

Internet Engineering Task Force  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: December 6, 2019

N. Kuhn, Ed.  
CNES  
E. Lochin, Ed.  
ISAE-SUPAERO  
June 4, 2019

**Coding techniques for satellite systems**  
**draft-irtf-nwcrg-network-coding-satellites-05**

Abstract

This document is the product of the Coding for Efficient Network Communications Research Group (NWCRG). This document follows the taxonomy document [[RFC8406](#)] and considers coding as a linear combination of packets that operate above the network layer. In this context, this memo details a multi-gateway satellite system to identify use-cases where coding is relevant. As example, coding operating above the network layer can be exploited to cope from residual losses or provide reliable multicast services. The objective is to contribute to a larger deployment of such techniques in SATCOM systems. This memo also identifies open research issues related to the deployment of coding in SATCOM systems, such as the interaction between congestion controls and coding techniques.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 6, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	A note on satellite topology . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Use-cases for improving the SATCOM system performance with coding techniques . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	Two-way relay channel mode . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	Reliable multicast . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	Hybrid access . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">3.4.</a>	Dealing with LAN losses . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">3.5.</a>	Dealing with varying channel conditions . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">3.6.</a>	Improving the gateway handovers . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Research challenges . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	On the joint-use of coding techniques and congestion control in SATCOM systems . . . . .	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	On the efficient usage of satellite ressource . . . . .	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	Interaction with virtualized satellite gateways and terminals . . . . .	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">4.4.</a>	Delay/Disruption Tolerant Networks . . . . .	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Conclusion . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">15</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

Guaranteeing both physical-layer robustness and efficient usage of the radio resource has been in the core design of SATellite COMMunication (SATCOM) systems. The trade-off often resided in how much redundancy a system adds to cope from link impairments, without reducing the goodput when the channel quality is good. There is usually enough redundancy to guarantee a Quasi-Error Free transmission. The recovery time depends on the encoding block size. Considering for instance geostationary satellite system (GEO), physical or link layers erasure coding mechanisms recover transmission losses within a negligible delay compared to link delay.



However, when retransmissions are triggered, this leads to a non-negligible additional delay in particular over GEO link. Further exploiting coding techniques at application or transport layers is an opportunity for releasing constraints on the physical layer and improving the performance of SATCOM systems.

The notations used in this document are based on the taxonomy document [[RFC8406](#)]:

- o Channel and link codings are gathered in the PHY layer coding and are out of the scope of this document.
- o FEC (also called Application-Level FEC) operate above the network layer.
- o This document considers coding (or coding techniques or coding schemes) as a linear combination and not as a content coding (e.g., to compress a video flow).

Figure 1 presents the status of the reliability schemes deployment in satellite systems.

- o X1 embodies the source coding techniques that could be used at application level for instance within QUIC. This is not specific to SATCOM systems since such deployment can be relevant for broadband Internet access discussions.
- o X2 embodies the physical-layer techniques exploited in SATCOM systems (note that other coding techniques can be exploited). This is out of the scope of the document.

	Upper	Middle	Communication layers		
	Appl.	ware			
	Source	Network	Packetization	PHY	
	coding	AL-FEC	UDP/IP	layer	
E2E	X1				
NC					
IntraF	X1				
InterF				X2	
SPC	X1			X2	
MPC					

Figure 1: Reliability schemes in current satellite systems



We notice an active research activity on coding techniques and SATCOM. That being said, not much has actually made it to industrial developments. In this context, this document aims at identifying opportunities for further usage of coding in these systems.

