

NETMOD Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: January 4, 2016

S. Mansfield, Ed.  
Ericsson Inc.  
B. Zeuner  
Deutsche Telekom AG  
N. Davis  
Ciena  
Y. Yun  
Fiberhome  
Y. Tochio  
Fujitsu  
K. Lam  
E. Varma  
Alcatel Lucent  
July 3, 2015

**Guidelines for Translation of UML Information Model to YANG Data Model**  
**[draft-mansfield-netmod-uml-to-yang-00](#)**

**Abstract**

This document defines guidelines for translation of data modeled with UML to YANG including mapping of object classes, attributes, data types, associations, interfaces, operations and operation parameters, notifications, and lifecycle.

**Status of This Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 4, 2016.

**Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Keywords</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Terminology</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Overview</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping Guidelines</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">  5.1.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping Guideline Considerations</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">  5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Object Classes</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">  5.3.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Attributes</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">  5.4.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Types</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">    5.4.1.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Primitive Types</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">    5.4.2.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Enumeration Types</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">    5.4.3.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Basic Data Types</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">    5.4.4.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Complex Data Types</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">  5.5.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Associations</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">  5.6.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Interfaces</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">  5.7.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Operations</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">  5.8.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Operation Parameters</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">  5.9.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Notifications</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">  5.10.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Lifecycle</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">  5.11.</a>	<a href="#">Other Mappings</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping Issues</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">  6.1.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping of Recursion</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping Patterns</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">  7.1.</a>	<a href="#">UML Recursion</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">  7.2.</a>	<a href="#">UML Conditional Pacs</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">  7.3.</a>	<a href="#">XOR Relationship</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">Mapping Basics</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">  8.1.</a>	<a href="#">UML-YANG or XMI-YANG</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">  8.2.</a>	<a href="#">XMI Differences</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">11.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	<a href="#">References</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">  12.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">  12.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">Example</a>	<a href="#">18</a>

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 2]

Authors' Addresses . . . . . [19](#)

## **1. Introduction**

As discussed in [draft-lam-teas-usage-info-model-net-topology](#) [5] a Data Model (DM) may be derived from an Information Model (IM). However, in order to assure a consistent and valid data modelling language representation that enables maximum interoperability, translation guidelines are required. A set of translation rules also assists in development of automated tooling.

This draft defines guidelines for translation of data modelled with UML [6] (as constrained by the ONF's UML Modeling Guidelines [7]) to YANG (defined in [RFC6020](#) [2] and YANG Update [3]) including mapping of object classes, attributes, data types, associations, interfaces, operations and operation parameters, notifications, and lifecycle.

## **2. Keywords**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [1].

## **3. Terminology**

The following terms are defined in [RFC6020](#) [2]

- o `anydata`
- o `anyxml`
- o `augment`
- o `container`
- o `data node`
- o `identity`
- o `instance identifier`
- o `leaf`
- o `leaf-list`
- o `list`
- o `module`



- o submodule

The following terms are defined in UML 2.4 [[6](#)]

- o association
- o attribute
- o data type
- o interface
- o object class
- o operation
- o parameter
- o signal (used to model notifications)

#### **[4.](#) Overview**

This document defines translation rules for all constructs used in a UML based IM to a data model using YANG.

While some mapping rules are straightforward, an IM in UML uses some constructs that cannot be mapped directly to a DM using YANG and conventions are described to make the translation predictable. Additionally, in some cases multiple mapping approaches are possible and selection among these is also necessary to assure interoperability.

Mapping guidelines for these constructs are provided in the following sections.

#### **[5.](#) Mapping Guidelines**

##### **[5.1.](#) Mapping Guideline Considerations**

Where "???" is inserted in the table, it means that the specific mapping is for further study as it is either as yet unclear how to map the construct or that there are multiple ways of doing the mapping and a single one needs to be selected.

A table will be included summarizing constructs in UML that do not directly map to YANG and where in this draft the associated guidelines for mapping these constructs will be provided.



