

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: January 23, 2020

E. Lear  
Cisco Systems  
July 22, 2019

**Meeting Modalities for the Future**  
**draft-lear-we-gotta-to-stop-meeting-like-this-00**

Abstract

The IETF currently meets three times per year in various parts of the world. Somewhere around 1,000 people all get into planes, consume carbon, and then attend various meetings in what often is a jetlagged stupor. We gotta to stop meeting like this. This draft calls on the LLC to research on the community's behalf new modalities for IETF face-to-face meetings.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 23, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Why We Meet</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	<a href="#">The Negatives</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Finding alternatives</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Changes from Earlier Versions</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
	<a href="#">Author's Address</a>	<a href="#">5</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

For the last few decades, the Internet Engineering Task Force has brought together between 900 and 2,000 engineers and support staff from various points around the globe to various points around the globe, three times per year. This, despite the fact that we're supposed to be the people who design, maintain, and showcase the latest Internet technologies.

There are both positive and negative impacts on in-person meetings.

### [1.1.](#) Why We Meet

[I-D.ietf-mtgvenue-iaoc-venue-selection-process] explains in great detail why we as an organization meet in person. The largest positive impact is that we are able to work together in a collegial way to accomplish tasks in person that for whatever reason could not be accomplished via other means.

Also, as perhaps is demonstrated by societies more broadly, there is a need for people to establish relationships so that people can more recognize each other as people, rather than just as bits on the wire.

We also meet to cross-fertilize between efforts, so that transport people can provide application discussions, and security people can help the rest of us to develop secure protocols.

Finally, we meet to test interoperability and capabilities in "Hackathons", where the focus is on coding in a social context. If code is law, this is law being made.

Lear

Expires January 23, 2020

[Page 2]

## **1.2. The Negatives**

Due to the number of working groups meeting, each working group often gets between one and three hours to meet, and no more. Unless the value to a person is the hallway conversations, if someone's primary task is to advance work in one or two working groups, that person has travelled a long way for a very limited amount of face time.

- o The cost of bringing us together on individuals and sponsors can range from US \$2,000 to \$5,000 per person, when considering registration, food, travel, and hotel costs. The costs are even greater for those who live in remote locations.
- o People who cannot travel, either because they cannot afford the costs or the time away, are put at a disadvantage to those who can.
- o The environment takes a pretty big hit. While we all have to eat, wherever we are, and we all have to sleep, we don't all have to travel to get to where we eat and sleep. For most of us who will have traveled to Montreal will have generated between sixty and 120 kilograms of CO<sub>2</sub>, and that's before we generate hot air in our meetings. And when we do get to these meetings, hotels themselves are generally not as good at managing their environmental impact as individuals are. Specifically, it is often difficult to separate trash, lots of plastic is used for drinks, and we generally use more energy.

We gotta to stop meeting like this.

## **2. Finding alternatives**

As I just mentioned, it is not possible to eliminate in-person meetings. However, it may well be possible to reduce our plenary face to face meetings from three times per year to two times per year, and at the same time improve productivity.

And so, a number of alternatives should be considered, with an eye toward eliminating one of our three in-person meetings per year:

- o Simply eliminate one meeting. We try to do our work with two meetings per year.
- o Replace one meeting with several different smaller meetings in which working groups are grouped together based on likely common interest and attendance.



- o Replace one meeting with one or more optional F2F meetings for individual working groups.
- o Replace one meeting with a virtual plenary meeting.
- o A combination of some of these or others.

To determine what is best, the LLC is requested, in consultation with the IESG to develop and present to the community an analysis of available options to change our meeting structure, with an eye toward improving productivity at meetings, reducing our impact on the planet, and maintaining financial health of the organization.

This is not intended to be a short affair, but one where the LLC is encouraged to bring in appropriate expertise, consider what information they have, what information they need, collect it, analyze it, and bring it back to the community for our consideration. This memo does not propose particular solutions quite simply because it is already recognized that substantial legwork needs to be performed before any particular experiment can be proposed. It is hoped that the positives mentioned will be preserved and improved through this exercise.

As part of this effort, the LLC should ask venues what their environmental footprint is and how they calculate it. It should be a requirement for selection that venues answer these questions, as a desirable feature under [\[I-D.ietf-mtgvenue-iaoc-venue-selection-process\]](#).

Once the LLC has presented the analysis, the community is called upon to consider and discuss the options. The IESG and LLC are called upon to facilitate those discussions, to bring them to a productive outcome, from which next steps can be take. Those next steps could include one or more experiments, or nothing at all if the community cannot then come to a consensus.

### **[3.](#) Security Considerations**

None.

### **[4.](#) IANA Considerations**

None.



## **5. Changes from Earlier Versions**

Draft -00:

- o Initial revision

## **6. Normative References**

[I-D.ietf-mtgvenue-iaoc-venue-selection-process]  
Lear, E., "IETF Plenary Meeting Venue Selection Process",  
[draft-ietf-mtgvenue-iaoc-venue-selection-process-16](#) (work  
in progress), June 2018.

### Author's Address

Eliot Lear  
Cisco Systems  
Richtistrasse 7  
Wallisellen CH-8304  
Switzerland

Phone: +41 44 878 9200

Email: [lear@cisco.com](mailto:lear@cisco.com)



