Network Working Group INTERNET-DRAFT Updates: <u>2910</u>, <u>2911</u> (if approved) Intended Status: Standards Track Expires: 11 May 2015 Ira McDonald High North Inc Michael Sweet Apple Inc 11 November 2014

IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding and 'ipps' URI Scheme draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-16.txt

Abstract

This document defines the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) over HTTPS transport binding and the corresponding 'ipps' URI scheme, that is used to designate the access to the network location of a secure IPP print service or a network resource managed by such a service.

This document defines an alternate IPP transport binding to that defined in the original IPP URL Scheme (RFC 3510), but this document does not update or obsolete RFC 3510.

This document updates <u>RFC 2910</u> and <u>RFC 2911</u>.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/lid-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

This Internet-Draft will expire on 11 May 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 1]

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

 4.6. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI 5. IANA Considerations 6. Security Considerations 6.1. Problem Statement 6.1.1. Targets of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2. Attacks and Defenses 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 	<u>1</u> . Introduction	<u>4</u>
 Conventions Used in this Document	<u>1.1</u> . Structure of this Document	<u>4</u>
 2.1. Printing Terminology	<u>1.2</u> . Rationale for this document	<u>5</u>
 3. IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding	2. Conventions Used in this Document	<u>5</u>
4. Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme	<u>2.1</u> . Printing Terminology	<u>5</u>
4.1. Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme 4.2. Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme 4.3. Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme 4.4. Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme 4.5. Examples of 'ipps' URI 4.6. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI 5. IANA Considerations 6. Security Considerations 6.1. Problem Statement 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8. 1. Normative References	<u>3</u> . IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding	<u>6</u>
 4.2. Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme	4. Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme	<u>7</u>
 4.3. Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme	4.1. Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme	7
 4.3. Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme	4.2. Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme	7
4.4. Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme 4.5. Examples of 'ipps' URI 4.6. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI 5. IANA Considerations 6. Security Considerations 6.1. Problem Statement 6.1.1. Targets of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References		9
4.5. Examples of 'ipps' URI 4.6. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI 5. IANA Considerations 6. Security Considerations 6.1. Problem Statement 6.1.1. Targets of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References		9
 4.6. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI 5. IANA Considerations 6. Security Considerations 6.1. Problem Statement 6.1.1. Targets of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2. Attacks and Defenses 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 		10
5. IANA Considerations		10
6. Security Considerations		11
 6.1. Problem Statement 6.1.1. Targets of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2. Attacks and Defenses 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References 		12
6.1.1. Targets of Attacks 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2. Attacks and Defenses 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References		12
 6.1.2. Layers of Attacks 6.2. Attacks and Defenses 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References 		12
 6.2. Attacks and Defenses 6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References 		13
6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI 6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References		13
6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client 6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References		13
6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway 6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI 6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References		<u>13</u> 14
6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI6.3. TLS Version Requirements7. Acknowledgments8. References8.1. Normative References8.2. Informative References		
6.3. TLS Version Requirements 7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References		<u>14</u>
7. Acknowledgments 8. References 8.1. Normative References 8.2. Informative References		<u>14</u>
8. References 8.1 Normative References 8.2 Informative References		<u>14</u>
8.1 Normative References 8.2 Informative References		<u>15</u>
8.2. Informative References		<u>15</u>
	<u>8.1</u> . Normative References	<u>15</u>
9 Appendix A - Abbreviations		<u>16</u>
	<u>9</u> . <u>Appendix A</u> - Abbreviations	<u>17</u>
<u>10</u> . <u>Appendix X</u> - Change History	<u>10</u> . <u>Appendix X</u> - Change History	<u>18</u>
<u>11</u> . Authors' Addresses	11. Authors' Addresses	27

<u>1</u>. Introduction

This document defines the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) over HTTPS transport binding and the corresponding 'ipps' URI scheme, that is used to designate the access to the network location of a secure IPP print service or a network resource managed by such a service.

This document has been submitted to the IETF by the Internet Printing Protocol Working Group of the IEEE-ISTO Printer Working Group, as part of their PWG IPP Everywhere (PWG 5100.14) project for secure mobile printing with vendor-neutral Client software.

This document defines an alternate IPP transport binding to that defined in the original IPP URL Scheme [<u>RFC3510</u>], but this document does not update or obsolete [<u>RFC3510</u>].

This document updates [<u>RFC2910</u>] and [<u>RFC2911</u>].

This document updates:

- a) IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>], by extending <u>section 4</u>
 'Encoding of the Transport Layer', <u>section 5</u> 'IPP URL Scheme', and <u>section 8.2</u> 'Using IPP with TLS';
- b) IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [<u>RFC2911</u>], by extending <u>section 4.1.6</u> 'uriScheme' and <u>section 4.4.1</u> 'printer-uri-supported'; and
- c) IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [PWG5100.12], by extending section 4 'IPP Standards' and section 10 'Security Considerations'.

The following versions of IPP are currently defined:

- a) 1.0 in [<u>RFC2566</u>] (obsolete);
- b) 1.1 in [<u>RFC2911</u>];
- c) 2.0 in [<u>PWG5100.12</u>];
- d) 2.1 in [<u>PWG5100.12</u>]; and
- e) 2.2 in [<u>PWG5100.12</u>].

Overview information about IPP is available in <u>section 1 of RFC 2911</u> [<u>RFC2911</u>], <u>section 1 of RFC 3196</u> [<u>RFC3196</u>], and <u>section 1</u> of PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [<u>PWG5100.12</u>].

<u>1.1</u>. Structure of this Document

This document contains the following sections:

 $\underline{Section\ 2}$ defines the conventions and terms used throughout the document.

<u>Section 3</u> defines the IPP over HTTPS transport binding.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 4]

<u>Section 4</u> defines the 'ipps' URI scheme.

Sections 5 and 6 contain IANA and security considerations, respectively.

Section 7 contains acknowledgments.

Section 8 contains references.

