEC1](#)]. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$.

*Hash: SHA-512; Nh = 64.

*ID: 0x0005

4.2. Future Ciphersuites

A critical requirement of implementing the prime-order group using elliptic curves is a method to instantiate the function `HashToGroup`, that maps inputs to group elements. In the elliptic curve setting, this deterministically maps inputs x (as byte arrays) to uniformly chosen points on the curve.

In the security proof of the construction `Hash` is modeled as a random oracle. This implies that any instantiation of `HashToGroup` must be pre-image and collision resistant. In [Section 4](#) we give instantiations of this functionality based on the functions described in [\[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve\]](#). Consequently, any OPRF implementation must adhere to the implementation and security considerations discussed in [\[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve\]](#) when instantiating the function.

The `DeserializeElement` and `DeserializeScalar` functions instantiated for a particular prime-order group corresponding to a ciphersuite MUST adhere to the description in [Section 2.1](#). Future ciphersuites MUST describe how input validation is done for `DeserializeElement` and `DeserializeScalar`.

Additionally, future ciphersuites must take care when choosing the security level of the group. See [Section 7.2.3](#) for additional details.

4.3. Random Scalar Generation

Two popular algorithms for generating a random integer uniformly distributed in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$ are as follows:

4.3.1. Rejection Sampling

Generate a random byte array with N_s bytes, and attempt to map to a Scalar by calling `DeserializeScalar` in constant time. If it succeeds, return the result. If it fails, try again with another random byte array, until the procedure succeeds. Failure to implement `DeserializeScalar` in constant time can leak information about the underlying corresponding Scalar.

As an optimization, if the group order is very close to a power of 2, it is acceptable to omit the rejection test completely. In particular, if the group order is p , and there is an integer b such that $p - 2^{\lceil b \rceil} < 2^{(b/2)}$, then `RandomScalar` can simply return a uniformly random integer of at most b bits.

4.3.2. Random Number Generation Using Extra Random Bits

Generate a random byte array with $L = \text{ceil}(((3 * \text{ceil}(\log_2(G.\text{Order()})) / 2) / 8) \text{ bytes})$, and interpret it as an integer; reduce the integer modulo $G.\text{Order}()$ and return the result. See [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)], [Section 5](#) for the underlying derivation of L .

5. Application Considerations

This section describes considerations for applications, including external interface recommendations, explicit error treatment, and public input representation for the POPRF protocol variant.

5.1. Input Limits

Application inputs, expressed as `PrivateInput` or `PublicInput` values, MUST be smaller than 2^{13} bytes in length. Applications that require longer inputs can use a cryptographic hash function to map these longer inputs to a fixed-length input that fits within the `PublicInput` or `PrivateInput` length bounds. Note that some cryptographic hash functions have input length restrictions themselves, but these limits are often large enough to not be a concern in practice. For example, SHA-256 has an input limit of 2^{61} bytes.

5.2. External Interface Recommendations

In [Section 3.3](#), the interface of the protocol functions allows that some inputs (and outputs) to be group elements and scalars. However, implementations can instead operate over group elements and scalars internally, and only expose interfaces that operate with an application-specific format of messages.

5.3. Error Considerations

Some OPRF variants specified in this document have fallible operations. For example, `Finalize` and `BlindEvaluate` can fail if any element received from the peer fails input validation. The explicit errors generated throughout this specification, along with the conditions that lead to each error, are as follows:

*`VerifyError`: Verifiable OPRF proof verification failed;
[Section 3.3.2](#) and [Section 3.3.3](#).

*`DeserializeError`: Group Element or Scalar deserialization failure; [Section 2.1](#) and [Section 3.3](#).

*`InputValidationError`: Validation of byte array inputs failed;
[Section 4](#).

There are other explicit errors generated in this specification; however, they occur with negligible probability in practice. We note them here for completeness.

*InvalidInputError: OPRF Blind input produces an invalid output element; [Section 3.3.1](#) and [Section 3.3.3](#).

*InverseError: A tweaked private key is invalid (has no multiplicative inverse); [Section 2.1](#) and [Section 3.3](#).

In general, the errors in this document are meant as a guide to implementors. They are not an exhaustive list of all the errors an implementation might emit. For example, implementations might run out of memory and return a corresponding error.

5.4. POPRF Public Input

Functionally, the VOPRF and POPRF variants differ in that the POPRF variant admits public input, whereas the VOPRF variant does not. Public input allows clients and servers to cryptographically bind additional data to the POPRF output. A POPRF with fixed public input is functionally equivalent to a VOPRF. However, there are differences in the underlying security assumptions made about each variant; see [Section 7.2](#) for more details.

This public input is known to both parties at the start of the protocol. It is RECOMMENDED that this public input be constructed with some type of higher-level domain separation to avoid cross protocol attacks or related issues. For example, protocols using this construction might ensure that the public input uses a unique, prefix-free encoding. See [[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve](#)], [Section 10.4](#) for further discussion on constructing domain separation values.

Implementations of the POPRF may choose to not let applications control info in cases where this value is fixed or otherwise not useful to the application. In this case, the resulting protocol is functionally equivalent to the VOPRF, which does not admit public input.

6. IANA considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

7. Security Considerations

This section discusses the cryptographic security of our protocol, along with some suggestions and trade-offs that arise from the implementation of the OPRF variants in this document. Note that the syntax of the POPRF variant is different from that of the OPRF and

VOPRF variants since it admits an additional public input, but the same security considerations apply.

7.1. Security Properties

The security properties of an OPRF protocol with functionality $y = F(k, x)$ include those of a standard PRF. Specifically:

*Pseudorandomness: For a random sampling of k , F is pseudorandom if the output $y = F(k, x)$ on any input x is indistinguishable from uniformly sampling any element in F 's range.

