Internet Engineering Task Force

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Best Current Practice

Expires: June 2, 2019

N. McPherson 1&1 IONOS SE T. Sattler, Editor December 3, 2018

Registry Transaction Report draft-mcpherson-sattler-ry-transaction-report-02

Abstract

This document describes the content of a transaction report based on the registry report structure and delivered by the registry reporting repository.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 2, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

McPherson & Sattler

Expires June 2, 2019

[Page 1]

Table of Contents	
$\underline{1}$. Introduction	2
2. Terminology and Definitions	2
2.1. Internationalized Domain Names	<u>3</u>
<u>2.2</u> . Dates and Times	<u>3</u>
2.3. Character Encoding	<u>3</u>
<u>2.4</u> . Currency	<u>3</u>
$\underline{3}$. Report Headings	<u>3</u>
$\underline{4}$. Transaction Types	<u>4</u>
$\underline{5}$. Transaction Periods	<u>4</u>
$\underline{6}$. Transaction Fees	<u>5</u>
$\underline{6.1}$. Deviating Fees	<u>5</u>
7. Registrar ID	<u>5</u>
$\underline{8}$. Example	<u>5</u>
<u>8.1</u> . Single TLD File Example	<u>5</u>
8.2. Multiple TLDs File Example	<u>5</u>
$\underline{9}$. IANA Considerations	<u>6</u>
<u>10</u> . Security Considerations	<u>6</u>
<u>11</u> . Implementation Status	<u>6</u>
<u>12</u> . References	7
<u>12.1</u> . Normative References	7
<u>12.2</u> . Informative References	7
<u>Appendix A</u> . Change History	7
A.1. Change from 00 to 01	7
A.2. Change from 01 to 02	7
<u>Appendix B</u> . Acknowledgements	8
Authors' Addresses	8

1. Introduction

Modern top-level domain registries provide a number of detailed reports and documents that their registrars require on a daily, weekly and monthly basis. These most commonly include transaction reports, as well as lists containing currently unavailable domains and current premium domains. These reports are critical for registrars' businesses and play an important role in accounting and operations processes as well as in sales and marketing activities. In the current set-up registrars must download these reports from each registry's intranet in a different manner according to each registry's own document management set up.

This document describes the content of a transaction report based on the [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure] and delivered by the [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-reporting-repo].

2. Terminology and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this

document are to be interpreted as described in $[\underline{\text{RFC2119}}]$ when specified in their uppercase forms.

McPherson & Sattler Expires June 2, 2019

[Page 2]

2.1. Internationalized Domain Names

MUST be as defined in [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

2.2. Dates and Times

MUST be as defined in [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

2.3. Character Encoding

MUST be as defined in [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

2.4. Currency

MUST be as defined in [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure].

3. Report Headings

The first row MUST be the column headings in the following order:

SVTRID Server transaction identifier MUST be assigned

by and MUST be unique to the server.

TLD It MUST contain the top-level domain name formatted

according to <u>section 2.1</u> of this document.

DOMAIN It MUST contain the domain name formatted according

to <u>section 2.1</u> of this document.

TIMESTAMP It MUST contain the timestamp of the successful

transaction formatted according to section 2.2 of

this document.

TRANSACTIONTYPE It MUST contain the type of the successful

transaction according to section 4 of this

document.

PERIOD It MUST either contain the Period of the the

successful transaction according to $\underline{\text{section 5}}$ of this document or MUST be empty if no Period is

assigned to the transaction.

FEE It MUST contain the fee of the successful

transaction according to section 6 of this

document.

CURRENCY It MUST contain the currency of the successful

transaction according to $\underline{\text{section 2.4}}$ of this

document.

McPherson & Sattler Expires June 2, 2019 [Page 3]

Internet-Draft Registry Transaction Report December 2018

DESCRIPTION It MAY contain a free description of the successful

transaction.

REGISTRARID It MUST contain the registrar ID of the successful

transaction according to section 7 of this

document.

4. Transaction Types

Each transaction MUST be assigned to a type. Those type MUST either be 'CREATE', 'RENEWAL', 'AUTORENEW', 'TRANSFER', 'TRADE', 'UPDATE' or 'RESTORE'.

CREATE A successful domain create within the report period.

RENEWAL A successful explicit domain name renewal executed by the

domain name registrar within the report period.

AUTORENEW A successful domain name auto-renewal by the domain name

registry within the report period.

TRANSFER A successful domain name transfer within the report

period.

TRADE A successful domain name trade within the report

period.

UPDATE A successful billable domain name update within the report

period.

RESTORE A successful domain name restore within the report period.

This event MUST only include the restore fee. Any additional renewal fee MUST be independently listed.

A successful transaction is also a completed transaction. Therefore, transactions MUST NOT be deleted. If a transaction MUST be cleared, a corresponding counter transaction MUST be made.

5. Transaction Periods

Transaction Types usually occur in Periods of 1 to 10 years, sometimes there are monthly periods. Therefore, each transaction MUST either have a Period associated or it MUST be empty if no Period is assigned to the transaction.

The format MUST be in the format <integer><character>, where <integer> MUST be unsigned and between 1 and 65535, without any leading zero, and <character> MUST be either 'y' for years or 'm' for months.

