

**A Telephone Number Mapping (ENUM) Service Registration for Instant  
Messaging (IM) Services  
draft-mahy-enum-im-service-00.txt**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 24, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

This document registers a Telephone Number Mapping (ENUM) service for Instant Messaging (IM). Specifically, this document focuses on provisioning im: URIs in ENUM.

**1. Discussion**

ENUM (E.164 Number Mapping, [RFC 3761](#) [1]) is a system that uses DNS (Domain Name Service, [RFC 1034](#) [2]) to translate telephone numbers,

such as +12025332600, into URIs (Uniform Resource Identifiers, [RFC 2396](#) [3]), such as im:user@example.com. ENUM exists primarily to facilitate the interconnection of systems that rely on telephone numbers with those that use URIs to identify resources.

Instant Messaging (IM) is a service defined in [RFC 2778](#) [5] that allows users to send and receive typically short, often textual messages in near real-time. The IETF has defined a generic URI used to identify an IM service for a particular resource: the 'im' URI scheme (defined in [RFC 3861](#) [4]). [RFC 3861](#) [4] also defines rules for discovering service running specific protocols, such as SIP (the Session Initiation Protocol, [RFC 3261](#) [7]) and XMPP (the eXtensible Messaging and Presence Protocol, [RFC 3921](#) [8]) from a specific im: URI.

[RFC 3953](#) [9] already defines an enumservice for presence services which returns pres: URIs (also defined in [RFC 3861](#) [4]). This document registers an enumservice for advertising IM information associated with an E.164 number.

## 2. ENUM Service Registration

As defined in [RFC 3761](#) [1], the following is a template covering information needed for the registration of the enumservice specified in this document:

Service name: "E2U+im"

URI scheme(s): "im:"

Functional Specification: This Enumservice indicates that the resource identified is an 'im' URI. The 'im' URI scheme does not identify any particular protocol that will be used to handle instant messaging receipt or delivery, rather the mechanism in [RFC 3861](#) [4] is used to discover whether an IM protocol supported by the party querying ENUM is also supported by the target resource.

Security considerations: See [section 3](#).

Intended usage: COMMON

Author: Rohan Mahy (rohan@ekabal.com)

## 3. Security Considerations

The Domain Name System (DNS) does not make policy decisions about which records it provides to a DNS resolver. All DNS records must be assumed to be available to all inquirers at all times. The information provided within an ENUM record set must therefore be considered open to the public -- which is a cause for some privacy considerations.

Revealing an IM URI by itself is unlikely to introduce many privacy concerns, although, depending on the structure of the URI, it might



reveal the full name or employer of the target. The use of anonymous URIs mitigates this risk.

More serious security concerns are associated with potential attacks against an underlying Instant Messaging system (for example, message forgery and tampering). For this reason, IM protocols have a number of security requirements (detailed in [RFC 2779](#) [6]) that call for authentication, integrity and confidentiality properties, and similar measures to prevent such attacks. Any instant messaging protocol used in conjunction with the 'im' URI scheme is required to meet these requirements.

Unlike a traditional telephone number, the resource identified by an im URI may require that callers provide cryptographic credentials for authentication and authorization before instant messages are exchanged. In concert with instant messaging protocols, ENUM can actually provide far greater protection from unwanted callers than does the existing PSTN, despite the public availability of ENUM records.

#### **[4.](#) IANA Considerations**

This document requests registration of the "IM" Enumservice according to the definitions in this document and [RFC 3761](#) [1].

#### **[5.](#) References**

##### **[5.1](#) Normative References**

- [1] Faltstrom, P. and M. Mealling, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", [RFC 3761](#), April 2004.
- [2] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.
- [3] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax", [RFC 2396](#), August 1998.
- [4] Peterson, J., "Address Resolution for Instant Messaging and Presence", [RFC 3861](#), August 2004.

##### **[5.2](#) Informational References**

- [5] Day, M., Rosenberg, J., and H. Sugano, "A Model for Presence and Instant Messaging", [RFC 2778](#), February 2000.



- [6] Day, M., Aggarwal, S., Mohr, G., and J. Vincent, "Instant Messaging / Presence Protocol Requirements", [RFC 2779](#), February 2000.
- [7] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", [RFC 3261](#), June 2002.
- [8] Saint-Andre, P., Ed., "Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP): Instant Messaging and Presence", [RFC 3921](#), October 2004.
- [9] Peterson, J., "Telephone Number Mapping (ENUM) Service Registration for Presence Services", [RFC 3953](#), January 2005.

#### Author's Address

Rohan Mahy  
Plantronics

Email: rohan@ekabal.com



## Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.



