

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: November 10, 2016

C. Jennings  
Cisco Systems  
May 9, 2016

**Using WebRTC Identity with STIR  
draft-jennings-stir-rtcweb-identity-00**

Abstract

This draft outlines the approach to use STIR Passport tokens as the Identity assertions in WebRTC. It outlines some proposed changes to improve identity interoperability for different calling systems.

This is a very rough first draft purely to have something to discuss.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 10, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#) . . . . . [2](#)
- [2. Information Flow](#) . . . . . [2](#)
  - [2.1. Actors](#) . . . . . [3](#)
  - [2.2. Mapping to SIP](#) . . . . . [6](#)
- [3. Proposed Changes](#) . . . . . [7](#)
  - [3.1. Remove Identity Hint Hack](#) . . . . . [7](#)
  - [3.2. Fingerprint format.](#) . . . . . [7](#)
  - [3.3. Format of identity header](#) . . . . . [7](#)
    - [3.3.1. Token type in Identity header to allow multiple identity headers](#) . . . . . [7](#)
    - [3.3.2. WebRTC support multiple tokens](#) . . . . . [8](#)
    - [3.3.3. Abstract the identity and protocol in the WebRTC assertion](#) . . . . . [8](#)
  - [3.4. Future Proofing](#) . . . . . [8](#)
  - [3.5. TEL URI](#) . . . . . [8](#)
  - [3.6. RFC822 Names](#) . . . . . [8](#)
  - [3.7. Encoding](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [3.8. WebRTC Canonical Form](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [3.9. Multi party](#) . . . . . [9](#)
- [4. Acknowledgments](#) . . . . . [9](#)
- [5. References](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [5.1. Normative References](#) . . . . . [9](#)
  - [5.2. Informative References](#) . . . . . [10](#)
- [Appendix A. Example Cert](#) . . . . . [10](#)
- [Appendix B. Example Private Key](#) . . . . . [12](#)
- Author's Address . . . . . [12](#)

**1. Introduction**

This draft is purely to help track the discussion about WebRTC and STIR identity and provide a place to send pull requests. It is not meant to become an RFC - the relevant information will flow into other specifications once we come to some consensus on what needs to be done.

It assumes the reader is familiar with [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security-arch\]](#), [\[I-D.ietf-stir-certificates\]](#), [\[I-D.ietf-stir-passport\]](#), and [\[I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis\]](#).

**2. Information Flow**

This section steps through the logical information flow to use STIR Identity in WebRTC based on what we have in the specifications today. Later sections propose some changes to current specifications.



## 2.1. Actors

Cullen, with a phone number of +1 408 555 1212 is using skype.com to call Jon at "sip:jon@example.org". Both people use a WebRTC softphone. Cullen uses an identity provider of att.com. Skype could be the identity provider for this use case but it's easier to understand the flow if you look at it as a separate provider.

Before the call ever starts, Cullen's browser fetches the webpage from skype.com and logs on to that website. Cullen then goes to call Jon. The skype JS notes that the Cullen's identity provider is att.com and the JavaScript for the skype web page does a

```
pc.setIdentityProvider("att.com","passport",
    JSON.stringify( {"otn": "14085551212",
                    "duri": "sip:jon@example.org"}));
```

And start the setup of media to the other side which will eventually generate an SDP offer. The browser will load the IDP JS from IdP at

<https://att.com/.well-known/idp-proxy/passport>

(see section 5.6.5 of [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security-arch](#)])

The browser will then call the IDP javascript code to generate a WebRTC identity assertion. It will pass to the generating function the hint information provided in the setIdentityProvider of

```
{ "otn": "14084219990",
  "duri": "sip:jon@example.org" }
```

The browser also passes the DTLS fingerprints and hash algorithm used to generate them to the JS for the IdP in an array like

```
[
  {
    "algorithm":"sha-256",
    "digest":"23:E8:6E:28:3F:D1:CF:17:DE:88:0F:EE:5A:AB:
             AD:03:2D:77:FB:05:17:BA:61:12:1B:37:D4:19:4F:38:DF:EC"
  }
]
```

All of this information can be sent to the idp.com along with the whatever login flow att.com wants to use to have Cullen authenticated to att.com

At this point, the IdP has all the information to create the Passport Token.



