

Network Working Group
INTERNET-DRAFT
Updates: [2910](#), [2911](#) (if approved)
Intended Status: Standards Track
Expires: 3 January 2015

Ira McDonald
High North Inc
Michael Sweet
Apple Inc
3 July 2014

IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding and 'ipps' URI Scheme
draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-13.txt

Abstract

This memo defines the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) over HTTPS transport binding and the corresponding 'ipps' URI scheme, that is used to designate the access to the network location of a secure IPP print service or a network resource (for example, a print job) managed by such a service.

This memo is an individual submission to the IETF by the Internet Printing Protocol Working Group of the IEEE-ISTO Printer Working Group, as part of their PWG IPP Everywhere (PWG 5100.14) project for secure mobile printing with vendor-neutral Client software.

This memo defines an alternate IPP transport binding to that defined in the original IPP URL Scheme ([RFC 3510](#)), but this memo does not update or obsolete ([RFC 3510](#)).

This memo updates [RFC 2910](#) and [RFC 2911](#).

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#). Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on 3 January 2015.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 1]

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 2]

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	4
1.1.	Structure of this document	4
1.2.	Rationale for this document	5
2.	Conventions Used in this Document	5
2.1.	Printing Terminology	5
2.2.	Abbreviations	6
3.	IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding	7
4.	Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme	8
4.1.	Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme	8
4.2.	Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme	9
4.3.	Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme	10
4.4.	Associated MIME Type for 'ipps' URI Scheme	10
4.5.	Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme	11
4.6.	Examples of 'ipps' URI	11
4.6.1.	Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers	11
4.6.2.	Examples of 'ipps' URI for Jobs	12
4.7.	Comparisons of 'ipps' URI	13
5.	Applicability of this Specification	13
5.1.	Applicability to IPP Clients	13
5.2.	Applicability to IPP Printers	14
6.	IANA Considerations	15
7.	Security Considerations	16
7.1.	Problem Statement	16
7.1.1.	Targets of Attacks	16
7.1.2.	Layers of Attacks	16
7.2.	Attacks and Defenses	17
7.2.1.	Faked 'ipps' URI	17
7.2.2.	Unauthorized Access by IPP Client	18
7.2.3.	Compromise at Application Layer Gateway	18
7.2.4.	No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI	18
7.3.	TLS Cipher Suite Requirements	18
8.	Acknowledgments	19
9.	References	20
9.1.	Normative References	20
9.2.	Informative References	21
10.	Appendix A - Summary of IPP URL Scheme (Informative)	22
11.	Appendix X - Change History	23
12.	Authors' Addresses	29

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 3]

1. Introduction

This document defines the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) over HTTPS transport binding and the corresponding 'ipps' URI scheme, that is used to designate the access to the network location of a secure IPP print service or a network resource (for example, a print job) managed by such a service.

This document is an individual submission to the IETF by the Internet Printing Protocol Working Group of the IEEE-ISTO Printer Working Group, as part of their PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14] project for secure mobile printing with vendor-neutral Client software.

This document defines an alternate IPP transport binding to that defined in the original IPP URL Scheme [RFC3510], but this document does not update or obsolete [RFC3510].

This document updates [RFC2910] and [RFC2911].

This document updates:

- a) IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [RFC2910], by extending [section 4](#) 'Encoding of the Transport Layer', [section 5](#) 'IPP URL Scheme', and [section 8.2](#) 'Using IPP with TLS';
- b) IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [RFC2911], by extending [section 4.1.6](#) 'uriScheme' and [section 4.4.1](#) 'printer-uri-supported'; and
- c) IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [PWG5100.12], by extending [section 4](#) 'IPP Standards' and [section 10](#) 'Security Considerations'.

The following versions of IPP are currently defined:

- 1.0 in [RFC2566] (obsolete)
- 1.1 in [RFC2911]
- 2.0 in [PWG5100.12]
- 2.1 in [PWG5100.12]
- 2.2 in [PWG5100.12]

Overview information about IPP is available in [section 1 of RFC 2911](#) [RFC2911], [section 1 of RFC 3196](#) [RFC3196], and [section 1](#) of PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [PWG5100.12].

1.1. Structure of this document

This document contains the following sections: [Section 2](#) defines the conventions used throughout the document.

[Section 3](#) defines the IPP over HTTPS transport binding.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 4]

[Section 4](#) defines the 'ipps' URI scheme.

[Section 5](#) defines the applicability of this specification to IPP Clients and IPP Printers.

Sections [6](#) and [7](#) contain IANA and security considerations, respectively.

[Section 8](#) contains acknowledgments.

[Section 9](#) contains references.

[Appendix A](#) contains an informative summary of the original IPP URL Scheme [[RFC3510](#)] and associated IPP over HTTP transport binding.

[1.2.](#) Rationale for this document

The 'ipps' URI scheme was defined for the following reasons:

- 1) Some existing IPP Client and IPP Printer implementations of Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1 [[RFC 2817](#)] are flawed and unreliable.
- 2) Some existing IPP Client and IPP Printer implementations of HTTP Upgrade [[RFC 2717](#)] do not perform upgrade at the beginning of every HTTP connection, but instead only shift to secure IPP for selected IPP operations (inherently dangerous behavior on the same underlying TCP connection).
- 3) IPP Printer server-mandated HTTP Upgrade [[RFC 2817](#)] can still lead to exposure of IPP Client data if the Expect request header is not used - basically the IPP Client can send its whole Print-Job request before the IPP Printer has a chance to respond and say, "Wait! You need to encrypt first!"

[2.](#) Conventions Used in this Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[2.1.](#) Printing Terminology

The reader of this document needs to be familiar with the printing terms defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [[RFC2911](#)] as well as the

following:

IPP Client: The software (on some hardware platform) that submits IPP Job creation and IPP Printer and IPP Job management operations via the IPP over HTTP transport binding defined in the IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)] and/or the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in [section 3](#) of this specification to a downstream IPP Printer (print spooler, print gateway, or physical printing device).

IPP Job: The set of attributes and documents for one print job instantiated in an IPP Printer.

IPP Job object: Synonym for IPP Job.