1.2. Rationale for this document

The 'ipps' URI scheme was defined for the following reasons:

- 1) Some existing IPP Client and IPP Printer implementations of Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1 [RFC 2817] are flawed and unreliable.
- 2) Some existing IPP Client and IPP Printer implementations of HTTP Upgrade [RFC 2717] do not perform upgrade at the beginning of every HTTP connection, but instead only shift to secure IPP for selected IPP operations (inherently dangerous behavior on the same underlying TCP connection).
- 3) IPP Printer server-mandated HTTP Upgrade [<u>RFC 2817</u>] can still lead to exposure of IPP Client data if the Expect request header is not used - basically the IPP Client can send its whole Print-Job request before the IPP Printer has a chance to respond and say, "Wait! You need to encrypt first!"

2. Conventions Used in this Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

<u>2.1</u>. Printing Terminology

The reader of this document needs to be familiar with the printing terms defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [RFC2911] as well as the following:

IPP Client: The software (on some hardware platform) that submits IPP Job creation and IPP Printer and IPP Job management operations via the IPP over HTTP transport binding defined in the IPP/1.1

Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>] and/or the IPP over HTTPS transport

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015

[Page 5]

binding defined in section 3 of this specification to a downstream IPP Printer (print spooler, print gateway, or physical printing device).

IPP Job: The set of attributes and documents for one print job instantiated in an IPP Printer.

IPP Job object: Synonym for IPP Job.

IPP Printer: The software (on some hardware platform) that receives IPP Job creation and IPP Printer and IPP Job management operations via the IPP over HTTP transport binding defined in the IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [RFC2910] and/or the IPP over HTTPS transport binding defined in section 3 of this specification from an upstream IPP Client or IPP Printer.

IPP Printer object: Synonym for IPP Printer.

'ipps' URI: A URI using the 'ipps' URI scheme defined in section 4 of this specification.

3. IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding

This document defines the following alternate IPP over HTTPS transport binding for the abstract protocol defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [RFC2911] and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [<u>PWG5100.12</u>].

When using an 'ipps' URI, an IPP Client MUST establish an IPP application layer connection according to the following sequence:

- 1) The IPP Client selects an 'ipps' URI value from "printer-uri-supported" Printer attribute [<u>RFC2911</u>], a directory entry, discovery info, a web page, etc.;
- 2) The IPP Client converts the 'ipps' URI to an 'https' URI [RFC7230] (replacing 'ipps' with 'https' and inserting the port number from the URI or port 631 if the URI doesn't include a port number);
- 3) The IPP Client establishes a TCP [STD7] transport layer connection to the target endpoint - see section 3.4 'Establishing a connection' in TCP [STD7];
- 4) The IPP Client establishes a TLS/1.2 [RFC5246] or higher version secure transport layer connection to the target endpoint - see section 7 'TLS Handshaking Protocols' in [RFC5246];

- 5) The IPP Client establishes an HTTPS [<u>RFC7230</u>] secure session layer connection over the TLS secure transport layer to the target endpoint; and
- 6) The IPP Client sends IPP application layer requests to and receives responses from the IPP Printer over the HTTPS [<u>RFC7230</u>] secure session layer connection using the POST method defined in [<u>RFC7231</u>].

4. Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme

4.1. Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14], in IPP protocol exchanges, the 'ipps' URI scheme MUST only be used:

- a) To specify absolute URI for IPP secure print services and their their associated network resources;
- b) To specify the use of the abstract protocol defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [<u>RFC2911</u>] and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [<u>PWG5100.12</u>]; and
- c) To specify the use of the transport binding defined in this document.

The 'ipps' URI scheme allows an IPP Client to choose an appropriate IPP secure print service (for example, from a directory). The IPP Client can establish an HTTPS connection to the specified IPP secure print service. The IPP Client can send IPP protocol requests (for example, 'Print-Job' requests) and receive IPP protocol responses over that HTTPS connection.

See: <u>Section 4.2</u> (syntax) of this document.

See: <u>Section 4.4.1</u> 'printer-uri-supported' in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [<u>RFC2911</u>].

See: <u>Section 5</u> 'IPP URL Scheme' in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>].

See: <u>Section 4</u> 'IPP Standards' of IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition [<u>PWG5100.12</u>].

4.2. Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme

The abstract protocol defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics

[<u>RFC2911</u>] places a limit of 1023 octets (NOT characters) on the

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015

[Page 7]

length of a URI.

See: URI Generic Syntax [STD66].

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [<u>PWG5100.14</u>], for compatibility with existing IPP implementations, IPP Printers SHOULD NOT generate (or allow administrators to configure) URI lengths above 255 octets, because many older IPP Client implementations do not properly support these lengths.

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14], in IPP protocol exchanges, 'ipps' URI MUST be represented in absolute form. Absolute URI always begin with a scheme name followed by a colon. For definitive information on URI syntax and semantics, see "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Generic Syntax and Semantics" [STD66]. This specification adopts the definitions of "host", "port", and "query" from [<u>STD66</u>]. This specification adopts the definition of "absolute-path" from [RFC7230].

The 'ipps' URI scheme syntax in ABNF [STD68] is defined as follows:

ipps-uri = "ipps:" "//" host [":" port] [absolute-path ["?" query]]

Per IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>], if the port is empty or not given, then port 631 MUST be used.

See: <u>Section 4.3</u> (port) in this document.

The semantics are that the identified resource (see [RFC7230]) is located at the IPP secure print service listening for HTTPS connections on that port of that host; and the Request-URI for the identified resource is 'absolute-path'.

Note: The higher-level "authority" production is not imported from [STD66], because it includes an optional "userinfo" component which cannot be used in 'ipps' URI.

Note: The "query" production does not have defined semantics in IPP and was never used in examples in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [RFC2910] or the original IPP URL Scheme [RFC3510]. The "query" is retained here for consistency, but IPP Clients SHOULD avoid its use (because the semantics would be implementation-defined).

