	Internet Draft	Jiankang Yao,
Editor		
	Draft-Lee-JET-IMA-00.txt	
CNNIC	1 07 0005	
Editor	June 27, 2005	Jeff Yeh
EUILOR	Expires: December 27,2005	
TWNIC		

# 

# Status of this Memo

that any	By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents
	applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is
aware	have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she
becomes	aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP
<u>79</u> .	aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>occline or bor</u>
	Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet
Engineering	Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note
that	other groups may also distribute working documents as
Internet-Drafts.	
six months at any reference	Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of
	and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents
	time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as
	material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".
at	The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html">http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html</a>
	The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed
	http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html
	This Internet-Draft expires on December 27, 2005.

	An email address has two parts - local part and domain part - separated by "@" sign. This document describes a basic	
solution to		
	internationalized email address (IMA) and includes some	
preliminary		
	survey results. The proposed solution enables SMTP servers to	
support		
	IMA. The solution discussed in this document is immediately deployable by interested parties without affecting or	
breaking any		
	other existing systems.	
	Document Conventions	

JET

Expires - December 2005

[Page 1]

IMA

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119]. **1**. Introduction to IMA In order to use internationalized email addresses, we need to internationalize both domain part and local part of email address. Domain part of email addresses had been internationalized through IDNA [<u>RFC3490</u>]. But the local part of email address still remains as non internationalized. At present, the use of Internet email address is restricted to a subset of 7-bit ASCII [RFC2821][RFC2822]. The MIME extensions provides a mechanism for the transmission of non-ASCII data that were previously unsupported in Internet mail. But it does not provide the mechanism for internationalized email address. [RFC2047] defines the message header extension for non ASCII 8-bit MIME messages. However, it does not address the issue if email addresses include non-ASCII characters. Anticipating the need to use the internationalized email address, the SMTP protocol should be extended to provide the transport mechanism for the internationalized email address. The length restrict to the local part in the section of RFC 2822 may need to be updated. 2. Problem statement Internationalized Domain Name (IDN) was standardized 2 years ago (2003) and several registries started to accept IDN

registrations and

the name resolutions. While the take-up of IDN varies, there is a strong demand for IDN in the regions where English is not their native language.

Particularly in the CJK community, we noticed that registrants of IDN often enquired about if they could use Internationalized eMail Address (IMA) too. Unfortunately, while the domain name portion of the Email address could use IDN standards, there are no standards to internationalize the local-part (left hand side of the "@" mark). On the other hand, we envisage strong demands for IMA when IDN becomes popular. IMA will also promote the deployment of IDN. Several solutions for IMA have been deployed, e.g., in China (35.com, zzy.cn, bizcn.com, ce.net.cn, dns.com.cn and topbiz.cn), but the lack of open and interoperable standards means that users of one system could not (reliably) communicate with users of another system. Therefore, the Internet community would benefit from the development of an open and interoperable IETF IMA standard.

JET Expires - December 2005

[Page 2]

IMA

# <u>3</u>. Requirements

	Any IMA solution should qualify the following requirements:
	3.1 Short term (2-5 years) solution
adopted also should	The solution should not extend too long, so that IMA can be
	as soon as possible by interested companies. The solution
	be easily deployable, so that IMA can be easily deployed by
most	interested organizations during 2-5 years if they wish to.
	3.2 Backward compatible with the existing standards
corvices Apy	The email service is one of the most important Internet
services. Any	updating to Internet protocols should not interfere with the operation of the Internet. The IMA solution should not break
the base	of the email service and be backward with the existing email standards.
	3.3 Internationalized solution (over localized solution)
localized	The solution should be an internationalized one rather than
iocarizeu	one.
<u>4</u> .	Architecture
into two	Solving the problem of IMA is not easy. We should divide it
which	phases. In the first phase, we consider the ACE@ACE solution,
	is easy to implement, backward compatible, short-term and internationalized solution. In the next phase, we may
consider other	mechanisms such as UTF-8@ACE. In the ACE@ACE solution, the
local part	of the IMA will be converted to ASCII Compatible Encoding;
IDNA	( <u>RFC3490</u> ) will be applied to the domain part of the IMA. In
this	draft, we mainly focus on the ACE@ACE solution.