The glossary of this memo extends the glossary of the taxonomy document [[RFC8406](#)] as follows:

- o ACM : Adaptive Coding and Modulation;
- o BBFRAME: Base-Band FRAME - satellite communication layer 2 encapsulation work as follows: (1) each layer 3 packet is encapsulated with a Generic Stream Encapsulation (GSE) mechanism, (2) GSE packets are gathered to create BBFRAMEs, (3) BBFRAMEs contain information related to how they have to be modulated (4) BBFRAMEs are forwarded to the physical-layer;
- o CPE: Customer Premises Equipment;
- o COM: COMMunication;
- o DSL: Digital Subscriber Line;
- o DTN: Delay/Disruption Tolerant Network;
- o ETSI: European Telecommunications Standards Institute;
- o FEC: Forward Error Correction;
- o FLUTE: File Delivery over Unidirectional Transport;
- o IoT: Internet of Things;
- o LTE: Long Term Evolution;
- o NFV: Network Function Virtualization;
- o NORM: NACK-Oriented Reliable Multicast;
- o PEP: Performance Enhancing Proxy [[RFC3135](#)] - a typical PEP for satellite communications include compression, caching and TCP acceleration;
- o PLFRAME: Physical Layer FRAME - modulated version of a BBFRAME with additional information (e.g., related to synchronization);
- o QEF: Quasi-Error-Free;



- o QoE: Quality-of-Experience;
- o QoS: Quality-of-Service;
- o SAT: SATellite;
- o SATCOM: generic term related to all kind of SATellite COMMunication systems;
- o VNF: Virtual Network Function.

This document is the product of and represents the collaborative work and consensus of the Coding for Efficient Network Communications Research Group (NWCRCG); it is not an IETF product and is not a standard.

## **2. A note on satellite topology**

This section describes a satellite system that follows the ETSI DVB standards to provide broadband Internet access. A high-level description of a multi-gateway satellite network is provided. There are multiple SATCOM systems, such as those dedicated to broadcasting TV or to IoT applications: depending on the purpose of the SATCOM system, ground segments are specific. In this context, the increase of the available capacity that is carried out to end users and reliability requirements lead to multiple gateways for one unique satellite platform.

In this context, Figure 2 shows an example of a multi-gateway satellite system. In a multi-gateway system, some elements may be centralized and/or gathered: the relevance of one approach compared to another depends on the deployment scenario. More information on these discussions and a generic SATCOM ground segment architecture for bidirectional Internet access can be found in [[SAT2017](#)].

Some functional blocks aggregate the traffic of multiple users.








Figure 2: Data plane functions in a generic satellite multi-gateway system



### 3. Use-cases for improving the SATCOM system performance with coding techniques

This section details use-cases where coding techniques could provide interesting features for SATCOM systems.

It is worth noting that these use-cases mostly focus on the middleware and packetization UDP/IP of Figure 1. There are already lots of recovery mechanisms at the physical-layer in currently deployed systems while E2E source coding is done at the application level. In a multi-gateway SATCOM Internet access, the deployment opportunities are more relevant in specific SATCOM components such as the "network function" block or the "access gateway" of Figure 2.

### 3.1. Two-way relay channel mode

This use-case considers a two-way communication between end users, through a satellite link. Figure 3 proposes an illustration of this scenario.

Satellite terminal A sends a flow A and satellite terminal B sends a flow B to a coding server. The coding server sends a combination of both terminal flows. This results in non-negligible capacity savings and has been demonstrated [ASMS2010]. In the proposed example, a dedicated coding server is introduced. Its location could be changed depending on the deployment use-case. With On-Board Processing satellite payloads, the coding operations could be done at the satellite level; although this would require lots of computational resource on-board and may not be relevant with today's payloads.

-X}- : traffic from satellite terminal X to the server  
 ={X+Y= : traffic from X and Y combined sent from  
           the server to terminals X and Y




Figure 3: Network architecture for two way relay channel with NC



### 3.2. Reliable multicast

Using multicast servers is a way to better exploit the satellite broadcast capabilities. This approach is proposed in the SHINE ESA project [[I-D.vazquez-nfvrg-netcod-function-virtualization](#)] [[SHINE](#)]. This use-case considers adding redundancy to a multicast flow depending on what has been received by different end-users, resulting in non-negligible scarce resource saving. We propose an illustration for this scenario in Figure 4.

-Li}- : packet indicating the loss of packet i of a multicast flow M  
 ={M== : multicast flow including the missing packets




Figure 4: Network architecture for a reliable multicast with NC

A multicast flow (M) is forwarded to both satellite terminals A and B. However packet  $N_i$  (resp.  $N_j$ ) gets lost at terminal A (resp. B), and terminal A (resp. B) returns a negative acknowledgment  $L_i$  (resp.  $L_j$ ), indicating that the packet is missing. Then either the access gateway or the multicast server includes a repair packet (rather than the individual  $N_i$  and  $N_j$  packets) in the multicast flow to let both terminals recover from losses.