The DTLS-SRTP fingerprints are reformatted to be in the form

```
"mky": "sha-256 23:E8:6E:28:3F:D1:CF:17:DE:88:0F:EE:5A:AB:
        AD:03:2D:77:FB:05:17:BA:61:12:1B:37:D4:19:4F:38:DF:EC"
```

The passport header is set to

```
{
  "typ": "passport",
  "alg": "RS256",
  "x5u": "https://cert.att.org/passport.crt"
}
```

The current time is used for the iat field and a passport payload is formed. Note the payload also gets called the claims. For example, the payload could look like

```
{
  "iat": "14443208345",
  "otn": "14084219990",
  "duri": "sip:jon@example.org",
  "mky": "sha-256 23:E8:6E:28:3F:D1:CF:17:DE:88:0F:EE:5A:AB:
        AD:03:2D:77:FB:05:17:BA:61:12:1B:37:D4:19:4F:38:DF:EC"
}
```

This is canonicalized and signed with a certificate valid to sign 14084219990. The URL to fetch the certificate is found in the x5u field of the Passport Header.

The canonical headers base64 is

```
eyJ0eXAiOiJwYXNzcG9ydCIsmFsZyI6IlJTMjU2IiwieDV1IjoiaHR0cHM6Ly9jZXJ0
LmV4YW1wbGUub3JnL3Bhc3Nwb3J0LmNydCJ9
```

The canonical payload in base64 is

```
eyJpYXQiOiIwNDQ0MzIwODM0NSIsIm90biI6IjE0MDg0MjE5OTkwIiwiaZHVyaSI6InNp
cDpqbn25AZXhhbXBsZS5vcmcilCJta3kiOiJzaGEtMjU2IDIZ0kU40jZFOjI40jNG0kQx
OkNG0jE30kRF0jg40jBG0kVF0jVB0kFC0kFE0jAz0jJE0jc30kZC0jA10jE30kJB0jYx
OjE50jFC0jM30kQ00jE50jRG0jM40kRG0kVDIn0
```

The base64 headers and payload are concatenated with a period between them and the SHA 256 of the result is computed which, represented in hex, is

```
20ac9439ae10df640b39e177d4ec432fe590b2d95f4075957816b5929ca1acb0
```











Passport token in the Identity and create a new assertion using the cached domain and protocol and insert that. This is really gross and we need to figure out a way where the SDP from SIP is the same as the SDP from WebRTC when using the same passport token.

### **3. Proposed Changes**

#### **3.1. Remove Identity Hint Hack**

Passing

```
JSON.stringify({"otn":"14084219990",
               "duri":"sip:jon@example.org"})
```

is sort of a hack. It would be better to pass "14084219990" as the identity hint and have the API support an optional destination hint where we pass "sip:jon@example.org"

#### **3.2. Fingerprint format.**

Unify the format of the fingerprints between STIR and WebRTC.

Proposal move to something more like

```
{
  "alg":"HS256",
  "digest":"23:E8:6E:28:3F:D1:CF:17:DE:88:0F:EE:5A:AB:
          AD:03:2D:77:FB:05:17:BA:61:12:1B:37:D4:19:4F:38:DF:EC"
}
```

And perhaps choose a more compact for the digest value - preferably base 64.

#### **3.3. Format of identity header**

##### **3.3.1. Token type in Identity header to allow multiple identity headers**

Have the type of identity token in a standard form outside the actual token so that things that don't understand the token still know what it is

So the Identity line would change from something like

```
a=identity:eyJhc3NlNzcG9ydHYxIn19;info=URL
```

to

```
a=identity:eyJhc3NlNzcG9ydHYxIn19;info=URL;type=passport
```



### **3.3.2. WebRTC support multiple tokens**

Nice to allow multiple IdP that produce different token types for a given offer in WebRTC.

If the SDP had multiple tokens of different types, do we need WebRTC to be able to support this.