Note: Per PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14], literal IPv4 or IPv6 addresses SHOULD NOT be used in 'ipps' URI, because: a) IP addresses are often changed after network device installation (for example, based on DHCP reassignment after a power cycle); b) IP addresses often don't map simply to security domains; c) IP addresses are difficult to validate with X.509 server

certificates (because they do not map to common name or alternate

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 10 May 2015

[Page 8]

name attributes); and
d) IP link local addresses are not "portable" due to link identity

Per IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [RFC2910], if the 'absolute-path' is not present in an IPP URI, it MUST be given as "/" when used as a Request-URI for a resource (see [RFC7230]). An 'ipps' URI is transformed into an 'https' URI by replacing "ipps:" with "https:" and inserting port 631 (if an explicit 'port' is not present in the original 'ipps' URI).

See: <u>Section 4.3</u> (port) in this document.

4.3. Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme

Per IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>], all 'ipps' URI which do NOT explicitly specify a port MUST be resolved to IANA-assigned well-known port 631, already registered in [<u>PORTREG</u>] by [<u>RFC2910</u>].

Note: Per direction of the IESG, as described in [<u>RFC2910</u>], port 631 is used for all IPP protocol connections (with or without TLS). Port 631 is therefore used for both 'ipp' [<u>RFC3510</u>] and 'ipps' URI, which both refer to an IPP Printer or a network resource managed by an IPP Printer. IPP Printer implementors can refer to the CUPS [<u>CUPS</u>] source code for an example of incoming connection handling for the dual use of port 631.

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [<u>PWG5100.14</u>], for compatibility with existing IPP implementations, IPP Clients and IPP Printers MUST accept explicit port 443 (assigned in the 'https' URI scheme [<u>RFC7230</u>]) in 'ipps' URI values.

See: IANA Port Numbers Registry [PORTREG].

See: IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>].

4.4. Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14], 'ipps' URI MUST:

- a) Use the UTF-8 [STD63] charset for all components; and
- b) Use [<u>STD66</u>] rules for percent encoding data octets outside the US-ASCII coded character set [<u>ASCII</u>].

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 9]

4.5. Examples of 'ipps' URI

The following are examples of well-formed 'ipps' URI for IPP Printers (for example, to be used as protocol elements in 'printer-uri' operation attributes of 'Print-Job' request messages):

ipps://example.com/ ipps://example.com/ipp/ ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger/ ipps://example.com/ipp/fox/ ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger/bob/ ipps://example.com/ipp/tiger/ira/

Each of the above URI is a well-formed URI for an IPP Printer and each would reference a logically different IPP Printer, even though some of those IPP Printers might share the same host system. The 'bob' or 'ira' last path components might represent two different physical printer devices, while 'tiger' might represent some grouping of IPP Printers (for example, a load-balancing spooler). Or the 'bob' and 'ira' last path components might represent separate human recipients on the same physical printer device (for example, a physical printer supporting two job queues). In either case, both 'bob' and 'ira' would behave as different and independent IPP Printers.

The following are examples of well-formed 'ipps' URI for IPP Printers with (optional) ports and paths:

ipps://example.com/ ipps://example.com/ipp/ ipps://example.com:631/ipp/ ipps://example.com:443/ipp/

The first and second 'ipps' URI above will be resolved to port 631 (IANA assigned well-known port for IPP). The second and third 'ipps' URI above are equivalent (see section 4.6). The fourth 'ipps' URI above uses the explicit port 443 (see section 4.3).

See: Section 4.2 (syntax) and section 4.3 (port) in this document.

<u>4.6</u>. Comparisons of 'ipps' URI

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14], when comparing two 'ipps' URI to decide if they match or not, an IPP Client MUST use the same rules as those defined for 'http' and 'https' URI comparisons in [RFC7230], with the single following exception:

- A port that is empty or not given MUST be treated as equivalent to the well-known port for that 'ipps' URI (port 631).

See: <u>Section 4.3</u> (port) in this document.

See: <u>Section 2.7.3</u> 'http and https URI Normalization and Comparison' in [<u>RFC7230</u>].

5. IANA Considerations

[RFC Editor: Replace 'xxxx' with assigned RFC number before publication]

IANA is asked to register the 'ipps' URI scheme using the following template, which conforms to [<u>BCP35</u>].

URI scheme name: ipps

Status: Permanent

URI scheme syntax: See <u>section 4.2</u> of RFC xxxx.

URI scheme semantics: The 'ipps' URI scheme is used to designate secure IPP Printer objects (print spoolers, print gateways, print devices, etc.) on Internet hosts accessible using the IPP protocol enhanced to support guaranteed data integrity and negotiable data privacy using TLS as specified in HTTP/1.1 [<u>RFC7230</u>].

Encoding Considerations: See <u>section 4.4</u> of RFC xxxx.

Applications/protocols that use this URI scheme name:

The 'ipps' URI scheme is intended to be used by applications that need to access secure IPP Printers using the IPP protocol enhanced to support guaranteed data integrity and negotiable data privacy using TLS as specified in HTTP/1.1 [<u>RFC7230</u>]. Such applications may include (but are not limited to) IPP-capable web browsers, IPP Clients that wish to print a file, and servers (for example, print spoolers) wishing to forward a Job for processing.

Interoperability Considerations: The widely deployed, open source IPP print service CUPS [CUPS] (on most UNIX, Linux, and Apple OS X systems) has supported 'ipps' URI for several years before the publication of this document. PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14] (IPP secure, mobile printing extensions) requires the use of 'ipps' URI for mandatory data integrity and negotiable data confidentiality. Security Considerations: See <u>section 6</u> of RFC xxxx.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 11]

Contact:

Ira McDonald <blueroofmusic@gmail.com>

Michael Sweet <msweet@apple.com>

Author/Change controller:

IESG

References: <u>RFC 2910</u>, <u>RFC 2911</u>, RFC xxxx, and IEEE-ISTO PWG 5100.12.

<u>6</u>. Security Considerations

6.1. Problem Statement

Powerful mobile devices (laptops, tablets, smartphones, etc.) are now commonly used to access enterprise and Cloud print services across the public Internet. This is the primary use case for PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14], which has already been adopted by operating system and printer vendors and several other public standards bodies. End user and enterprise documents and user privacy-sensitive information are at greater risk than ever before. This IPP over HTTPS transport binding and 'ipps' URI scheme specification was defined to enable high availability combined with secure operation in this dynamic environment (for example, wireless hotspots in hotels, airports, and restaurants).