IPP Printer: The software (on some hardware platform) that receives IPP Job creation and IPP Printer and IPP Job management operations via the IPP over HTTP transport binding defined in the IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)] and/or the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in [section 3](#) of this specification from an upstream IPP Client or IPP Printer.

IPP Printer object: Synonym for IPP Printer.

'ipps' URI: A URI using the 'ipps' URI scheme defined in [section 4](#) of this specification.

[2.2.](#) Abbreviations

This document makes use of the following abbreviations (given with their expanded forms and references for further reading):

ABNF - Augmented Backus-Naur Form [[STD68](#)]

ASCII - American Standard Code for Information Interchange [[ASCII](#)]

HTTP - HyperText Transfer Protocol [[HTTP1.1](#)]

HTTPS - HTTP over TLS [[RFC2818](#)]

IANA - Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
<<http://www.iana.org>>

IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
<<http://www.ieee.org>>

IESG - Internet Engineering Steering Group
<<http://www.ietf.org/iesg/>>

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 6]

IPP - Internet Printing Protocol [[RFC2911](#)] and [[PWG5100.12](#)]
<<http://www.pwg.org/ipp/>>

ISTO - IEEE Industry Standards and Technology Organization
<<http://www.ieee-isto.org/>>

LPD - Line Printer Daemon Protocol [[RFC1179](#)]

PWG - IEEE-ISTO Printer Working Group
<<http://www.pwg.org>>

RFC - Request for Comments
<<http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc.html>>

TCP - Transmission Control Protocol [[STD7](#)]

TLS - Transport Layer Security [[RFC5246](#)]

URI - Uniform Resource Identifier [[STD66](#)]

URL - Uniform Resource Locator [[STD66](#)]

UTF-8 - Unicode Transformation Format - 8-bit [[STD63](#)]

3. IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding

This section is a normative description of the protocol steps taken by an IPP Client using and an IPP Printer supporting the 'ipps' URI scheme.

This document defines the following alternate IPP over HTTPS transport binding for the abstract protocol defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [[RFC2911](#)] and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [[PWG5100.12](#)].

When using an 'ipps' URI, an IPP Client MUST establish an IPP application layer connection according to the following sequence:

- 1) The IPP Client selects an 'ipps' URI value from "printer-uri-supported" Printer attribute [[RFC2911](#)], a directory entry, discovery info, a web page, etc.;
- 2) The IPP Client converts the 'ipps' URI to an 'https' URI (replacing 'ipps' with 'https' and inserting the port number from the URI or port 631 if the URI doesn't include a port number);
- 3) The IPP Client establishes a TCP [[STD7](#)] reliable transport layer

connection to the target endpoint - see [section 3.4](#) 'Establishing

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 7]

a connection' in TCP [[STD7](#)];

- 4) The IPP Client establishes a TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)], TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)], or later TLS version secure transport layer connection to the target endpoint - see [section 7](#) 'The TLS Handshake Protocol' in [[RFC2246](#)], [[RFC4346](#)], and [[RFC5246](#)];
- 5) The IPP Client establishes an HTTPS [[RFC2818](#)] secure session layer connection over the TLS secure transport layer to the target endpoint; and
- 6) The IPP Client sends IPP application layer requests to and receives responses from the IPP Printer over the HTTPS [[RFC2818](#)] secure session layer connection using the POST method defined in [[RFC7231](#)], as specified in [section 4](#) 'Encoding of Transport Layer' in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)].

See: Section 'Security Considerations' in [[RFC2818](#)].

See: [Section 10](#) 'Security Considerations' in [[PWG5100.12](#)].

[4.](#) Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme

[4.1.](#) Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme

The 'ipps' URI scheme MUST only be used to specify absolute URI (relative 'ipps' URI are not allowed) for IPP secure print services and their associated network resources. The 'ipps' URI scheme MUST only be used to specify the use of the abstract protocol defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [[RFC2911](#)] and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [[PWG5100.12](#)] over an HTTPS [[RFC2818](#)] transport, as defined in this specification. Any other transport binding for IPP would require a different URI scheme.

The 'ipps' URI scheme allows an IPP Client to choose an appropriate IPP secure print service (for example, from a directory). The IPP Client can establish an HTTPS connection to the specified IPP secure print service. The IPP Client can send IPP protocol requests (for example, 'Print-Job' requests) and receive IPP protocol responses over that HTTPS connection.

See: [Section 4.2](#) (syntax) of this document.

See: [Section 4.4.1](#) 'printer-uri-supported' in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [[RFC2911](#)].

See: [Section 5](#) 'IPP URL Scheme' in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 8]

[[RFC2910](#)].

See: [Section 4](#) 'IPP Standards' and [section 10](#) 'Security Considerations' of IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [[PWG5100.12](#)].

[4.2.](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme

The abstract protocol defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [[RFC2911](#)] places a limit of 1023 octets (NOT characters) on the length of a URI.

See: [Section 4.1.5](#) 'uri' in [[RFC2911](#)].

Note: IPP Printers SHOULD be cautious about depending on URI lengths above 255 octets, because some older IPP Client implementations might not properly support these lengths.

'ipps' URI MUST be represented in absolute form. Absolute URI MUST always begin with a scheme name followed by a colon. For definitive information on URI syntax and semantics, see "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Generic Syntax and Semantics" [[STD66](#)]. This specification adopts the definitions of "host", "port", and "query" from [[STD66](#)]. This specification adopts the definition of "absolute-path" from [[RFC7230](#)].

The 'ipps' URI scheme syntax in ABNF [[STD68](#)] is defined as follows:

```
ipps-uri =  
    "ipps:" "/" host [ ":" port ] [ absolute-path [ "?" query ] ]
```

If the port is empty or not given, then port 631 MUST be used.

See: [Section 4.3](#) (port) of this document.

The semantics are that the identified resource (see [[RFC7230](#)]) is located at the IPP secure print service listening for HTTPS connections on that port of that host, and the Request-URI for the identified resource is 'absolute-path'.

Note: The higher-level "authority" production is not imported from [[STD66](#)], because it includes an optional "userinfo" component which cannot be used in 'ipps' URI.

Note: The "query" production does not have defined semantics in IPP and was never used in examples in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)] or the original IPP URL Scheme [[RFC3510](#)]. The "query" is retained here for consistency, but IPP Clients SHOULD avoid its use

(because the semantics could only be implementation-defined).

