

TRILL Working Group
INTERNET-DRAFT
Intended status: Proposed Standard
Expires: July 1, 2012

Vishwas Manral
Hewlett-Packard Co.
Donald Eastlake
Mingui Zhang
Huawei
Ayan Banerjee
Cisco Systems
December 30, 2011

**Multiple Topology Routing Extensions for Transparent Interconnection
of Lots of Links (TRILL)
draft-manral-isis-trill-multi-topo-03.txt**

Abstract

This document describes optional extensions to the TRILL protocol's use of IS-IS (Intermediate System to Intermediate Systems). These extensions support multiple topologies (MT) within the same TRILL campus and protocol instance of IS-IS.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#). Distribution of this document is unlimited. Comments should be sent to the TRILL working group mailing list <rbridge@postel.org>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	3
2.	Terminology.....	3
3.	TLV Enhancements for Multiple Topology.....	4
4.	Multi-Topology Changes to Appointed Forwarders.....	5
5.	Security Considerations.....	6
6.	IANA Considerations.....	6
7.	Acknowledgements.....	6
8.	References.....	7
8.1	Normative References.....	7
8.2	Informative References.....	7

1. Introduction

Maintaining Multiple Topologies (MT) in an Rbridge campus requires extensions to the base TRILL protocol use of IS-IS [[ISIStrill](#)]. These extensions change the packet encoding on the wire. This document describes such extensions so that multiple topologies can be supported as described in [[RFC5120](#)].

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

IS-IS: Intermediate-System to Intermediate-System

LSP: Link State Protocol Data Unit (PDU)

Rbridge: Routing Bridge

SPF: Shortest Path First Algorithm

TRILL: Transparent Interconnection with Lots of Links

TLV: Type, Length and Value

3. TLV Enhancements for Multiple Topology

Currently the Router Capability TLV is specified in [RFC4971]. For TRILL, many sub-TLV's are specified as being carried in the Router Capability TLV which carries information only for a single Topology.

The following extensions are required to TRILL use of IS-IS to support multi-topology:

1. The Nicknames sub-TLV, Trees sub-TLV, Tree Identifiers sub-TLV, Trees Used Identifiers sub-TLV, and VLAN Group sub-TLV, MAY be encapsulated in the MT-CAP TLV (TLV #144) [ISISieee].
2. The Multi-Topology TLV [RFC5120] MAY be advertized in the TRILL LAN Hellos and RBridge LSPs. It will contain topology identifiers for one or more topologies in which the Rbridge is participating. An RBridge is considered MT aware as long as it advertises at least one MT TLV in its LSP.
3. The MTU sub-TLV [ISIStrill] MAY occur in the MT ISN TLV #222 [RFC5120] as well as in the Extended IS Reachability TLV #22.
4. The Topology Mapping (TOPO-MAP) sub-TLV, which occurs within a Router Capabilities TLV (TLV #242) for MT Aware RBridges [trill-mt] is specified below. This sub-TLV may occur more than once in the same or in multiple Router Capabilities TLVs.

```

+-+--+--+--+--+--+
|Type = TOPO-MAP|      (1 byte)
+-+--+--+--+--+--+
|  Length      |      (1 byte)
+-+--+--+--+--+--+
|  RESV  |TAS|      (1 byte)
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|R| ABR |      Topology 1      | (2 bytes)
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|R| ABR |      Topology 2      | (2 bytes)
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|  . . .
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|R| ABR |      Topology N      | (2 bytes)
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+

```

- o Type: Router Capability sub-TLV Type, set to TBD (TOPO-MAP).
- o Length: 1 + 2*n where n is the number of topology to topology mapping entries.
- o RESV: Five reserved bits, must be sent as zero and ignored

on receipt.

- o TAS: Topology Abbreviation Size as specified in [[trill-mt](#)].
- o R: Reserved bit. must be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.
- o ABR, Topology: Each 2-byte mapping entries specifies that ABR is the abbreviation for the 12-bit topology number given.

4. Multi-Topology Changes to Appointed Forwarders

The TRILL IS-IS DRB election protocol is a bit different from Layer-3 IS-IS as described in [[RFCadj](#)]. The DRB corresponds to the DIS (Designated Intermediate System) and is responsible for specifying the Designated VLAN for communication between the RBridges on the Link [[RFCtrill](#)]. As in [[RFC5120](#)], there is only one DRB on a link.

However, the DRB also handles all native frames being ingressed from or egressed to the link, as it chooses, or may appoint other RBridges on the link Appointed Forwarder [[RFCaf](#)] for one or more VLANs. Appointed Forwarders are per topology. The appointed forwarder sub-TLV is already a part of the MT-PORT-CAP TLV, which is Multi-Topology Aware.

