

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: April 21, 2012

YF. Ji
WW. Bian
HX. Wang
SG. Huang
BUPT
GY. Zhang
CATR
October 19, 2011

**Performance Measurement Metrics of Label Switched Path (LSP)
Establishment in Multi-Layer and Multi-Domain Networks
draft-jiyf-ccamp-lsp-02**

Abstract

As the increment of network scale, optical networks need to be partitioned into multi-layer and multi-domain networks for the purpose of better management. Meanwhile, as the variety of user requests, different LSPs need to be established. In order to meet different requirements of users, the LSP establishment performance is necessary to be measured in multi-layer and multi-domain networks. For this reason, typical performance measurement metrics need to be proposed. In this document, the LSP establishment delay and bit error ratio (BER), which are both as the performance measurement metrics, are illustrated, and the definition and methodologies are proposed.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 21, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the

document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	4
1.1.	Requirements Language	4
1.2.	Terminology	4
2.	Overview of the Performance Measurement Metrics of LSP Establishment	5
2.1.	Overview of the LSP Establishment Delay	5
2.2.	Overview of the LSP Establishment BER	5
3.	Motivation	6
4.	The LSP Establishment Delay in Multi-Layer and Multi-Domain Networks	7
4.1.	Measurement Metric Parameters	7
4.2.	Definition	7
4.2.1.	A Definition in Single Layer and Multi-Domain Networks	7
4.2.2.	A Definition in Multi-Layer and Multi-Domain Networks	8
4.2.3.	A Definition in Other Networks	10
4.3.	Discussion	10
5.	The LSP Establishment BER in Multi-Domain Networks	10
5.1.	General Assumptions	10
5.2.	Definition	11
6.	Methodologies	11
6.1.	Definition	11
6.2.	Methodologies	12
6.2.1.	The LSP Establishment Delay	12
6.2.2.	The LSP Establishment BER	12
7.	Protocol Extension Requirements	13
8.	Security Considerations	13
9.	Acknowledgments	13
10.	References	14
10.1.	Normative References	14
10.2.	Informative References	14
Appendix A.	Other Authors	15

Authors' Addresses [15](#)

1. Introduction

As the increment of network scale and the variety of user request, optical networks need to be partitioned into multi-layer and multi-domain networks for the purpose of better management and different LSPs need to be established in order to meet different requirements of users. To measure whether a LSP establishment meets a user requirement or not in multi-layer and multi-domain networks, some objective performance measurement metrics and methodologies are proposed, which are the delay and the BER in this document. In previous IETF documents, [RFC 5814](#) provided a series of performance metrics to evaluate the dynamic LSP provisioning performance in GMPLS networks, specifically the dynamic unidirectional and bidirectional LSP setup/release delay, while in this document, the measurement of LSP setup delay is extended into multi-layer and multi-domain networks and the path computation delay and the LSP setup BER are supplemented as the performance measurement metrics in the LSP establishment process.

This document defines the performance measurement metrics and methodologies that can be used to measure the LSP establishment quality in multi-layer and multi-domain networks.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

1.2. Terminology

BER: Bit Error Ratio.

BRPC: Backward-Recursive PCE-Based Computation.

GMPLS: Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching.

LID: Local Information Database.

LSP: Label Switched Path.

PCE: Path Computation Element.

VSPT: Virtual Shortest Path Tree.

2. Overview of the Performance Measurement Metrics of LSP Establishment

2.1. Overview of the LSP Establishment Delay

In the LSP establishment process, delay is considered as one of the main performance measurement metrics. In this document, the LSP establishment delay is divided into two parts, which are the path computation delay and the LSP setup delay.

In multi-layer and multi-domain networks, owing to the complexity of path computation, the PCE-based path computation scheme is considered. Furthermore, as the optimal inter-domain LSP can not be obtained from a per-domain way, so the BRPC method is considered to accomplish the inter-domain path computation in this document. The path computation delay is approximately defined from the time that source node sends the path computation request to the time that source node receives the optimal path computation result.

In multi-layer and multi-domain networks, the end-to-end LSP setup is considered in this document. In GMPLS networks, multiple LSPs that have different granularities are set up. Therefore, two typical LSP setup methods are employed: LSP nesting and LSP stitching. The LSP setup delay is approximately defined from the time that source node sends the LSP setup message to the time that source node receives the confirmation message of switch reversing function of all nodes.