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 9]

Note: Literal IPv4 or IPv6 addresses SHOULD NOT be used in 'ipps' URI, because:

- a) IP addresses are often changed after network device installation (for example, based on DHCP reassignment after a power cycle);
- b) IP addresses often don't map simply to security domains;
- c) IP addresses are difficult to validate with X.509 server certificates (because they do not map to common name or alternate name attributes); and
- d) IPv6 link local addresses are not "portable" due to link identity

If the 'absolute-path' is not present in the URI, it MUST be given as "/" when used as a Request-URI for a resource (see [[RFC7230](#)]).

An 'ipps' URI is transformed into an 'https' URI by replacing "ipps:" with "https:" and inserting port 631 (if the 'port' is not present in the original 'ipps' URI).

See: [Section 4.3](#) (port) of this document.

[4.3.](#) Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme

All 'ipps' URI which do NOT explicitly specify a port MUST be resolved to IANA-assigned well-known port 631, already registered in [[PORTREG](#)] for IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)].

Note: Port 631 is used for both 'ipp' [[RFC3510](#)] and 'ipps' URI, both of which refer to an IPP print service or a network resource managed by such a service (for example, a print job), for consistency with recent IETF best practices. IPP Printer implementors can refer to the CUPS [[CUPS](#)] source code for an example of incoming connection handling for the dual use of port 631.

Note: For compatibility with existing IPP Client and IPP Printer implementations, explicit port 443 (assigned in the 'https' URI scheme [[RFC2818](#)]) MUST be accepted in 'ipps' URI and processed normally by IPP Clients and IPP Printers.

See: IANA Port Numbers Registry [[PORTREG](#)].

See: IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)].

[4.4.](#) Associated MIME Type for 'ipps' URI Scheme

All 'ipps' URI MUST be used to specify secure print services which support the "application/ipp" MIME media type as registered in

[[MIMEREG](#)] for IPP protocol requests and responses.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 10]

See: IANA MIME Media Types Registry [[MIMEREG](#)].

See: IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)].

[4.5.](#) Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme

'ipps' URI MUST use the UTF-8 [[STD63](#)] charset for all components.

'ipps' URI MUST use [[STD66](#)] rules for percent encoding data octets outside the US-ASCII coded character set [[ASCII](#)].

[4.6.](#) Examples of 'ipps' URI

[4.6.1.](#) Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers

The following are examples of well-formed 'ipps' URI for IPP Printers (for example, to be used as protocol elements in 'printer-uri' operation attributes of 'Print-Job' request messages):

```
ipps://example.com
ipps://example.com/ipp
ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger
ipps://example.com/ipp/fox
ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger/bob
ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger/ira
```

Each of the above URI are well-formed URI for IPP Printers and each would reference a logically different IPP Printer, even though some of those IPP Printers might share the same host system. The 'bob' or 'ira' last path components might represent two different physical printer devices, while 'tiger' might represent some grouping of IPP Printers (for example, a load-balancing spooler). Or the 'bob' and 'ira' last path components might represent separate human recipients on the same physical printer device (for example, a physical printer supporting two job queues). In either case, both 'bob' and 'ira' would behave as different and independent IPP Printers.

The following are examples of well-formed 'ipps' URI for IPP Printers with (optional) ports and paths:

```
ipps://example.com
ipps://example.com/ipp
ipps://example.com:631/ipp
ipps://example.com:443/ipp
```

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 11]

The first and second 'ipps' URI above MUST be resolved to port 631 (IANA assigned well-known port for IPP). The second and third 'ipps' URI above are equivalent (see [section 4.7](#) below). The fourth 'ipps' URI above uses the explicit port 443 (see [section 4.3](#) above).

See: [Section 4.2](#) (syntax) and [section 4.3](#) (port) of this document.

[4.6.2](#). Examples of 'ipps' URI for Jobs

The following are examples of well-formed 'ipps' URI for IPP Jobs (for example, to be used as protocol elements in 'job-uri' attributes of 'Print-Job' response messages):

```
ipps://example.com/ipp/123
ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger/job123
```

'ipps' URI for Jobs are valid and meaningful only until Job completion and possibly an implementation defined optional period of persistence after Job completion (see IPP Model [[RFC2911](#)]).

Ambiguously, [section 4.3.1](#) 'job-uri' of IPP Model [[RFC2911](#)] states that:

"the precise format of a Job URI is implementation dependent."

Thus, the relationship between the value of the "printer-uri" operation attribute used in a 'Print-Job' request and the value of the "job-uri" attribute returned in the corresponding 'Print-Job' response is entirely implementation dependent. Also, [section 4.3.3](#) 'job-printer-uri' of IPP Model [[RFC2911](#)] states that the 'job-printer-uri' attribute of a Job object:

"permits a client to identify the Printer object that created this Job object when only the Job object's URI is available to the client."

However, the above statement is erroneous, because the transform from a URI for an IPP Job to the corresponding URI for the associated IPP Printer is unspecified in either IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [[RFC2911](#)] or IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)].

Note: IPP Printers that implement this specification SHOULD only generate 'ipps' URI for Jobs (for example, in the "job-uri" attribute in a 'Print-Job' response) by appending exactly one path component to the corresponding 'ipps' URI for the associated Printer.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 12]

4.7. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI

When comparing two 'ipps' URI to decide if they match or not, an IPP Client MUST use the same rules as those defined for 'http' and 'https' URI comparisons in [\[RFC7230\]](#), with the sole following exception:

- A port that is empty or not given MUST be treated as equivalent to the well-known port for that 'ipps' URI (port 631).

See: [Section 4.3](#) (port) in this document.

See: [Section 2.7.3](#) 'http and https URI Normalization and Comparison' in [\[RFC7230\]](#).

See: [Section 2.4](#) 'URI Format' in [\[RFC2818\]](#).

