Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational
Expires: February 11, 2017

N. Matsuhira Fujitsu Limited August 10, 2016

# Multiple IPv4 address and port number - IPv6 address mapping encapsulation (M4P6E) draft-matsuhira-m4p6e-01

#### Abstract

This document specifies Multiple IPv4 address and port number - IPv6 address mapping encapulation (M4P6E) specification.

## Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="https://recommons.org/recommons.o

#### Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP}$  78 and  $\underline{BCP}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 11, 2017.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <a href="BCP-78">BCP 78</a> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . I	ntroduction									. 3
<u>2</u> . A	rchitecture of M4P6E									. 3
<u>3</u> . M	4P6E address format									. 3
<u>4</u> . U	sing M4P6E in client server en	vir	onm	ents	· .					. 4
<u>4.1</u>	. Client environments									. <u>4</u>
4.2	. Server environments									. <u>5</u>
4.3	. Data Center Environments .									. <u>5</u>
<u>5</u> . P	ort Number Issue									. <u>6</u>
	ANA Considerations									
	ecurity Considerations									
	eferences									
	. Normative References									
8.2	. References									. 7
Autho	r's Address									. 7

## 1. Introduction

This document provides Multiple IPv4 address and port number - IPv6 address mapping encapulation (M4P6E) base specification.

M4P6E provide IPv4 address sharing function without Network Address Translation (NAT [RFC1631]). M4P6E require IPv6 network.

#### 2. Architecture of M4P6E

Figure 1 shows M4P6E address architecture. M4P6E address consists four parts, M4P6E prefix, IPv4 network plane ID, IPv4 address, and Port number.

   80 - m bits	   m bits -+	   32 bits	
M4P6E prefix 	IPv4 network   plane ID	IPv4 address	port     number
< Loca   			•

Figure 1

In M4P6E, boundary of locator and identifier is in port number part, that mean, M4P6E use upper part of port number as locator, and lower part of port number as identifier.

# 3. M4P6E address format

Figure 2 show a example of M4P6E address format. In this example, 16bits IPv4 network plane ID is used, that provide 65535 IPv4 network plane.

3	45bits		16bits	16 b	its  32	2bits	16	bits	
++-		-+-	+		+	+			-+
001	routing prefix	s	ubnet id	plane	ID IPv4	address	Por	t #	
++-		-+-	+			+			-+

Figure 2

# 4. Using M4P6E in client server environments

# **4.1**. Client environments

Figure 3 shows a example of M4P6E usage in client environments. In this document, NAPT is IPv4 - IPv4 Netowrk address and port number translator. Coopetation with NAPT, M4P6E provide IPv4 address sharing with different users.

	+	-+
	 	++ ++ ++ +  M4P6E    NAPT  +  Clients
	       Backbone	++ ++   ++     ++   +  Clients     ++
	   Network 	
	               	++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++
-	:                 	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

## Figure 3

#### 4.2. Server environments

Figure 4 shows an example of M4P6E usage in server environments. In this example, server terminate M4P6E tunnel. This case, Server require at least one port number per server, that mean, 128bits host route advertise for server access via IPv4. This case, full access is provided via IPv6.




Figure 4

### 4.3. Data Center Environments

Figure 5 shows an example of M4P6E usage in Data Center environments. In this example, M4P6E is used only in Data Center Backend Network closely. Client which is connected via backbone network does not know the exists of M4P6E. M4P6E can provide at least one port number per server, this case, 128bits host route is advertised, however this route in advertised only in data center backbone network. Ofcource, IPv6 address may allocated to the server, so full access is provided via IPv6.

| +-----+ |-| Server w/M4P6E | . | Data | +----+ |Backbone+--+Center | +-----+ | |Front | +-----+ |Network | Network | . |Network | +-----+ | +----+ | |-| Server w/M4P6E | +--| M4P6E |--+ | +----+ | +----+ | | +----+ : |-| Server w/M4P6E | +----+ |-| Server w/M4P6E | +----+ +----+ +----+ +---+ -Normal IPv4 communication->.<---- M4P6E ----> communication -----> Normal IPv6 communication ----->

Figure 5

### 5. Port Number Issue

M4P6E require port number of transport layer. M4P6E can not support ICMPv4 [RFC0792]. The function provided by ICMPv4 does not work in M4P6E environments, such as Path MTU Discovery [RFC1191], ping command, etc.

M4P6E can not also support IPv4 IPsec ESP  $[{\tt RFC4303}]$  because transport header is encrypted.

#### 6. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an RFC.

## Security Considerations

M4P6E use automatic tunneling technologies. Security consideration related tunneling technologies are discussed in <a href="https://rec2893">RFC2893</a> [RFC2893], RFC2267 [RFC2267], etc.

#### 8. References

#### **8.1.** Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/
RFC2119, March 1997,
<a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</a>.

# 8.2. References

- [RFC1631] Egevang, K. and P. Francis, "The IP Network Address Translator (NAT)", <u>RFC 1631</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC1631, May 1994, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1631">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1631</a>>.
- [RFC2267] Ferguson, P. and D. Senie, "Network Ingress Filtering:
  Defeating Denial of Service Attacks which employ IP Source
  Address Spoofing", RFC 2267, DOI 10.17487/RFC2267,
  January 1998, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2267">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2267</a>>.
- [RFC4303] Kent, S., "IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4303, DOI 10.17487/RFC4303, December 2005, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4303">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4303</a>>.

# Author's Address

Naoki Matsuhira Fujitsu Limited 17-25, Shinkamata 1-chome, Ota-ku Tokyo, 144-8588 Japan

Phone: +81-3-3730-8386

Fax:

Email: matsuhira@jp.fujitsu.com