This could be achieved by using other multicast or broadcast systems, such as NACK-Oriented Reliable Multicast (NORM) [[RFC5740](#)] in situations where a feedback link is available, or File Delivery over Unidirectional Transport (FLUTE) [[RFC6726](#)] otherwise. Note that both NORM and FLUTE are limited to block coding, none of them supporting sliding window encoding schemes [[RFC8406](#)]. Note that although FLUTE is defined as an unidirectional protocol, the RFC proposes a bidirectional communication method to enable full reliability transfer and for security purpose.



### 3.3. Hybrid access

This use-case considers the use of multiple path management with coding at the transport level to increase the reliability and/or the total capacity (using multiple path does not guarantee an improvement of both the reliability and the total capacity). We propose an illustration for this scenario in Figure 5. This use-case is inspired from the Broadband Access via Integrated Terrestrial Satellite Systems (BATS) project and has been published as an ETSI Technical Report [ETSI TR2017]. This kind of architecture is also discussed in the TCPM working group [I-D.ietf-tcpm-converters].

To cope with packet loss (due to either end-user mobility or physical-layer impairments), coding techniques could be introduced both at the CPE and at the concentrator. Appart from packet losses, other gains could be envisioned, such as a better tolerance to out-of-order packets which occur when exploited links exhibit high asymmetry in terms of RTT. Depending on the ground architecture [I-D.chin-nfvrg-cloud-5g-core-structure-yang] [SAT2017], some equipments might be hosting both SATCOM and cellular functions.

-{}- : bidirectional link




Figure 5: Network architecture for an hybrid access using coding

### 3.4. Dealing with LAN losses

This use-case considers the usage of coding techniques to cope from cases where the end user connects to the satellite terminal with a Wi-Fi link that exhibits losses. In the case of encrypted end-to-end applications based on UDP, PEP cannot operate. The Wi-Fi losses result in an end-to-end retransmission that would harm the quality of experience of the end user.

The architecture is recalled in Figure 6.





In this use-case, adding coding techniques could prevent the end-to-end retransmission from occurring.

-{}- : bidirectional link  
 -''- : Wi-Fi link




Figure 6: Network architecture for dealing with LAN losses

### 3.5. Dealing with varying channel conditions

This use-case considers the usage of coding techniques to cope from cases where channel condition can change in less than a second and where the physical-layer codes could not guarantee a Quasi-Error-Free (QEF) transmission.

The architecture is recalled in Figure 7. In these cases, the mechanisms that is exploited to adapt the physical-layer codes (Adaptative Coding and Modulation (ACM)) may adapt the modulation and coding in time: remaining errors could be recovered with higher layers redundancy packets. Coding may be applied on IP packets or on layer-2 proprietary format packets.

This use-case is mostly relevant when mobile users are considered or when the chosen band induce a required physical-layer coding that may change over time (Q/V bands, Ka band, etc.). Depending on the use-case (e.g., very high frequency bands, mobile users) or depending on the deployment use-cases (e.g., performance of the network between each individual block), the relevance of adding coding techniques is different.

-{}- : bidirectional link




Figure 7: Network architecture for dealing with varying link characteristics



### 3.6. Improving the gateway handovers

This use-case considers the recovery of packets that may be lost during gateway handovers. Whether this is for off-loading one given equipment or because the transmission quality is not the same on each gateway, changing the transmission gateway may be relevant. However, if gateways are not properly synchronized or if the algorithm that is exploited to trigger gateway handovers shows a non negligible probability of missed detection, this may result in packet losses. During these critical phases, coding can be added to improve the reliability of the transmission and allow a seamless gateway handover. Coding could be applied at either the access gateway or the network function block. The control plane manager is in charge of taking the decision to change the communication gateway and the consequent routes.

Figure 8 illustrates this use-case.

