

Network Working Group  
Internet Draft

Young Lee  
Huawei

Intended status: Informational

Sergio Belotti  
Alcatel-Lucent

Expires: January 2016

Dhruv Dhody  
Huawei

Daniele Ceccarelli  
Ericsson

Bin Young Yun  
ETRI

July 2, 2015

## **Information Model for Abstraction and Control of Transport Networks**

[draft-leebelotti-teas-actn-info-00.txt](#)

### **Abstract**

This draft provides an information model for abstraction and control of transport networks.

### **Status of this Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 2, 2015.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1. Introduction.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2. ACTN Applications.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
2.1.1. Coordination of Multi-destination Service Requirement/Policy.....	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.1.2. Application Service Policy-aware Network Operation...</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
2.1.3. Network Function Virtualization Service Enabled Connectivity.....	<a href="#">9</a>
2.1.4. Dynamic Service Control Policy Enforcement for Performance and Fault Management.....	<a href="#">10</a>
2.1.5. E2E VN Survivability and Multi-Layer (Packet-Optical) Coordination for Protection/Restoration.....	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">3. ACTN common interfaces information model.....</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">4. References.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">4.1. Informative References.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">5. Contributors.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
Contributors' Addresses.....	<a href="#">18</a>
Authors' Addresses.....	<a href="#">18</a>

## [1. Introduction](#)

This draft provides information model for the requirements identified in the ACTN requirements [[ACTN-Req](#)] and the ACTN



interfaces identified in the ACTN architecture and framework document [[ACTN-Frame](#)].

The ACTN reference architecture identified a three-tier control hierarchy as depicted in Figure 1:

- Customer Network Controllers (CNC)
- Multi-Domain Service Coordinator (MDSC)
- Physical Network Controllers (PNC).




Figure 1. A Three-tier ACTN control hierarchy

The two interfaces with respect to the MDSC, one north of the MDSC and the other south of the MDSC are referred to as CMI (CNC-MDSC Interface) and MPI (MDSC-PNC Interface), respectively. It is intended to model these two interfaces with one common model.

## 2. ACTN Applications

This section provides the scope of the ACTN applicability to support the following applications identified in [[ACTN-Req](#)].



- Coordination of Multi-destination Service Requirement via Service Policy ([Section 2.2.1](#))
- Application Service Policy-aware Network Operation ([section 2.2.2](#))
- Network Function Virtualization Service Enabled Connectivity (2.2.3)
- Dynamic Service Control Policy Enforcement for Performance/Fault Management ([Section 2.2.4](#))
- E2E VN Survivability and Multi-Layer (Packet-Optical) Coordination for Protection/Restoration ([Section 2.2.5](#))







destination applications refer to applications in which the selection of the destination of a network path for a given source needs to be decided dynamically to support such applications.

Data Center selection problems arise for VM mobility, disaster recovery and load balancing cases. VN's service policy plays an important role for virtual network operation. Service policy can be static or dynamic. Dynamic service policy for data center selection may be placed as a result of utilization of data center resources supporting VNs. The MSDC would then incorporate this information to meet the service objective of this application.





This scenario is similar to the previous case in that the VN service policy for the application can be met by a set of multiple destinations that provide the required virtual network functions (VNF). Virtual network functions can be, for example, security functions required by the VN application. The VN service policy by the CNC would indicate the locations of a certain VNF that can be fulfilled. This policy information is critical in finding the optimal network path subject to this constraint. As VNFs can be dynamically moved across different DCs, this policy should be dynamically enforced from the CNC to the MDSC and the PNCs.

### 2.1.3. Network Function Virtualization Service Enabled Connectivity




Figure 4: Network Function Virtualization Service Enabled Connectivity



Network Function Virtualization Services are usually setup between customers' premises and service provider premises and are provided mostly by cloud providers or content delivery providers. The context may include, but not limited to a security function like firewall, a traffic optimizer, the provisioning of storage or computation capacity where the customer does not care whether the service is implemented in a given data center or another.