In other words, consider an adversary that picks inputs x from the domain of F and evaluates F on (k, x) (without knowledge of randomly sampled k). Then the output distribution $F(k, x)$ is indistinguishable from the output distribution of a randomly chosen function with the same domain and range.

A consequence of showing that a function is pseudorandom, is that it is necessarily non-malleable (i.e. we cannot compute a new evaluation of F from an existing evaluation). A genuinely random function will be non-malleable with high probability, and so a pseudorandom function must be non-malleable to maintain indistinguishability.

*Unconditional input secrecy: The server does not learn anything about the client input x , even with unbounded computation.

In other words, an attacker with infinite computing power cannot recover any information about the client's private input x from an invocation of the protocol.

Essentially, input secrecy is the property that, even if the server learns the client's private input x at some point in the future, the server cannot link any particular PRF evaluation to x . This property is also known as unlinkability [[DGSTV18](#)].

For the VOPRF and POPRF protocol variants, there is an additional security property:

*Verifiable: The client must only complete execution of the protocol if it can successfully assert that the output it computes is correct. This is taken with respect to the private key held by the server.

Any VOPRF or POPRF that satisfies the 'verifiable' security property is known as 'verifiable'. In practice, the notion of verifiability requires that the server commits to the key before the actual protocol execution takes place. Then the client verifies that the

server has used the key in the protocol using this commitment. In the following, we may also refer to this commitment as a public key.

Finally, the POPRF variant also has the following security property:

*Partial obliviousness: The client and server must be able to perform the PRF on client's private input and public input. The server must learn nothing about the client's private input or the output of the function. In addition, the client must learn nothing about the server's private key.

This property becomes useful when dealing with key management operations such as the rotation of server's keys.

7.2. Security Assumptions

Below, we discuss the cryptographic security of each protocol variant from [Section 3](#), relative to the necessary cryptographic assumptions that need to be made.

7.2.1. OPRF and VOPRF Assumptions

The OPRF and VOPRF protocol variants in this document are based on [\[JKK14\]](#). In particular, the VOPRF construction is similar to the [\[JKK14\]](#) construction with the following distinguishing properties:

1. This document does not use session identifiers to differentiate different instances of the protocol; and
2. This document supports batching so that multiple evaluations can happen at once whilst only constructing one DLEQ proof object. This is enabled using an established batching technique [\[DGSTV18\]](#).

The pseudorandomness and input secrecy (and verifiability) of the OPRF (and VOPRF) protocols in [\[JKK14\]](#) are based on an assumption with oracle access to the Computational Diffie Hellman (CDH) assumption, known as the One-More Gap CDH, that is computationally difficult to solve in the corresponding prime-order group. [\[JKK14\]](#) proves these properties for one instance (i.e., one key) of the VOPRF protocol, and without batching. There is currently no security analysis available for the VOPRF protocol described in this document in a setting with multiple server keys or batching.

7.2.2. POPRF Assumptions

The POPRF construction in this document is based on the construction known as 3HashSDHI given by [\[TCRSTW21\]](#). The construction is identical to 3HashSDHI, except that this design can optionally perform multiple POPRF evaluations in one go, whilst only

constructing one DLEQ proof object. This is enabled using an established batching technique [[DGSTV18](#)].

Pseudorandomness, input secrecy, verifiability, and partial obliviousness of the POPRF variant is based on the assumption that the One-More Gap Strong Diffie-Hellman Inversion (SDHI) assumption from [[TCRSTW21](#)] is computationally difficult to solve in the corresponding prime-order group. Tyagi et al. [[TCRSTW21](#)] show that both the One-More Gap CDH assumption and the One-More Gap SDHI assumption reduce to the q -DL (Discrete Log) assumption in the algebraic group model, for some q number of BlindEvaluate queries. (The One-More Gap CDH assumption was the hardness assumption used to evaluate the OPRF and VOPRF designs based on [[JKK14](#)], which is a predecessor to the POPRF variant in [Section 3.3.3](#).)

7.2.3. Static Diffie Hellman Attack and Security Limits

A side-effect of the OPRF protocol variants in this document is that they allow instantiation of an oracle for constructing static DH samples; see [[BG04](#)] and [[Cheon06](#)]. These attacks are meant to recover (bits of) the server private key. Best-known attacks reduce the security of the prime-order group instantiation by $\log_2(Q)/2$ bits, where Q is the number of BlindEvaluate calls made by the attacker.

As a result of this class of attack, choosing prime-order groups with a 128-bit security level instantiates an OPRF with a reduced security level of $128 - (\log_2(Q)/2)$ bits of security. Moreover, such attacks are only possible for those certain applications where the adversary can query the OPRF directly. Applications can mitigate against this problem in a variety of ways, e.g., by rate-limiting client queries to BlindEvaluate or by rotating private keys. In applications where such an oracle is not made available this security loss does not apply.

In most cases, it would require an informed and persistent attacker to launch a highly expensive attack to reduce security to anything much below 100 bits of security. Applications that admit the aforementioned oracle functionality, and that cannot tolerate discrete logarithm security of lower than 128 bits, are RECOMMENDED to choose groups that target a higher security level, such as decaf448 (used by ciphersuite 0x0002), P-384 (used by 0x0004), or P-521 (used by 0x0005).

7.3. Domain Separation

Applications SHOULD construct input to the protocol to provide domain separation. Any system which has multiple OPRF applications should distinguish client inputs to ensure the OPRF results are

separate. Guidance for constructing info can be found in [I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve], [Section 3.1](#).

7.4. Timing Leaks

To ensure no information is leaked during protocol execution, all operations that use secret data MUST run in constant time. This includes all prime-order group operations and proof-specific operations that operate on secret data, including GenerateProof and BlindEvaluate.