4.1 Encoding

A good ACE converting algorithm should be considered according to the following criteria: Popularity Length of the encoded name Implementation easiness Produce valid email address Case sensitivity Impact on existing protocol 4.2 Normalization (IMAprep)

JET

Expires - December 2005

[Page 3]

IMA

dealing internationalized	There are profiles for Stringprep such as Nameprep[RFC3491]
	with the IDN preparation and Nodeprep[RFC3920] for
part of	node identifiers. IMAprep is introduced to prepare the local
[Appendix	IMA. IMAprep is a profile of Stringprep [ <u>RFC3454</u> ]. IMAprep
whole email	A] is used to process only the local part of IMA, not the
needed.	address. In IMAprep, no normalization and no case folding are
details	And there must be a prohibited list, but we will not discuss
UELALIS	of IMAprep in this draft.
	4.3 Mail Delivery Agent (MDA)
delivery of	MDA is a part of mail servers, which are responsible for
server.	mails to local mail spool or sending out to another mail
while it	Usually, IMA is represented in the format of UTF-8 in a host
over the	should be converted into ACE format while being transported
local	wire. There are various unofficial conventions for structured
user, etc.	parts, like owner-listname, user+tag, sublocal.local, path!
format, it	When internationalized local part being converted into ACE
convert	actually causes some problems. Therefore, MDA may need to
encoding) for	internationalized local part back to UTF8 (or original
	further mailing processing.
	4.4 Prefix
that	Since the prefix "xn" had been used for IDNA, it is better
to	other prefix such as "bq" is used for the local part of IMA
	avoid of potential confusion.

**<u>5</u>**. Deployment

Email is an important and popular internet service. Any new deployments of SMTP servers which support IMA should not disturb the running of current email system. Since all the SMTP servers around the world can not support IMA immediately, ACE@ACE solution would be the most harmless solution to implement and deploy. **<u>6</u>**. Potential problems 6.1 Impact to IRI The mailto: schema in IRI [<u>RFC3987</u>] may need to be modified when IMA is standardlized. 6.2 POP and IMAP While SMTP takes care of the transportation of messages and the header fields correspond to the display management by the clients, POP essentially handles the retrieval of mail objects from the server by a client. In order to use internationalized user names based on IMA for the retrieval of messages from a mail server using the POP JET Expires - December 2005

[Page 4]

Internet draft

IMA

P0P3

email.

extension mechanism [RFC 2449]. IMAP uses the traditional user name which is based on ASCII. IMAP should be updated to support the internationalized user names based on IMA for the retrieval of messages from a mail server

protocol, a new capability should be introduced following the

#### 7. Security Considerations

There have been discussions on so called "IDN-spoofing". IDN homograph attacks allow an attacker/phisher to spoof the domain/URLs of businesses. The same kind of attack is also possible on the local part of internationalized email addresses.

IMA can also introduce new email spamming. Many local parts of IMA will be the names of the person or company, which could easily be used by email spammer to guess the email address to produce the rubbish emails.

Email spamming may combine with email spoofing and homograph attacks, making it more difficult to determine who actually sent the

Any solution that meets the requirements in this document must not be less secure than the current Email Service. Specifying requirements for internationalized email addresses does not itself raise any new security issues. However, any change to the email service may affect the security of any protocol that uses the email address. A thorough needed when they are developed.