These services may be hosted virtually by the provider or physically part of the network. This allows the service provider to hide his own resources (both network and data centers) and divert customer requests where most suitable. This is also known as "end points mobility" case and introduces new concepts of traffic and service provisioning and resiliency (e.g., Virtual Machine mobility).

#### **2.1.4. Dynamic Service Control Policy Enforcement for Performance and Fault Management**




Figure 5: Dynamic Service Control for Performance and Fault Management

Figure 5 shows the flow of dynamic service control policy enforcement for performance and fault management initiated by customer per their VN. The feedback loop and filtering mechanism tailored for VNs performed by the MDSC differentiates this ACTN

scope from traditional network management paradigm. VN level dynamic OAM data model is a building block to support this capability.



#### **2.1.1.5. E2E VN Survivability and Multi-Layer (Packet-Optical) Coordination for Protection/Restoration**




Figure 6: E2E VN Survivability and Multi-layer Coordination for Protection and Restoration



Figure 6 shows the need for E2E protection/restoration control coordination that involves CNC, MDSC and PNCs to meet the VN survivability requirement. VN survivability requirement and its policy need to be translated into multi-domain and multi-layer network protection and restoration scenarios across different controller types. After an E2E path is setup successfully, the MDSC has a unique role to enforce policy-based flexible VN survivability requirement by coordinating all PNC domains.

As seen in Figure 6, multi-layer (i.e., packet/optical) coordination is a subset of this E2E protection/restoration control operation. The MDSC has a role to play in determining an optimal protection/restoration level based on the customer's VN survivability requirement. For instance, the MDSC needs to interface the PNC for packet core as well as the PNC for optical core and enforce protection/restoration policy as part of the E2E protection/restoration. Neither the PNC for packet core nor the PNC for optical core is in a position to be aware of the E2E path and its protection/restoration situation. This role of the MDSC is unique for this reason. In some cases, the MDSC will have to determine and enforce optical bypass to find a feasible reroute path upon packet core network failure which cannot be resolved the packet core network itself.

To coordinate this operation, the PNCs will need to update its domain level abstract topology upon resource changes due to a network failure or other factors. The MDSC will incorporate all these update to determine if an alternate E2E reroute path is necessary or not based on the changes reported from the PNCs. It will need to update the E2E abstract topology and the affected CN's VN topology in real-time. This refers to dynamic synchronization of topology from Physical topology to abstract topology to VN topology.

MDSC will also need to perform the path restoration signaling to the affected PNCs whenever necessary.

### **3. ACTN common interfaces information model**

This section provides ACTN common interface information model to support primitives between controllers: CNC-MDSC and MDSC-PNC.

The basic primitives are required between the controllers. It is described between a client controller and a server controller. A client-server relationship is recursive between a CNC and a MDSC and between a MDSC and a PNC. In the CMI interface, the client is a CNC



while the server is a MDSC. In the MPI interface, the client is a MDSC and the server is a PNC. At a minimum, the following primitives should be supported:

- Virtual Network (VN) Instantiate/Modify/Delete
- VN Topology Update (Push Model)

<VN> ::= <VN Identifier>

<VN Action>

<End-Point List>

<VN Topology Metric>

<Traffic-Matrix>

<VN Survivability>

<VN Status>

<VN Topology>

Where

<VN Identifier> is an identifier that identifies a particular VN.

<VN Action> is an indicator if this <VN> is for (i) instantiate, (ii) modify; (iii) delete. There may be a case where a query of a VN is necessary before an instantiate request. This is subject to further investigation.

<End-Point List> ::= (<Interface Identifier>

[<Client Capability>])...

<Location Service Profile>

<End-Point Dynamic Selection Policy>

Where

It is assumed that a list of interface identifiers has been known to the server prior to the VN Query message flow.

<Client Capability> ::= <Client Interface Capability>  
[<Client-specific Policy>]

The Client Capability comprises the client interface capability (e.g., maximum interface bandwidth, etc.) and other client-specific policy information.