8. Acknowledgements

This document resulted from the work of the Privacy Pass team [PrivacyPass]. The authors would also like to acknowledge helpful conversations with Hugo Krawczyk. Eli-Shaoul Khedouri provided additional review and comments on key consistency. Daniel Bourdrez, Tatiana Bradley, Sofia Celi, Frank Denis, Julia Hesse, Russ Housley, Kevin Lewi, Christopher Patton, and Bas Westerbaan also provided helpful input and contributions to the document.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-privacypass-protocol] Celi, S., Davidson, A., Faz-Hernandez, A., Valdez, S., and C. A. Wood, "Privacy Pass Issuance Protocol", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-privacypass-protocol-06, 6 July 2022, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-privacypass-protocol-06>>.

[I-D.irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve] Faz-Hernandez, A., Scott, S., Sullivan, N., Wahby, R. S., and C. A. Wood, "Hashing to Elliptic Curves", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve-16, 15 June 2022, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-cfrg-hash-to-curve-16>>.

[I-D.irtf-cfrg-opaque] Bourdrez, D., Krawczyk, H., Lewi, K., and C. A. Wood, "The OPAQUE Asymmetric PAKE Protocol", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-irtf-cfrg-opaque-09, 6 July 2022, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-cfrg-opaque-09>>.

[KEYAGREEMENT] Barker, E., Chen, L., Roginsky, A., Vassilev, A., and R. Davis, "Recommendation for pair-wise key-establishment schemes using discrete logarithm cryptography", National Institute of Standards and

Technology report, DOI 10.6028/nist.sp.800-56ar3, April 2018, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.sp.800-56ar3>>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8017] Moriarty, K., Ed., Kaliski, B., Jonsson, J., and A. Rusch, "PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2", RFC 8017, DOI 10.17487/RFC8017, November 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8017>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.
- [RISTRETTO] de Valence, H., Grigg, J., Hamburg, M., Lovecruft, I., Tankersley, G., and F. Valsorda, "The ristretto255 and decaf448 Groups", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-irtf-cfrg-ristretto255-decaf448-04, 14 October 2022, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-cfrg-ristretto255-decaf448-04>>.

9.2. Informative References

- [BG04] Brown, D. and R. Gallant, "The Static Diffie-Hellman Problem", <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2004/306>>.
- [ChaumPedersen] Chaum, D. and T. Pedersen, "Wallet Databases with Observers", Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO' 92 pp. 89-105, DOI 10.1007/3-540-48071-4_7, August 2007, <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48071-4_7>.
- [Cheon06] Cheon, J., "Security Analysis of the Strong Diffie-Hellman Problem", Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2006 pp. 1-11, DOI 10.1007/11761679_1, 2006, <https://doi.org/10.1007/11761679_1>.
- [DGSTV18] Davidson, A., Goldberg, I., Sullivan, N., Tankersley, G., and F. Valsorda, "Privacy Pass: Bypassing Internet Challenges Anonymously", Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies vol. 2018, no. 3, pp. 164-180, DOI 10.1515/popets-2018-0026, April 2018, <<https://doi.org/10.1515/popets-2018-0026>>.
- [FS00] Fiat, A. and A. Shamir, "How To Prove Yourself: Practical Solutions to Identification and Signature Problems", Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO' 86 pp. 186-194, DOI

10.1007/3-540-47721-7_12, April 2007, <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-47721-7_12>.

[JKK14] Jarecki, S., Kiayias, A., and H. Krawczyk, "Round-Optimal Password-Protected Secret Sharing and T-PAKE in the Password-Only Model", Lecture Notes in Computer Science pp. 233-253, DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-45608-8_13, 2014, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45608-8_13>.

[JKKX16] Jarecki, S., Kiayias, A., Krawczyk, H., and J. Xu, "Highly-Efficient and Composable Password-Protected Secret Sharing (Or: How to Protect Your Bitcoin Wallet Online)", 2016 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy (EuroS&P), DOI 10.1109/eurosp.2016.30, March 2016, <<https://doi.org/10.1109/eurosp.2016.30>>.

[keyagreement] Barker, E., Chen, L., Roginsky, A., Vassilev, A., and R. Davis, "Recommendation for pair-wise key-establishment schemes using discrete logarithm cryptography", National Institute of Standards and Technology report, DOI 10.6028/nist.sp.800-56ar3, April 2018, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.sp.800-56ar3>>.

[NISTCurves] "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", National Institute of Standards and Technology report, DOI 10.6028/nist.fips.186-4, July 2013, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.fips.186-4>>.

[PrivacyPass] "Privacy Pass", <<https://github.com/privacypass/team>>.

[RFC7748] Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", RFC 7748, DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7748>>.

[SEC1] Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG), "SEC 1: Elliptic Curve Cryptography", <<https://www.secg.org/sec1-v2.pdf>>.

[SEC2] Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG), "SEC 2: Recommended Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters", <<http://www.secg.org/sec2-v2.pdf>>.

[SJKS17] Shirvanian, M., Jarecki, S., Krawczyk, H., and N. Saxena, "SPHINX: A Password Store that Perfectly Hides Passwords from Itself", In 2017 IEEE 37th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), DOI 10.1109/

ICDCS.2017.64, June 2017, <<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDCS.2017.64>>.

[TCRSTW21] Tyagi, N., Celi, S., Ristenpart, T., Sullivan, N., Tessaro, S., and C. Wood, "A Fast and Simple Partially Oblivious PRF, with Applications", Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2022 pp. 674-705, DOI 10.1007/978-3-031-07085-3_23, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-07085-3_23>.

