

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: November 27, 2017

B. Liu  
S. Jiang  
Huawei Technologies  
May 26, 2017

Information Distribution over GRASP  
draft-liu-anima-grasp-distribution-04

## Abstract

This document discusses the requirement of information distribution capability in autonomic networks. Ideally, the autonomic network should support distributing some information which is generated/ injected at an arbitrary autonomic node and be distributed among the whole autonomic domain. This document specifically proposes to achieve this goal based on the GRASP (A Generic Autonomic Signaling Protocol), and specifies additional node behavior.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 27, 2017.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4.e](#) of

Internet-Draft

GRASP Distribution

May 2017

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Information Distribution Scenarios . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	Whole Domain Distribution . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	Selective Distribution . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.3.</a>	Incremental Distribution . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Distribution Requirements . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	Identifying Autonomic Domain Boundary . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	Arbitrary Injecting Point . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	Avoiding Loops . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.4.</a>	Selective Flooding . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.5.</a>	Point-to-Point Distribution . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.6.</a>	Verification of Distributed Information . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.7.</a>	Conflict Handling . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Distribution Function and Behavior Specification . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	Using GRASP Flood Synchronization Message . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	Using GRASP Synchronization Message . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	Selective Flooding . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.3.1.</a>	Selecting Cretiria . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.3.2.</a>	Node Behavior . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.4.</a>	Conflict Handling . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.5.</a>	Distribution Source Authentication . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

In an autonomic network, sometimes the nodes need to share a set of common information. One typical case is the Intent Distribution which is briefly discussed in Section 4.5 of [\[I-D.behringer-anima-reference-model\]](#). However, the distribution should be a general function that one autonomic node should support, rather than a specific mechanism dedicated for Intent. This document firstly analyzes several basic information distribution scenarios

([Section 2](#)), and then discusses the technical requirements ([Section 3](#)) that one autonomic node needs to fulfill.

This document proposes to achieve distribution function based on the GRASP (A Generic Autonomic Signaling Protocol) [[I-D.ietf-anima-grasp](#)]

. GRASP already provides some capability to support part of the distribution function. Along with that, this document also proposes some additional functionality. Detailed design is described in [Section 4](#).

## [2.](#) Information Distribution Scenarios

### [2.1.](#) Whole Domain Distribution

Once the information is input to the autonomic network, the node that firstly handle the information MUST be able to distribute it to all the other nodes in the autonomic domain.

The distributed information might not relevant to every autonomic node, but it is flooded to all the devices.

### [2.2.](#) Selective Distribution

When one node receive the information, it only replicates it to the neighbors that fit for a certain of conditions. This could reduce some unnecessary signaling amplification.

However, this scenario implies there needs to be corresponding mechanisms to represent the conditions and to judge which neighbors fit for the conditions. Please refer to [Section 4.3.2](#) (selective flooding behavior).

### [2.3.](#) Incremental Distribution

The distribution only goes to the nodes that newly get online. This might mostly happen between neighbors.

The incremental distribution could also be a sub scenario of the whole domain distribution. When one node is doing the whole domain distribution, it is possible that some of its neighbors are sleeping/off-line, so when the neighbors get online again, the node should do

incremental distribution of the previous whole domain distributed information.

### [3.](#) Distribution Requirements

#### [3.1.](#) Identifying Autonomic Domain Boundary

The domain boundary devices are supposed to know themselves as boundary. When the distribution messages come to the devices, they do not distribute them outside the domain.

Liu & Jiang

Expires November 27, 2017

[Page 3]

---

Internet-Draft

GRASP Distribution

May 2017

#### [3.2.](#) Arbitrary Injecting Point

The distributed information SHOULD be injected at any autonomic node within the domain (or within a specific set of nodes [TBD]).

#### [3.3.](#) Avoiding Loops

There should be a mechanism to prevent the distributed information to travel around the domain again and again, so that there would not be a large amount of redundant packets troubling the network.

#### [3.4.](#) Selective Flooding

When one node receive the information, it only floods it to the neighbors that fit for a certain of rules.

#### [3.5.](#) Point-to-Point Distribution

One node only distributes the information to another node. This is for the incremental distribution scenario.

#### [3.6.](#) Verification of Distributed Information

- o Information integrity verification

The receiving node SHOULD be able to verify whether the distributed information is from the certain node. In other words, it needs to make sure the information hasn't been modified.

- o Source authorization verification

Even the information integrity was verified, the distributed information might still be invalid, since the distribution source might not have the right to distribute such information that it just exceeds its authority.

### [3.7.](#) Conflict Handling

As long as it supports arbitrary point of injecting distribution, there is possibility that two nodes advertise the same information but with conflict attribute(s). Hence, there should be a mechanism to handle the conflict.

## [4.](#) Distribution Function and Behavior Specification

This section specifies using certain GRASP messages for distribution, and also specifies the distribution behavior in an autonomic node.

### [4.1.](#) Using GRASP Flood Synchronization Message

It is natural to use the GRASP Flood Synchronization message for distribution, since the Flood Synchronization behavior specified in GRASP is identical to the the whole domain distribution scenario described in [Section 2.1](#). And the Flood Synchronization naturally fits for "Arbitrary Injection Point" and "Avoiding Loops" requirements.