<Client-specific Policy> ::= <End-Client Policy> |  
<Network-Client Policy>

Where

<End-Client Policy> pertains to end-client policies which specify the end-client related service/operational policies. Details of this field will be supplied in a later revision.

<Network-Client Policy> pertains to the policies related to multi-domain network operation assumed by the MDSC. For example, domain selection preference in the context of multi-domain networks is a network-client policy. Details of this field will be supplied in a later revision.

<Location Service Profile> describes the End-Point Location's support for certain Virtual Network Functions (VNFs) (e.g., security function, firewall capability, etc.).

<End-Point Dynamic Selection Policy> describes if the End-Point can support load balancing, disaster recovery or VM migration.

<VN Topology Metric> ::= <VN Topology Type>  
<VN Topology Cost>

[<VN Topology Preference>]

[<VN Topology Objective Function>]

Where

<VN Topology Type> ::= <Path Vector> | <Graph>

<VN Topology Cost> describes a particular cost associated with the VN Topology link/path such as reservable bandwidth, maximum link/path capacity, latency, etc.

<VN Topology Preference> describes if the request is

- . a single vs. a bulk request,
- . VN diversity preference (in case of a bulk request, whether VNs should be disjoint or not),
- . SRLG is required in describing link/path topology, or
- . Others TBD.

<VN Topology Objective Function> indicates a specific objective function for computing a path vector. This only applies when the VN Topology Type is a path vector.

<Traffic-Matrix> ::= <End-Point List>

<Connectivity Type>

<Connectivity Metric>

Where

<Connectivity Type> ::= <P2P> | <P2MP> | <MP|MP> | <MP|P>

<Multi-destination>

<Connectivity Metric> ::= <Bandwidth>

[<Latency>]

<VN Survivability> ::= <VN Protection Level>

<VN Survivability Policy>

Where

<VN Protection Level> ::= <No Protection> | <1+1> | <1:N>

<VN Survivability Policy> ::= <Local Reroute Allowed>

[<Domain Preference>]

<Push Allowed>

<Incremental Update>

Where

<Local Reroute Allowed> is a delegation policy to the Server to allow or not a local reroute fix upon a failure of the primary LSP.

<Domain Preference> is only applied on the MPI where the MDSC (client) provides a domain preference to each PNC (server).

<Push Allowed> is a policy that allows a server to trigger an updated VN topology upon failure without an explicit request from the client.

<Increment Update> is another policy that triggers an increment update from the server.

<VN Status> is the status indicator whether the VN has been successfully instantiated/modified/deleted in the server network or not in response to <VN Action>.

<VN Topology> describes the resulting VN topology. Details of <VN Topology> are TBD.

<VN Connectivity Topology> describes the instantiated VN property. Details are TBD.



## **4. References**

### 4.1. Informative References

[ACTN-Req] Y. Lee, et al., "Requirements for Abstraction and Control of Transport Networks", [draft-lee-teas-actn-requirements-00.txt](#), work in progress.

[ACTN-Frame] D. Ceccarelli, et al., "Framework for Abstraction and Control of Transport Networks", [draft-ceccarelli-teas-actn-framework](#), work in progress.

## **5. Contributors**

### Contributors' Addresses

#### Authors' Addresses

Young Lee  
Huawei Technologies  
5340 Legacy Drive  
Plano, TX 75023, USA  
Phone: (469)277-5838  
Email: leeyoung@huawei.com

Sergio Belotti  
Alcatel Lucent  
Via Trento, 30  
Vimercate, Italy  
Email: sergio.belotti@alcatel-lucent.com

Dhruv Dhoddy  
Huawei Technologies  
Email: dhruv.ietf@gmail.com

Daniele Ceccarelli  
Ericsson  
Torshamnsgatan, 48  
Stockholm, Sweden  
Email: daniele.ceccarelli@ericsson.com

Bin Young

ETRI

Email: byyun@etri.re.kr