### **3.3.3. Abstract the identity and protocol in the WebRTC assertion**

Currently the WebRTC token has to have the domain and protocol in a well defined location in the assertion. But when this is represented into SDP, it might be better to have it as optional tags on the Identity line instead of in the actual token. So the Identity line would change from something like

```
a=identity:eyJhc3NlNzcG9ydHYxIn19
```

to

```
a=identity:eyJhc3NlNzcG9ydHYxIn19;  
domain=https://ks.fluffy.im:10443;protocol=passport
```

This would allow systems using passport identity to have the same SDP regardless of if the SDP was for WebRTC or SIP

This would also Passport Tokens generated in SIP to work for WebRTC

### **3.4. Future Proofing**

WebRTC allows future things to be added to the contents object passed to the IdP JS. They have to be conveyed to the far side (see [section 5.6.4](#) of [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security-arch](#)]).

Proposal. Copy them into the claims in the passport token.

### **3.5. TEL URI**

For single phone numbers, we already have a way to encode that in certificates using the SAN with a URI containing a tel URI.

Proposal. Allow existing cert to be valid STIR certificates.

### **3.6. RFC822 Names**

Consider an WebRTC endpoint that initiates a call using WebRTC that is then translated to SIP then translated to XMPP to deliver it to the far end user. Using a name like "sip:fluffy@example.com" is



pretty weird at the WebRTC and XMPP end. It might be better to use 822 style names instead of URIs.

If this was done, it would likely need to use the work that extends the current X509 specifications to allow i18n names. (For example see [[I-D.lbaudoin-iemax](#)] )

### **[3.7.](#) Encoding**

We start with a fingerprint in binary and encoding it like "AA:BB:CC" resulting in an expansion of 3 times. It then expands 1.3 times in the base64 encoding of the payload when then expands 1.3 times in the base64 encoding of the identity header making it over 5 times larger than the original.

### **[3.8.](#) WebRTC Canonical Form**

Ensure the definition of what goes in the WebRTC assertion content array is well specified. Ensure it does not need to be bitwise exact but only the same when compared at JS object level.

### **[3.9.](#) Multi party**

For a conference call or group MMS, we need to allow multiple destinations.

Proposal: making the duri a list.

## **[4.](#) Acknowledgments**

Thank you to Russ Housley for comments.

## **[5.](#) References**

### **[5.1.](#) Normative References**

[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security-arch]

Rescorla, E., "WebRTC Security Architecture", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-security-arch-11](#) (work in progress), March 2015.

[I-D.ietf-stir-certificates]

Peterson, J., "Secure Telephone Identity Credentials: Certificates", [draft-ietf-stir-certificates-03](#) (work in progress), March 2016.



[I-D.ietf-stir-passport]

Wendt, C. and J. Peterson, "Persona Assertion Token", [draft-ietf-stir-passport-01](#) (work in progress), March 2016.

[I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis]

Peterson, J., Jennings, C., Rescorla, E., and C. Wendt, "Authenticated Identity Management in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", [draft-ietf-stir-rfc4474bis-08](#) (work in progress), March 2016.

## 5.2. Informative References

[I-D.lbaudoin-iemax]

Baudoin, L., Chuang, W., and N. Lidzborski, "Internationalized Electronic Mail Addresses in [RFC5280](#) / X.509 Certificates", [draft-lbaudoin-iemax-02](#) (work in progress), February 2016.

## Appendix A. Example Cert

The cert used to generat the examples is:

```
-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----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-----END CERTIFICATE-----
```

which contains

Certificate:

Data:



Version: 3 (0x2)

Serial Number:

d8:24:65:28:3c:54:d3:44

Signature Algorithm: sha256WithRSAEncryption

Issuer: C = CA, ST = Albrta, L = Calgary, OU = Fluffy

Validity

Not Before: May 2 22:51:26 2016 GMT

Not After : Apr 30 22:51:26 2026 GMT

Subject: C = CA, ST = Albrta, L = Calgary, OU = Fluffy

Subject Public Key Info:

Public Key Algorithm: rsaEncryption

Public-Key: (2048 bit)