5. Applicability of this Specification

5.1. Applicability to IPP Clients

IPP Clients that implement this specification:

- a) MUST support the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in [section 3](#) and the 'ipps' URI scheme defined in [section 4](#);
- b) MUST support the IPP over HTTP transport binding with TLS defined in [section 8.2](#) 'Using IPP with TLS' of IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [\[RFC2910\]](#) (for interoperability with existing IPP implementations);
- c) MUST support the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in [section 3](#) of this specification;
- d) MUST use the required TLS version(s) according to the corresponding IPP versions as defined in [section 7](#) of this specification;
- e) MUST only send IPP protocol connections to IANA assigned well-known port 631 or to the explicit port specified in a given 'ipps' URI;
- f) MUST only send 'ipps' URI used as protocol elements in outgoing IPP protocol request messages that conform to the ABNF specified in [section 4.2](#) of this document (for example, in the "printer-uri"

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 13]

operation attribute in a 'Print-Job' request);

- g) MUST only convert 'ipps' URI to their corresponding 'https' URI forms [[RFC2818](#)] according to the rules in [section 4.2](#) of this document.

See: [Section 4.2](#) (syntax) and [section 4.3](#) (port) of this document.

5.2. Applicability to IPP Printers

IPP Printers that implement this specification:

- a) MUST support the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in [section 3](#) and the 'ipps' URI scheme defined in [section 4](#);
- b) MUST support the IPP over HTTP transport binding with TLS defined in [section 8.2](#) 'Using IPP with TLS' of IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)] (for interoperability with existing IPP implementations);
- c) MUST support the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in [section 3](#) of this specification;
- d) MUST use the required TLS version(s) according to the corresponding IPP versions as defined in [section 7](#) of this specification;
- e) MUST only generate 'ipps' URI used as protocol elements in outgoing IPP protocol response messages that conform to the ABNF specified in [section 4.2](#) of this document (for example, in the "job-uri" attribute in a 'Print-Job' response);
- f) SHOULD only accept 'ipps' URI used as protocol elements in incoming IPP protocol request messages that conform to the ABNF specified in [section 4.2](#) of this document (for example, in the "printer-uri" operation attribute in a 'Print-Job' request);
- g) SHOULD only generate 'ipps' URI for Jobs by appending exactly one path component to the corresponding 'ipps' URI for the associated Printer (for example, in the "job-uri" attribute in a 'Print-Job' response);
- h) SHOULD NOT generate 'ipps' URI that use literal IPv6 or IPv4 addresses (see [section 4.2](#) for rationale).

See: [Section 4.2](#) (syntax) and [section 4.3](#) (port) of this document.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 14]

6. IANA Considerations

[RFC Editor: Replace 'xxxx' with assigned RFC number before publication]

IANA is asked to register the 'ipps' URI scheme using the following template, which conforms to [\[BCP35\]](#).

URI scheme name: ipps

Status: Permanent

URI scheme syntax: See [section 4.2](#) of RFC xxxx.

URI scheme semantics: The 'ipps' URI scheme is used to designate secure IPP Printer objects (print spoolers, print gateways, print devices, etc.) on Internet hosts accessible using the IPP protocol enhanced to support guaranteed data integrity and negotiable data privacy using TLS as specified in HTTP over TLS [\[RFC2818\]](#).

Encoding Considerations: See [section 4.3](#) of RFC xxxx.

Applications/protocols that use this URI scheme name:

The 'ipps' URI scheme is intended to be used by applications that need to access secure IPP Printers using the IPP protocol enhanced to support guaranteed data integrity and negotiable data privacy using TLS as specified in HTTP over TLS [\[RFC2818\]](#). Such applications may include (but are not limited to) IPP-capable web browsers, IPP Clients that wish to print a file, and servers (for example, print spoolers) wishing to forward a print Job for processing.

Interoperability Considerations: The widely deployed, open source IPP print service CUPS [\[CUPS\]](#) (on most UNIX, Linux, and Apple OS X systems) has supported 'ipps' URI for several years before the publication of this document. PWG IPP Everywhere [\[PWG5100.14\]](#) (IPP secure, mobile printing extensions) requires the use of 'ipps' URI for mandatory data integrity and negotiable data confidentiality.

Security Considerations: See: [Section 7](#) of RFC xxxx.

Contact:

Ira McDonald <blueroofmusic@gmail.com>

Michael Sweet <msweet@apple.com>

Author/Change controller:

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 15]

IESG

References: [RFC 2910](#), [RFC 2911](#), RFC xxxx, and IEEE-ISTO PWG 5100.12.

7. Security Considerations

7.1. Problem Statement

Powerful mobile devices (laptops, tablets, smartphones, etc.) are now commonly used to access enterprise and Cloud print services across the public Internet. This is the primary use case for PWG IPP Everywhere [[PWG5100.14](#)], which has already been adopted by operating system and printer vendors and several other public standards bodies. End user and enterprise documents are at greater risk than ever before. This IPP over HTTPS transport binding and 'ipps' URI scheme specification was defined to enable high availability combined with secure operation (mandatory data integrity and negotiable data confidentiality) in this dynamic environment (for example, wireless hotspots in hotels, airports, and restaurants).

See: [Section 1](#) Introduction of [[PWG5100.14](#)].

See: [Section 3.1](#) Rationale of [[PWG5100.14](#)].

7.1.1. Targets of Attacks

A network print spooler (logical printer) or print device (physical printer) is potentially subject to attacks, which may target:

- a) The network (to compromise the routing infrastructure, for example, by creating congestion);
- b) the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) [[RFC2911](#)] (for example, to compromise the normal behavior of IPP); or
- c) the print document content itself (for example, to corrupt the documents being transferred).

7.1.2. Layers of Attacks

Attacks against print services can be launched:

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 16]

- a) against the network infrastructure (for example, TCP congestion control).
- b) against the IPP data flow itself (for example, by sending forged packets or forcing TLS version downgrade); or
- c) against the IPP operation parameters (for example, by corrupting requested document processing attributes).

7.2. Attacks and Defenses

This 'ipps' URI Scheme specification adds the following additional security considerations to those described in [\[RFC2818\]](#), [\[RFC2910\]](#), [\[RFC2911\]](#), [\[RFC5246\]](#), [\[RFC7230\]](#), [\[PWG5100.12\]](#), and [\[STD66\]](#).

See: Section 'Security Considerations' in [\[RFC2818\]](#).

See: [Section 8](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[RFC2910\]](#).

See: [Section 8](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[RFC2911\]](#).