Appendix A. Test Vectors

This section includes test vectors for the protocol variants specified in this document. For each ciphersuite specified in [Section 4](#), there is a set of test vectors for the protocol when run the OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF modes. Each test vector lists the batch size for the evaluation. Each test vector value is encoded as a hexadecimal byte string. The fields of each test vector are described below.

*"Input": The private client input, an opaque byte string.

*"Info": The public info, an opaque byte string. Only present for POPRF test vectors.

*"Blind": The blind value output by Blind(), a serialized Scalar of N_s bytes long.

*"BlindedElement": The blinded value output by Blind(), a serialized Element of N_e bytes long.

*"EvaluatedElement": The evaluated element output by BlindEvaluate(), a serialized Element of N_e bytes long.

*"Proof": The serialized Proof output from GenerateProof() composed of two serialized Scalar values each of N_s bytes long. Only present for VOPRF and POPRF test vectors.

*"ProofRandomScalar": The random scalar r computed in GenerateProof(), a serialized Scalar of N_s bytes long. Only present for VOPRF and POPRF test vectors.

*"Output": The protocol output, an opaque byte string of length N_h bytes.

Test vectors with batch size $B > 1$ have inputs separated by a comma ",". Applicable test vectors will have B different values for the "Input", "Blind", "BlindedElement", "EvaluationElement", and "Output" fields.

The server key material, `pkSm` and `skSm`, are listed under the mode for each ciphersuite. Both `pkSm` and `skSm` are the serialized values of `pkS` and `skS`, respectively, as used in the protocol. Each key pair is derived from a seed `Seed` and info string `KeyInfo`, which are listed as well, using the `DeriveKeyPair` function from [Section 3.2](#).

A.1. OPRF(ristretto255, SHA-512)

A.1.1. OPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.1.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec4c1f
6706
BlindedElement = c83d0d8a3e80be2ced8bf35c5f3e24d42260ca8fa9a0403ca83
033588c26614d
EvaluationElement = b29ca44d6dfaafc77a50b72abc53cfb7abcbe9cf6714afc76
893ee8dcaf053b59
Output = 8a19c9b8f4459d541ebbf4e29f36620e44e825a27b0f2e3a3c0d8e963
588ee04348312dc8b43a48c41d4e7d904f95c91813a6b4f624392433f0568409da62
8
```

A.1.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec4c1f
6706
BlindedElement = 8673ffd2f26b2579922fc485c77e106def00982e0abb233b4c6
e54841d43ba29
EvaluationElement = 68ed7037846f48a1b4073a0d110f6e4de8f53ab845365c0f
3d7f1b67caa39126
Output = bcdbd421c0863495d63d81a868858f34f5215437c5777072a92703f36b3
6c4a2d3e7e54a5762e70b06223527c211e2d4364481270f72971a2db8b7ab8fad84e
e
```


A.2.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = a4205d2af0410dccbd4464629ba1b835456d04d994cf93988cf
2c3b9d45d3c4671c7625f52c66c760a069e2c3c367826debb13da089d735c
EvaluationElement = e8d78cf5212fddf940f9f6fe02250ed83cc0595e3f0e7481
1cdb9f62c0fa7fea94c45795637dc5c3ac31ee1cff18d0d675396ae09b302f76
Output = 1c1a9df7d0616e0f5fdfb6479acac73a4f5562da8f9488f3b6112ef11c6
7c5900e0abc3a169486ac7230a306c8796562a045c66305ed7cb2a3fae658e45eae4
c
```

A.2.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

[illegible]

A.2.2. VOPRF Mode

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

A.2.3.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = f86104fcefec6bdca7767bc3e6a2ac9de2b00546579fd50ff66
687df531f7a2dfa8689a6cfd91efc32d6ffff490e722990752b7bc4bda28f
EvaluationElement = 76f27e6fa79cd38638e35f5caa5d641e41526fbfd9272c19
be22dfc8cdd962e6d5d4e0c605c9bd6588eb9698a2bbf792a0827bb1116c8812
Proof = 3a1b3400ad16e1562e731c64520fa5a3664c1487ffe6537e85029842904d
3e01f9e7435b881ab9346847cc3470a2b37e6a10a4ef7bd36b2d06c602086a33252f
39c562aab5820a66c3bdf9d72583587e93ea893725be535cdeca1094d5b4dae119b4
9456162f60034a904f521f7cd818
ProofRandomScalar = b1b748135d405ce48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0
627f67661200dbf9569f73fbb3925daa043a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b
Output = 2a08f81bf204eb43a57dbc011946861ed715a2fd3d39a3b35e43c74d07d
4734149ba163389a02f6cd33fbb5b84e167d35dca7a7dc00b89418398c255c8293ac
6
```