-{}- : bidirectional link  
! : management interface




Figure 8: Network architecture for dealing with gateway handover schemes

## 4. Research challenges

This section proposes to discuss few research challenges related to the introduction and the use of coding techniques in SATCOM systems.



#### **4.1. On the joint-use of coding techniques and congestion control in SATCOM systems**

SATCOM systems typically feature Performance Enhancement Proxy (PEP) [RFC 3135](#) [[RFC3135](#)]. PEP usually split TCP end-to-end connections and forward TCP packets to the satellite baseband gateway that deals with layer-2 and layer-1 encapsulations. PEP contributes to mitigate congestion in a SATCOM systems. PEP could host coding mechanisms and thus support use-cases that have been discussed in this document.

Deploying coding schemes at the TCP level in these equipment could be relevant and independent from the specific characteristics of a SATCOM link. This leads to research question on the interaction between coding schemes and TCP congestion controls.

#### **4.2. On the efficient usage of satellite ressource**

The recurrent trade-off in SATCOM systems remains: how much overhead from redundant reliability packets can be introduced to guarantee a better end-user QoE while optimizing capacity usage ?

This problem has been tackled in the past for physical-layer code, but there remains questions on how to adapt the overhead for, e.g., the quickly varying channel conditions use-case.

#### **4.3. Interaction with virtualized satellite gateways and terminals**

Related to the foreseen virtualized network infrastructure, coding techniques could be easily deployed as VNF. Next generation of SATCOM ground segments could rely on a virtualized environment. This trend can also be seen in cellular networks, making these discussions extendable to other deployment scenarios [[I-D.chin-nfvrg-cloud-5g-core-structure-yang](#)]. As one example, the coding VNF functions deployment in a virtualized environment is presented in [[I-D.vazquez-nfvrg-netcod-function-virtualization](#)].

A research challenge would be the optimization of the NFV service function chaining, considering a virtualized infrastructure and other SATCOM specific functions, to guarantee an efficient radio usage and easy-to-deploy SATCOM services. Moreover, another challenge related to a virtualized SATCOM terminals is the management of limited buffered equipments.

#### **4.4. Delay/Disruption Tolerant Networks**

In the context of the deep-space communications, establishing communications from terrestrial gateways to satellite platforms can be a challenge. Reliable end-to-end (E2E) communications over such



links must cope with long delay and frequent link disruptions. Delay/Disruption Tolerant Networking [[RFC4838](#)] is a solution to enable reliable internetworking space communications where both standard ad-hoc routing and E2E Internet protocols cannot be used. Moreover, DTN can also be seen as an alternative solution to transfer the data between a central PEP and a remote PEP.

Coding enables E2E reliable communication over DTN with adaptive re-encoding, as proposed in [[THAI15](#)]. In this case, the use-cases proposed in [Section 3.5](#) would legitimize the usage of coding within the DTN stack to improve the channel utilization and the E2E transmission delay. In this context, the use of erasure coding techniques inside a Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) architecture has been specified in [[CCSDS-131.5-0-1](#)]. A research challenge would be on how such coding can be integrated in the IETF DTN stack.

## **5. Conclusion**

This document discusses some opportunities to introduce coding techniques at a wider scale in satellite telecommunications systems.

Even though this document focuses on satellite systems, it is worth pointing out that some scenarios proposed may be relevant to other wireless telecommunication systems. As one example, the generic architecture proposed in Figure 2 may be mapped to cellular networks as follows: the 'network function' block gather some of the functions of the Evolved Packet Core subsystem, while the 'access gateway' and 'physical gateway' blocks gather the same type of functions as the Universal Mobile Terrestrial Radio Access Network. This mapping extends the opportunities identified in this draft since they may be also relevant for cellular networks.

## **6. Acknowledgements**

Many thanks to Tomaso de Cola, Vincent Roca, Lloyd Wood and Marie-Jose Montpetit for their help in writing this document.

## **7. IANA Considerations**

This memo includes no request to IANA.

## **8. Security Considerations**

Security considerations are inherent to any access network, and in particular SATCOM systems. The use of FEC or Network Coding in SATCOM also comes with risks (e.g., a single corrupted redundant packet may propagate to several flows when they are protected





together in an Inter-Flow coding approach, see section [Section 3](#)). However this is not specific to the SATCOM use-case and this document does not further elaborate on it.

