Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: June 15, 2020

L. Li
Huawei
H. Chen
Futurewei
C. Loibl
Next Layer Communications
Y. Fan
Casa Systems
Y. Zhu
China Telecom
L. Liu
Fujitsu

Z. Li

X. Liu Volta Networks December 13, 2019

BGP Flow Specification for SRv6 draft-li-idr-flowspec-srv6-02

Abstract

This document proposes extensions to BGP Flow Specification for SRv6 for filtering SRv6 packets that match a sequence of conditions.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 15, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Intr	roduct	tion																		2
<u>2</u> .	Defi	initi	ons ai	nd A	cro	nyr	ns														3
<u>3</u> .	The	Flow	Speca	ific	ati	.on	En	cod	dir	ng	fo	r	SF	Rv6	<u> </u>						_
3	<u>.1</u> .	Туре	TBD1	- W	hol	.e s	SID														_
3	<u>. 2</u> .	Туре	TBD2	- S	ome	b:	its	01	fS	SIE)										5
<u>4</u> .	Secu	urity	Cons	ider	ati	ons	S .														6
<u>5</u> .	IANA	A Cons	sidera	atio	ns																6
<u>6</u> .	Ackr	nowled	dgmen	ts .																	7
<u>7</u> .	Refe	erence	es .																		7
7	<u>.1</u> .	Norma	ative	Ref	ere	nce	es														7
7	<u>. 2</u> .	Info	rmativ	ve R	efe	rer	nce	S													7
Auth	nors	' Addı	resses	s.																	8

1. Introduction

[I-D.ietf-idr-rfc5575bis] describes in details about a new BGP NLRI to distribute a flow specification, which is an n-tuple comprising a sequence of matching criteria that can be applied to IP traffic. [I-D.ietf-idr-flow-spec-v6] extends [I-D.ietf-idr-rfc5575bis] to make it also usable and applicable to IPv6 data packets. [I-D.ietf-idr-flowspec-l2vpn] extends the flow-spec rules for layer 2 Ethernet packets.

Segment Routing (SR) for unicast traffic has been proposed to cope with the usecases in traffic engineering, fast re-reroute, service chain, etc. SR architecture can be implemented over an IPv6 data plane using a new type of Segment Routing Header (SRH) [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header]. SRv6 Network Programming [I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming] defines the SRv6 network programming concept and its most basic functions. SRv6 SID may have the form of LOC:FUNCT:ARGS::.

LOC: Each operator is free to use the locator length it chooses. Most often the LOC part of the SID is routable and leads to the node which instantiates that SID.

FUNCT: The FUNCT part of the SID is an opaque identification of a local function bound to the SID. (e.g. End: Endpoint, End.X, End.T, End.DX2 etc.).

ARGS: A function may require additional arguments that would be placed immediately after the FUNCT.

This document specifies two new BGP Flow Specification (FS) component types to support Segment Routing over IPv6 data plane (SRv6) filtering. The match field is destination address of IPv6 header, but it's a SID copy from SRH rather than a traditional IPv6 address (refer to Figure 1).




Figure 1: Match Field

2. Definitions and Acronyms

- o FS: Flow Specification
- o BGP-FS: Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Flow Specification (FS)
- o SR: Segment Routing
- o SRH: SR Header.

o SRv6: IPv6 Segment Routing, SRv6 is a method of forwarding IPv6 packets on the network based on the concept of source routing.

o SID: Segment Identifier

o BSID: Binding SID

3. The Flow Specification Encoding for SRv6

The Flow Specification NLRI-type consists of several optional components, each of which begins with a type field (1 octet) followed by a variable length parameter. 13 component types are defined in [I-D.ietf-idr-rfc5575bis] and [I-D.ietf-idr-flow-spec-v6] for IPv4 and IPv6. This document defines two new component types for SRv6.

3.1. Type TBD1 - Whole SID

Encoding: <type (1 octet), [op, value]+>

Contains a list of {operator, value} pairs that are used to match the SID/binding SID or a range of whole SID.

The operator byte is encoded as:


	0		1		2		3		4	5	6	7	
+		-+-		+		+-		+-		+	+	+	+
	е		a		0		0		0	1t	gt	eq	
+		+-		+		+-		+-		+	+	+	+

Where:

- e end-of-list bit. Set in the last {op, value} pair in the sequence.
- a AND bit. If unset, the previous term is logically ORed with the current one. If set, the operation is a logical AND. It should be unset in the first operator byte of a sequence. The AND operator has higher priority than OR for the purposes of evaluating logical expressions.
- $\ensuremath{\text{0}}$ SHOULD be set to $\ensuremath{\text{0}}$ on NLRI encoding, and MUST be ignored during decoding.
- lt less than comparison between data and value.
- gt greater than comparison between data and value.
- eq equality between data and value.