2.2. Overview of the LSP Establishment BER

There is a possibility that the physical link is not established successfully after signaling accomplishes the LSP setup owing to the optical signal quality degradation, so in order to measure the LSP establishment performance more completely, the physical impairment parameter is also considered in this document. BER is the epitome of all of physical impairment parameters, so BER is considered as one of the main performance measurement metrics in the LSP establishment process.

In the measurement process of the LSP establishment BER, BRPC method is used for the path computation and end-to-end way is used for the LSP setup, and BER is evaluated in the LSP setup process. The approximate procedure is as follows: the signaling collects some physical parameter information from source node to destination node in the first place, and then the destination node evaluates the LSP establishment performance. If the computed BER meets the requirement of a user and is lower than specific threshold, then destination node returns Resv message to set up the LSP, otherwise, LSP setup fails.

3. Motivation

The LSP establishment delay in multi-layer and multi-domain networks is useful for several reasons:

- o Average LSP establishment delay is an important performance measurement metric that MAY reflect the scalability of a multi-layer and multi-domain network to a certain extent. Longer LSP establishment delay with the increasing numbers of domains and nodes or traffic loads will most likely show that the network scalability is not good, especially when the delay curve of LSP establishment surpass linear change with the increasing numbers of domains and nodes or traffic loads.
- o The LSP establishment delay is an important performance measurement metric that MAY reflect the LSP establishment quality in multi-layer and multi-domain networks. Longer LSP establishment delay will most likely show that the LSP establishment quality is not good.
- o The values of LSP establishment delay in the samples MAY serve as an early indicator to provide references on whether to accept a service request that has the stringent requirement of LSP establishment delay or not.

The LSP establishment BER in multi-domain networks is useful for several reasons:

- o The LSP establishment BER can decide whether the physical path is established successfully or not. If the values of LSP establishment BER are higher than specific threshold, then the physical path establishment still fails even though the LSP establishment succeeds.
- o The LSP establishment BER is an important performance measurement metric that MAY reflect the LSP establishment quality in multi-domain networks. Higher LSP establishment BER will most likely show that the LSP establishment quality is not good.
- o The values of LSP establishment BER in the samples MAY serve as an early indicator to provide references on whether to accept a service request that has the stringent requirement of LSP establishment BER or not.

4. The LSP Establishment Delay in Multi-Layer and Multi-Domain Networks

This section integrally defines a performance measurement metric named the LSP establishment delay in multi-layer and multi-domain networks.

4.1. Measurement Metric Parameters

- o ID0, the source node ID.
- o ID1, the destination node ID.
- o T0, a time when the path computation is attempted.
- o T1, a time when the LSP setup is attempted.

4.2. Definition

4.2.1. A Definition in Single Layer and Multi-Domain Networks

In single layer and multi-domain networks, the LSP establishment delay is collected from two parts: the path computation delay and the LSP setup delay.

The detailed path computation process from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is as follows: ID0 sends a Req message of path computation to the PCE which is responsible for the path computation of source domain. This request is forwarded between PCEs, domain-by-domain, until to the PCE which is responsible for the path computation of destination domain. The PCE in the destination domain computes a set of optimal paths from all of the domain ingress nodes to the destination node. This set is represented as a tree of potential paths called the VSPT, and the PCE in the destination domain passes it back to the previous PCE in a Rep message. Each PCE in turn adds the computed set of optimal paths to the VSPT and passes it back until the PCE in the source domain uses the VSPT to select an optimal end-to-end path from the tree, and returns the path to the source node. The above BRPC procedure makes an assumption that the sequence of domains is known in advance. The path computation delay from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is dT means that ID0 sends the Req message of path computation to the PCE which is responsible for the source domain at time T0, and that ID0 receives the path computation results from the PCE which is responsible for the source domain at time T0+ dT .

The detailed LSP setup process from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is as follows: ID0 sends the LSP setup message, which includes two steps: establishing the service layer and sending the

Path message, that is, ID0 firstly establishes a service layer through using signaling function, then ID0 sends Path message to determine an available wavelength until this Path message arrives at ID1. If the available wavelength exists, then ID1 returns Resv message to ID0 to reserve available resources and the switch reversing function of corresponding nodes is also carried out simultaneously, otherwise, PathErr message is returned to ID0. When a service layer exists, if any node which Path message traverses detects the unavailable service layer, then PathErr message is also returned to ID0. The LSP setup delay from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is dT means that ID0 sends the LSP setup message at time $T1$, and that ID0 receives the LSP confirmation message of switch reversing function of all nodes at time $T1+dT$.

