

QUIC
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 6 May 2021

J. Iyengar
Fastly
I. Swett
Google
2 November 2020

Sender Control of Acknowledgement Delays in QUIC
draft-iyengar-quic-delayed-ack-02

Abstract

This document describes a QUIC extension for an endpoint to control its peer's delaying of acknowledgements.

Note to Readers

Discussion of this draft takes place on the QUIC working group mailing list (quic@ietf.org), which is archived at https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/search/?email_list=quic.

Working Group information can be found at <https://github.com/quicwg>; source code and issues list for this draft can be found at <https://github.com/quicwg/base-drafts/labels/-transport>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 6 May 2021.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Terms and Definitions	2
2.	Motivation	3
3.	Negotiating Extension Use	4
4.	ACK_FREQUENCY Frame	4
5.	Multiple ACK_FREQUENCY Frames	5
6.	Sending Acknowledgments	6
6.1.	Response to Reordering	7
6.2.	Expediting Congestion Signals	7
6.3.	Batch Processing of Packets	7
7.	Computation of Probe Timeout Period	7
8.	Implementation Considerations	8
8.1.	Loss Detection	8
8.2.	New Connections	8
8.3.	Window-based Congestion Controllers	8
9.	Security Considerations	9
10.	IANA Considerations	9
11.	Normative References	9
Appendix A.	Change Log	9
	Acknowledgments	9
	Authors' Addresses	9

[1.](#) Introduction

This document describes a QUIC extension for an endpoint to control its peer's delaying of acknowledgements.

[1.1.](#) Terms and Definitions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

In the rest of this document, "sender" refers to a QUIC data sender

(and acknowledgement receiver). Similarly, "receiver" refers to a QUIC data receiver (and acknowledgement sender).

An "acknowledgement packet" refers to a QUIC packet that contains only an ACK frame.

This document uses terms, definitions, and notational conventions described in [Section 1.2](#) and Section 1.3 of [\[QUIC-TRANSPORT\]](#).

2. Motivation

A receiver acknowledges received packets, but it can delay sending these acknowledgements. The delaying of acknowledgements can impact connection throughput, loss detection and congestion controller performance at a data sender, and CPU utilization at both a data sender and a data receiver.

Reducing the frequency of acknowledgement packets can improve connection and endpoint performance in the following ways:

- * Sending UDP packets can be noticeably CPU intensive on some platforms. Reducing the number of packets that only contain acknowledgements can therefore reduce the amount of CPU consumed at a data receiver. Experience shows that this cost reduction can be significant for high bandwidth connections.
- * Similarly, receiving and processing UDP packets can also be CPU intensive, and reducing acknowledgement frequency reduces this cost at a data sender.
- * Severely asymmetric link technologies, such as DOCSIS, LTE, and satellite links, connection throughput in the data direction becomes constrained when the reverse bandwidth is filled by acknowledgement packets. When traversing such links, reducing the number of acknowledgments allows connection throughput to scale much further.

As discussed in [Section 8](#) however, there are undesirable consequences to congestion control and loss recovery if a receiver unilaterally reduces the acknowledgment frequency. A sender's constraints on the acknowledgement frequency need to be taken into account to maximize congestion controller and loss recovery performance.

[\[QUIC-TRANSPORT\]](#) currently specifies a simple delayed acknowledgement mechanism that a receiver can use: send an acknowledgement for every other packet, and for every packet when reordering is observed. This simple mechanism does not allow a sender to signal its constraints. This extension provides a mechanism to solve this problem.

3. Negotiating Extension Use

Endpoints advertise their support of the extension described in this document by sending the following transport parameter (Section 7.2 of [QUIC-TRANSPORT]):

`min_ack_delay (0xff02de1a)`: A variable-length integer representing the minimum amount of time in microseconds by which the endpoint can delay an acknowledgement. Values of 2^{24} or greater are invalid, and receipt of these values MUST be treated as a connection error of type `TRANSPORT_PARAMETER_ERROR`.

An endpoint's `min_ack_delay` MUST NOT be greater than the its `max_ack_delay`. Endpoints that support this extension MUST treat receipt of a `min_ack_delay` that is greater than the received `max_ack_delay` as a connection error of type `TRANSPORT_PARAMETER_ERROR`. Note that while the endpoint's `max_ack_delay` transport parameter is in milliseconds (Section 18.2 of [QUIC-TRANSPORT]), `min_ack_delay` is specified in microseconds.

This Transport Parameter is encoded as per Section 18 of [QUIC-TRANSPORT].

