Network Working Group J. Merkle

Internet-Draft security Networks

Updates: 4492 (if approved) M. Lochter

Intended status: Informational Bundesamt fuer Sicherheit in der Expires: January 3, 2014 Informationstechnik (BSI)

July 2, 2013

# ECC Brainpool Curves for Transport Layer Security (TLS) draft-merkle-tls-brainpool-03

#### Abstract

This document specifies the use of several ECC Brainpool curves for authentication and key exchange in the Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 3, 2014.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}\ 78}$  and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft	ECC	Brainpool	Curves	for	TLS
ziicoi iioc bi ai c		D. allipool	04. 100		

# July 2013

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Int	roduc	ction	١.															3
<u>2</u> .	Sec	urity	/ Cor	nside	rat	ior	าร												4
<u>3</u> .	IAN	A Cor	nside	erati	ons														5
<u>4</u> .	Ref	erend	ces																6
4	<u>.1</u> .	Norn	nativ	∕e Re	fer	end	ces	3											6
4	<u>. 2</u> .	Info	ormat	tive	Ref	ere	end	ces	3										6
Appe	endi	<u>х А</u> .	Tes	st Ve	cto	rs													8
A	<u>.1</u> .	256	Bit	Curv	е.														8
A	<u>. 2</u> .	384	Bit	Curv	е.														2
Α	. 3 .	512	Bit	Curv	е.														ç

#### 1. Introduction

In [RFC5639], a new set of elliptic curve groups over finite prime fields for use in cryptographic applications was specified. These groups, denoted as ECC Brainpool curves, were generated in a verifiably pseudo-random way and comply with the security requirements of relevant standards from ISO [IS01] [IS02], ANSI [ANSI1], NIST [FIPS], and SecG [SEC2].

[RFC4492] defines the usage of elliptic curves for authentication and key agreement in TLS 1.0 and TLS 1.1, and these mechanisms are also applicable to TLS 1.2 [RFC5246]. While the ASN.1 object identifiers defined in [RFC5639] already allow usage of the ECC Brainpool curves for TLS (client or server) authentication through reference in X.509 certificates according to [RFC3279] and [RFC5480], their negotiation for key exchange according to [RFC4492] requires the definition and assignment of additional NamedCurve IDs. This document specifies such values for three curves from [RFC5639].

Test vectors for a Diffie-Hellman key exchange using these ECC Brainpool curves are provided in <u>Appendix A</u>

# 2. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC5246] apply accordingly.

The confidentiality, authenticity and integrity of the TLS communication is limited by the weakest cryptographic primitive applied. In order to achieve a maximum security level when using one of the elliptic curves from Table 1 for authentication and / or key exchange in TLS, the key derivation function, the algorithms and key lengths of symmetric encryption and message authentication as well as the algorithm, bit length and hash function used for signature generation should be chosen according to the recommendations of [NIST800-57] and [RFC5639]. Furthermore, the private Diffie-Hellman keys should be selected with the same bit length as the order of the group generated by the base point G and with approximately maximum entropy.

Implementations of elliptic curve cryptography for TLS may be susceptible to side-channel attacks. Particular care should be taken for implementations that internally transform curve points to points on the corresponding "twisted curve", using the map  $(x',y') = (x*Z^2, y*Z^3)$  with the coefficient Z specified for that curve in [RFC5639], in order to take advantage of an an efficient arithmetic based on the twisted curve's special parameters (A = -3): although the twisted curve itself offers the same level of security as the corresponding random curve (through mathematical equivalence), an arithmetic based on small curve parameters may be harder to protect against side-channel attacks. General guidance on resistence of elliptic curve cryptography implementations against side-channel-attacks is given in [BSI1] and [HMV].

# **3**. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign numbers for the ECC Brainpool curves, defined in [RFC5639], found in Table 1 in the Transport Layer Security (TLS) Parameters registry EC Named Curve [IANA-TLS]. These curves are suitable for use with DTLS.