See: [Appendix D](#) 'Implementation Notes', [Appendix E](#) 'Backward Compatibility', and [Appendix F](#) 'Security Analysis' of [\[RFC5246\]](#).

See: [Section 9](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[RFC7230\]](#).

See: [Section 10](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[PWG5100.12\]](#).

See: [Section 7](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[STD66\]](#).

7.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI

An 'ipps' URI might be faked to point to a rogue IPP secure print service, thus collecting confidential document contents from IPP Clients.

Server authentication mechanisms and security mechanisms specified in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [\[RFC2910\]](#), TLS/1.0 [\[RFC2246\]](#), TLS/1.1 [\[RFC4346\]](#), TLS/1.2 [\[RFC5246\]](#), and HTTP over TLS [\[RFC2818\]](#) can be used to address this threat.

7.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client

An 'ipps' URI might be used to access an IPP secure print service by an unauthorized IPP Client.

Client authentication mechanisms and security mechanisms specified in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)], TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)], TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)], and HTTP over TLS [[RFC2818](#)] can be used to address this threat.

7.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway

An 'ipps' URI might be used to access an IPP secure print service at a print protocol application layer gateway (for example, an IPP to LPD [[RFC1179](#)] gateway [[RFC2569](#)]), potentially causing silent compromise of IPP security mechanisms.

There is no general defense against this threat by an IPP Client. System administrators SHOULD avoid such configurations.

7.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI

An 'ipps' URI does not define parameters to specify the required IPP Client authentication mechanism (for example, 'certificate' as defined in [section 4.4.2](#) 'uri-authentication-supported' of IPP Model [[RFC2911](#)]).

Either service discovery or directory protocols SHOULD be used first or an IPP Client SHOULD first establish an 'ipp' connection (without TLS or any client authentication) to the target IPP Printer and use a Get-Printer-Attributes query to discover the required IPP Client authentication mechanism(s) associated with a given 'ipps' URI.

7.3. TLS Cipher Suite Requirements

In accordance with [section 10](#) Security Considerations of [[PWG5100.12](#)], IPP Clients and IPP Printers that support this specification and support a given version of TLS MUST support at least the mandatory cipher suite(s) required in each supported TLS version, which are as follows:

TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)] - TLS_DHE_DSS_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA

TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)] - TLS_RSA_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 18]

TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)] - TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA

Note: IPP Client and IPP Printer implementors SHOULD consider known attacks against the mandatory cipher suite(s) in each supported TLS version and SHOULD follow best practice advice for alternative cipher suites in later IETF specifications.

In accordance with [section 10](#) Security Considerations of [[PWG5100.12](#)], this IPP over HTTPS transport binding and 'ipps' URI Scheme specification adds the following TLS version support requirements:

- a) An IPP Client or IPP Printer that supports this specification and supports IPP/1.1 defined in [[RFC2911](#)], MUST support TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)], MAY support TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], MAY support TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)], and MAY support future versions of TLS, in every case with at least the mandatory cipher suite(s) required in each supported TLS version.
- b) An IPP Client or IPP Printer that supports this specification and supports IPP/2.0 defined in [[PWG5100.12](#)], MUST support TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)], SHOULD support TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], MAY support TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)], and MAY support future versions of TLS, in every case with at least the mandatory cipher suite(s) required in each supported TLS version.
- c) An IPP Client or IPP Printer that supports this specification and supports IPP/2.1 defined in [[PWG5100.12](#)], MUST support TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)], MUST support TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], SHOULD support TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)], and MAY support future versions of TLS, in every case with at least the mandatory cipher suite(s) required in each supported TLS version.
- d) An IPP Client or IPP Printer that supports this specification and supports IPP/2.2 defined in [[PWG5100.12](#)], MUST support TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)], MUST support TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], MUST support TLS/1.2 [[RFC5246](#)], and MAY support future versions of TLS, in every case with at least the mandatory cipher suite(s) required in each supported TLS version.

8. Acknowledgments

This document is an individual submission to the IETF by the Internet Printing Protocol Working Group of the IEEE-ISTO Printer Working Group, as part of their PWG IPP Everywhere [[PWG5100.14](#)] project for secure mobile printing with vendor-neutral Client software.

This document defines an alternate IPP transport binding to that

defined in the original IPP URL Scheme [[RFC3510](#)], but this document

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 19]

does not update or obsolete [[RFC3510](#)].

Thanks to Claudio Allochio, Tom Hastings (retired from Xerox), Bjoern Hoerhmann, S. Mooneswamy, Tom Petch, Jerry Thrasher (Lexmark), Mykyta Yevstifeyev, Pete Zehler (Xerox), and the members of the IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [ASCII] "American National Standards Institute, Coded Character Set -- 7-bit American Standard Code for Information Interchange", ANSI X3.4, 1986.
- [HTTP1.1] HTTP/1.1. See [[RFC7230](#)], [[RFC7231](#)], [[RFC7232](#)], [[RFC7233](#)], [[RFC7234](#)], and [[RFC7235](#)].
- [PWG5100.12] Bergman, R., Lewis, H., McDonald, I., and M. Sweet, "Internet Printing Protocol Version 2.0 Second Edition (IPP/2.0 SE)", PWG 5100.12, February 2011.
<<http://www.pwg.org/standards.html>>
- [PWG5100.14] McDonald, I. and M. Sweet, "PWG IPP Everywhere", PWG 5100.14, January 2013.
<<http://www.pwg.org/standards.html>>
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2246] Dierks, T., and C. Allen, "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0", [RFC 2246](#), January 1999.
- [RFC2818] Rescorla, E., "HTTP Over TLS", [RFC 2818](#), May 2000.
- [RFC2910] Herriot, R., Ed., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., and J. Wenn, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", [RFC 2910](#), September 2000.
- [RFC2911] Hastings, T., Ed., Herriot, R., deBry, R., Isaacson, S., and P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", [RFC 2911](#), September 2000.
- [RFC4346] Dierks, T., and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1", [RFC 4346](#), April 2006.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 20]

- [RFC5246] Dierks, T., and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), August 2008.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), June 2014.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", [RFC 7231](#), June 2014.
- [RFC7232] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Conditional Requests", [RFC 7232](#), June 2014.
- [RFC7233] Fielding, R., Lafon, Y., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Range Requests", [RFC 7233](#), June 2014.
- [RFC7234] Fielding, R., Nottingham, M., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Caching", [RFC 7234](#), June 2014.
- [RFC7234] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Authentication", [RFC 7235](#), June 2014.
- [STD7] Postel, J., "Transmission Control Protocol", STD 7, [RFC 793](#), September 1981.
- [STD63] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, [RFC 3629](#), November 2003.
- [STD66] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Generic Syntax, STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [STD68] Crocker, D., Ed., and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), January 2008.

