

TEAS
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 11 January 2024

Y. Lee
Samsung Electronics
X. Liu
Alef Edge
LM. Contreras, Ed.
Telefonica
July 2023

DC aware TE topology model
draft-llc-teas-dc-aware-topo-model-03

Abstract

This document proposes the extension of the TE topology model for including information related to data center resource capabilities.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 2 January 2024.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2023 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Datacenter information	2
3.	Model structure	3
4.	Security Considerations	5
5.	IANA Considerations	6
6.	References	6
	Acknowledgments	6
	Authors' Addresses	6

[1.](#) Introduction

More and more service providers are deploying cloud computing facilities in order to host different kinds of services and applications. Such facilities can be generally referred as Datacenter Points of Presence (DC-PoPs). Those DCs will consist of a number of servers and networking elements for connecting all of them with the transport network. Depending on the number of servers in the data center, there will be distinct capabilities in terms of CPUs, memory and storage available for deploying and running the aforementioned services.

In such distributed and interconnected DC-PoPs, both computing and topological information are of interest for determining the optimal DC where to deploy a given service or application.

This document proposes a DC-aware extension for the topology model.

[2.](#) Datacenter information

The relevant information for datacenter capabilities can be described in different ways. One potential manner is to describe resource capabilities such as CPU, memory, storage, etc. This can be done in terms of total, used and free capacity for each of the parameters of interest. Cloud management systems allow to obtain such kind of information. For instance, in the case of Kubernetes it is possible to retrieve information about the total and allocatable resources in a compute node. Alternatively, in the case of OpenStack it is possible to collect information about the total resources and those currently in use from such total.

Another form of populating the information is by describing those resource capabilities as a bundled, usually referred as quota or flavor. Well known cloud computing providers like Amazon Web Services, Microsoft Azure or Google Cloud Platform follow such schema, bundling CPU, RAM and storage units as flavors. In the case of Amazon Web Services the bundle is known as “instance type”, while in Microsoft Azure is termed “virtual machine size” and in Google Cloud Platform it is called “machine type”.

Table 1 provides a potential example of generic predefined compute flavors.

Flavor	vCPU	RAM	Storage
.tiny	1	512 MB	1 GB
.small	1	2 GB	20 GB
.medium	2	4 GB	40 GB
.large	4	8 GB	80 GB
.2xlarge	8	16 GB	160 GB
.4xlarge	16	32 GB	320 GB
.8xlarge	32	64 GB	640 GB

Table 1: Predefined Compute Flavors

Additional information to consider in both cases could refer to the management capabilities of the compute infrastructure, such as hypervisor details or virtualization technologies available.

Finally, all can be complemented with information related to the networking details for reaching the aforementioned compute capabilities (IP addressed, bandwidth, etc).

3. Model structure

```

module: ietf-dcpop-dc
  +-rw dcpop
    +-rw dc* [id]
      | +- comp_node* [id]
      | | +-rw hypervisor* [id]
      | | | +-rw ram

```



```

| | | | +--rw total?    uint32
| | | | +--rw used?    uint32
| | | | +--rw free?    uint32
| | | | +--rw disk
| | | | | +--rw total?  uint32
| | | | | +--rw used?   uint32
| | | | | +--rw free?   uint32
| | | | +--rw vcpu
| | | | | +--rw total?  uint16
| | | | | +--rw used?   uint16
| | | | | +--rw free?   uint16
| | | | +--rw instance* -> /dcpop/dc/comp_node/instance/id
| | | | +--rw id         string
| | | | +--rw name?      string
| | | +--rw instance* [id]
| | | | +--rw flavor
| | | | | +--rw disk?    uint32
| | | | | +--rw ram?     uint32
| | | | | +--rw vcpus?   uint16
| | | | | +--rw bandwidth? string
| | | | | +--rw id?      string
| | | | | +--rw name?    string
| | | | +--rw image
| | | | | +--rw checksum  string
| | | | | +--rw size      uint32
| | | | | +--rw format
| | | | | | +--rw container? enumeration
| | | | | | +--rw disk?    enumeration
| | | | | +--rw id?       string
| | | | | +--rw name?     string
| | | | +--rw hypervisor? -> /dcpop/dc/comp_node/hypervisor/id
| | | | +--rw port*       -> /dcpop/dc/comp_node/network/
subnetwork/port/id
| | | | +--rw project?    string
| | | | +--rw status?     enumeration
| | | | +--rw id          string
| | | | +--rw name?       string
| | | +--rw image* [id]
| | | | +--rw checksum    string
| | | | +--rw size        uint32
| | | | +--rw format
| | | | | +--rw container? enumeration
| | | | | +--rw disk?     enumeration
| | | | +--rw id          string
| | | | +--rw name?       string
| | | +--rw flavor* [id]
| | | | +--rw disk?       uint32
| | | | +--rw ram?        uint32

```

| | | +--rw vcpus? uint16

```

| | | +--rw id          string
| | | +--rw name?       string
| | +--rw dc-monitoring-param* [name]
| | | +--rw name          string
| | | +--rw value-string? string
| | +--rw network* [id]
| | | +--rw subnetwork* [id]
| | | | +--rw port* [id]
| | | | | +--rw ip-address?  inet:ip-address
| | | | | +--rw instance?    -> /dcpop/dc/comp_node/instance/id
| | | | | +--rw project?     string
| | | | | +--rw status?      enumeration
| | | | | +--rw id           string
| | | | | +--rw name?        string
| | | | +--rw project?      string
| | | | +--rw status?       enumeration
| | | | +--rw id            string
| | | | +--rw name?         string
| | | +--rw dhcp-agent* [id]
| | | | +--rw enabled?      boolean
| | | | +--rw pools* [ip-address]
| | | | | +--rw ip-address  inet:ip-address
| | | | +--rw project?      string
| | | | +--rw status?       enumeration
| | | | +--rw id            string
| | | | +--rw name?         string
| | | +--rw project?        string
| | | +--rw status?         enumeration
| | | +--rw id              string
| | | +--rw name?           string
| | | +--rw dcpop-ref?      -> /dcpop/dcpop-id
| | +--rw ap*               -> /actn-vn:actn/ap
/access-point-list/access-point-id
| | +--rw dcpop-ref?        -> /dcpop/dcpop-id
| | +--rw id                string
| | +--rw name?             string
| +--rw name?               string
| +--rw id                  string
| +--rw ip-address?         inet:ip-address
+--rw dcpop-id?             string

```

4. Security Considerations

The data-model in this document does not have any security implications. The model is designed to be accessed via NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)], thus the security considerations for the NETCONF protocol are applicable here.

5. IANA Considerations

This draft does not include any IANA considerations

6. References

[RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6241](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241), DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.

Acknowledgments

The work of L.M. Contreras has been partially funded by the European Union under the Horizon Europe project NEMO (NExt generation Meta Operating system) grant number 101070118.

Authors' Addresses

Young Lee
Samsung Electronics
Seoul
South Korea
Email: younglee.tx@gmail.com

Xufeng Liu
Alef Edge
Email: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com

Luis M. Contreras
Telefonica
Ronda de la Comunicacion, s/n
Sur-3 building, 3rd floor
28050 Madrid
Spain
Email: luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com
URI: <http://lmcontreras.com/>