See: <u>Section 1</u> Introduction of [<u>PWG5100.14</u>].

See: <u>Section 3.1</u> Rationale of [<u>PWG5100.14</u>].

6.1.1. Targets of Attacks

A network print spooler (logical printer) or print device (physical printer) is potentially subject to attacks, which may target:

- a) The network (to compromise the routing infrastructure, for example, by creating congestion);
- b) The Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) [<u>RFC2911</u>] (for example, to compromise the normal behavior of IPP);
- c) The print job metadata (for example, to extract privacy-sensitive information from the job submission request or via query of the job on the IPP Printer); or

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 12]

- 11 Nov 2014
- d) The print document content itself (for example, to corrupt the documents being transferred).

6.1.2. Layers of Attacks

Attacks against print services can be launched:

- a) Against the network infrastructure (for example, TCP congestion control);
- b) Against the IPP data flow itself (for example, by sending forged packets or forcing TLS version downgrade); or
- c) Against the IPP operation parameters (for example, by corrupting requested document processing attributes).

6.2. Attacks and Defenses

This 'ipps' URI Scheme specification adds the following additional security considerations to those described in [RFC7230], [RFC2910], [RFC2911], [RFC5246], [RFC7230], [PWG5100.12], and [STD66].

See: <u>Section 8</u> 'Security Considerations' in [<u>RFC2910</u>].

See: Section 8 'Security Considerations' in [RFC2911].

See: Appendix D 'Implementation Notes', Appendix E 'Backward Compatibility', and Appendix F 'Security Analysis' of [RFC5246].

See: <u>Section 10</u> 'Security Considerations' in [<u>PWG5100.12</u>].

See: Section 7 'Security Considerations' in [STD66].

6.2.1. Faked 'ipps' URI

An 'ipps' URI might be faked to point to a rogue IPP secure print service, thus collecting confidential job metadata or document contents from IPP Clients.

Due to administrator reconfiguration or physical relocation of an IPP Printer, a former literal IPv4 or IPv6 address might no longer be valid - see section 4.2 for the recommendation against the use of literal IP addresses in 'ipps' URI.

Server authentication mechanisms and security mechanisms specified in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [RFC2910], HTTP/1.1 [RFC7230], and TLS/1.2 [<u>RFC5246</u>] can be used to address this threat.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 13]

6.2.2. Unauthorized Access by IPP Client

An 'ipps' URI might be used to access an IPP secure print service by an unauthorized IPP Client, for example, extracting privacy-sensitive information such as "job-originating-user-name" job metadata defined in IPP/1.1 Model and Semantics [<u>RFC2911</u>].

Client authentication mechanisms and security mechanisms specified in IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [<u>RFC2910</u>], HTTP/1.1 [<u>RFC7230</u>], and TLS/1.2 [<u>RFC5246</u>] can be used to address this threat.

6.2.3. Compromise at Application Layer Gateway

An 'ipps' URI might be used to access an IPP secure print service at a print protocol application layer gateway (for example, an IPP to LPD [<u>RFC1179</u>] gateway [<u>RFC2569</u>]), potentially causing silent compromise of IPP security mechanisms.

There is no general defense against this threat by an IPP Client. System administrators SHOULD avoid such configurations.

6.2.4. No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI

An 'ipps' URI does not define parameters to specify the required IPP Client authentication mechanism (for example, 'certificate' as defined in <u>section 4.4.2</u> 'uri-authentication-supported' of IPP Model [<u>RFC2911</u>]).

Either service discovery or directory protocols SHOULD be used first or an IPP Client SHOULD first establish an 'ipp' connection (without TLS or any client authentication) to the target IPP Printer and use a Get-Printer-Attributes operation to discover the required IPP Client authentication mechanism(s) associated with a given 'ipps' URI.

6.3. TLS Version Requirements

Per PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14] (and in accordance with security best practices and all existing deployments of the 'ipps' URI scheme), IPP Clients and IPP Printers that support this specification MUST use TLS/1.2 [RFC5246] or higher version, for all 'ipps' secure transport layer connections.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 14]

7. Acknowledgments

This document has been submitted to the IETF by the Internet Printing Protocol Working Group of the IEEE-ISTO Printer Working Group, as part of their PWG IPP Everywhere [PWG5100.14] project for secure mobile printing with vendor-neutral Client software.

This document defines an alternate IPP transport binding to that defined in the original IPP URL Scheme [<u>RFC3510</u>], but this document does not update or obsolete [<u>RFC3510</u>].

Thanks to Claudio Allochio, Tom Hastings (retired from Xerox), Bjoern Hoerhmann, Graham Klyne, Barry Leiba, S. Mooneswamy, Tom Petch, Jerry Thrasher (Lexmark), Mykyta Yevstifeyev, Pete Zehler (Xerox), and the members of the IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [ASCII] "American National Standards Institute, Coded Character Set -- 7-bit American Standard Code for Information Interchange", ANSI X3.4, 1986.
- [HTTP1.1] HTTP/1.1. See [<u>RFC7230</u>], [<u>RFC7231</u>], [<u>RFC7232</u>], [<u>RFC7233</u>], [<u>RFC7234</u>], and [<u>RFC7235</u>].
- [PWG5100.12] Bergman, R., Lewis, H., McDonald, I., and M. Sweet, "Internet Printing Protocol Version 2.0 Second Edition (IPP/2.0 SE)", PWG 5100.12, February 2011. <http://www.pwg.org/standards.html>
- [PWG5100.14] McDonald, I. and M. Sweet, "PWG IPP Everywhere", PWG 5100.14, January 2013. <<u>http://www.pwg.org/standards.html</u>>
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2910] Herriot, R., Ed., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R., and J. Wenn, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", <u>RFC 2910</u>, September 2000.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 15]