The value of LSP establishment delay in single layer and multi-domain networks is a real number of milliseconds.

There is another case in which source node ID0 does not receive the optimal path computation result or the LSP confirmation message of switch reversing function of all nodes within a reasonable period of time, then the value of LSP establishment delay in this case is marked undefined.

4.2.2. A Definition in Multi-Layer and Multi-Domain Networks

In multi-layer and multi-domain networks, LSP can be established using the LSP nesting and stitching methods. In this process, the LSP establishment delay is collected from two parts: the path computation delay and the LSP setup delay.

The detailed path computation process from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is as follows: ID0 sends a Req message of path computation to the PCE which is responsible for the source domain. This request is forwarded between PCEs, domain-by-domain, until to the PCE which is responsible for the destination domain. The PCE in the destination domain computes a set of optimal paths from all of the domain ingress nodes to the destination node. This set is represented as a tree of potential paths called the VSPT, and the PCE in the destination domain passes it back to the previous PCE in a Rep message. Each PCE in turn adds the computed set of optimal paths to the VSPT and passes it back until the PCE in the source domain uses the VSPT to select an optimal end-to-end path from the tree, and returns the optimal path to ID0. The above BRPC procedure makes an assumption that the sequence of domains is known in advance. The path computation delay from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is dT means that ID0 sends the Req message of path computation to the PCE which is responsible for the source domain at time $T0$, and that ID0 receives the path computation results from the PCE which is

responsible for the source domain at time $T_0 + dT$.

The detailed LSP setup process from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is as follows: ID0 sends the LSP setup message, which includes two steps: determining if the service layer exists and sending the Path message, that is, ID0 firstly determines if service layers exist. If service layers exist, ID0 sends Path message to the next node to collect available wavelength resources, and the next node carries out the same function like ID0 until Path message arrives at ID1, which selects any available wavelength. If any available wavelength exists, ID1 returns Resv message to the ID0 in order to accomplish the process of resource reservation, and meanwhile, the switch reversing function of corresponding nodes are also carried out, otherwise, PathErr message is returned to the ID0. If the capacity of existing service layer is not fully occupied, then the fine granularity service that capacity is no more than remaining capacity of existing service layer can still be accepted in this service layer. If service layers do not exist, ID0 firstly establishes a service layer through using signaling function, then ID0 sends Path message to determine an available wavelength until this Path message arrives at destination node. If the available wavelength exists, then ID1 sends Resv message to ID0 to reserve available resources and the switch reversing function of corresponding nodes are also carried out simultaneously, otherwise, PathErr message is returned to ID0. When a service layer exists, if any node which Path message traverses detects the unavailable service layer, then PathErr message is also returned to ID0. If the capacity of new established service layer is not fully occupied, then the fine granularity service that capacity is no more than remaining capacity of new established service layer can still be accepted in this service layer. The complete LSP nesting and stitching processes can be obtained from [RFC 4206](#) and [RFC 5150](#), respectively. The LSP setup delay from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is dT means that ID0 sends the LSP setup message at time T_1 , and that ID0 receives the LSP confirmation message of switch reversing function of all nodes at time $T_1 + dT$.

The value of LSP establishment delay in multi-layer and multi-domain networks is a real number of milliseconds.

There is another case in which source node ID0 does not receive the optimal path computation result or the LSP confirmation message of switch reversing function of all nodes within a reasonable period of time, then the value of LSP establishment delay in this case is marked undefined.

4.2.3. A Definition in Other Networks

There are still two forms of other networks: single layer and single domain networks and multi-layer and single domain networks. The definition in single layer and single domain networks is similar to the definition in single layer and multi-domain networks, and the difference is that the inter-domain LSP establishment process in single layer and single domain networks is not considered. Correspondingly, the definition in multi-layer and single domain networks is similar to the definition in multi-layer and multi-domain networks, and the difference is that the inter-domain LSP establishment process in multi-layer and single domain networks is not considered.

The value of LSP establishment delay in single layer and single domain networks and multi-layer and single domain networks is a real number of milliseconds.