9.2. Informative References

- [BCP35] Hansen, T., Hardie, T., and L. Masinter, "Guidelines and Registration Procedures for New URI Schemes", [BCP 35](#), [RFC 4395](#), February 2006.
- [CUPS] Apple, "CUPS standards-based, open source printing system for OS X and other UNIX-like operating systems"
<<https://www.cups.org/>>

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 21]

- [MIMEREG] Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Registry "MIME Media Types"
<<http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/>>
- [PORTREG] Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Registry "Port Numbers"
<<http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers>>
- [RFC1179] McLaughlin, L., "Line Printer Daemon Protocol", [RFC 1179](#), August 1990.
- [RFC2569] Herriot, R., Ed., Hastings, T., Jacobs, N., and J. Martin, "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols", [RFC 2569](#), April 1999.
- [RFC2817] Khare, R. and S. Lawrence, "Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1", [RFC 2817](#), May 2000.
- [RFC3196] Hastings, T., Manros, C., Zehler, P., Kugler, C., and H. Holst, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementor's Guide", [RFC 3196](#), November 2001.
- [RFC3510] Herriot, R. and I. McDonald, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: IPP URL Scheme", [RFC 3510](#), April 2003.

10. Appendix A - Summary of IPP URL Scheme (Informative)

This section is an informative summary of the original IPP URL Scheme [[RFC3510](#)] and the associated IPP over HTTP transport binding defined in [[RFC2910](#)].

When using an 'ipp' URI [[RFC3510](#)], an IPP Client establishes an IPP application layer connection according to the following sequence:

- 1) The IPP Client selects an 'ipp' URI value from "printer-uri-supported" Printer attribute [[RFC2911](#)], a directory entry, discovery info, a web page, etc.;
- 2) The IPP Client converts the 'ipp' URI to an 'http' URI (replacing 'ipp' with 'http' and inserting the port number from the URI or port 631 if the URI doesn't include a port number);
- 3) The IPP Client establishes a TCP [[STD7](#)] reliable transport layer connection to the target endpoint - see [section 3.4](#) 'Establishing a connection' in TCP [[STD7](#)];
- 4) The IPP Client establishes an HTTP [[RFC7230](#)] session layer connection to the target endpoint - see [section 6](#) Connection

Management in [[RFC7230](#)];

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 22]

- 5) Optionally, either the IPP Client upgrades to TLS within HTTP/1.1 per [section 3](#) 'Client Requested Upgrade to HTTP over TLS' of [\[RFC2817\]](#) or the IPP Printer upgrades to TLS within HTTP/1.1 per [section 4](#) 'Server Requested Upgrade to HTTP over TLS' of [\[RFC2817\]](#), in order to establish a TLS secure transport sublayer within the original TCP/HTTP connection - per the "uri-security-supported" ([section 4.4.3 in \[RFC2911\]](#)) Printer attribute value parallel to the "printer-uri-supported" (see [section 4.4.1 in \[RFC2911\]](#)) value that matches this connection; and
- 6) The IPP Client sends IPP application layer requests to and receives responses from the IPP Printer over the HTTP [\[RFC7230\]](#) session layer connection using the POST method defined in [section 9.5](#) of HTTP/1.1 [\[RFC7230\]](#), as specified in [section 4](#) 'Encoding of Transport Layer' in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [\[RFC2910\]](#).

See: [Section 8](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[RFC2911\]](#).

See: [Section 8](#) 'Security Considerations' in [\[RFC2817\]](#).

11. Appendix X - Change History

[RFC Editor: Delete this section before publication as an RFC]

3 July 2014 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-13txt](#)

Editorial - Revised [section 4.2](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, to replace old 'path-absolute' from [RFC 2616](#) with 'absolute-path' from [\[RFC7230\]](#), per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 2.2](#) Abbreviations, [section 3](#) IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, [section 4.2](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, [section 4.7](#) Comparisons of 'ipps' URI, [section 7.2](#) Attacks and Defenses, [section 9.1](#) Normative References, and [section 10 Appendix A](#) - Summary of IPP URL Scheme, to replace [\[RFC2616\]](#) with either [\[RFC7230\]](#) or [\[HTTP1.1\]](#) - a new collective reference to [\[RFC7230\]](#), [\[RFC7231\]](#), [\[RFC7232\]](#), [\[RFC7233\]](#), [\[RFC7234\]](#), and [\[RFC7235\]](#), per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

20 April 2014 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-12.txt](#)

Editorial - Revised [section 4.3](#) Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add informative reference to CUPS, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.6.1](#) Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers, to change "third" to "fourth" for the port 443 example, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 6](#) IANA Considerations, to add informative

reference to CUPS, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 23]

Editorial - Revised [section 9.2](#) Informative References, to add informative reference to CUPS, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

7 April 2014 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-11.txt](#)

Global - revised all references to [section 4.2](#) and [section 4.3](#), to add parenthetic (syntax) and (port) respectively, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.2](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, to correct two typos (extra words) in [section 4.3](#) references, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.3](#) Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add note about compatibility for IPP Clients and IPP Printers that MUST accept explicit port 443 (assigned in 'https' URI scheme [[RFC2818](#)]) and process normally, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.6.1](#) Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers, to add example of explicit port 443, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

30 March 2014 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-10.txt](#)

Global - Updated references, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Global - Changed "e.g." to "for example", for readability.

Editorial - Revised section Copyright Notice, to correct year.