## [5.2.](#) Mapping of Object Classes

	Object Class --> "list" statement (key property) or "container" statement	
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment
documentation	"description" substatement	
superclass(es)	??	
abstract	abstract: "container" not abstract: "list"	
objectCreationNotific- ation	??	
objectDeletionNotific- ation	??	
support	"if-feature" substatement	
condition	"if-feature" substatement	
operation	"action" substatement	
XOR	"choice" substatement	
??	"config" substatement	
error notification?	"must" substatement	
object identifier	list::"key" substatement	
??	list::"min-elements" "max-elements" substatements	min-elements default = 0 max-elements default=unbounded mandatory

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 5]

		default=false
+-----		
Conditional PACs	container::presence"	
	substatement	
+-----		
hyperlink?	"reference"	Papyrus doesn't
	substatement	support hyperlinks
+-----		
lifecycle stereotypes	"status"	"current"
	substatement	"deprecated"
		"obsolete"
		default="current"
+-----		
??	list::"unique"	
	substatement	
+-----		
complex attribute	"uses" substatement	
+-----		
{<constraint>}	"when" substatement	
+-----		

Figure 1: Mapping of Object Classes

### [5.3. Mapping of Attributes](#)

+-----		
Attribute --> "leaf" (single) or "leaf list" (multiple)		
	statement	
+-----		
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment
+-----		
documentation	"description"	
	substatement	
+-----		
type	"type" substatement	
	(built-in or derived)	
+-----		
readOnly	"config" substatement	
	(false)	
+-----		
isOrdered	"ordered-by"	
	substatement	
	("system" or "user")	
+-----		
multiplicity	"min-elements" and	min-elements
	"max-elements"	default = 0
	substatements	max-elements
	[0..x]=>mandatory	default=unbounded

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 6]

	substatement=false	mandatory	
	[1..x]>mandatory	default=false	
	substatement=true		
+-----+ 			
defaultValue	"default"	If a default value	
substatement		exists and it is	
		the desired value,	
		the parameter does	
		not have to be	
		explicitly config-	
		ured by the user.	
+-----+ 			
isInvariant	"config"		
substatement (false)			
+-----+ 			
valueRange	"range" or "length"		
substatement of "type"			
substatement			
+-----+ 			
passedById	??		
+-----+ 			
support	"if-feature"		
substatement			
+-----+ 			
condition	"if-feature"		
substatement			
+-----+ 			
error notification?	"must"		
substatement			
+-----+ 			
hyperlink?	"reference"	Papyrus doesn't	
substatement		support hyperlinks	
+-----+ 			
lifecycle stereotypes	"status"	"current"	
substatement		"deprecated"	
		"obsolete"	
		default="current"	
+-----+ 			
unit?	"units" substatement		
+-----+ 			
{<constraint>}	"when" substatement		
+-----+			

Figure 2: Mapping of Attributes

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 7]

## 5.4. Mapping of Types

UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment
Primitive Type	??	new built-in type?
Enumeration	"enum" statement	
Basic Data Type	"typeDef" statement	
Complex Data Type	"grouping" statement	

Figure 3: Mapping of Types

Note: YANG allows also in-line enumerations which are not possible in UML

### 5.4.1. Mapping of Primitive Types

Primitive Type -> new built-in type?		
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment
documentation	??	

Figure 4: Mapping of Primitive Types

### 5.4.2. Mapping of Enumeration Types



Enumeration Type -> "enum" statement			
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment	
documentation	"description"		
	substatement		
literal name	"value"		
	substatement		
hyperlink?	"reference"	Papyrus doesn't	
	substatement	support hyperlinks	
lifecycle stereotypes	"status"	"current",	
	substatement	"deprecated",	
		"obsolete"	
		default=current	
??	"if-feature" statement		

Figure 5: Mapping of Enumeration Types

#### 5.4.3. Mapping of Basic Data Types



Basic Data Type -> "typeDef" statement		
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment
documentation	"description" substatement	
type	"type" substatement (built-in type)	
defaultValue	"default" substatement	If a default value exists and it is the desired value, the parameter does not have to be explicitly configured by the user.
hyperlink?	"reference" substatement	Papyrus doesn't support hyperlinks
lifecycle stereotypes	"status" substatement	"current", "deprecated", "obsolete" default=current
unit?	"units" statement	

