

Internet Draft  
[draft-manning-opcode-discover-03.txt](#)  
Expires: 16 July 2012  
Intendend Status: Historical

Bill Manning

13 January 2012

## DISCOVER: Supporting Multicast DNS Queries

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at  
<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at  
<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on 16 July 2012.

Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

## IETF Legal Notices

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

"This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights."

"This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY

WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE."

"The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#)."

"Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>."

"The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org)."

## Abstract

This document describes the DISCOVER opcode, an experimental extension to the Domain Name System (DNS) to use multicast queries for resource discovery. This opcode was tested in experiments run during 1995 and 1996 for the TBDS project. This was the first known use of multicast transport for DNS. A client multicasts a DNS query using the DISCOVER opcode and processes the multiple responses that may result.

## **[1.](#) Introduction**

In the standard Domain Name System(DNS)[[1](#)][2], queries are always unicast using the QUERY opcode. The TBDS research project[4], funded under DARPA grant F30602-99-1-0523, explored the use of multicast DNS [[1](#)][2] queries for resource discovery. Multicast queries may return multiple replies, while the standard DNS QUERY operation [[3](#)] expects a single reply. Instead of extending the QUERY opcode, the project developed and tested a new query operation, DISCOVER, that was designed to accommodate multiple responses from a multicast query. This memo documents the processing rules for DISCOVER, for possible incorporation in a future revision of the DNS specification.

## **[2.](#) DISCOVER Processing Rules**

A requester will send a DISCOVER query message to a multicast destination address, with some particular multicast scope. The requester must be prepared to receive multiple replies from multiple responders, although we expect that there will be a single reply per responder.

DISCOVER responses (i.e., response messages from DISCOVER queries) have standard Answer, Authority, and Additional sections. For example, the DISCOVER response is the same as the response to a QUERY operation. Zero-content answers should not be sent, to avoid badly formed or unfulfilled requests. Responses should be sent to the unicast address of the requester, and the source address should reflect the unicast address of the responder. DISCOVER responses may echo the request's Question section or leave it blank, just as for QUERY.

DISCOVER works like QUERY, except:

1. The Question section of a DISCOVER operation contains `<QNAME=zonename,QTYPE=SOA>` tuples, if the section is present.

Within TBDS, this structure was augmented with:  
`<QNAME=service,QTYPE=SRV>`. While this worked, it would be cleaner to ask the SRV question in a separate pass, and any future work should take this into consideration.

2. If QDCOUNT equals 0, then only servers willing to do recursion should answer; other servers must silently discard a DISCOVER request with QDCOUNT equals 0.
3. if QDCOUNT is not equal to 0, then only servers that are authoritative for the zones named by some QNAME should answer.

Hence, replies to DISCOVER queries will always be authoritative or else have RA (Recursion Available) set.

### **3. Using DISCOVER Queries**

#### **3.1 Performing Host Lookups**

To perform a hostname lookup using DISCOVER, a node could:

- o Compute the zone name of the enclosing in-addr.arpa, ip6.int, or ip6.arpa domain.
- o DISCOVER whether any in-scope server(s) are authoritative for this zone.

If so, query these authoritative servers for local in-addr/ip6 names.

- o If not, DISCOVER whether there are recursive servers available.

If so, query these recursive servers for local  
in-addr/ip6 names.

The requester can determine from the replies whether there are any DNS servers that are authoritative (or support recursion) for the zone.

- o Once the host's FQDN is known, repeat the process to discover the closest enclosing authoritative server for this local name.
- o Cache all NS and A data learned in this process, respecting TTL's.

### **3.2 Performing Service Lookups**

To lookup a service name using DISCOVER, the following steps may be used:

- o Use DISCOVER as outlined in [Section 3.1](#) to perform `gethostbyaddr()` and then `gethostbyname()` on one's own link-local address. This gives a list of local authoritative servers.
- o Assume that the closest enclosing zone for which an authoritative server responds to an in-scope DISCOVER message is this host's "parent domain", and compute the SRV name as

`_service._transport.*.parentdomain.`

This is a change to the definition as defined in [RFC 1034 \[1\]](#). A wildcard label ("\*") in the QNAME used in a DNS message with op-code DISCOVER should be evaluated with special rules: the wildcard should match any label for which the DNS server data is authoritative. For example 'x.\*.example.com.' would match 'x.y.example.com.' and 'x.yy.example.com.', provided that the server was authoritative for 'example.com.'

- o Finally, send a SRV query for this SRV name to the discovered local authoritative servers, to complete the `getservbyname()` call.

This call returns a structure that can be populated by response values, as follows:

`s_name`      The name of the service, "\_service" without the preceding underscore.

`s_aliases`   The names returned in the SRV RRs in replies to the query.

s_port	The port number in the SRV RRs replies to the query. If these port numbers disagree - one of the port numbers is chosen, and only those names which correspond are returned.
s_proto	The transport protocol from named by the "_transport" label, without the preceding underscore.

### **3.3 Using DISCOVER for Disconnected Names**

DISCOVER allows discovery of a host (for example, a printer offering LPD services) whose DNS server answers authoritatively for a domain name that hasn't been delegated to it, but is defined within some local scope. Since DISCOVER is explicitly defined to discover undelegated zones for tightly-scoped queries, this behavior isn't a violation of DNS's coherency principles. Note that a responder to DISCOVER might not be traditional DNS software, it could be special-purpose software.

