opsawg Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: January 7, 2017 Z. Li, Ed. R. Gu, Ed. China Mobile J. Dong Huawei Technologies July 6, 2016

Export BGP community information in IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) draft-li-opsawg-ipfix-bgp-community-00

Abstract

This draft specifies an extension to the IPFIX information model defined in [RFC7012] to export the BGP community information. Two information elements, bgpSourceCommunityList and bgpDestinationCommunityList, are introduced in this document to carry the community information for the source IP and destination IP respectively.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{\text{BCP 78}}$ and $\underline{\text{BCP 79}}$.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 7, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

Expires January 7, 2017

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction	<u>2</u>
<u>2</u> . Terminology	<u>3</u>
$\underline{3}$. BGP Community Information Elements	<u>3</u>
<u>3.1</u> . bgpSourceCommunityList	<u>3</u>
<u>3.2</u> . bgpDestinationCommunityList	<u>4</u>
$\underline{4}$. Security Considerations	<u>4</u>
5. IANA Considerations	<u>4</u>
<u>6</u> . References	<u>5</u>
<u>6.1</u> . Normative References	<u>5</u>
<u>6.2</u> . Informative References	<u>5</u>
Authors' Addresses	<u>6</u>

1. Introduction

IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) [<u>RFC7011</u>] provides network administrators with traffic flow information using the information elements (IEs) defined in [<u>IANA-IPFIX</u>] registries. Based on the traffic flow information, network administrators know the amount and direction of the traffic in their network, then they can optimize their network when needed. For example, they can steer some flows from the congested links to the low utilised links.

[<u>TANA-IPFIX</u>]has already defined the following IEs for traffic flow information exporting in different grain: sourceIPv4Address, sourceIPv4Prefix, destinationIPv4Address, destinationIPv4Prefix, bgpSourceAsNumber, bgpDestinationAsNumber, bgpNextHopIPv4Address, etc. In some circumstances, however, especially when traffic engineering and optimazation are used on the Tier 1 or Tier 2 operators' backbone networks, traffic flow information based on these IEs is not suitable. Flow information based on IP address or IP prefix is much more mediculous. On the contrary, flow information based on AS number is too coarse. BGP community [<u>RFC1997</u>], which describes a group of routes sharing some common properties, is preferablely used for fine granularity traffic engineering [<u>Community-TE</u>] [<u>RFC4384</u>]. Unfortunately, [<u>IANA-IPFIX</u>] has no IE defined for BGP community information, yet.

Flow information based on BGP community can be collected by a mediator defined in [<u>RFC6183</u>]. Mediator is responsible for the correlation between flow information and BGP community. However no IEs is defined in [<u>RFC6183</u>] for exporting BGP community information in IPFIX. Furthermore, to correlate the BGP community with the flow

information, mediator needs to learn BGP routing and lookup in the BGP routing table to get the matching route for the specific flow. Neither BGP routing learning nor routing table lookup is trivial for a mediator. Mediator is mainly introduced to release the performance requirement for the exporter [RFC5982]. In fact, to obtain the BGP related IEs that have already been defined, such as bgpSourceAsNumber, bgpDestinationAsNumber, bgpNextHopIPv4Address, etc, exporter has to hold the up-to-date BGP routing table and look up in the BGP routing table. The exporter can get the community information in the same procedure. So, getting BGP community information adds no more requiremnet for exporter. Some vendors have implemented this feture in their exporters using private IEs. So, for exporting the BGP community information in IPFIX, exporter may be the better place than the mediator.

This draft specifies an extension to the IPFIX information model defined in [RFC7012] to export the BGP community information. Two information elements, bgpSourceCommunityList and bgpDestinationCommunityList, are introduced to complete this task. BgpSourceCommunityList is for the source IP address, and bgpDestinationCommunityList is for the destination IP address. bgpSourceCommunityList and bgpDestinationCommunityList IEs are applicable for both IPv4 and IPv6 traffic. Both exporter and mediator can use these two IEs to export BGP community informaiton in IPFIX.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [<u>RFC2119</u>].

<u>3</u>. BGP Community Information Elements

This section describes two information elements needed in exporting BGP community information along with other flow information defined by IPFIX. Information elements description and their data type semantics are listed below. With these two elements, BGP community information can be reported and flow information based on BGP community can be accumulated and analysed by the collector or other applications.

3.1. bgpSourceCommunityList

ElementID | to be assigned by IANA, 458 is suggested | _____ Name | bgpSourceCommunityList Data Type | basicList, as specifed in [<u>RFC6313</u>] | | Data Type Semantics | list _____ Description | BGP community information corresponding with | | source IP address L _____ Units not needed

Figure 1: bgpSourceCommunityList

3.2. bgpDestinationCommunityList

_____ ElementID | to be assigned by IANA, 459 is suggested | Name bgpDestinationCommunityList Data Type | basicList, as specifed in [RFC6313] 1------| Data Type Semantics | list _____ Description | BGP community information corresponding with | | destination IP address _____ 1 Units not needed

Figure 2: bgpDestinationCommunityList

<u>4</u>. Security Considerations

This document only defines two new information elements. So, this document itself does not directly introduce security issues. The same security considerations as for the IPFIX Protocol Specification [RFC7011] and Information Model [RFC7012] apply.

5. IANA Considerations

This draft specifies two new IPFIX information elements, bgpSourceCommunityList and bgpDestinationCommunityList, to export BGP community information along with other flow information.

The Element IDs for these two information elements are solicited to be assigned by IANA. Number 458 is suggested for bgpSourceCommunityList and number 459 is suggested for bgpDestinationCommunityList.

6. References

<u>6.1</u>. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.
- [RFC7011] Claise, B., Ed., Trammell, B., Ed., and P. Aitken, "Specification of the IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Protocol for the Exchange of Flow Information", STD 77, <u>RFC 7011</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7011, September 2013, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7011</u>>.
- [RFC7012] Claise, B., Ed. and B. Trammell, Ed., "Information Model for IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX)", <u>RFC 7012</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7012, September 2013, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7012</u>>.

6.2. Informative References

[Community-TE]

Shao, W., Devienne, F., Iannone, L., and JL. Rougier, "On the use of BGP communities for fine-grained inbound traffic engineering", Computer Science 27392(1):476-487, November 2015.

[IANA-IPFIX]

"IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Entities", <<u>http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipfix/</u>>.

- [RFC1997] Chandra, R., Traina, P., and T. Li, "BGP Communities Attribute", <u>RFC 1997</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC1997, August 1996, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1997</u>>.
- [RFC4384] Meyer, D., "BGP Communities for Data Collection", <u>BCP 114</u>, <u>RFC 4384</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4384, February 2006, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4384</u>>.

- [RFC5982] Kobayashi, A., Ed. and B. Claise, Ed., "IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Mediation: Problem Statement", <u>RFC 5982</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5982, August 2010, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5982</u>>.
- [RFC6183] Kobayashi, A., Claise, B., Muenz, G., and K. Ishibashi, "IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Mediation: Framework", <u>RFC 6183</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6183, April 2011, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6183</u>>.

Authors' Addresses

Zhenqiang Li (editor) China Mobile 32 Xuanwumen West Ave, Xicheng District Beijing 100053 China

Email: lizhenqiang@chinamobile.com

Rong Gu (editor) China Mobile 32 Xuanwumen West Ave, Xicheng District Beijing 100053 China

Email: gurong_cmcc@outlook.com

Jie Dong Huawei Technologies Huawei Campus, No. 156 Beiqing Rd. Beijing 100095 China

Email: jie.dong@huawei.com