Figure 6: Mapping of Basic Data Types

#### 5.4.4. Mapping of Complex Data Types



Complex Data Type -> "grouping" statement			
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment	
documentation	"description" substatement		
not used	"action" substatement		
XOR	"choice" substatement		
hyperlink?	"reference" substatement	Papyrus doesn't support hyperlinks	
lifecycle stereotypes	"status" substatement	"current", "deprecated", "obsolete" default=current	
complex attribute	"uses" statement		

Figure 7: Mapping of Complex Data Types

## 5.5. Mapping of Associations

Associations			
UML Artifact	YANG Artifact	Comment	
Inheritance	"extension" or "augment" statement		
Composition	"container" statement		
Aggregation	"container" statement		

Figure 8: Mapping of Associations

Figure 9: Association Mapping Examples (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 11]

## 5.6. Mapping of Interfaces

UML Interface -> Container?			
documentation	"description"		
	substatement		
abstract	??		
support	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
condition	"if-feature"		
	substatement		

Figure 10: Mapping of Interfaces

## 5.7. Mapping of Operations



Operation -> "action" and "rpc" statements			
documentation	"description"		
	substatement		
pre-condition	??		
post-condition	??		
input parameter	"input" substatement		
output parameter	"output" substatement		
operation exceptions	??		
isOperationIdempotent	??		
isAtomic	??		
support	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
condition	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
hyperlink?	"reference"	Papyrus doesn't	
	substatement	support hyperlinks	
lifecycle stereotypes	"status"	"current",	
	substatement	"deprecated",	
		"obsolete"	
		default=current	

Figure 11: Mapping of Operations

Note: The difference between an action and an rpc is that an action is tied to a node in the data tree, whereas an rpc is not.

## 5.8. Mapping of Operation Parameters

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 13]

Operation Parameters			
documentation	"description"		
	substatement		
+--			
direction	"input" or "output"		
	substatement		
+--			
type	see mapping of		
	attribute types		
	(grouping, leaf,		
	leaf-list, list,		
	typedef, uses)		
+--			
isOrdered			
+--			
multiplicity			
+--			
defaultValue	??		
+--			
valueRange	??		
+--			
passedByID	??		
+--			
support	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
+--			
condition	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
+--			
XOR	"choice"		
	substatement		
+--			
error notification?	"must"		
	substatement		
+--			
complex parameter	"uses"		
	substatement		
+--			

Figure 12: Mapping of Operation Parameters

### 5.9. Mapping of Notifications

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 14]

Signal -> "notification" statement			
documentation	"description"		
	substatement		
support	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
condition	"if-feature"		
	substatement		
XOR	"choice"		
	substatement		
error notification?	"must"		
	substatement		
hyperlink?	"reference"	Papyrus doesn't	
	substatement	support hyperlinks	
lifecycle stereotypes	"status"	"current",	
	substatement	"deprecated",	
		"obsolete"	
		default=current	
complex attribute	"uses"		
	substatement		

Figure 13: Mapping of Notifications

#### [5.10. Mapping of Lifecycle](#)

UML Lifecycle			
lifecycle stereotypes	"status"	"current",	
	substatement	"deprecated",	
		"obsolete"	
		default=current	

Figure 14: Mapping of Lifecycle

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 15]

### [5.11. Other Mappings](#)

UML Lifecycle		
Conditional Package	"container" statement with   "presence"   substatement	
Primitive Type	Built-In Type	
Package	Submodule	

Figure 15: Other Mappings

## [6. Mapping Issues](#)

When translating from UML information models to YANG data models some mapping rules are straightforward, and some are not. This section provides considerations and recommendations for the more complex translations.

### [6.1. Mapping of Recursion](#)

- o Statically define a number of recursion levels
- o Reference Based Approach

In the static approach, some number of recursion levels is pre-configured. In the Reference-based approach, a flat list is maintained using hierarchical identities. The reference-based approach is generally preferred because there is no arbitrary limitation set in the solution.