DISCOVER usage for disconnected networks with no authoritative servers can be achieved using the following conditions.

- o Hosts run a "stub server" that acts as though its FQDN were a zone name.
- o The computed SOA gives the host's FQDN as the MNAME, "." as the ANAME, seconds-since-1Jan2000 as the SERIAL, and low constants for EXPIRE and the other SOA timers.
- o NS is used as the host's FQDN.
- o The glue is computed as the host's link-local address, or hosts may run a "DNS stub server" that acts as though its FQDN were a zone name.

The rules governing the behavior of this stub server consists of a single change to the method of use, and no change whatsoever to the current format of DNS packets. Specifically, this extension allows UDP DNS queries, as documented in [RFC 1035](#), sections [4.1.1](#), [4.1.2](#) and [4.2.1](#), to be addressed to port 53 of statically-assigned relative offset -4 within the range of multicast addresses defined as "administratively scoped" by [RFC 2365, section 9](#). Within the full /8 of administratively scoped addresses, this corresponds to the destination address 239.255.255.251. Until MZAP or a similar protocol is implemented to allow hosts to discover the extent of the local multicast scopes which enclose them, it is anticipated that implementations will simply utilize the destination address 239.255.255.251. Queries sent via multicast MUST NOT request recursion.

In order to receive multicasted queries, DNS server implementations

MUST listen on the -4 offset to their local scope (as above, in the absence of a method of determining the scope, this will be assumed to be relative to the full /8 allocated for administratively-scoped multicast use, or 239.255.255.251), and respond via ordinary unicast UDP to ONLY those queries for which they have a positive answer which originated within a locally-configured zone file. That is, a server MUST NOT answer a multicasted query with cached information which it received from another server, nor may it request further resolution from other servers on behalf of a multicasted query. A multicast-capable server may, however, utilize multicast queries to perform further resolution on behalf of queries received via ordinary unicast. This is referred to as "proxy" operation. Multicast-enabled DNS servers MUST answer multicasted queries non-authoritatively. That is, when responding to a query which was received via multicast, they MUST NOT include an NS record which contains data which resolves back to their own IP address and MUST NOT set the AA bit.

Resolvers MUST anticipate receiving no replies to some multicasted queries, in the event that no multicast-enabled DNS server implementations are active within the local scope, or in the event that no positive responses exist to the transmitted query. That is, a query for the MX record for host.domain.com would go unanswered if no local server was able to resolve that request, if no MX record exists for host.domain.com, or if no local servers were capable of receiving multicast queries. The resolver which initiated the query MUST treat such non-response as a non-cacheable negative response. Since this multicast transmission does not provide reliable delivery, resolvers MAY repeat the transmission of a query in order to assure themselves that it has been received by any hosts capable of answering, however any resolvers which repeat a query MUST increase the interval by a factor of two between each repetition. It is more likely, however, that any repeated queries will be performed under the explicit direction of the application driving the query, rather than autonomously by the resolver implementation.

It will often be the case that multicast queries will result in responses from multiple servers. In the event that the multicast query was generated via a current API such as `gethostbyname`, or as the result of a proxy operation, the first response received must be passed to the requesting application or host, and all subsequently-received responses must be discarded. Future multicast-aware APIs that use DISCOVER should anticipate receiving multiple independent RR-sets in response to queries.

Such stub servers should answer DISCOVER packets for its zone, and will be found by the iterative "discover closest enclosing authority server" by DISCOVER clients, in either the `gethostbyname()` or SRV cases described above. Note that stub servers answer only with zone names which exactly match QNAME's, not with zone names which are owned by QNAME's.

#### 4. IANA Considerations

At such time as this idea might be considered for a future addition to the DNS protocol, the IANA would need to assign a value for the opcode.

#### 5. Security Considerations

No new security considerations are known to be introduced with a new DNS query operation. However, using multicast for service discovery has the potential for denial of service from flooding attacks. It may also be possible to enable deliberate misconfiguration of clients simply by running a malicious DNS server that falsely claims to be authoritative for delegations. One possible way to mitigate this threat is to use credentials, such as CERT resource records within an RR set. The TBDS project took this approach. TBDS did not directly utilize DNSSEC and so possible interactions with DNSSEC aware/capable servers are unknown.

#### 6. Acknowledgments

This material was generated in discussions on the mdns mailing list hosted by Zocalo in March 2000 and updated by discussions in September/October 2003 on a closed mailing list. David Lawrence, Scott Rose, Stuart Cheshire, Bill Woodcock, Erik Guttman were active contributors. Suzanne Woolf was part of the original implementation team and an invaluable sanity checker. Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

#### 7. References

Normative:

- [1] Mockapetris, P., "DOMAIN NAMES - CONCEPTS AND FACILITIES", [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.
- [2] Mockapetris, P., "DOMAIN NAMES - IMPLEMENTATION AND SPECIFICATION", [RFC 1035](#), November 1987.

Informative:

- [3] QUERY opcode -- defined in [section 3.7](#), 4.3, and section 5 of [RFC 1034](#) and in [section 4.1.1 of RFC 1035](#).
- [4] Manning, B., "TBDS - Topology Based Domain Search.", Project Final Report, <http://www.dtic.mil/docs/citations/ADA407598>

Authors' Addresses

Bill Manning  
PO 12317  
Marina del Rey, CA. 90295