4. ACK_FREQUENCY Frame

Delaying acknowledgements as much as possible reduces both work done by the endpoints and network load. An endpoint's loss detection and congestion control mechanisms however need to be tolerant of this delay at the peer. An endpoint signals its tolerance to its peer using an `ACK_FREQUENCY` frame, shown below:

```

      0               1               2               3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               0xaf (i)                               ...
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               Sequence Number (i)                   ...
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               Packet Tolerance (i)                   ...
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               Update Max Ack Delay (i)               ...
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| Ignore Order (8)|
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

Following the common frame format described in Section 12.4 of [QUIC-TRANSPORT], `ACK_FREQUENCY` frames have a type of `0xaf`, and contain the following fields:

Sequence Number: A variable-length integer representing the sequence number assigned to the ACK_FREQUENCY frame by the sender to allow receivers to ignore obsolete frames, see [Section 5](#).

Packet Tolerance: A variable-length integer representing the maximum number of ack-eliciting packets after which the receiver sends an acknowledgement. A value of 1 will result in an acknowledgement being sent for every ack-eliciting packet received. A value of 0 is invalid. Receipt of an invalid value MUST be treated as a connection error of type FRAME_ENCODING_ERROR.

Update Max Ack Delay: A variable-length integer representing an update to the peer's "max_ack_delay" transport parameter (Section 18.2 of [[QUIC-TRANSPORT](#)]). The value of this field is in microseconds. Any value smaller than the "min_ack_delay" advertised by this endpoint is invalid. Receipt of an invalid value MUST be treated as a connection error of type PROTOCOL_VIOLATION.

Ignore Order: An 8-bit field representing a boolean truth value. This field MUST have the value 0x00 (representing "false") or 0x01 (representing "true"). This field can be set to "true" by an endpoint that does not wish to receive an immediate acknowledgement when the peer observes reordering ([Section 6.1](#)). Receipt of any other value MUST be treated as a connection error of type FRAME_ENCODING_ERROR.

ACK_FREQUENCY frames are ack-eliciting. However, their loss does not require retransmission if an ACK_FREQUENCY frame with a larger Sequence Number value has been sent.

An endpoint MAY send ACK_FREQUENCY frames multiple times during a connection and with different values.

An endpoint will have committed a "max_ack_delay" value to the peer, which specifies the maximum amount of time by which the endpoint will delay sending acknowledgments. When the endpoint receives an ACK_FREQUENCY frame, it MUST update this maximum time to the value proposed by the peer in the Update Max Ack Delay field.

5. Multiple ACK_FREQUENCY Frames

An endpoint can send multiple ACK_FREQUENCY frames, and each one of them can have different values in all fields. An endpoint MUST use a sequence number of 0 for the first ACK_FREQUENCY frame it constructs and sends, and a strictly increasing value thereafter.

An endpoint MUST allow reordered ACK_FREQUENCY frames to be received and processed, see Section 13.3 of [\[QUIC-TRANSPORT\]](#).

On the first received ACK_FREQUENCY frame in a connection, an endpoint MUST immediately record all values from the frame. The sequence number of the frame is recorded as the largest seen sequence number. The new Packet Tolerance and Update Max Ack Delay values MUST be immediately used for delaying acknowledgements; see [Section 6](#).

On a subsequently received ACK_FREQUENCY frame, the endpoint MUST check if this frame is more recent than any previous ones, as follows:

- * If the frame's sequence number is not greater than the largest one seen so far, the endpoint MUST ignore this frame.
- * If the frame's sequence number is greater than the largest one seen so far, the endpoint MUST immediately replace old recorded state with values received in this frame. The endpoint MUST start using the new values immediately for delaying acknowledgements; see [Section 6](#). The endpoint MUST also replace the recorded sequence number.

6. Sending Acknowledgments

Prior to receiving an ACK_FREQUENCY frame, endpoints send acknowledgements as specified in Section 13.2.1 of [\[QUIC-TRANSPORT\]](#).

On receiving an ACK_FREQUENCY frame and updating its recorded "max_ack_delay" and "Packet Tolerance" values ([Section 5](#)), the endpoint MUST send an acknowledgement when one of the following conditions are met:

- * Since the last acknowledgement was sent, the number of received ack-eliciting packets is greater than or equal to the recorded "Packet Tolerance".
- * Since the last acknowledgement was sent, "max_ack_delay" amount of time has passed.

[Section 6.1](#), [Section 6.2](#), and [Section 6.3](#) describe exceptions to this strategy.

An endpoint is expected to bundle acknowledgements when possible. Every time an acknowledgement is sent, bundled or otherwise, all counters and timers related to delaying of acknowledgments are reset.

6.1. Response to Reordering