Editorial - Revised [section 3](#) IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, item 2), to clarify that port 631 is ONLY inserted in the derived 'https' URI when an explicit port is NOT specified in the original 'ipps' URI, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.2](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, note about URI lengths greater than 255 octets, to change 'ought to' to 'SHOULD', per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.2](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add reference to [section 4.3](#) for use of port 631, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.3](#) Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add note about dual-use of port 631 for 'ipp' URI and 'ipps' URI with reference to CUPS source for example of incoming connection handling, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.3](#) Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add note about compatibility for IPP Clients and IPP Printers that should accept explicit port 443 (assigned in 'https' URI scheme [[RFC2818](#)]) and process normally, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.6.1](#) Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers, to add reference to [section 4.2](#) (syntax) and [section 4.3](#) (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.7](#) Comparisons of 'ipps' URI, to add reference to [section 4.3](#) (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG

IPP WG review.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 24]

Editorial - Revised [section 5.1](#) Applicability to IPP Clients, to add reference to [section 4.2](#) (syntax) and [section 4.3](#) (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 5.1](#) Applicability to IPP Clients, item d), to change 'MUST the' to 'MUST use the', per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 5.2](#) Applicability to IPP Printers, to add reference to [section 4.2](#) (syntax) and [section 4.3](#) (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 5.2](#) Applicability to IPP Printers, item d), to change 'MUST the' to 'MUST use the', per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 5.2](#) Applicability to IPP Printers, to delete former item e) (listen only on port 631) which conflicted with existing IPP implementations (for example, listening on port 443 as well), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 6](#) IANA Considerations, to add URI for CUPS source, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 7.2.4](#) No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI, to change "or or" to "or" (typo), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [Appendix A](#) Summary of IPP URL Scheme, item 2), to clarify that port 631 is ONLY inserted in the derived 'http' URI when an explicit port is NOT specified in the original 'ipp' URI, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

5 November 2013 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-09.txt](#)

Global - Updated references, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised Abstract, [section 1](#) Introduction, and [section 8](#) Acknowledgments to clarify that this document is an individual submission to the IETF by the IPP WG of the IEEE-ISTO PWG, per S Mooneswamy.

Editorial - Revised Abstract, [section 1](#) Introduction, and [section 8](#) Acknowledgments to clarify that this document does not update or obsolete [\[RFC3510\]](#), per S Mooneswamy and Tom Petch.

Editorial - Revised [section 1.1](#) Structure of this Document to align with changes below, per Tom Petch.

Editorial - Revised [section 2](#) Conventions Used in this Document to add [section 2.1](#) Printing Terminology and to remove redundant "In this document" and clarify definitions, per Tom Petch.

Editorial - Moved former [Appendix B](#) - Abbreviations Used in this Document to become [section 2.2](#) Abbreviations, per Tom Petch.

Technical - Revised [section 3](#) IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, [section 5](#) Applicability of this Specification, and [section 7](#) Security Considerations to address specific TLS/1.0 [\[RFC2246\]](#), TLS/1.1 [\[RFC4346\]](#), and TLS/1.2 [\[RFC5246\]](#) requirements, per Tom Petch.

Editorial - Moved former [section 3.1](#) IPP over HTTP Transport Binding to become [Appendix A](#) - Summary of IPP URL Scheme (Informative), per Tom Petch.

Technical - Revised [section 4.2](#) Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme to add
note about the retention of the (unused) "query" production for

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 25]

consistency with IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [[RFC2910](#)] and the original IPP URL Scheme [[RFC3510](#)], but warn that it has no defined semantics in IPP and therefore its use is unsafe for IPP Clients, per Tom Petch.

Technical - Revised [section 7](#) Security Considerations to add [section 8.1](#) Problem Statement, [section 8.2](#) Attacks, and [section 8.3](#) TLS Security Considerations, per Tom Petch.

Editorial - Moved former section [Appendix A](#) - Acknowledgments to become [section 8](#) Acknowledgements (in body of document) and updated to reflect recent comments on this document, per Tom Petch.

Technical - Revised [section 9.1](#) Normative References to add TLS/1.0 [[RFC2246](#)] and TLS/1.1 [[RFC4346](#)], per Tom Petch.

19 September 2013 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-08.txt](#)

Global - Updated references, per IPP WG review.

12 May 2013 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-07.txt](#)

Editorial - Revised [section 1](#) (introduction) to add 'Rationale for this document', per Smith Kennedy.

Editorial - Global - Changed 'Conformance Requirements' to 'Applicability', per Barry Leiba.

Editorial - Global - Changed '[PWG5100.EW]' to '[[PWG5100.14](#)]', corrected date and URI, and moved [section 8.1](#) (normative references), per IPP WG review.

10 November 2012 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-06.txt](#)

Editorial - Global - Fixed typos and indentation, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Global - changed 'generic drivers' to 'vendor-neutral Client software', per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 8.2](#) (informative references, to correct title of "PWG IPP Everywhere" (i.e., delete version number), per IPP WG review.

14 May 2012 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-05.txt](#)

Editorial - Global - Fixed typos and indentation, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised sections [3.1](#) and [3.2](#) (transport bindings) to insert missing "to" in "connection to the target endpoint", per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.2](#) (syntax), to correct indentation of first "Note:", per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised sections [5.1](#) and [5.2](#) (client/printer conformance) and [section 7](#) (security considerations) to delete the out-of-scope normative references to [[RFC2817](#)], per IPP WG review.

22 November 2011 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-04.txt](#)

Editorial - Global - Fixed typos and indentation, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised Introduction and Acknowledgments to say 'project for mobile, ubiquitous printing with generic drivers', per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised sections [3.1](#) and [3.2](#) (transport bindings) to add references to HTTP POST and [section 4 of RFC 2910](#), per IPP WG review.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 26]

Editorial - Revised sections [3.1](#) and [3.2](#) (transport bindings) to add section references to all well-known standards (connection setup, etc.), per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 4.2](#) (syntax) to move note from from [section 4.6](#) (examples) and explain why literal IP addresses SHOULD NOT be used in 'ipps' URI, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised sections [4.6.1](#) and [4.6.2](#) (examples) to replace 'abc.com' w/ 'example.com' (per IETF) and replace '/printer' path element w/ '/ipp' (better practice), per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 5.2](#) (Printer conformance) to fold former (c) and (d) into a single requirement for standard port 631 and reordered other requirements to group MUSTs before SHOULDs, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 5.2](#) (Printer conformance) to add backward reference to [section 4.2](#) for rationale for not using IP literal addresses, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 6](#) (IANA) to explicitly state that 'ipps' uses secure communications using HTTP over TLS, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 7](#) (Security) to cleanup numerous loose ends, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 8](#) (References) to cleanup typos and links, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised [section 1](#) (introduction), [section 8.2](#) (informative references, and [section 9](#) (appendix A) to change "[IPPEVE]" to "[PWG5100.EW]", per IPP WG review.