Modulus:

00:a8:67:fb:dd:51:45:db:76:f6:3c:17:ad:4c:24:
02:d8:51:03:62:d0:ed:43:f9:6d:f1:32:f0:2a:49:
72:03:47:11:00:eb:ca:82:d0:26:64:10:b0:fd:07:
8b:9a:9f:e8:22:40:75:65:b7:fa:f9:9f:29:91:e1:
6e:c0:db:94:8f:22:54:23:21:7a:42:ab:fe:46:e9:
94:c2:d2:24:57:4d:12:48:3f:71:63:37:04:a0:55:
ba:a3:26:98:0a:dd:a6:48:bd:eb:64:e6:1e:43:b6:
83:e1:64:fa:7b:9b:9a:c0:7c:10:38:57:be:9f:93:
2c:ed:66:7f:59:93:ea:f2:14:5b:e5:39:59:54:de:
82:12:f3:f1:83:be:91:48:eb:4b:47:d6:f8:65:83:
da:5a:e0:10:cc:2f:1d:fb:94:78:1b:13:0f:8f:1e:
95:70:c3:3e:14:40:16:b0:79:f9:31:74:e3:86:39:
f8:5d:65:4b:88:aa:a4:3e:dd:d5:ed:ab:c3:f6:10:
56:b1:ce:4c:9a:2d:e5:3e:87:df:7b:d2:bd:51:86:
b5:36:6a:a8:32:9d:4c:38:42:18:84:9b:f7:9f:c8:
0d:84:8c:19:3e:bb:3a:82:52:94:6e:bf:54:3c:88:
eb:8d:d5:83:4b:57:90:43:76:37:e4:d6:06:ff:b3:
0d:3d

Exponent: 65537 (0x10001)

X509v3 extensions:

X509v3 Basic Constraints:

CA:FALSE

X509v3 Key Usage:

Digital Signature, Non Repudiation, Key Encipherment

X509v3 Subject Alternative Name:

URI:tel:14084219990

Signature Algorithm: sha256WithRSAEncryption

53:83:f9:ad:de:fc:ec:ee:94:ec:14:24:e0:34:0e:3d:bc:f6:
9e:f8:ce:08:3c:fa:a0:a9:fb:86:3f:3a:42:3e:1c:d8:62:79:
72:e4:48:99:62:84:9a:16:d6:09:26:25:00:e8:7c:27:6b:49:
fb:49:56:5d:2f:01:c4:c7:bb:8e:93:71:c7:11:d8:c0:c3:c4:
48:7f:8c:52:49:d8:ef:f8:85:83:0f:22:e5:e5:f2:d5:c7:23:
ea:9c:90:00:bd:0f:64:6c:e5:76:e6:cb:53:6d:b2:b3:d0:41:
17:57:f5:4a:7b:b0:39:6c:82:f1:73:67:be:25:42:a3:6a:b7:
df:d9:a0:04:7c:ef:26:93:e7:d2:56:c9:f9:0e:70:49:93:79:

Jennings

Expires November 10, 2016

[Page 11]

```

12:92:7f:28:19:a6:32:e5:3f:b9:1e:37:5a:9c:e7:d1:f5:92:
19:07:04:e2:ed:72:22:79:21:d4:ce:e0:3b:6b:1e:50:36:e8:
0b:12:05:03:3e:cb:ac:d4:10:71:af:90:5d:03:f1:f0:2f:4a:
54:ee:23:b8:fc:7b:31:d5:07:8d:05:7c:bf:df:8c:82:e2:7e:
4e:08:52:39:16:67:ce:07:e5:66:25:66:c3:9a:29:47:eb:eb:
81:ca:3d:f7:f4:88:b6:db:33:17:f6:3b:72:7b:f4:a8:e5:fe:
4f:1a:54:44

```

**Appendix B. Example Private Key**

The private key for the cert is:

```

-----BEGIN RSA PRIVATE KEY-----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-----END RSA PRIVATE KEY-----

```

Author's Address

Cullen Jennings  
Cisco Systems

Email: fluffy@iii.ca