+		+	++	+
	Value	Description +		Reference
		brainpoolP256r1		This doc
	TBD2	   brainpoolP384r1		This doc
1	TBD3	   brainpoolP512r1		This doc
+		+	+	+

Table 1

#### 4. References

#### 4.1. Normative References

- [IANA-TLS] Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Parameters", <a href="http://www.iana.org/assignments/tls-parameters/tls-parameters.xml">http://www.iana.org/assignments/tls-parameters/tls-parameters.xml</a>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC4492] Blake-Wilson, S., Bolyard, N., Gupta, V., Hawk, C., and B. Moeller, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) Cipher Suites for Transport Layer Security (TLS)", RFC 4492, May 2006.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", <u>RFC 5246</u>, August 2008.
- [RFC6347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security Version 1.2", <u>RFC 6347</u>, January 2012.

#### 4.2. Informative References

- [ANSI1] American National Standards Institute, "Public Key Cryptography For The Financial Services Industry: The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", ANSI X9.62, 2005.
- [BSI1] Bundesamt fuer Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik,
  "Minimum Requirements for Evaluating Side-Channel
  Attack Resistance of Elliptic Curve Implementations",
  July 2011.
- [FIPS] National Institute of Standards and Technology,
  "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", FIPS PUB 186-2,
  December 1998.
- [HMV] Hankerson, D., Menezes, A., and S. Vanstone, "Guide to Elliptic Curve Cryptography", Springer Verlag, 2004.
- [IS01] International Organization for Standardization,
  "Information Technology Security Techniques Digital

Signatures with Appendix - Part 3: Discrete Logarithm Based Mechanisms", ISO/IEC 14888-3, 2006.

- [ISO2] International Organization for Standardization,
  "Information Technology Security Techniques Cryptographic Techniques Based on Elliptic Curves Part 2: Digital signatures", ISO/IEC 15946-2, 2002.
- [NIST800-57] National Institute of Standards and Technology,
  "Recommendation for Key Management Part 1: General
  (Revised)", NIST Special Publication 800-57,
  March 2007.
- [RFC3279] Bassham, L., Polk, W., and R. Housley, "Algorithms and Identifiers for the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 3279, April 2002.
- [RFC5480] Turner, S., Brown, D., Yiu, K., Housley, R., and T. Polk, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information", RFC 5480, March 2009.
- [RFC6090] McGrew, D., Igoe, K., and M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", RFC 6090, February 2011.
- [SEC1] Certicom Research, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography", Standards for Efficient Cryptography (SEC) 1, September 2000.
- [SEC2] Certicom Research, "Recommended Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters", Standards for Efficient Cryptography (SEC) 2, September 2000.

# Appendix A. Test Vectors

This section provides some test vectors for example Diffie-Hellman key exchanges using each of the curves defined in Table 1 . In all of the following sections the following notation is used:

d\_A: the secret key of party A

x\_qA: the x-coordinate of the public key of party A

y\_qA: the y-coordinate of the public key of party A

d\_B: the secret key of party B

x\_qB: the x-coordinate of the public key of party B

y\_qB: the y-coordinate of the public key of party B

 $x\_Z$ : the x-coordinate of the shared secret that results from completion of the Diffie-Hellman computation, i.e. the hex representation of the pre-master secret

y\_Z: the y-coordinate of the shared secret that results from completion of the Diffie-Hellman computation

The field elements  $x_qA$ ,  $y_qA$ ,  $x_qB$ ,  $y_qB$ ,  $x_Z$ ,  $y_Z$  are represented as hexadecimal values using the FieldElement-to-OctetString conversion method specified in [SEC1].

