Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track

Politecnico di Milano H. Chu Symas Corp. November 19, 2008

P. Masarati

LDAP Dereference Control draft-masarati-ldap-deref-00.txt

Status of this Memo

Expires: May 23, 2009

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 23, 2009.

Abstract

This document describes the Dereference Control for LDAP. This control is intended to provide a concise means to collect extra information related to cross-links present in entries returned as part of search responses.

Table of Contents

1. Background and Intended Use	3
2. The LDAP Dereference Control	<u>4</u>
2.1. Control Semantics	<u>4</u>
2.2. Control Request	<u>5</u>
2.3. Control Response	<u>5</u>
<u>3</u> . Examples	<u>6</u>
$\underline{4}$. Implementation Notes	7
<u>5</u> . Security Considerations	
6. IANA Considerations	9
$\underline{6.1}$. Object Identifier Registration	9
7. Acknowledgments	<u>LO</u>
8. Normative References	<u>L1</u>
Authors' Addresses	<u>L2</u>
Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	<u>13</u>

1. Background and Intended Use

Cross-links between entries are often used to describe relationships between entries. To exploit the uniqueness of entries naming, these links are usually represented by the distinguished name (DN) of the linked entries.

In many cases, DUAs need to collect information about linked entries. This requires to explicitly dereference each linked entry in order to collect the desired attributes, resulting in the need to perform a specific sequence of search operations, using the links as search base, with a SearchRequest.scope of baseObject [RFC4511].

This document describes a LDAP Control [RFC4511] that allows a DUA to request the DSA to return specific attributes of linked entries along with the link, under the assumption that this operation can be performed by the DSA in a more efficient manner than the DUA would itself by performing the complete sequence of required search operations.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Internet-Draft LDAP Deref November 2008

2. The LDAP Dereference Control

2.1. Control Semantics

This control allows specifying a dereference attribute and a set of attributes to be dereferenced, as illustrated in Section 2.2. The dereference attribute's syntax MUST be 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.12 (DN) [RFC4517]. Each value of the dereference attribute in a SearchResultEntry SHOULD result in dereferencing the corresponding entry, collecting the values of the attributes to be dereferenced, and returning them as part of the control value in the SearchResultEntry response, in the format detailed in Section 2.3.

The control value may contain dereference attribute values without any dereferenced attribute values, as detailed in <u>Section 2.3</u>. The control semantics does not specify whether this is a consequence of a dangling link or of the application of access restrictions on the values of the attributes to be dereferenced.

Attribute description hierarchy [RFC4512] SHALL NOT be exploited when collecting the values of the attributes to be dereferenced. On the contrary, all of the attribute descriptions in an attribute hierarchy SHOULD be treated as distinct and unrelated descriptions.

This control is only appropriate for the search operation [RFC4511].

The semantics of the criticality field are specified in [RFC4511]. In detail, the criticality of the control determines whether the control will or will not be used, and if it will not be used, whether the operation will continue without returning the control in the response, or fail, returning unavailableCriticalExtension. If the control is appropriate for an operation and, for any reason, it cannot be applied in its entirety to a single SearchResultEntry response, it MUST NOT be applied to that specific SearchResultEntry response, without affecting its application to any subsequent SearchResultEntry response.

Servers implementing this technical specification SHOULD publish the object identifier deref-oid (IANA assigned; see <u>Section 6</u>) as a value of the 'supportedControl' attribute [<u>RFC4512</u>] in their root DSE.

This control is totally unrelated to alias dereferencing [RFC4511].

Internet-Draft LDAP Deref November 2008

2.2. Control Request

```
The control type is deref-oid (IANA assigned; see <u>Section 6</u>). The specification of the Dereference Control request is:
```

Each derefSpec.derefAttr MUST be unique within controlValue.

2.3. Control Response

The control type is deref-oid (IANA assigned; see <u>Section 6</u>). The specification of the Dereference Control response is:

PartialAttribute is defined in [RFC4511]; the definition is reported here for clarity:

```
PartialAttribute ::= SEQUENCE {
   type     AttributeDescription,
   vals     SET OF value AttributeValue }
```

If partialAttribute.vals is empty, the corresponding partialAttribute is omitted. If all partialAttribute.vals in attrVals are empty, that derefRes.attrVals is omitted.

Examples

```
Given these entries:
    dn: cn=Howard Chu, ou=people, dc=example, dc=org
    objectClass: inetOrgPerson
    cn: Howard Chu
    sn: Chu
    uid: hyc
    dn: cn=Pierangelo Masarati,ou=people,dc=example,dc=org
    objectClass: inetOrgPerson
    cn: Pierangelo Masarati
    sn: Masarati
    uid: ando
    dn: cn=Test Group, ou=groups, dc=example, dc=org
    objectClass: groupOfNames
    cn: Test Group
    member: cn=Howard Chu, ou=people, dc=example, dc=org
    member: cn=Pierangelo Masarati,ou=people,dc=example,dc=org
A search could be performed with a Dereference request control value
specified as
    { member, uid }
and the "cn=Test Group" entry would be returned with the response
control value
    { { member, cn=Howard Chu,ou=people,dc=example,dc=org,
        { { uid, [hyc] } } },
      { member, cn=Pierangelo Masarati,ou=people,dc=example,dc=org,
```

{ { uid, [ando] } } } }

4. Implementation Notes

This LDAP extension is currently implemented in ${\tt OpenLDAP}$ software using the temporary OID 1.3.6.1.4.1.4203.666.5.16 under OpenLDAP's experimental OID arc.

5. Security Considerations

The control result MUST NOT disclose information the client's identity could not have accessed by performing the related search operations. The presence of a derefRes.derefVal in the response control, with no derefRes.attrVals, does not imply neither the existence of nor any access privilege to the corresponding entry. It is merely a consequence of the read access the client's identity has on the corresponding value of the derefRes.derefAttr that would be returned as part of the attributes of a SearchResultEntry response [RFC4511].

Security considerations described in documents listed in [RFC4510] apply.

6. IANA Considerations

<u>6.1</u>. Object Identifier Registration

It is requested that IANA register upon Standards Action an LDAP Object Identifier for use in this technical specification.

Subject: Request for LDAP OID Registration

Person & email address to contact for further information:

Pierangelo Masarati <ando@OpenLDAP.org>

Specification: (I-D)

Author/Change Controller: IESG

Comments:

Identifies the LDAP Dereference Control request

and response

7. Acknowledgments

TBD

Internet-Draft LDAP Deref November 2008

8. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC4510] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Technical Specification Road Map", RFC 4510, June 2006.
- [RFC4511] Sermersheim, J., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): The Protocol", RFC 4511, June 2006.
- [RFC4512] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Directory Information Models", RFC 4512, June 2006.
- [RFC4517] Legg, S., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Syntaxes and Matching Rules", RFC 4517, June 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Pierangelo Masarati Politecnico di Milano Dipartimento di Ingegneria Aerospaziale via La Masa 34 Milano 20156 IT

Phone: +39 02 2399 8309 Fax: +39 02 2399 8334 Email: ando@OpenLDAP.org

URI: http://www.aero.polimi.it/masarati/

Howard Y. Chu Symas Corporation 18740 Oxnard St., Suite 313A Tarzana, California 91356 USA

Phone: +1 818 757-7087 Email: hyc@symas.com

URI: http://www.symas.com/

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.