A.2.3.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a  
Info = 7465737420696e666f  
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadbf899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa  
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112  
BlindedElement = e6f508abea28cbb0242f0dae1c0a92e017127edb7c8d8e0ec98  
a5ea25c6bc9bb86bfc0bf9b8a086302e29a2a4b0a1d9d80f2d439cfba3ec1  
EvaluationElement = 1ea637b039e0ab12c6959c74e275471e33655007a7fa23af  
97ec578bcfc8c3381d4929ebf51433b76460d583f16b7cf1e75b9708f5d9d2f7  
Proof = d53a1bfefafc5b47fcc86406fba080e57434a7004a0739399ccb356f790b13  
585da9d69a25c526e039fa06ad6a5781283ea7997eced063fd32e58bc95d57fd771c  
ad4a7e23633ae2049eec5ad86ade6a5e98d44f78fd86b5f55ab3c7a03025d6aec1f4  
f50a2bd7b9b554841f6b4cd23d14  
ProofRandomScalar = b1b748135d405ce48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0  
627f67661200dbf9569f73fbcb3925daa043a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b  
Output = 80ac73a09fbfb8cbd329ffa1b7f42d8d14e46ae5b732f776f3203f0680daf  
265254360da0afcd9dc1d0cd3858ab21ce8e7a19f0426d7e701cfda34fb8238c9e43
```

4

A.2.3.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```
Input = 00,5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a  
Info = 7465737420696e666f  
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa  
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112,b1b748135d405ce  
48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0627f67661200dbf9569f73fb3925daa043  
a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b  
BlindedElement = f86104fcefec6bdca7767bc3e6a2ac9de2b00546579fd50fff66  
687df531f7a2dfa8689a6cdfd91efc32d6ffff490e722990752b7bc4bda28f,50c684  
9c8f6355687bbc9d4675bcea953cb913c5447c9c8400062ae37f808ce8a75d592c56  
f3393d4ea12ec72f9f84402002eb497201089a  
EvaluationElement = 76f27e6fa79cd38638e35f5caa5d641e41526bfbd9272c19  
be22dfc8cdd962e6d5d4e0c605c9bd6588eb9698a2bbf792a0827bb1116c8812,7ca  
a4dd83ecae98fc3e282a0e7df1887393a3fc1e17935dfe355da394756bfbcad65386  
eedf1ba8498411645448c7027753cd9090198c02  
Proof = b4f869bf5ec65e0152af5bd29f9fa32c3dfc00355e4e019fedaa07a281547  
fb2f0c559c600bf6cb52a92753264d1c1367e0134b132880732ec70a8c741d60370e  
5c22c4aca0e4564732b0157858f3c968bda06aab34c71386ec88afe76ec2c14bf56f  
0adf7b05bab826e4aa034cc78837  
ProofRandomScalar = 63798726803c9451ba405f00ef3acb633ddf0c420574a2ec  
6cbf28f840800e355c9fbaac10699686de2724ed22e797a00f3bd93d105a7f23  
Output = 2a08f81bf204eb43a57dbc011946861ed715a2fd3d39a3b35e43c74d07d  
4734149ba163389a02f6cd33fbb5b84e167d35dca7a7dc00b89418398c255c8293ac  
6,80ac73a09fbf8cbd329ffa1b7f42d8d14e46ae5b732f776f3203f0680daf2652543  
60da0afcd9dc1d0cd3858ab21ce8e7a19f0426d7e701cfda34fb8238c9e434
```

A.3. OPRF(P-256, SHA-256)

A.3.1. OPRF Mode

```
Sed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3  
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579  
skSm = 274d7747cf2e26352eceabbd768c426087da3dfcd466b6841b441ada8412f  
b33
```

A.3.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 02ff9dc7d4350ab6fe1f41299ec5fa8283b6ef37fc62682ea69
6142e13aad4ae9c
EvaluationElement = 023a5facf92477164f10cc6bf35b4d9272bfadf98dbabbe7
b7a137efa1af6546fb
Output = 488d693c0d43ab75703901fa1398907cf7dc7a90978d1c2f0def63c88e8
1b8b0
```

A.3.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

[illegible]

A.3.2. VOPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.3.2.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 02bf13d60f3e39e2018c7be9876d88b52e56c0fc2847c8550e3
cee152c51cf72ec
EvaluationElement = 0253e64b5251607348f2b46064805275a849e44db465f649
267c54bd7a774d670f
Proof = d0bff8c87ee38f2b2e9e28161fb0f3bc7e4c3bee7329276487d4fd98d4f4
74fff793a846ffcb44d48f9545e321d89e4e6bcc8a858089732abf10bf19a220a936
ProofRandomScalar = f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = 9df5d51a9149a86c3660396feabaf790b8c838fc96012adba5acbd913f2
a4016
```

A.3.2.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

[illegible]

A.3.2.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```
Input = 00,5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
      d364,f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b
      1
BlindedElement = 02bf13d60f3e39e2018c7be9876d88b52e56c0fc2847c8550e3
      cee152c51cf72ec,0322b89e261428d77367cba2aa78fdfa2b21c2919150cafe802e
      9020c7f95ec180
EvaluationElement = 0253e64b5251607348f2b46064805275a849e44db465f649
      267c54bd7a774d670f,02182b225cfab1d2e25da200549d8b5e2c4581aa7b7bd85be
      f9b61a14549f58230
Proof = 900fd64d21320b6059a2810f7046066c4c91a5f4e4f6063c7b51316a4862
      2de8f3a28e5f1d0ebe8ae77fdaacbcba1ae92685243e9ceb813bb749dee6c7123270e
ProofRandomScalar = 350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba
      51943c8026877963
Output = 9df5d51a9149a86c3660396feabaf790b8c838fc96012adba5acbdc913f2
      a4016,bfef8ec835625f610d616d32b1d13f2f899f07c0b8089fa48a1f0ecbc5a91b
      8b
```

A.3.3. POPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.3.3.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 02811b5218bd2bb8361f990efb6062f1201241bcd6f053a5c35
c34dcd7292e7730
EvaluationElement = 02555fc8577c4f88eeb13bc6ac53994f8fb287a33a704592
05ddff91bc19b6a2da
Proof = d87b112dfa11b77f226b85693ab1b5f63adfa491b6e051e570a12392a926
c4816778b527526ba6212c4b0597f13e05f5f9b2223429aab82cd2596625ab1cad0b
ProofRandomScalar = f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = af6525716fe5dd844076bb5cb118ceda08c02c2d1a02368922ddad63f40
f8b44
```