8. References

[RFC1869] Klensin, J., Freed, N., Rose, M., Stefferud, E.,

Crocker, D.,	
	"SMTP Service Extensions", <u>RFC 1869</u> , November 1995.
	[ <u>RFC2047</u> ] Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail
Extensions)	
	Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text",
<u>RFC 2047</u> ,	
	November 1996.
	[ <u>RFC2449</u> ] R. Gellens, C. Newman, L. Lundblade, "POP3
Extension	
	Mechanism" <u>RFC2449</u> November 1998
	[ <u>RFC2821</u> ] Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", <u>RFC</u>
<u>2821</u> ,	
	April 2001.
	[ <u>RFC2822</u> ] Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format", <u>RFC 2822</u> ,
April	
	2001.
	[ <u>RFC3454</u> ] P. Hoffman, M. Blanchet, "Preparation of
Internationalized	
	Strings ("stringprep")" <u>RFC3454</u> December 2002
	[RFC3490] Faltstrom, P., Hoffman, P. and A. Costello,
0.400	"Internationalizing Domain Names in Applications (IDNA)", <u>RFC</u>
<u>3490</u> ,	Norsch 2000
	March 2003.
Profile	[ <u>RFC3491</u> ] P. Hoffman , M. Blanchet, "Nameprep: A Stringprep
Profille	for Internationalized Domain Names" RFC3491 March 2003
	TOT INCERNALIZED DOMAIN NAMES <u>REC3491</u> March 2003
JE	T Expires - December 2005
[Page 5]	

Internet draft	IMA	June 2005
Unicode	[RFC3492] Costello, A., "Punyco	ode: A Bootstring encoding of
<u>RFC 3492</u> ,	for Internationalized Domain Na	ames in Applications (IDNA)",
<u>···· • • • • • •</u> ,	March 2003.	- two formation format of TCO
10646",	[ <u>RFC3629</u> ] Yergeau, F.,"UTF-8, a	a transformation format of 150
	<u>RFC 3629</u> , November 2003. [ <u>RFC3920</u> ] P. Saint-Andre, "Exte	ensible Messaging and Presence
Protocol	(XMPP): Core", RFC3920 October	2004
		rd, "Internationalized Resource

### 9. Authors

Xiaodong LEE (lee@cnnic.cn)
China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC)

Nai-Wen Hsu (snw@twnic.net.tw) Taiwan Network Information Center (TWNIC)

Yoshiro YONEYA yone@jprs.co.jp Japan Registry Services, Co. Ltd

YangWoo Ko (yw@mrko.pe.kr) Korea Network Information Center

James Seng (james@seng.cc) Former co-chair of IETF IDN Working Group

Editors

Jiankang YAO (yaojk@cnnic.cn)
China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC)

Jeff Yeh (jeff@twnic.net.tw) Taiwan Network Information Center (TWNIC)

#### **10**. Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of:

\* John C Klensin for his discussion with us in 62nd IETF

	his internet draft about IMA	
	* Paul Hoffman and Adam M. Costello for their internet draft	
about		
	IMA	

[Page 6]

JET

Expires - December 2005

Internet draft	IMA	June 2005
	<u>Appendix A</u> : IMAprep	
define our	Conclusion: no normalization,	but there still prep needed,
	own prep for the email local p	part
	our own prep:	
	no normalization	
	no case folding	
meeting )	pronibited list	(discussed later after
	local part ??problem:	
	No RFC standards define	this part
	The MDA must support int	ternationalized local part,
anyway		
	No use of ACE deals the	mail processing, so it should
be	converted back to UTF8, then b	pe dealt with the mail
processing		

JET

Expires - December 2005

[Page 7]

June 2005

Intellectual Property Statement

IMA

	The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope
of any	Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be
claimed to	pertain to the implementation or use of the technology
described in	this document or the extent to which any license under such
rights	might or might not be available; nor does it represent that
it has	made any independent effort to identify any such rights.
Information	
be	on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can
	found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u> . Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and
any	assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of
an	attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for
the use of	such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this
repository at	specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR
	<u>http://www.ietf.org/ipr</u> . The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its
attention	any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other
proprietary	
implement	rights that may cover technology that may be required to
ietf-	this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at
	ipr@ietf.org.
	Disclaimer of Validity
provided on	This document and the information contained herein are
	an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY
AND THE	
EXPRESS OR	INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES,

IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

# Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in <u>BCP 78,</u> and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

JET

Expires - December 2005

[Page 8]