## [7. Mapping Patterns](#)

### [7.1. UML Recursion](#)

TBD

### [7.2. UML Conditional Pacs](#)

May use the "presence" property of the container statement?



Figure 16: Mapping of Conditional Packages (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

### **7.3. XOR Relationship**

Use the "choice" property of the container statement.

## **8. Mapping Basics**

### **8.1. UML-YANG or XMI-YANG**

Figure 17: Example UML to YANG Mapping (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

Figure 18: Example XMI (Papyrus) to YANG Mapping (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

### **8.2. XMI Differences**

Figure 19: Example XMI (Papyrus) / XMI (RSA) Differences (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

Figure 20: Example XMI (Papyrus) / XMI (RSA) Differences (detailed) (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

## **9. Acknowledgements**

## **10. IANA Considerations**

This memo includes no request to IANA.

## **11. Security Considerations**

This document defines guidelines for translation of data modeled with UML to YANG. As such, it doesn't contribute any new security issues beyond those discussed in Sec. 16 of [RFC6020](#) [2].

## **12. References**

### **12.1. Normative References**

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.



## 12.2. Informative References

- [2] Bjorklund, M., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6020](#), October 2010.
- [3] Bjorklund, M., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [draft-ietf-netmod-rfc6020bis-05](#) (work in progress), May 2015.
- [4] Galimberti, G., Kunze, R., Lam, H., Hiremagalur, D., Grammel, G., Fang, L., and G. Ratterree, "A YANG model to manage the optical interface parameters of "G.698.2 single channel" in DWDM applications", [draft-dharini-netmod-g-698-2-yang-03](#) (work in progress), March 2015.
- [5] Lam, H., Varma, E., Doolan, P., Davis, N., Zeuner, B., Betts, M., Busi, I., and S. Mansfield, "Usage of IM for network topology to support TE Topology YANG Module Development", [draft-lam-teas-usage-info-model-net-topology-00](#) (work in progress), March 2015.
- [6] OMG, "Unified Modeling Language (UML)", 2011, <<http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.4/>>.
- [7] ONF, "ONF TR-514 v1.0 UML Modeling Guidelines", 2015, <[https://www.opennetworking.org/images/stories/downloads/sdn-resources/technical-reports/UML\\_Modeling\\_Guidelines\\_V1.0.pdf](https://www.opennetworking.org/images/stories/downloads/sdn-resources/technical-reports/UML_Modeling_Guidelines_V1.0.pdf)>.

## Appendix A. Example

The YANG data schema (in tree format) shown below was extracted from dharini-netmod-g-698-2-yang [4] and represents the same data as UML model appearing in Figure 23 after the tree format. Note: The color code used in the tree format corresponds to the color code used in the UML class diagram.

Figure 21: Interfaces Tree (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

Figure 22: Notifications Tree (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

Figure 23: Interfaces UML Model (Available in PDF or HTML versions)

Mansfield, et al.

Expires January 4, 2016

[Page 18]

## Authors' Addresses

Scott Mansfield (editor)  
Ericsson Inc.  
USA

Phone: +1 724 931 9316  
Email: scott.mansfield@ericsson.com

Bernd Zeuner  
Deutsche Telekom AG  
Heinrich-Hertz-Str, 3-7  
Darmstadt 64295  
Germany

Phone: +49 6151 58-12086  
Email: b.zeuner@telekom.de

Nigel Davis  
Ciena  
United Kingdom  
  
Email: ndavis@ciena.com

Yun Xiang  
Fiberhome  
China  
  
Email: yunxig@fiberhome.com.cn

Yuji Tochio  
Fujitsu  
Japan  
  
Email: tochio@jp.fujitsu.com

Hing-Kam Lam  
Alcatel Lucent  
USA  
  
Phone: +1 732 331 3476  
Email: kam.lam@alcatel-lucent.com



Eve Varma  
Alcatel Lucent  
USA

Email: eve.varma@alcatel-lucent.com