There is another case in which ID0 does not receive the optimal path computation result or the LSP confirmation message of switch reversing function of all nodes within a reasonable period of time, then the value of LSP establishment delay in this case is marked undefined.

4.3. Discussion

The reason that the LSP establishment delay is set to undefined not only lies in that source node ID0 never receives the corresponding reply message within a reasonable period of time, but also consists in that source node ID0 receives the PathErr message. There are many possible reasons for receiving the PathErr message, for example, the network does not have enough resources to establish the service layer for the user requests or the network element failure occurs.

5. The LSP Establishment BER in Multi-Domain Networks

This section integrally defines a performance measurement metric named the LSP establishment BER in multi-domain networks.

5.1. General Assumptions

- o ID0, the source node ID.
- o ID1, the destination node ID.
- o Every node has a LID which stores the node physical information.

- o Destination node has a performance evaluation module which can evaluate the LSP establishment BER through combining corresponding physical parameter information.

5.2. Definition

In the measurement process of the LSP establishment BER, whether the network is single domain or multi-domain, the evaluation method is the same, meanwhile, only the wavelength lightpath has physical parameters, so the single layer and multi-domain network is considered.

In multi-domain networks, the physical parameters are collected and measured in the LSP setup process, so only the LSP setup process is considered in this section.

The detailed LSP setup process from source node ID0 to destination node ID1 is as follows: ID0 firstly establishes a service layer through using signaling function, then ID0 sends Path message to determine an available wavelength until Path message arrives at ID1. Meanwhile, signaling message collects physical information of nodes and links. If the available wavelength exists and the computed BER by ID1 is within the tolerable range, then ID1 sends Resv message to ID0 to reserve available resources and the switch reversing function of corresponding nodes are also carried out simultaneously, otherwise, PathErr message is returned to ID0 and LSP setup fails. When the service layer exists, if any node which Path message traverses detects the unavailable service layer, then PathErr message is also returned to ID0 and LSP setup fails.

6. Methodologies

6.1. Definition

- o T0, a time when the path computation is attempted.
- o T1, a time when the LSP setup is attempted.
- o T2, a time when the optimal path computation result is returned.
- o T3, a time when the LSP confirm message of successful reservation is returned.

6.2. Methodologies

6.2.1. The LSP Establishment Delay

- o Make sure that the PCE has enough computation ability to compute the path that conforms to user request.
- o Make sure that the network has enough resources to establish the requested path.
- o At the source node, the Req message of path computation is formed. A timestamp (T_0) may be stored locally on the source node when the Req message of path computation is sent towards the PCE which is responsible for the source domain, and a timestamp (T_1) may be stored locally on the source node when the LSP setup message is sent.
- o If the corresponding end-to-end path computation results and the Resv message arrive at source node within a reasonable period of time, taking the timestamp (T_2) and timestamp (T_3) upon receipt of the messages. By subtracting the two timestamps, the estimation value of the delay of path computation ($T_2 - T_0$) and the delay of LSP setup ($T_3 - T_1$) can be computed.
- o If the corresponding end-to-end path computation results and the Resv message fail to arrive at source node within a reasonable period of time, the path computation delay and the LSP setup delay are considered to be undefined.
- o If the corresponding response is the PathErr message, then the path computation delay and the LSP setup delay are considered to be undefined.

6.2.2. The LSP Establishment BER

- o Make sure that the PCE has enough computation ability to compute the path that conforms to user request.
- o Make sure that the network has enough resources to establish the requested path.
- o In the path computation process, BRPC is used as the computation method.
- o In the LSP setup process, when Path message arrives at the destination node, the destination node computes the BER through combining the corresponding physical parameter information which is collected from the traversing nodes and links. If the computed

BER is within the tolerable range, then Resv message is returned to the source node.

- o If the computed BER is outside the tolerable range, then the PathErr message is returned to the source node and the LSP establishment fails.

7. Protocol Extension Requirements

- o In the measurement process of the LSP establishment delay, the start time of LSP establishment and the stop time need to be determined using corresponding protocol. In the path computation process, a new object that includes time stamp needs to be added in routing protocol in order to record the start time of path computation and the stop time of path computation; In the process of LSP setup, a new object that includes time stamp needs to be added in signaling protocol in order to record the start time of LSP setup and the stop time of LSP setup.
- o In the measurement process of the LSP establishment BER, the physical information of nodes and links needs to be collected using signaling protocol, and BER is evaluated in the destination node through combining corresponding physical parameter information, so a new object that includes network physical parameters needs to be added in signaling protocol in order to collect the physical information of nodes and links.

