

Internet Draft  
Category: Experimental  
Document: [draft-lyon-senderid-pra-01.txt](#)

J. Lyon  
Microsoft Corp  
May 2005

## **Purported Responsible Address in E-Mail Messages**

### Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than a "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

### Abstract

This document defines an algorithm by which, given an e-mail message, one can extract the identity of the party that appears to have most proximately caused that message to be delivered. This identity is called the "Purported Responsible Address" (PRA).



## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Determining the Purported Responsible Address.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">References.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
	<a href="#">6.1 Normative References.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
	<a href="#">6.2 Informative References.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Author's Address.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>

## Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

**[1.](#) Introduction**

Most e-Mail flows relatively directly from a sender to a recipient, with a small number of Mail Transfer Agents (MTAs) in between. Some messages, however, are resent by forwarding agents, mailing list servers, and other such software. These messages effectively result in two or more mail transactions: one from the sender to the forwarding agent, and another from the agent to the destination.

In some cases, messages travel through more than one of these agents. This can occur, for example, when one mailing list is subscribed to another, or when the address subscribed to a mailing list is a forwarding service.

Further complicating the situation, in some cases the party that introduces a message is not the author of the message. For example, many news web sites have a "Mail this article" function that the public can use to e-mail a copy of the article to a friend. In this case, the mail is "from" the person who pressed the button, but is physically sent by the operator of the web site.

This document defines a new identity associated with an e-mail message, called the Purported Responsible Address (PRA), which is determined by inspecting the header of the message. The PRA is designed to be the entity that (according to the header) most recently caused the message to be delivered.

Note that the results of this algorithm are only as truthful as the headers contained in the message; if a message contains fraudulent or



incorrect headers, this algorithm will yield an incorrect result. For this reason, the result of the algorithm is called the "Purported Responsible Address" -- "purported" because it tells you what a message claims about where it came from, but not necessarily where it actually came from.

This document does not prescribe any particular uses for the Purported Responsible Address. However, [[SenderID](#)] describes a method of determining whether a particular MTA is authorized to send mail on behalf of the domain contained in the PRA.

## **2. Determining the Purported Responsible Address**

The purported responsible address (PRA) of a message is determined by the following algorithm:

1. Select the first non-empty Resent-Sender header in the message. If no such header is found, continue with step 2. If it is preceded by a non-empty Resent-From header and one or more Received or Return-Path headers occur after said Resent-From header and before the Resent-Sender header, continue with step 2. Otherwise, proceed to step 5.
2. Select the first non-empty Resent-From header in the message. If a Resent-From header is found, proceed to step 5. Otherwise, continue with step 3.
3. Select all the non-empty Sender headers in the message. If there are no such headers, continue with step 4. If there is exactly one such header, proceed to step 5. If there is more than one such header, proceed to step 6.
4. Select all the non-empty From headers in the message. If there is exactly one such header, continue with step 5. Otherwise, proceed to step 6.
5. A previous step has selected a single header from the message. If that header is malformed (e.g. it appears to contain multiple mailboxes, or the single mailbox is hopelessly malformed, or the single mailbox does not contain a domain name), continue with step 6. Otherwise, return that single mailbox as the Purported Responsible Address.
6. The message is ill-formed, and it is impossible to determine a Purported Responsible Address.

For the purposes of this algorithm, a header field is "non-empty" if and only if it contains any non-whitespace characters. Header fields



that are otherwise relevant but contain only whitespace are ignored and treated as if they were not present.

Note that steps 1 and 2 above extract the Resent-Sender or Resent-From header from the first resent block (as defined by [section 3.6.6 of \[RFC2822\]](#)) if any. Steps 3 and 4 above extract the Sender or From header if there are no resent blocks.

Note that what constitutes a hopelessly malformed header or a hopelessly malformed mailbox in step 5 above is a matter for local policy. Such local policy will never cause two implementations to return different PRAs. However it may cause one implementation to return a PRA where another implementation does not. This will only occur when dealing with a message containing headers of questionable legality.

Although the algorithm specifies how messages that are not in strict conformance with the provisions of [RFC2822](#) should be treated for the purposes of determining the PRA, this should not be taken as requiring or recommending that any systems accept such messages when they otherwise would not have done so. However, if a liberal implementation accepts such messages and desires to know their PRA, it MUST use the algorithm specified here.

Where messages conform to [RFC822](#) rather than [RFC2822](#), it is possible for the algorithm to give unexpected results. An [RFC822](#) message should not normally contain more than one set of resent headers; however the placement of those headers is not specified, nor are they required to be contiguous. It is hence possible that the Resent-From header will be selected even though a Resent-Sender header is present. Such cases are expected to be rare or non-existent in practice.

### **3. Security Considerations**

The PRA, as described by this document, is extracted from message headers that have historically not been verified. Thus, anyone using the PRA for any purpose MUST be aware that the headers from which it is derived might be fraudulent, malicious, malformed and/or incorrect. [[SenderID](#)] describes one mechanism for validating the PRA.

A message's PRA will often be extracted from a header field that is not normally displayed by existing mail user agent software. If the PRA is used as part of a mechanism to authenticate the message's origin, the message SHOULD NOT be displayed with an indication of its authenticity (positive or negative) without the PRA header field also being displayed.



#### **4. IANA Considerations**

This document contains no actions for IANA.

#### **5. Acknowledgements**

The PRA concept was first published in [[CallerID](#)]. It has been refined using valuable suggestions from members of the MARID working group.

#### **6. References**

##### **6.1 Normative References**

- [RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#).
- [RFC2822] P. Resnick (editor), "Internet Message Format", [RFC 2822](#).

##### **6.2 Informative References**

- [CallerID] Microsoft Corporation, Caller ID for E-Mail Technical Specification, [http://www.microsoft.com/mscorp/twc/privacy/spam\\_callerid.aspx](http://www.microsoft.com/mscorp/twc/privacy/spam_callerid.aspx).
- [SenderID] J. Lyon and M. Wong, "Sender ID: Authenticating E-Mail", [draft-lyon-senderid-core-01](#). Work in progress.

#### **7. Author's Address**

Jim Lyon  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052  
USA  
jimlyon@microsoft.com



## Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