The bits lt, gt, and eq can be combined to match the SID or a range of SID (e.g. less than SID1 and greater than SID2).

The value field is encoded as:



The format of SID is described in

[I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header] and

[I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming]

3.2. Type TBD2 - Some bits of SID

For some scenarios route policy with the whole 128 bits SID matching is too long and not necessary.

[I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming] defines the format of SID is LOC:FUNCT:ARGS::. In some scenarios, traffic packets can just match Locator, Function ID, Argument or some combinations of these different fields rather than whole 128 bits SID. The new component type TBD2 defined below is for matching some bits of SID.

Encoding: <type (1 octet), [op, value]+>

Contains a list of {operator, value} pairs that are used to match some bits of SID.

The operator byte is encoded as:

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+---+---+
| e | a | type | | lt | gt | eq |
+---+---+
```

Where:

e, a, lt, gt and eq: as defined in Section "Type TBD1 - Whole SID".

type:


000 : SID's LOC bits

001 : SID's FUNCT bits

010 : SID's LOC:FUNCT bits

011 : SID's FUNCT: ARGS bits

The value field is encoded below as the lengths in bits of LOC, FUNCT and ARGS followed by the SID rounding up to bytes:



Where:

LOC Length: 1-octet field indicating the length in bits of LOC in

FUNCT Length: 1-octet field indicating the length in bits of FUNCT in SID.

ARGS Length: 1-octet field indicating the length in bits of ARGS in SID.

SID : the SID containing LOC, FUNCT and ARGS, and rounding up to bytes.

4. Security Considerations

No new security issues are introduced to the BGP protocol by this specification over the security considerations in [I-D.ietf-idr-rfc5575bis] and [I-D.ietf-idr-flow-spec-v6].

5. IANA Considerations

This section complies with [RFC7153].

Under "Flow Spec IPv6 Component Types" registry, IANA is requested to assign the following values:

+	-+	++
Value	·	Reference
TBD1 (15)	Whole SID	This Document
TBD2 (16)	Some bits of SID	This Document

6. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Shunwan Zhuang and Rainsword Wang for their valuable suggestions and comments on this draft.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-idr-flow-spec-v6]
 Loibl, C., Raszuk, R., and S. Hares, "Dissemination of Flow Specification Rules for IPv6", draft-ietf-idr-flow-spec-v6-10 (work in progress), November 2019.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
 Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.
- [RFC7153] Rosen, E. and Y. Rekhter, "IANA Registries for BGP
 Extended Communities", RFC 7153, DOI 10.17487/RFC7153,
 March 2014, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7153>.

7.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header]
 Filsfils, C., Dukes, D., Previdi, S., Leddy, J.,
 Matsushima, S., and D. Voyer, "IPv6 Segment Routing Header
 (SRH)", draft-ietf-6man-segment-routing-header-26 (work in
 progress), October 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-idr-flowspec-l2vpn]
 Weiguo, H., Eastlake, D., Uttaro, J., Litkowski, S., and
 S. Zhuang, "BGP Dissemination of L2VPN Flow Specification
 Rules", draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-l2vpn-12 (work in progress), November 2019.

Authors' Addresses

Zhenbin Li Huawei 156 Beiging Road Beijing, 100095 P.R. China

Email: lizhenbin@huawei.com

Lei Li Huawei 156 Beiqing Road Beijing 100095 P.R. China

Email: lily.lilei@huawei.com

Huaimo Chen Futurewei Boston, MA USA

Email: Huaimo.chen@futurewei.com

Christoph Loibl **Next Layer Communications** Mariahilfer Guertel 37/7 Vienna 1150 ΑT

Email: cl@tix.at

Yanhe Fan Casa Systems USA

Email: yfan@casa-systems.com

Yongqing Zhu China Telecom 109, West Zhongshan Road, Tianhe District Guangzhou 510000 China

Email: zhuyq.gd@chinatelecom.cn

Lei Liu Fujitsu USA

Email: liulei.kddi@gmail.com

Xufeng Liu Volta Networks McLean, VA USA

Email: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com