8. Security Considerations

This document involves some information collection about network physical parameters. Such information would need to be protected from intentional or unintentional disclosure.

9. Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Yongli Zhao, Linna Xia, Haoyuan Lin, Hongrui Han for their comments and help.

The RFC text was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3473] Berger, L., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Resource ReserVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions", [RFC 3473](#), January 2003.
- [RFC3945] Eric, M., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Architecture", [RFC 3945](#), October 2004.
- [RFC4206] Kompella, K. and Y. Rekhter, "Label Switched Path (LSP) Hierarchy with Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Traffic Engineering (TE)", [RFC 4206](#), October 2005.
- [RFC4655] Farrel, A., Vasseur, J., and J. Ash, "A Path Computation Element (PCE)-Based Architecture", [RFC 4655](#), August 2006.
- [RFC5150] Ayyangar, A., Kompella, K., Vasseur, JP., and A. Farrel, "Label Switched Path Stitching with Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching Traffic Engineering (GMPLS TE)", [RFC 5150](#), February 2008.
- [RFC5440] Vasseur, J. and JL. Le Roux, "Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol (PCEP)", [RFC 5440](#), March 2009.
- [RFC5441] Vasseur, J., Zhang, R., Bitar, N., and JL. Le Roux, "A Backward-Recursive PCE-Based Computation (BRPC) Procedure to Compute Shortest Constrained Inter-Domain Traffic Engineering Label Switched Paths", [RFC 5441](#), April 2009.
- [RFC5814] Sun, W. and G. Zhang, "Label Switched Path (LSP) Dynamic Provisioning Performance Metrics in Generalized MPLS Networks", [RFC 5814](#), March 2010.

10.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-ccamp-wson-impairments]
Lee, Y., Bernstein, G., Li, D., and G. Martinelli, "The Application of the Path Computation Element Architecture to the Determination of a Sequence of Domains in MPLS & GMPLS", July 2010.
- [Interdomain-LSP]
Aslam, F., Uzmi, ZA., and A. Farrel, "Interdomain Path Computation: Challenges and Solutions for Label Switched

Networks", IEEE Communications Magazine, October 2007.

[RFC5212] Shiimoto, K., Papadimitriou, D., Le Roux, J.L., Vigoureu, M., and D. Brungard, "Requirements for GMPLS-Based Multi-Region and Multi-Layer Networks (MRN/MLN)", [RFC 5212](#), July 2008.

[Appendix A](#). Other Authors

1. Min Zhang

BUPT

No.10,Xitucheng Road,Haidian District

Beijing 100876

P.R.China

Phone: +8613910621756

Email: mzhang@bupt.edu.cn

URI: <http://www.bupt.edu.cn/>

2. Yunbin Xu

CATR

No.52 Hua Yuan Bei Lu,Haidian District

Beijing 100083

P.R.China

Phone: ++8613681485428

Email: xuyunbin@mail.ritt.com.cn

URI: <http://www.bupt.edu.cn/>

Authors' Addresses

Yuefeng Ji
BUPT
No.10,Xitucheng Road,Haidian District
Beijing 100876
P.R.China

Phone: +8613701131345
Email: jyf@bupt.edu.cn
URI: <http://www.bupt.edu.cn>

Weiwei Bian
BUPT
No.10,Xitucheng Road,Haidian District
Beijing 100876
P.R.China

Phone: +8615210837998
Email: bianweiwei2008@163.com
URI: <http://www.bupt.edu.cn/>

Hongxiang Wang
BUPT
No.10,Xitucheng Road,Haidian District
Beijing 100876
P.R.China

Phone: +8613683683550
Email: wanghx@bupt.edu.cn
URI: <http://www.bupt.edu.cn/>

Shanguo Huang
BUPT
No.10,Xitucheng Road,Haidian District
Beijing 100876
P.R.China

Phone: +86 1062282048
Email: shghuang@bupt.edu.cn
URI: <http://www.bupt.edu.cn/>

Guoying Zhang

CATR

No.52 Hua Yuan Bei Lu, Haidian District

Beijing 100083

P.R.China

Phone: +86 1062300103

Email: zhangguoying@mail.ritt.com.cn

URI: <http://www.catr.cn/>