A.3.3.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a  
Info = 7465737420696e666f  
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364  
BlindedElement = 03e9ddb1fa70461119afc0ffbfef3cd105690c14cf0e07872e72d4f63aa0e197  
EvaluationElement = 03156037ca1ab2166e924e6197344a9885256de2cd7d9432ae36e3f94049e94bbb  
Proof = d087b632e2aa4a67e0bc8b7cf012646217a2dfdbf49c60f236a43c66c72b7f2767b85dc93b96a11e3286ef1fff1864b544a68c2c2d8c2bc35ef7cf7dd34189d3ee45c405d1348b7b1  
ProofRandomScalar = f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1  
Output = 192f4e5d4f89ffe4b9cea5c1c9619fffe32443a5c04fc35f98c3821420cf1890c
```

A.3.3.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```
Input = 00,5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a  
Info = 7465737420696e666f  
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364,f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7bd1  
BlindedElement = 02811b5218bd2bb8361f990efb6062f1201241bcd6f053a5c35c34dcdd7292e7730,0366ff91265bb4a9d24130b9e8cd3ecc523084b512b6b0722dea44049616b8c374f  
EvaluationElement = 02555fc8577c4f88eeb13bc6ac53994f8fb287a33a70459205ddfff91bc19b6a2da,032bdb191ef5604cf43d0c37faead30c4b2b21e3f61c0d47cc84850fc5656e500  
Proof = 1bd5f64dfffa2ab8d6532122887ed55ad17d114020901a7a01cf2412d568e22b6d0536fd6dbefe9f417060468ee3cc451a8f3750f4d8d4acf1e98437248cc7fa2  
ProofRandomScalar = 350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba51943c8026877963  
Output = af6525716fe5dd844076bb5cb118ceda08c02c2d1a02368922dddad63f40f8b44,192f4e5d4f89ffe4b9cea5c1c9619ffe32443a5c04fc35f98c3821420cf1890c
```

A.4. OPRF(P-384, SHA-384)

A.4.1. OPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.4.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 0396a1584fedc4d91ddb753a0c49e0aa2298c1936dbc935d60f
e793d82809f44ff05fbd1922a2cae789d700b5ef4310fb3
EvaluationElement = 0361804cebc1873cee5e51efd5257cd8b095521cc0089cf
4c1100b1d749e212a044eae6d4f3d852e379eeb1bb54047823
Output = b7ccad41ed7f56be97621bbba8cc3a4f5e8a46a28d72b0fe089d12802f8
6f080b20726e01a99390aba3437ac50c640d6
```

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 0370b0b4649c0880d44c421a3ca7c915b1b6ffa61f5a1290aa2
2258b006d148e5c105d47725e1ee1b2483b9c5666384038
EvaluationElement = 036d0aaf31ec411ef8e11c68551434883468e56cbd5d615a
c8c52b9dc7af326889d52d7466c5eed47f8c89707976aad64
Output = ca7dc32dc6434101f35a790717dd591e5963acc86d20fda68011fe228fb
76be8da7f42c6a92284df88fb8e69480a3cb9
```

[illegible]

```
Input = 00
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 03022e23d8356d74d8f9a24ade759fb4e7cf050d1a770110878
83d4db52f16751d8d987fa49764c157c1039c4cdfa5ef7a
EvaluationElement = 0202bdefbc2d55a37aa848df5efc561055235d9190da9ec3
0ccfb84d93b033a29c4fb1968c55c63a0b90a205e1e9c4c19f
Proof = 929ee0254047350f580cdbhdb6fca706a9d110e4fc0aa1383af8d35a536795
69c038d90900e8810eca177b9cfd6a2d0f1fb5ed7a2e0f3107719cbd9c74ab7d9502
79869f67551b629c3706c8f9cee651d700453ca44e43b0a08c05502cd28f3960
ProofRandomScalar = 803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62
c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
Output = 7eb3cc88d920431c3a5ea3fb6e36b515b6d82c5ef537e285918fe7c741e
97819ce029657d6cccd0f8850f47ff281c444
```

A.4.2.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 037ae30a62126a39ca791aadafb65769c812a559c7da92820e1
43350b6bb8cefb543af2e0179664f9cd0d1499c018a0b18
EvaluationElement = 0355f95a68e8c4f0d40910e9a85f09109e4e7fff84f75db1
a4aa8e21c451ac2d872113b497bea6c0be1b535241557032a2
Proof = f4ec262642fc9981fe5d1f0a3737f2d09ec9b056f577224013f5a3d09812
fb22c6b45e17150d8fe3a8c7e63094cdf40a60ae1e50fc2e1678954c1ecbaed2f7d0
7e6d597fffedc7aca450ed64164c46e62d1326fff1f6eae4b5dd151e953e060
ProofRandomScalar = 803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62
c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
Output = fb538f84dae5f214c5adfcf529c6fe63bc46d6a4073d540cf0dabcc7c8e
0f3c1b43b606002a9aa52ae158a19d900c136

```

A.4.2.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```
Input = 00,5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364,803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f5
6f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
BlindedElement = 03022e23d8356d74d8f9a24ade759fb4e7cf050d1a770110878
83d4db52f16751d8d987fa49764c157c1039c4cdfa5ef7a,031ee43111a2406b09eb
4fb2a3a5fd7c690c0aa51158af766c9df1428bb18195f054c5f68ae1863e6ab3dd42
98b3db712b
EvaluationElement = 0202bdefbc2d55a37aa848df5efc561055235d9190da9ec3
0ccfb84d93b033a29c4fb1968c55c63a0b90a205e1e9c4c19f,021fdbb3b92cf4f8e
04534bc1a9f62596667c3ea49a6e89f1610b9f7f89708e8730df159827ea92e26fcf
db2063920c89c
Proof = 9cc7fe5a120cec6ef0d877260cf1af1861f281aa0015f371c8830f93f286
8f5891ee6f32ec6fcbe130a50de24c93b131261eb4a242941c8d5ad9ad2f2be402d9
386ac4afc5e5498f35cc3db0442a77e139eb56a7b3435177e7bf1a48cef184a
ProofRandomScalar = a097e722ed2427de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6c
eca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba51943c8026877963
Output = 7eb3cc88d920431c3a5ea3fb6e36b515b6d82c5ef537e285918fe7c741e
97819ce029657d6ccd0f8850f47ff281c444,fb538f84dae5f214c5adfcf529c6fe
63bc46d6a4073d540cf0dabcc7c8e0f3c1b43b606002a9aa52ae158a19d900c136
```