- [RFC2911] Hastings, T., Ed., Herriot, R., deBry, R., Isaacson, S., and P. Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", <u>RFC 2911</u>, September 2000.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T., and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", <u>RFC 5246</u>, August 2008.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing, <u>RFC 7230</u>, June 2014.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", <u>RFC 7231</u>, June 2014.
- [RFC7232] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Conditional Requests", <u>RFC 7232</u>, June 2014.
- [RFC7233] Fielding, R., Lafon, Y., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Range Requests", <u>RFC 7233</u>, June 2014.
- [RFC7234] Fielding, R., Nottingham, M., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Caching", <u>RFC 7234</u>, June 2014.
- [RFC7234] Fielding, R., and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Authentication", <u>RFC 7235</u>, June 2014.
- [STD7] Postel, J., "Transmission Control Protocol", STD 7, <u>RFC</u> 793, September 1981.
- [STD63] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, <u>RFC 3629</u>, November 2003.
- [STD66] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Generic Syntax, STD 66, <u>RFC</u> <u>3986</u>, January 2005.
- [STD68] Crocker, D., Ed., and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, <u>RFC 5234</u>, January 2008.

<u>8.2</u>. Informative References

[BCP35] Hansen, T., Hardie, T., and L. Masinter, "Guidelines and Registration Procedures for New URI Schemes", <u>BCP 35</u>, <u>RFC</u> <u>4395</u>, February 2006.

- [CUPS] Apple, "CUPS standards-based, open source printing system for OS X and other UNIX-like operating systems" <<u>https://www.cups.org/</u>>
- [PORTREG] Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Registry "Port Numbers" <http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers>
- [RFC1179] McLaughlin, L., "Line Printer Daemon Protocol", <u>RFC 1179</u>, August 1990.
- [RFC2569] Herriot, R., Ed., Hastings, T., Jacobs, N., and J. Martin, "Mapping between LPD and IPP Protocols", <u>RFC 2569</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2817] Khare, R. and S. Lawrence, "Upgrading to TLS Within HTTP/1.1", <u>RFC 2817</u>, May 2000.
- [RFC3196] Hastings, T., Manros, C., Zehler, P., Kugler, C., and H. Holst, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementor's Guide", <u>RFC 3196</u>, November 2001.
- [RFC3510] Herriot, R. and I. McDonald, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: IPP URL Scheme", <u>RFC 3510</u>, April 2003.

9. <u>Appendix A</u> - Abbreviations

This document makes use of the following abbreviations (given with their expanded forms and references for further reading):

- ABNF Augmented Backus-Naur Form [STD68]
- ASCII American Standard Code for Information Interchange [ASCII]
- HTTP HyperText Transfer Protocol [HTTP1.1]
- HTTPS HTTP over TLS [RFC7230]

- IESG Internet Engineering Steering Group
 <<u>http://www.ietf.org/iesg/</u>>
- IPP Internet Printing Protocol [<u>RFC2911</u>] and [<u>PWG5100.12</u>]

<<u>http://www.pwg.org/ipp/</u>>

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 17]

- LPD Line Printer Daemon Protocol [RFC1179]

- TCP Transmission Control Protocol [STD7]
- TLS Transport Layer Security [RFC5246]
- URI Uniform Resource Identifier [STD66]
- URL Uniform Resource Locator [STD66]
- UTF-8 Unicode Transformation Format 8-bit [STD63]

<u>10</u>. <u>Appendix X</u> - Change History

[RFC Editor: Delete this section before publication as an RFC]

```
11 November 2014 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-16.txt
Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to
correct reference to <u>section 7</u> 'TLS Handshaking Protocols' in
[RFC5246], per advice of Tom Petch on 30 October 2014.
Editorial - Revised section 4 Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme to
narrow scope to the URI scheme and avoid discussion of associated
media type, per advice of Graham Klyne and Barry Leiba on 9 November
2014.
Editorial - Revised section 4 Definition of 'ipps' URI Scheme and all
subsections to state the explicit source of all conformance
constraints as [RFC2910] or [PWG5100.14], as appropriate, per advice
of Graham Klyne on 4 November 2014.
Editorial - Revised section 4.1 Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme to
add the conformance requirement that the 'ipps' URI scheme MUST only
be used with the transport binding defined in this document, per
advice of Tom Petch on 30 October 2014.
Editorial - Revised section 4.1 Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme to
remove out-of-scope mention of relative URI, per advice of Graham
Klyne on 4 November 2014.
Editorial - Revised section 4.3 Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme
to clarify that the dual use of port 631 in the IPP protocol (with or
without TLS) was an IESG decision, per advice of Graham Klyne on 4
```

November 2014.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 18]

IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Internet Draft Editorial - Deleted (former) section 4.4 Associated Media Type for 'ipps' URI Scheme and removed widowed [MEDIAREG] from section 8.2 Informative References, per advice of Graham Klyne and Barry Leiba on 9 November 2014. Editorial - Revised section 4.5 Examples of 'ipps' URI to add missing trailing forward slash to all URI examples, consistent w/ section 4.2, per advice of Graham Klyne on 4 November 2014. Editorial - Revised section 5 IANA Considerations to correct section 4.4 cross-reference in "Encoding Considerations" clause, per advice of Tom Petch on 30 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 6.1 Problem Statement, section 6.1.1 Targets of Attacks, and section 6.2.2 Unauthorized Access by IPP Client, to address privacy-sensitive job metadata, per advice of Graham Klyne on 4 November 2014. Editorial - Revised <u>section 6.2.1</u> Faked 'ipps' URI to add discussion of the reasons to avoid use of literal IP addresses in 'ipps' URI and a cross-reference to section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, per advice of Tom Petch on 30 October 2014. 27 October 2014 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-15.txt Editorial - Kept "Intended Status" as "Standards Track", with AD sponsoring, per advice of Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Revised Abstract to delete mention of submission from PWG, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014 and Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Revised Boilerplate to reflect IETF standards-track, per advice of Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Revised Introduction and Acknowledgments to say "This document has been submitted to IETF...", per advice of Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Replaced "this memo" with "this document", per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014 and Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Replaced [RFC2818] with [RFC7230] for HTTPS and deleted [RFC2818] from references, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 1.1 Structure of this Document to correct list of sections per revisions in this draft, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review, Editorial - Kept section 2.2 Abbreviations to become Appendix A, as a compromise to request to remove entirely, per discussion with S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to delete (redundant) first paragraph, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to add reference to [RFC7230] for 'https' URI scheme in bullet (2), per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to delete (redundant) "reliable" qualifier of a TCP transport layer

connection in bullet (3), per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 19]

IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Internet Draft Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to replace "TLS 1.2 [<u>RFC5246</u>], or later TLS version," with "TLS 1.2 [RFC5246] or higher version" in bullet (4), consistent with TLS future-proofing in current HTTP/2.0 draft, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review, rejecting request of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to delete (out-of-date) reference to [RFC2910] in bullet (6), per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding to delete references to Security Considerations in other documents, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 4.1 Applicability of 'ipps' URI Scheme to delete references to Security Considerations in other documents, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme to replace out-of-date reference to [RFC2911] w/ [STD66] (i.e., RFC 3986), per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme to move conformance requirement out of "Note" and rewrite conformance that IPP Printers SHOULD NOT *generate* 'ipps' URI longer than 255 octets, due to known implementation bugs in many older IPP Clients, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revisions section 4.3 Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme to move conformance requirement out of "Note", per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 4.4 and References to change "MIME type" or "MIME media type" to "media type" and "[MIMEREG]"to "[MEDIAREG]", per advice of Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Revised section 4.6.1 to correct plural/singular confusion, per advice of Barry Leiba on 22 October 2014. Editorial - Deleted section 4.6.2 Examples of 'ipps' URI for Jobs, because IPP "job-uri" attribute will be deprecated in the future, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Delete section 5 Applicability of this Specification because it was redundant with conformance imperatives in section 4, per advice of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Kept IESG as change controller in (former) section 6 IANA Considerations (since the document remains standards-track), rejecting request of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Revised (former) section 7.3 TLS Version Requirements to replace "TLS 1.2 [RFC5246], or later TLS version," with "TLS 1.2 [RFC5246] or higher version", consistent with TLS future-proofing in current HTTP/2.0 draft, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review, rejecting request of S. Mooneswamy on 13 October 2014. Editorial - Deleted Appendix A - Summary of IPP URL Scheme, due to ambiguity and for future-proofing, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

28 September 2014 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-14.txt</u> Editorial - Kept "Intended Status" as "Standards Track", with AD sponsoring, per advice of Barry Leiba on 18 August 2014.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 20]

Internet Draft IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Editorial - Revised Abstract, Boilerplate, and Introduction to state that this document is an Independent Submission to the RFC Editor Stream with IETF AD sponsoring, per advice of Barry Leiba on 18 August 2014. Technical - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, to require TLS/1.2, or later TLS version, for all 'ipps' connections, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Technical - Revised section 7.2.1 Faked 'ipps' URI and section 7.2.2 Unauthorized Access by IPP Client, to delete all references to TLS/1.0 and TLS/1.1, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Technical - Renamed section 7.3 TLS Cipher Suite Requirements to TLS Version Requirements and deleted all requirements for specific cipher suites, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Technical - Revised section 9.1 Normative References, to delete all references to TLS/1.0 and TLS/1.1, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. 3 July 2014 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-13.txt Editorial - Revised section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, to replace old 'path-absolute' from RFC 2616 with 'absolute-path' from [RFC7230], per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised <u>section 2.2</u> Abbreviations, <u>section 3</u> IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, <u>section 4.2</u> Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, <u>section 4.7</u> Comparisons of 'ipps' URI, <u>section 7.2</u> Attacks and Defenses, <u>section 9.1</u> Normative References, and <u>section 10</u> Appendix A - Summary of IPP URL Scheme, to replace [<u>RFC2616</u>] with either [<u>RFC7230</u>] or [<u>HTTP1.1</u>] - a new collective reference to [<u>RFC7230</u>], [<u>RFC7231</u>], [<u>RFC7232</u>], [<u>RFC7233</u>], [<u>RFC7234</u>], and [<u>RFC7235</u>], per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

20 April 2014 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-12.txt</u> Editorial - Revised <u>section 4.3</u> Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add informative reference to CUPS, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised <u>section 4.6.1</u> Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers, to change "third" to "fourth" for the port 443 example, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 6</u> IANA Considerations, to add informative reference to CUPS, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 9.2</u> Informative References, to add informative reference to CUPS, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

7 April 2014 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-11.txt</u> Global - revised all references to <u>section 4.2</u> and <u>section 4.3</u>, to add parenthetic (syntax) and (port) respectively, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 4.2</u> Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, to correct two typos (extra words) in <u>section 4.3</u> references, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 4.3</u> Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add note about compatibility for IPP Clients and IPP

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 10 May 2015

[Page 21]

IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Internet Draft Printers that MUST accept explicit port 443 (assigned in 'https' URI scheme [RFC7230]) and process normally, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.6.1 Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers, to add example of explicit port 443, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. 30 March 2014 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-10.txt Global - Updated references, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Global - Changed "e.g." to "for example", for readability. Editorial - Revised section Copyright Notice, to correct year. Editorial - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, item 2), to clarify that port 631 is ONLY inserted in the derived 'https' URI when an explicit port is NOT specified in the original 'ipps' URI, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, note about URI lengths greater than 255 octets, to change 'ought to' to 'SHOULD', per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add reference to section 4.3 for use of port 631, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.3 Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add note about dual-use of port 631 for 'ipp' URI and 'ipps' URI with reference to CUPS source for example of incoming connection handling, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.3 Associated Port for 'ipps' URI Scheme, to add note about compatibility for IPP Clients and IPP Printers that should accept explicit port 443 (assigned in 'https' URI scheme [RFC7230]) and process normally, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.6.1 Examples of 'ipps' URI for Printers, to add reference to section 4.2 (syntax) and section 4.3 (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 4.7 Comparisons of 'ipps' URI, to add reference to section 4.3 (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 5.1 Applicability to IPP Clients, to add reference to section 4.2 (syntax) and section 4.3 (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 5.1</u> Applicability to IPP Clients, item d), to change 'MUST the' to 'MUST use the', per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 5.2 Applicability to IPP Printers, to add reference to section 4.2 (syntax) and section 4.3 (port), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 5.2 Applicability to IPP Printers, item d), to change 'MUST the' to 'MUST use the', per Smith Kennedy and

IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 22]

IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Internet Draft Editorial - Revised section 5.2 Applicability to IPP Printers, to delete former item e) (listen only on port 631) which conflicted with existing IPP implementations (for example, listening on port 443 as well), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 6 IANA Considerations, to add URI for CUPS source, per IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 7.2.4 No Client Authentication for 'ipps' URI, to change "or or" to "or" (typo), per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised Appendix A Summary of IPP URL Scheme, item 2), to clarify that port 631 is ONLY inserted in the derived 'http' URI when an explicit port is NOT specified in the original 'ipp' URI, per Smith Kennedy and IEEE-ISTO PWG IPP WG review. 5 November 2013 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-09.txt Global - Updated references, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised Abstract, section 1 Introduction, and section 8 Acknowledgments to clarify that this document is an individual submission to the IETF by the IPP WG of the IEEE-ISTO PWG, per S. Mooneswamy. Editorial - Revised Abstract, section 1 Introduction, and section 8 Acknowledgments to clarify that this document does not update or obsolete [RFC3510], per S. Mooneswamy and Tom Petch. Editorial - Revised section 1.1 Structure of this Document to align with changes below, per Tom Petch. Editorial - Revised section 2 Conventions Used in this Document to add section 2.1 Printing Terminology and to remove redundant "In this document" and clarify definitions, per Tom Petch. Editorial - Moved former Appendix B - Abbreviations Used in this Document to become <u>section 2.2</u> Abbreviations, per Tom Petch. Technical - Revised section 3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding, section 5 Applicability of this Specification, and section 7 Security Considerations to address specific TLS/1.0 [RFC2246], TLS/1.1 [<u>RFC4346</u>], and TLS/1.2 [<u>RFC5246</u>] requirements, per Tom Petch. Editorial - Moved former section 3.1 IPP over HTTP Transport Binding to become Appendix A - Summary of IPP URL Scheme (Informative), per Tom Petch. Technical - Revised section 4.2 Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme to add note about the retention of the (unused) "query" production for consistency with IPP/1.1 Encoding and Transport [RFC2910] and the original IPP URL Scheme [RFC3510], but warn that it has no defined semantics in IPP and therefore its use is unsafe for IPP Clients, per Tom Petch. Technical - Revised section 7 Security Considerations to add section 8.1 Problem Statement, section 8.2 Attacks, and section 8.3 TLS Security Considerations, per Tom Petch. Editorial - Moved former section Appendix A - Acknowledgments to become section 8 Acknowledgements (in body of document) and updated to reflect recent comments on this document, per Tom Petch.

Technical - Revised <u>section 9.1</u> Normative References to add TLS/1.0 [<u>RFC2246</u>] and TLS/1.1 [<u>RFC4346</u>], per Tom Petch.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 23]

19 September 2013 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-08.txt</u> Global - Updated references, per IPP WG review.

12 May 2013 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-07.txt</u> Editorial - Revised <u>section 1</u> (introduction) to add 'Rationale for this document', per Smith Kennedy. Editorial - Global - Changed 'Conformance Requirements' to 'Applicability', per Barry Leiba. Editorial - Global - Changed '[PWG5100.EW]' to '[<u>PWG5100.14</u>]', corrected date and URI, and moved <u>section 8.1</u> (normative references), per IPP WG review.

10 November 2012 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-06.txt</u> Editorial - Global - Fixed typos and indentation, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Global - changed 'generic drivers' to 'vendor-neutral Client software', per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 8.2</u> (informative references, to correct title of "PWG IPP Everywhere" (i.e., delete version number), per IPP WG review.

14 May 2012 - <u>draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-05.txt</u> Editorial - Global - Fixed typos and indentation, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised sections <u>3.1</u> and <u>3.2</u> (transport bindings) to insert missing "to" in "connection to the target endpoint", per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 4.2</u> (syntax), to correct indentation of first "Note:", per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised sections <u>5.1</u> and <u>5.2</u> (client/printer conformance) and <u>section 7</u> (security considerations) to delete the out-of-scope normative references to [<u>RFC2817</u>], per IPP WG review.

22 November 2011 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-04.txt

Editorial - Global - Fixed typos and indentation, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised Introduction and Acknowledgments to say 'project for mobile, ubiquitous printing with generic drivers', per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised sections <u>3.1</u> and <u>3.2</u> (transport bindings) to add

references to HTTP POST and <u>section 4 of RFC 2910</u>, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised sections <u>3.1</u> and <u>3.2</u> (transport bindings) to add section references to all well-known standards (connection setup, etc.), per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised <u>section 4.2</u> (syntax) to move note from from <u>section 4.6</u> (examples) and explain why literal IP addresses SHOULD NOT be used in 'ipps' URI, per IPP WG review.