5. Security Considerations

The extensions to TRILL use of IS-IS specified herein add no new Security Considerations beyond those already present with multi-topology IS-IS and TRILL. See [\[RFC5120\]](#) for IS-IS multi-topology security considerations. See [\[RFCtrill\]](#) for TRILL base protocol security considerations.

6. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to update the subregistry of the IS-IS TLV Code points Registry which shows permitted occurrence of sub-TLVs within TLVs #22, #141, and #222 to show that the MTU sub-TLV is permitted in TLV #222 as well as in TLV #22.

IANA is requested to assign sub-TLV numbers within the MT-CAP TLV (TLV #144) [\[ISISieee\]](#) for the Nicknames sub-TLV, Trees sub-TLV, Tree Identifiers sub-TLV, Trees Used Identifiers sub-TLV, and VLAN Group sub-TLV [\[ISIStrill\]](#). Noting that there is no conflict between the numbers of these sub-TLVs within the Router Capabilities TLV #242 and with any other number so far assigned within the MT-CAP TLV, it is requested that these sub-TLVs be assigned the same number within the MT-CAP TLV as they have within the Router Capabilities TLV #242.

IANA is request to assign a sub-TLV number within the Router Capabilities TLV (TV #242) for the TOPO-MAP sub-TLV.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Meenakshi Kaushik and Dinesh Dutta.

8. References

Normative and informative references for this document are given below.

8.1 Normative References

- [RFC2119] - Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4971] - Vasseur, JP., Shen, N., and R. Aggarwal, "Intermediate System to Intermediate System (IS-IS) Extensions for Advertising Router Information", [RFC 4971](#), July 2007.
- [RFC5120] - Przygienda, T., Shen, N., and N. Sheth, "M-ISIS: Multi Topology (MT) Routing in Intermediate System to Intermediate Systems (IS-ISs)", [RFC 5120](#), February 2008.
- [RFCtrill] - R. Perlman, D. Eastlake, D. Dutt, S. Gai, and A. Ghanwani, "RBrigdes: Base Protocol Specification", [draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-protocol-16.txt](#), in RFC Editor queue.
- [ISIStrill] - D. Eastlake, A. Banerjee, D. Dutt, R. Perlman, A. Ghanwani, "TRILL Use of IS-IS", [draft-ietf-isis-trill-05.txt](#), work in progress.
- [RFCadj] - D. Eastlake, R. Perlman, A. Ghanwani, D. Dutt, V. Manral, "RBrigdes: Adjacency", [draft-ietf-trill-adj](#), work in progress.
- [ISISieee] - D. Fedyk, P. Ashwood-Smith, "IS-IS Extensions Supporting IEEE 802.1aq Shortest Path Bridging", [draft-ietf-isis-ieee-aq-05.txt](#), in RFC Editor queue.
- [RFCaf] - Perlman, R., D. Eastlake, Y. Li, A. Banerjee, H. Fangwei, "RBrigdes: Appointed Forwarders", [draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-af-04](#), work in progress.
- [trill-mt] - Perlman, R., D. Eastlake, M. Zhang, A. Banerjee, V. Manral, "RBrigdes: Multiple Topology TRILL", [draft-eastlake-trill-rbridge-multi-topo-00.txt](#), work in progress.

8.2 Informative References

None.

Authors' Addresses

Vishwas Manral
Hewlett-Packard Co.
19111 Pruneridge Ave.
Cupertino, CA 95014 USA

Phone: 1-408-447-0000
Email: vishwas.manral@hp.com

Donald Eastlake 3rd
Huawei Technologies (USA)
155 Beaver Street
Milford, MA 01757 USA

Phone: 1-508-333-2270
Email: d3e3e3@gmail.com

Mingui Zhang
Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
HuaWei Building, No.3 Xinxu Rd., Shang-Di
Information Industry Base, Hai-Dian District,
Beijing, 100085 P.R. China

Email: zhangmingui@huawei.com

Ayan Banerjee
Cisco Systems
170 West Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134 USA

Email: ayabaner@cisco.com

Copyright and IPR Provisions

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License. The definitive version of an IETF Document is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of IETF Documents that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of IETF Documents. The definitive version of these Legal Provisions is that published by, or under the auspices of, the IETF. Versions of these Legal Provisions that are published by third parties, including those that are translated into other languages, should not be considered to be definitive versions of these Legal Provisions. For the avoidance of doubt, each Contributor to the IETF Standards Process licenses each Contribution that he or she makes as part of the IETF Standards Process to the IETF Trust pursuant to the provisions of [RFC 5378](#). No language to the contrary, or terms, conditions or rights that differ from or are inconsistent with the rights and licenses granted under [RFC 5378](#), shall have any effect and shall be null and void, whether published or posted by such Contributor, or included with or in such Contribution.