A.4.3. POPRF Mode

[illegible]

```
Input = 00
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 03156aece0ce92e9eb8f7a9b7f6bd30230a048d41384f2fe49f
1f9f69e180c23390e3ba8d0ee66dde6d637f03c06385f76
EvaluationElement = 02352ec7586660cc4257a9e78366727341db0825e431fc82
4a70a91019b67be26d8b880b2d4d8e734207d4a21a23429d74
Proof = 77bb1ca3ba4013b93ccb302db838839098eca743de542d3c79d189f2adf0
01999583a01aeadd6c248a32ff13b7f1f3d6b2dd04f653a5beb0f0394ad83ce5e79ea
08ae029d669b918b6d62ed3b77b08a07f04bbc341fae06444d196746da4da884
ProofRandomScalar = 803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62
c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
Output = fa15c0fe8706ac256dfd3c38d21ba0cd57b927cfcf3e4d6d5554ec1272e
670079b95cddb2778e0df22baf50f33e12607
```

[illegible]

A.4.3.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```
Input = 00, 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364, 803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f5
6f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
BlindedElement = 03156aece0ce92e9eb8f7a9b7f6bd30230a048d41384f2fe49f
1f9f69e180c23390e3ba8d0ee66dde6d637f03c06385f76, 025663d73e3418039fdd
ea1a212d254ec0103f28904e588b73c7da8298347706b2f69902a98e8d01c7aaa69a
297b14c7dc
EvaluationElement = 02352ec7586660cc4257a9e78366727341db0825e431fc82
4a70a91019b67be26d8b880b2d4d8e734207d4a21a23429d74, 02f8e532fabdd09bb
2a7391a2a80c14f265c0456009199b77eefac1013d4a4f449dfe46d5d6d2d4d74f8c
9fb1e2868b611
Proof = f8c938b5d2aff7d1a05ecdcf4178d682fe7b35c375be5db88dfa59f488c6
e4a68d4f99f16330a06f918e264ad68a78fdfad91446b72e1a3da2a65e531d520dd0
4fd91dd49b09037648e04a44e83d0dfd2aab7627e7389818924ad9bfff591d646
ProofRandomScalar = a097e722ed2427de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6c
eca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba51943c8026877963
Output = fa15c0fe8706ac256dfd3c38d21ba0cd57b927cfcf3e4d6d5554ec1272e
670079b95cdbb2778e0df22baf50f33e12607, 77cb533216c32cac017d706d5f0ee4
630bcb0bfefbb980d95e98dc240abc70a944a44cde69b805aee3a39b2eb7d834be
```

A.5. OPRF(P-521, SHA-512)

A.5.1. OPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.5.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 03016480f33f005c8a8eb1003e48ebc22e082d0b86678f8460e
df21cc1518a13bfc0001fa143d474b18214188d93a7b3124b1b385db4cd4e356ad24
923ae55d70ce8a7
EvaluationElement = 03005fdb56bf49fcd073b1c4cfb42ceef5666c709785ae82
d659e4d75c0f5591cbf812ca9ffd992ac67c1877b63978f417687a2a6c17697e858c
f715843f9e4235566a
Output = ddcaaceceec790f4858a09f3e06e74e8b0841681a3d45ab1393d0948379
43f782d9ed22ae716a642d4ee428ddf1dae9ff631047864b99a305412aceb7efafa3
2
```

A.5.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

[illegible]