Editorial - Revised sections 4.6.1 and 4.6.2 (examples) to replace 'abc.com' w/ 'example.com' (per IETF) and replace '/printer' path element w/ '/ipp' (better practice), per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 5.2 (Printer conformance) to fold former (c) and (d) into a single requirement for standard port 631 and reordered other requirements to group MUSTs before SHOULDs, per IPP

McDonald, Sweet

Expires 10 May 2015

[Page 24]

IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Internet Draft WG review. Editorial - Revised section 5.2 (Printer conformance) to add backward reference to section 4.2 for rationale for not using IP literal addresses, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 6 (IANA) to explicitly state that 'ipps' uses secure communications using HTTP over TLS, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 7 (Security) to cleanup numerous loose ends, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised section 8 (References) to cleanup typos and links, per IPP WG review. Editorial - Revised <u>section 1</u> (introduction), <u>section 8.2</u> (informative references, and section 9 (appendix A) to change "[IPPEVE]" to "[PWG5100.EW]", per IPP WG review. 26 August 2011 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-03.txt Editorial - Revised Abstract and Introduction to state published by the IETF on behalf of IEEE-ISTO PWG (to avoid status ambiguity), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised section 1 to list all currently defined versions of IPP in <u>RFC 2566</u>, <u>RFC 2911</u>, and PWG 5100.12, per Mykyta Yevstifevev. Technical - Revised section 1, section 2, section 3.2, section 4.1, and section 7, to reference IPP Version 2.0 Second Edition (PWG 5100.12), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised section 3.1, to fix broken STD7 reference, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised section 6, to add BCP35 reference for template (regression loss when the template was moved up from former appendix), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised section 8.1 to add PWG 5100.12 (normative), Editorial - Revised section 8.2 to add PWG IPP Everywhere (informative) and <u>RFC 1179</u> (informative), per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised appendix \underline{B} to add references for more reading, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. 28 February 2011 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-02.txt Editorial - Revised document title to emphasize IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding (reason for IETF standards-track status). Editorial - Replaced "IPP URI" with "'ipp' URI", "IPPS URI" with "'ipps' URI", "HTTP URI" with "'http' URI", and "HTTPS URI" with "'https' URI" throughout this document for conformance to section 3.1 of [STD66], per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised and simplified Abstract, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised and simplified section 1 'Introduction', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Renamed section 2 from 'Conformance Terminology' to 'Conventions Used in this Document', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Moved former <u>section 3.1</u> 'IPP Model Terminology (Normative)' content into section 2 'Conventions Used in this

Document' for readability, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev.

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 25]

Internet Draft IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014 Editorial - Reordered subsections and reversed word order in all subsection titles in <u>section 4</u> 'The 'ipps' URI Scheme' for readability, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Added note to section 4.2 'Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme' to explain why 'authority' production is NOT imported from [STD66], because it includes an optional 'userinfo' component which cannot be used in 'ipps' URI values. Editorial - Deleted note describing empty 'host' component from section 4.2 'Syntax of 'ipps' URI Scheme', because 'host' component is mandatory in [STD66]. Editorial - Deleted 'Internationalization Considerations' section which was redundant with section 4.3 'Character Encoding of 'ipps' URI Scheme', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Revised all references to follow current RFC Editor style, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Moved former 'Appendix A - Registration of IPPS URI Scheme' content inline into section 6 'IANA Considerations', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Moved former body section 'Acknowledgements' to 'Appendix A - Acknowledgements', per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Added new 'Appendix B - Abbreviations Used in this Document' for readability, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. Editorial - Moved section 'Authors' Addresses' to end of document, per Mykyta Yevstifeyev. 1 December 2010 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-01.txt - Technical - added UTF-8 [STD63] as required charset for all IPPS URI in <u>section 4.4</u> and <u>section 7</u>, per Bjoern Hoehrmann. - Technical - corrected percent encoding for data octets outside the US-ASCII range in <u>section 4.4</u> and <u>section 7</u>, per Bjoern Hoehrmann. - Editorial - global - changed "[RFC4395]" to "[BCP35]", changed "[<u>RFC3629</u>]" to "[<u>STD63</u>]", changed "[<u>RFC3986</u>]" to "[<u>STD66</u>]", and changed "[<u>RFC5234</u>]" to "[<u>STD68</u>]", per Bjoern Hoehrmann. - Editorial - restored trailing "]]" in ABNF syntax in section 4.5, per Bjoern Hoehrmann. - Editorial - changed "Author/Change controller" to "IESG" in section 12 Appendix A registration template, as required by section 5.3 of [BCP35], per Bjoern Hoehrmann. 10 October 2010 - draft-mcdonald-ipps-uri-scheme-00.txt - Editorial - complete rewrite of RFC 3510 for new transport binding - Editorial - moved Abstract to beginning of first page, per ID-Nits - Editorial - fixed copyright, boilerplate, and typos, per ID-Nits - Editorial - added references to RFCs 2119 and 3510, per ID-Nits - Editorial - deleted obsolete references to RFCs 2246 and 4346, per ID-Nits - Technical - changed Intended Status to Standards Track to reflect the new normative IPPS URI scheme and transport binding - Technical - added section 3.2 IPP over HTTP Transport Binding

(informative)

McDonald, Sweet Expires 10 May 2015 [Page 26]

Internet Draft IPP over HTTPS and 'ipps' URI Scheme 11 Nov 2014
 - Technical - added section 3.3 IPP over HTTPS Transport Binding
 (normative)
 - Technical - updated section 5 Conformance Requirements to require
 HTTP Upgrade (RFC 2817) support (for interoperability with existing
 IPP implementations), per discussion on IPP WG mailing list
 - Editorial - updated Appendix A w/ registration template from RFC
 4395

<u>11</u>. Authors' Addresses

Ira McDonald High North Inc 221 Ridge Ave Grand Marais, MI 49839

Phone: +1 906-494-2434 Email: blueroofmusic@gmail.com

Michael Sweet Apple Inc 10431 N De Anza Blvd, M/S 38-4LPT Cupertino, CA 95014

Phone: +1 408-974-8798 Email: msweet@apple.com

Usage questions and comments on this 'ipps' URI Scheme can be sent directly to the editors at their above addresses and also to the PWG IPP WG mailing list. Instructions for subscribing to the PWG IPP WG mailing list can be found at:

PWG IPP WG Web Page:http://www.pwg.org/ipp/PWG IPP WG Mailing List:ipp@pwg.orgPWG IPP WG Subscription:http://www.pwg.org/mailhelp.html

Implementers of this specification are encouraged to join the PWG IPP WG Mailing List in order to participate in any discussions of clarification issues and comments. Note that this IEEE-ISTO PWG mailing list rejects mail from non-subscribers (in order to reduce spam).