## 9. Informative References

[ASMS2010]

De Cola, T. and et. al., "Demonstration at opening session of ASMS 2010", ASMS , 2010.

[CCSDS-131.5-0-1]

"Erasure correcting codes for use in near-earth and deep-space communications", CCSDS Experimental specification 131.5-0-1, 2014.

[ETSITR2017]

"Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Multi-link routing scheme in hybrid access network with heterogeneous links", ETSI TR 103 351, 2017.

[I-D.chin-nfvrg-cloud-5g-core-structure-yang]

Chen, C. and Z. Pan, "Yang Data Model for Cloud Native 5G Core structure", [draft-chin-nfvrg-cloud-5g-core-structure-yang-00](#) (work in progress), December 2017.

[I-D.ietf-tcpm-converters]

Bonaventure, O., Boucadair, M., Gundavelli, S., Seo, S., and B. Hesmans, "0-RTT TCP Convert Protocol", [draft-ietf-tcpm-converters-06](#) (work in progress), March 2019.

[I-D.vazquez-nfvrg-netcod-function-virtualization]

Vazquez-Castro, M., Do-Duy, T., Romano, S., and A. Tulino, "Network Coding Function Virtualization", [draft-vazquez-nfvrg-netcod-function-virtualization-02](#) (work in progress), November 2017.

[RFC3135]

Border, J., Kojo, M., Griner, J., Montenegro, G., and Z. Shelby, "Performance Enhancing Proxies Intended to Mitigate Link-Related Degradations", [RFC 3135](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3135, June 2001, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3135>>.

[RFC4838]

Cerf, V., Burleigh, S., Hooke, A., Torgerson, L., Durst, R., Scott, K., Fall, K., and H. Weiss, "Delay-Tolerant Networking Architecture", [RFC 4838](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4838, April 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4838>>.



- [RFC5326] Ramadas, M., Burleigh, S., and S. Farrell, "Licklider Transmission Protocol - Specification", [RFC 5326](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5326, September 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5326>>.
- [RFC5740] Adamson, B., Bormann, C., Handley, M., and J. Macker, "NACK-Oriented Reliable Multicast (NORM) Transport Protocol", [RFC 5740](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5740, November 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5740>>.
- [RFC6726] Paila, T., Walsh, R., Luby, M., Roca, V., and R. Lehtonen, "FLUTE - File Delivery over Unidirectional Transport", [RFC 6726](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6726, November 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6726>>.
- [RFC8406] Adamson, B., Adjih, C., Bilbao, J., Firoiu, V., Fitzek, F., Ghanem, S., Lochin, E., Masucci, A., Montpetit, M-J., Pedersen, M., Peralta, G., Roca, V., Ed., Saxena, P., and S. Sivakumar, "Taxonomy of Coding Techniques for Efficient Network Communications", [RFC 8406](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8406, June 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8406>>.
- [SAT2017] Ahmed, T., Dubois, E., Dupe, JB., Ferrus, R., Gelard, P., and N. Kuhn, "Software-defined satellite cloud RAN", Int. J. Satell. Commun. Network. vol. 36, 2017.
- [SHINE] Pietro Romano, S. and et. al., "Secure Hybrid In Network caching Environment (SHINE) ESA project", ESA project , 2017 on-going.
- [THAI15] Thai, T., Chaganti, V., Lochin, E., Lacan, J., Dubois, E., and P. Gelard, "Enabling E2E reliable communications with adaptive re-encoding over delay tolerant networks", Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Communications , June 2015.

#### Authors' Addresses

Nicolas Kuhn (editor)  
CNES  
18 Avenue Edouard Belin  
Toulouse 31400  
France  
  
Email: [nicolas.kuhn@cnes.fr](mailto:nicolas.kuhn@cnes.fr)



Emmanuel Lochin (editor)

ISAE-SUPAERO

10 Avenue Edouard Belin

Toulouse 31400

France

Email: [emmanuel.lochin@isae-supero.fr](mailto:emmanuel.lochin@isae-supero.fr)