26 August 2011 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-03.txt](#)

Editorial - Revised Abstract and Introduction to state published by the IETF on behalf of IEEE-ISTO PWG (to avoid status ambiguity), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised [section 1](#) to list all currently defined versions of IPP in [RFC 2566](#), [RFC 2911](#), and PWG 5100.12, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Technical - Revised [section 1](#), [section 2](#), [section 3.2](#), [section 4.1](#), and [section 7](#), to reference IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition (PWG 5100.12), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised [section 3.1](#), to fix broken STD7 reference, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised [section 6](#), to add [BCP35](#) reference for template (regression loss when the template was moved up from former appendix), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised [section 8.1](#) to add PWG 5100.12 (normative),

Editorial - Revised [section 8.2](#) to add PWG IPP Everywhere (informative) and [RFC 1179](#) (informative), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised [appendix B](#) to add references for more reading, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

28 February 2011 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-02.txt](#)

Editorial - Revised document title to emphasize IPP over HTTPS

Transport Binding (reason for IETF standards-track status).

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 27]

Editorial - Replaced "IPP URI" with "'ipp' URI", "IPPS URI" with "'ipps' URI", "HTTP URI" with "'http' URI", and "HTTPS URI" with "'https' URI" throughout this document for conformance to [section 3.1 of \[STD66\]](#), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised and simplified Abstract, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised and simplified [section 1](#) 'Introduction', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Renamed [section 2](#) from 'Conformance Terminology' to 'Conventions Used in this Document', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Moved former [section 3.1](#) 'IPP Model Terminology (Normative)' content into [section 2](#) 'Conventions Used in this Document' for readability, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Reordered subsections and reversed word order in all subsection titles in [section 4](#) 'The 'ipps' URI Scheme' for readability, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Added note to [section 4.2](#) 'Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme' to explain why 'authority' production is NOT imported from [\[STD66\]](#), because it includes an optional 'userinfo' component which cannot be used in 'ipps' URI values.

Editorial - Deleted note describing empty 'host' component from [section 4.2](#) 'Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme', because 'host' component is mandatory in [\[STD66\]](#).

Editorial - Deleted 'Internationalization Considerations' section which was redundant with [section 4.3](#) 'Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Revised all references to follow current RFC Editor style, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Moved former 'Appendix A - Registration of IPPS URI Scheme' content inline into [section 6](#) 'IANA Considerations', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Moved former body section 'Acknowledgements' to 'Appendix A - Acknowledgements', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Added new 'Appendix B - Abbreviations Used in this Document' for readability, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

Editorial - Moved section 'Authors' Addresses' to end of document, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

1 December 2010 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-01.txt](#)

- Technical - added UTF-8 [\[STD63\]](#) as required charset for all IPPS URI in [section 4.4](#) and [section 7](#), per Bjoern Hoehrmann.

- Technical - corrected percent encoding for data octets outside the US-ASCII range in [section 4.4](#) and [section 7](#), per Bjoern Hoehrmann.

- Editorial - global - changed "[\[RFC4395\]](#)" to "[\[BCP35\]](#)", changed "[\[RFC3629\]](#)" to "[\[STD63\]](#)", changed "[\[RFC3986\]](#)" to "[\[STD66\]](#)", and changed "[\[RFC5234\]](#)" to "[\[STD68\]](#)", per Bjoern Hoehrmann.

- Editorial - restored trailing "]" in ABNF syntax in [section 4.5](#), per Bjoern Hoehrmann.

- Editorial - changed "Author/Change controller" to "IESG" in [section 12 Appendix A](#) registration template, as required by [section 5.3 of](#)

[\[BCP35\]](#), per Bjoern Hoehrmann.

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 28]

10 October 2010 - [draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-00.txt](#)

- Editorial - complete rewrite of [RFC 3510](#) for new transport binding
- Editorial - moved Abstract to beginning of first page, per ID-Nits
- Editorial - fixed copyright, boilerplate, and typos, per ID-Nits
- Editorial - added references to RFCs 2119 and 3510, per ID-Nits
- Editorial - deleted obsolete references to RFCs 2246 and 4346, per ID-Nits
- Technical - changed Intended Status to Standards Track to reflect the new normative IPPS URI scheme and transport binding
- Technical - added [section 3.2](#) IPP over HTTP Transport Binding (informative)
- Technical - added [section 3.3](#) IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding (normative)
- Technical - updated [section 5](#) Conformance Requirements to require HTTP Upgrade ([RFC 2817](#)) support (for interoperability with existing IPP implementations), per discussion on IPP WG mailing list
- Editorial - updated [Appendix A](#) w/ registration template from [RFC 4395](#)

12. Authors' Addresses

Ira McDonald
High North Inc
221 Ridge Ave
Grand Marais, MI 49839

Phone: +1 906-494-2434
Email: blueroofmusic@gmail.com

Michael Sweet
Apple Inc
10431 N De Anza Blvd, M/S 38-4LPT
Cupertino, CA 95014

Phone: +1 408-974-8798
Email: msweet@apple.com

Usage questions and comments on this 'ipps' URI Scheme can be sent directly to the editors at their above addresses and also to the PWG IPP WG mailing list. Instructions for subscribing to the PWG IPP WG mailing list can be found at:

PWG IPP WG Web Page: <http://www.pwg.org/ipp/>
[PWG](#) IPP WG Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org

PWG IPP WG Subscription: <http://www.pwg.org/mailhelp.html>

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 3 January 2015

[Page 29]

Implementers of this specification are encouraged to join the PWG IPP WG Mailing List in order to participate in any discussions of clarification issues and comments. Note that this IEEE-ISTO PWG mailing list rejects mail from non-subscribers (in order to reduce spam).