If the number of months results in a full year, then the full year MUST be used, e.g. instead of 12m or 24m, it should be 1y $\,$ respectively 2y.

McPherson & Sattler Expires June 2, 2019

[Page 4]

6. Transaction Fees

All transactions MUST have a Fee associated. The fee amount, the currency and the period MUST be listed separately.

Fees MUST either be whole numbers or rounded to two decimal places. A period (.) is used as the dividing point.

6.1. Deviating Fees

If a domain name incurs a premium fee, that is not the standard price for the TLD, a description of the price category SHOULD be given.

7. Registrar ID

A unique registrar ID MUST be listed, with each transaction. Those IDs MUST be according the IANA registrar IDs (https://www.iana.org/assignments/registrar-ids/registrar-ids.xhtml) where applicable, otherwise another unique registrar ID MUST be used.

Example

8.1. Single TLD File Example

This is an example of a transaction report for a single top-level domain .example.

Filename: example_transactions_2018-11.csv.gz

SVTRID, TLD, DOMAIN, TIMESTAMP, TRANSACTIONTYPE, PERIOD, FEE, CURRENCY, DESCRIPTION, REGISTRARID

54321-XYZ, example, nic.example, 2018-11-08T08:01:01Z, AUTORENEW, 1y, 10, USD., 1

54322-XYZ, example, foo.example, 2018-11-09T08:00:00Z, CREATE, 3y, 10, USD, ,

54323-XYZ, example, bar.example, 2018-11-09T08:01:01Z, CREATE, 1y, 1000, USD, PREMIUM A, 1

54324-XYZ, example, foobar.example, 2018-11-10T07:00:00Z, RESTORE, , 40, USD ..1

54325-XYZ, example, foobar.example, 2018-11-10T07:00:01Z, RENEWAL,, 10, USD,, 1

54326-XYZ, example, xn--r8jz45g.example, 2018-11-11T06:30:00Z, TRANSFER, 1y, 12.75, USD, ,1

8.2. Multiple TLDs File Example

This is an example of a transaction report for multi top-level domains by the example registry.

Filename: example_transactions_2018-11.csv.gz

```
SVTRID, TLD, DOMAIN, TIMESTAMP, TRANSACTIONTYPE, PERIOD, FEE, CURRENCY,
DESCRIPTION, REGISTRARID
54321-XYZ, example, nic.example, 2018-11-08T08:01:01Z, AUTORENEW, 1y, 10,
54322-XYZ, example1, foo.example1, 2018-11-09T08:00:00Z, CREATE, 6m, 9.90,
EUR,,1
54323-XYZ, example2, bar.example2, 2018-11-09T08:01:01Z, CREATE, 1y, 4000,
BRL, PREMIUM C, 1
54324-XYZ, xn--0zwm56d, xn--fsqu00a.xn--0zwm56d, 2018-11-10T07:00:00Z,
RESTORE,, 275, CNY,, 1
54325-XYZ,xn--0zwm56d,xn--fsqu00a.xn--0zwm56d,2018-11-10T07:00:01Z,
RENEWAL,,70,CNY,,1
54326-XYZ,xn--zckzah,xn--r8jz45g.xn--zckzah,2018-11-11T06:30:00Z,
TRANSFER, 1y, 1200, JPY, , 1
```

9. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

10. Security Considerations

The registry transaction report described in this document does not provide any security services.

11. Implementation Status

Note to RFC Editor: Please remove this section and the reference to [RFC7942] before publication.

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

According to [RFC7942], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

Add implementation details once available.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

- [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-report-structure] McPherson, N. and Sattler, T., "Registry Report Strucutre" , <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-mcphersonsattler-registry-report-structure/> (work in progress), November 2018
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

12.2. Informative References

- [I-D.mcpherson-sattler-registry-reporting-repo] McPherson, N. and Sattler, T., "Registry Reporting Repository", https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft- mcpherson-sattler-registry-reporting-repo/> (work in progress), November 2018
- [RFC7942] Sheffer, Y. and Farrel, A., "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", RFC 7942, July 2016, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7942>.

<u>Appendix A</u>. Change History

A.1. Change from 00 to 01

Added acknowledgements. Changed Y to lowercase. Fixed csv examples. Changed security considerations. Added SRTRID to identify transactions. Clarified successful transaction.

A.2. Change from 01 to 02

Clarified the Period. Fixed numerous typos. Changed limit for Period from 1-99 to unsigned 16 bit integer.

McPherson & Sattler

Expires June 2, 2019

[Page 7]

<u>Appendix B</u>. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the following persons for their feedback and suggestions (sorted alphabetically by company):

- o Jeff Yeh, Brandma
- o Elaine Pruis, Domain Research
- o Gaurav Vedi, Dominion Registries

Authors' Addresses

Neal McPherson 1&1 IONOS SE Ernst-Frey-Str. 5 76135 Karlsruhe DE

Email: neal.mcpherson@ionos.com URI: https://www.ionos.com

Tobias Sattler

Email: tobias.sattler@me.com https://tobiassattler.com URI:

McPherson & Sattler Expires June 2, 2019 [Page 8]