A.5.2. VOPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.5.2.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```
Input = 00,5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a  
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333  
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a  
d364,015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb7  
39f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b  
1  
BlindedElement = 02016daf8ee47b591592705ce4d5231563b637e5a51b425b8  
81f1cc576c53cae4ec59fd6e3a918d5c35e6db77cf3a5862b71a8b6c7eaded3ebdf  
0c6e14778c03a8c,03005467c05309dd2b9ef584dd33ae30e93ae5508f2ceda71497  
63b4b44fe797f7d0f4c7441298a0ed821ede9ebdc8c0215f96db57c64feb734a145f  
00d00f0f222db1  
EvaluationElement = 020124a0ee09ade261bbf67e1e3d296655c97e6c5c14c71a  
386e636d8f55d29f5f6dcec954ff28bfc7e6e63240a52bf278ae94b312be3d8bf850  
55d2a1dbab687905b0,0300fdf99a9eb28097074daf75ba9fe16868690b16165f58f  
9c4fa266d5ffa5a87026a98ac3b0ca6dc7e42f49140a004c325646aec5ddc778db7  
08748cc2f632ed937  
Proof = 01935896f4c03ea5257d6471677f191ea7dfc777cc1e15f82e423cf1948c  
440ee56a1c5a8627aad8da8e507a7f382b45255e55a1f1afc99c6b14237ce7cf0855  
40fa000fe413be351bd11ac910b1d4af34d2c97c7b7a53438340dd659272f3d86470  
35b13cd8072903b9a3adf8e89bfb1f77d732fa224f32674506e3e88e29ce182186e3  
ProofRandomScalar = 01ec21c7bb69b0734cb48dfd68433dd93b0fa097e722ed24  
27de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba  
51943c8026877963  
Output = 16a9387153bf7fa2c733d42f299877324cfce3b39093e72067c3d59948b  
f745d77b2fe9180ffb442ec45b575eb4108d2b6f207cbfabd7bc540ad2a087cfabca  
2,0163635204be5347419796f3564b36d6e89c9170e4fcc5b6ddf79d3f676f641b2a  
e3ae1a64cc49f3d788e276abe14e3c38bb2f92fdb0b45ed122a6930e7d961
```

A.5.3. POPRF Mode

[illegible]

A.5.3.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 00
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 0200e36b187060fef4f4cfef21cdb4ef8b5793a1bf44da95229
062303688d4cf6a50c16b7c943c79d91357223b56866351a17a9c7f49730fd28add9
301d399c0cf206c
EvaluationElement = 03014e216c05cf1d108829946891cc44693b0a411851a03f
c439130054d920eb8ad596a4dfa5314f68d298a094777855aa55c98480575a3816cf
ac52f838693e0e7fe5
Proof = 00c5a46ff1e7d8cd2711daf8ec8752451c4c7ed815f3e8d51db64f1eed83
a7cc33f0f99ce067676c478bd616a9ef6377994e4bd69051424a576a4e26f0ec7ed8
1fd000b7ae1eaae9e5b6991afdbb2c9c29a04e2ab3a2066df89308410a59267a60a2
2a47666de009646c78e9094c9f4de177a620e97f63e35ada0c8b438b4605248c9087
ProofRandomScalar = 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e07
3a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = 3be90ca19fbe2fc250de62792c7cf4b6b5555c8655fce1694fc7563d5d4
c5001efd1e91fbbaea31d75e33dbdefe57420c395f1ac805cc0095c4d81a0beddcdb0
1

```

A.5.3.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 0300357933cc17cdcce862b794a4161d8eb10d23009695639e3
fdc8dfffc235e19e92e0a3d3c7c6249dd9dc02da0a8f061d89b6809d3292951ee0e9
ead21a62d1335fe
EvaluationElement = 0300a5132ae9c429dd33b25c051f45451c6e54e154d698c3
f3d8820bd9607e7a65762911c647b3460be166f37ba443bf000b23552298f14e0555
b3f0ddf0e900e1d38c
Proof = 0004f0791cbe6ac6f4074834e172beedea19ecd3a2c504a71fd870b42314
d3b072633a8265c774668274dcbcaeabf1726768fab4edec69a33a7d37095ebef3e1b
b44900f0a175b56ceeae8a87bc5553405e0b030ebcf8303befc5890c8afa1e61fd41
66480ff428eae4193f12bbf1fc31d5d7196ce8692e37bc9a63cdf4c9fafе10a2dc9a
ProofRandomScalar = 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e07
3a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = 1d90446522e3c131e90be2e4f372959ae5ab4f25ca98e83e5e62d6336c4
8b5ec22fc6083d2b050cad2bbc22ae7115c2b934d965ffe74aaa43c905cd2af76728
d
```

```
Input = 00,5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a  
Info = 7465737420696e666f  
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333  
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a  
d364,015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb7  
39f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b  
1  
BlindedElement = 0200e36b187060fef4f4cfef21cdb4ef8b5793a1bf44da95229  
062303688d4cf6a50c16b7c943c79d91357223b56866351a17a9c7f49730fd28add9  
301d399c0cf206c,03007530916e8ec76199429667a82ca4df65b913d8b1fb157319  
e73706f118b4f46047c01b7da024bdf5a06f2f4e879b1a1cd3fc b1ca2c37ce158cc8  
625e76b3bb1cc4  
EvaluationElement = 03014e216c05cf1d108829946891cc44693b0a411851a03f  
c439130054d920eb8ad596a4dfa5314f68d298a094777855aa55c98480575a3816cf  
ac52f838693e0e7fe5,0200005cf5e719b3066dcf0fb d6228bc921cebccc49feb1ac  
be9d9c4c88f4169e1d0d5408f92ad9f599c2f5f6d7d4c6e575e86f64c4eead2bb9b3  
e8e04d141a90b7382  
Proof = 00d846f4a2a7722fe6a24e7257e43d88c3e01977282fba352c08fd38b69b  
f1df64f90660b03b73abba50cb389af3d602da66411401d3c9f87b hcb6363d6406e0a  
cad3018a44bcd a83524d4a48f0ed96ebca96d7626b634ba28f cba0c21956fc90c516  
859df8ba6edeb7a44daeec51c3a56b79c1f9e211e9974e5f293ade221523953d12f  
ProofRandomScalar = 01ec21c7bbb69b0734cb48dfd68433dd93b0fa097e722ed24  
27de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba  
51943c8026877963  
Output = 3be90ca19fbe2fc250de62792c7cf4b6b5555c8655fce1694fc7563d5d4  
c5001efd1e91fbbaea31d75e33dbdefe57420c395f1ac805cc0095c4d81a0beddc b  
1,1d90446522e3c131e90be2e4f372959ae5ab4f25ca98e83e5e62d6336c48b5ec22  
fc6083d2b050cad2bbc22ae7115c2b934d965ffe74aaa43c905cd2af76728d
```

Alex Davidson
Brave Software

Armando Faz-Hernandez
Cloudflare, Inc.
101 Townsend St
San Francisco,
United States of America

Nick Sullivan
Cloudflare, Inc.
101 Townsend St

San Francisco,
United States of America

Email: nick@cloudflare.com

Christopher A. Wood
Cloudflare, Inc.
101 Townsend St
San Francisco,
United States of America

Email: caw@heapingbits.net