### A.1. 256 Bit Curve

Curve brainpoolP256r1

dA =

81DB1EE100150FF2EA338D708271BE38300CB54241D79950F77B063039804F1D

 $x_qA =$ 

44106E913F92BC02A1705D9953A8414DB95E1AAA49E81D9E85F929A8E3100BE5

v qA =

8AB4846F11CACCB73CE49CBDD120F5A900A69FD32C272223F789EF10EB089BDC

dB =

55E40BC41E37E3E2AD25C3C6654511FFA8474A91A0032087593852D3E7D76BD3

 $x_qB =$ 

8D2D688C6CF93E1160AD04CC4429117DC2C41825E1E9FCA0ADDD34E6F1B39F7B

 $y_qB =$ 

990C57520812BE512641E47034832106BC7D3E8DD0E4C7F1136D7006547CEC6A

x Z =

89AFC39D41D3B327814B80940B042590F96556EC91E6AE7939BCE31F3A18BF2B

 $y_Z =$ 

49C27868F4ECA2179BFD7D59B1E3BF34C1DBDE61AE12931648F43E59632504DE

# A.2. 384 Bit Curve

Curve brainpoolP384r1

- dA = 1E20F5E048A5886F1F157C74E91BDE2B98C8B52D58E5003D57053FC4B0BD6 5D6F15EB5D1EE1610DF870795143627D042
- $x_qA = 68B665DD91C195800650CDD363C625F4E742E8134667B767B1B47679358$ 8F885AB698C852D4A6E77A252D6380FCAF068
- y\_qA = 55BC91A39C9EC01DEE36017B7D673A931236D2F1F5C83942D049E3FA206 07493E0D038FF2FD30C2AB67D15C85F7FAA59
- dB = 032640BC6003C59260F7250C3DB58CE647F98E1260ACCE4ACDA3DD869F74E 01F8BA5E0324309DB6A9831497ABAC96670
- $x_qB = 4D44326F269A597A5B58BBA565DA5556ED7FD9A8A9EB76C25F46DB69D19$ DC8CE6AD18E404B15738B2086DF37E71D1EB4
- y\_qB = 62D692136DE56CBE93BF5FA3188EF58BC8A3A0EC6C1E151A21038A42E91
  85329B5B275903D192F8D4E1F32FE9CC78C48
- x\_Z = 0BD9D3A7EA0B3D519D09D8E48D0785FB744A6B355E6304BC51C229FBBCE2 39BBADF6403715C35D4FB2A5444F575D4F42
- y\_Z = 0DF213417EBE4D8E40A5F76F66C56470C489A3478D146DECF6DF0D94BAE9 E598157290F8756066975F1DB34B2324B7BD

# A.3. 512 Bit Curve

Curve brainpoolP512r1

- dA = 16302FF0DBBB5A8D733DAB7141C1B45ACBC8715939677F6A56850A38BD87B D59B09E80279609FF333EB9D4C061231FB26F92EEB04982A5F1D1764CAD5766542 2
- x\_qA = 0A420517E406AAC0ACDCE90FCD71487718D3B953EFD7FBEC5F7F27E28C6 149999397E91E029E06457DB2D3E640668B392C2A7E737A7F0BF04436D11640FD0 9FD

- $y_qA = 72E6882E8DB28AAD36237CD25D580DB23783961C8DC52DFA2EC138AD472$ A0FCEF3887CF62B623B2A87DE5C588301EA3E5FC269B373B60724F5E82A6AD147F DE7
- dB = 230E18E1BCC88A362FA54E4EA3902009292F7F8033624FD471B5D8ACE49D1 2CFABBC19963DAB8E2F1EBA00BFFB29E4D72D13F2224562F405CB80503666B2542
- $x_qB = 9D45F66DE5D67E2E6DB6E93A59CE0BB48106097FF78A081DE781CDB31FC$ E8CCBAAEA8DD4320C4119F1E9CD437A2EAB3731FA9668AB268D871DEDA55A54731 99F
- $y_qB = 2FDC313095BCDD5FB3A91636F07A959C8E86B5636A1E930E8396049CB48$ 1961D365CC11453A06C719835475B12CB52FC3C383BCE35E27EF194512B7187628 5FA
- $x_Z = A7927098655F1F9976FA50A9D566865DC530331846381C87256BAF322624$ 4B76D36403C024D7BBF0AA0803EAFF405D3D24F11A9B5C0BEF679FE1454B21C4CD 1F
- y Z = 7DB71C3DEF63212841C463E881BDCF055523BD368240E6C3143BD8DEF8B3 B3223B95E0F53082FF5E412F4222537A43DF1C6D25729DDB51620A832BE6A26680 A2

# Authors' Addresses

Johannes Merkle secunet Security Networks Mergenthaler Allee 77 65760 Eschborn Germany

Phone: +49 201 5454 3091

EMail: johannes.merkle@secunet.com

Manfred Lochter Bundesamt fuer Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) Postfach 200363 53133 Bonn Germany

Phone: +49 228 9582 5643

EMail: manfred.lochter@bsi.bund.de