As specified in Section 13.3.1 of [QUIC-TRANSPORT], endpoints are expected to send an acknowledgement immediately on receiving a reordered ack-eliciting packet. This extension modifies this behavior.

If the endpoint has not yet received an ACK_FREQUENCY frame, or if the most recent frame received from the peer has an "Ignore Order" value of "false" (0x00), the endpoint MUST immediately acknowledge any subsequent packets that are received out of order.

If the most recent ACK_FREQUENCY frame received from the peer has an "Ignore Order" value of "true" (0x01), the endpoint does not make this exception. That is, the endpoint MUST NOT send an immediate acknowledgement in response to packets received out of order, and instead continues to use the peer's "Packet Tolerance" and "max_ack_delay" thresholds for sending acknowledgements.

6.2. Expediting Congestion Signals

As specified in Section 13.3.1 of [QUIC-TRANSPORT], an endpoint SHOULD immediately acknowledge packets marked with the ECN Congestion Experienced (CE) codepoint in the IP header. Doing so reduces the peer's response time to congestion events.

6.3. Batch Processing of Packets

For performance reasons, an endpoint can receive incoming packets from the underlying platform in a batch of multiple packets. This batch can contain enough packets to cause multiple acknowledgements to be sent.

To avoid sending multiple acknowledgements in rapid succession, an endpoint MAY process all packets in a batch before determining whether a threshold has been met and an acknowledgement is to be sent in response.

7. Computation of Probe Timeout Period

On sending an update to the peer's "max_ack_delay", an endpoint can use this new value in later computations of its Probe Timeout (PTO) period; see Section 5.2.1 of [QUIC-RECOVERY]. The endpoint MUST however wait until the ACK_FREQUENCY frame that carries this new value is acknowledged by the peer.

Until the frame is acknowledged, the endpoint MUST use the greater of the current "max_ack_delay" and the value that is in flight when

computing the PTO period. Doing so avoids spurious PTOs that can be caused by an update that increases the peer's "max_ack_delay".

While it is expected that endpoints will have only one ACK_FREQUENCY frame in flight at any given time, this extension does not prohibit having more than one in flight. Generally, when using "max_ack_delay" for PTO computations, endpoints MUST use the maximum of the current value and all those in flight.

8. Implementation Considerations

There are tradeoffs inherent in a sender sending an ACK_FREQUENCY frame to the receiver. As such it is recommended that implementers experiment with different strategies and find those which best suit their applications and congestion controllers. There are, however, noteworthy considerations when devising strategies for sending ACK_FREQUENCY frames.

8.1. Loss Detection

A sender relies on receipt of acknowledgements to determine the amount of data in flight and to detect losses, e.g. when packets experience reordering, see [[QUIC-RECOVERY](#)]. Consequently, how often a receiver sends acknowledgments determines how long it takes for losses to be detected at the sender.

8.2. New Connections

Many congestion control algorithms have a startup mechanism during the beginning phases of a connection. It is typical that in this period the congestion controller will quickly increase the amount of data in the network until it is signalled to stop. While the mechanism used to achieve this increase varies, acknowledgments by the peer are generally critical during this phase to drive the congestion controller's machinery. A sender can send ACK_FREQUENCY frames while its congestion controller is in this state, ensuring that the receiver will send acknowledgments at a rate which is optimal for the the sender's congestion controller.

8.3. Window-based Congestion Controllers

Congestion controllers that are purely window-based and strictly adherent to packet conservation, such as the one defined in [[QUIC-RECOVERY](#)], rely on receipt of acknowledgments to move the congestion window forward and send additional data into the network. Such controllers will suffer degraded performance if acknowledgments are delayed excessively. Similarly, if these controllers rely on the timing of peer acknowledgments (an "ACK clock"), delaying

acknowledgments will cause undesirable bursts of data into the network.

9. Security Considerations

TBD.

10. IANA Considerations

TBD.

11. Normative References

[QUIC-RECOVERY]

Iyengar, J., Ed. and I. Swett, Ed., "QUIC Loss Detection and Congestion Control", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-quic-recovery-latest](#), <<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-quic-recovery-latest>>.

[QUIC-TRANSPORT]

Iyengar, J., Ed. and M. Thomson, Ed., "QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secure Transport", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, [draft-ietf-quic-transport-latest](#), <<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-quic-transport-latest>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

Appendix A. Change Log

RFC Editor's Note: Please remove this section prior to publication of a final version of this document.

Acknowledgments

The following people directly contributed key ideas that shaped this draft: Bob Briscoe, Kazuho Oku, Marten Seemann.

Authors' Addresses

Jana Iyengar
Fastly

Email: jri.ietf@gmail.com

Ian Swett
Google

Email: ian.swett@google.com