### [4.2.](#) Using GRASP Synchronization Message

It is natural to use the GRASP Synchronization message for Point-to-Point distribution. The two behavior is identical.

### [4.3.](#) Selective Flooding

#### [4.3.1.](#) Selecting Cretiria

When doing selective flooding, the distributed information needs to contain the criteria for nodes to judge which interfaces should be sent the distributed information and which are not. Specifically, the criteria contains:

- o Matching condition: a set of matching rules.
- o Matching object: the object that the match condition would be applied to. For example, the matching object could be node itself or its neighbors.
- o Action: what behavior the node needs to do when the matching object matches or failed the matching condition. For example, the action could be forwarding or discarding the distributed message.

Example:

- o Matching condition: "Device role=IPRAN\_RSG"
- o Matching objective: "Neighbors"
- o Action: "Forward"

This example means: only distributing the information to the neighbors who are IPRAN\_RSG.

#### [4.3.2.](#) Node Behavior

1) The distribution initial node Includes the Selecting Criteria information in the message that carries the distributed information.

2) The receiving node decides the action according to the Selecting Criteria carried in the message.

2-1 When the Matching Object is "Neighbors", then the node matches the relevant information of its neighbors to the Matching Condition. If the node finds one neighbor matches the Matching Condition, then it forwards the distributed message to the neighbor. If not, the node discards forwarding the message to the neighbor.

2-2 When the Matching Object is the node itself, then the node matches the relevant information of its own to the Matching Condition. If the node finds itself matches the Matching Condition, then it forwards the distributed message to its neighbors; if not, the node discards forwarding the message to the neighbors.

#### [4.4.](#) Conflict Handling

The distribution information needs to include timestamps or version information. When conflict happens, the node only accepts the latest information.

#### [4.5.](#) Distribution Source Authentication

The distribution source authentication could be done at multiple layers:

- o Outer layer authentication: the GRASP communication is within ACP (Autonomic Control Plane, [[I-D.behringer-anima-autonomic-control-plane](#)] ). This is the default GRASP behavior.
- o Inner layer authentication: the GRASP communication might not be within a protected channel, then there should be embedded protection in distribution information itself. Public key infrastructure might be involved in this case.

### [5.](#) Security Considerations

TBD.

### [6.](#) IANA Considerations

No IANA assignment is needed.

### [7.](#) Acknowledgements

This document is inherited from [[I-D.ietf-anima-grasp](#)] and [[I-D.behringer-anima-reference-model](#)]. So thanks all the

contributors of the two work items.

This document was produced using the xml2rfc tool [[RFC2629](#)].

## [8.](#) References

### [8.1.](#) Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-anima-grasp]  
Bormann, C., Carpenter, B., and B. Liu, "A Generic Autonomic Signaling Protocol (GRASP)", [draft-ietf-anima-grasp-12](#) (work in progress), May 2017.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2629] Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML", [RFC 2629](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2629, June 1999, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2629>>.

### [8.2.](#) Informative References

- [I-D.behringer-anima-autonomic-control-plane]  
Behringer, M., Bjarnason, S., BL, B., and T. Eckert, "An Autonomic Control Plane", [draft-behringer-anima-autonomic-control-plane-03](#) (work in progress), June 2015.
- [I-D.behringer-anima-reference-model]  
Behringer, M., Carpenter, B., Eckert, T., Ciavaglia, L., Liu, B., Jeff, J., and J. Strassner, "A Reference Model for Autonomic Networking", [draft-behringer-anima-reference-model-04](#) (work in progress), October 2015.
- [I-D.du-anima-an-intent]  
Du, Z., Jiang, S., Nobre, J., Ciavaglia, L., and M. Behringer, "ANIMA Intent Policy and Format", [draft-du-anima-an-intent-05](#) (work in progress), February 2017.



Behringer, M., Pritikin, M., Bjarnason, S., Clemm, A., Carpenter, B., Jiang, S., and L. Ciavaglia, "Autonomic Networking - Definitions and Design Goals", [draft-irtf-nmrg-autonomic-network-definitions-07](#) (work in progress), March 2015.

[I-D.pritikin-anima-bootstrapping-keyinfra]

Pritikin, M., Richardson, M., Behringer, M., and S. Bjarnason, "Bootstrapping Key Infrastructures", [draft-pritikin-anima-bootstrapping-keyinfra-02](#) (work in progress), July 2015.

#### Authors' Addresses

Bing Liu  
Huawei Technologies  
Q14, Huawei Campus  
No.156 Beiqing Road  
Hai-Dian District, Beijing 100095  
P.R. China

Email: [leo.liubing@huawei.com](mailto:leo.liubing@huawei.com)

Sheng Jiang  
Huawei Technologies  
Q14, Huawei Campus  
No.156 Beiqing Road  
Hai-Dian District, Beijing 100095  
P.R. China

Email: [jiangsheng@huawei.com](mailto:jiangsheng@huawei.com)