IPSECME Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: August 21, 2015 D. Migault, Ed. Ericsson T. Guggemos, Ed. LMU Munich February 17, 2015

# 

#### Abstract

IPsec ESP with AES-CBC, AES-CTR, AES-CCM or AES-GCM sends an IV in each IP packet, which represents 8 or 16 additional bytes.

In some context, such as IoT, the cost of sending bytes over computing these bytes is generally in favor of the computation. As a result, it would be better to compute the IV on each party then to send it.

The document describes how to the IV can be generated instead of being sent. This document limits the IV generation for AES-CBC, AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM but can be used for additional cryptographic mode and suites.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 21, 2015.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Requirements notation	2
<u>2</u> .	Introduction	2
<u>3</u> .	Terminology	<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> .	Implicit IV with AES CBC	<u>3</u>
<u>5</u> .	Implicit IV with AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM	4
<u>6</u> .	Security Consideration	<u>5</u>
<u>7</u> .	IANA Considerations	<u>5</u>
<u>8</u> .	Normative References	<u>6</u>
Appe	endix A. Document Change Log	<u>6</u>
Auth	hors' Addresses	7

# **<u>1</u>**. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in[RFC2119].

## 2. Introduction

Using AES in one of the AES-CBC [<u>RFC3602</u>], AES-CTR [<u>RFC3686</u>] encryption mode, or in one of the AES-CCM [<u>RFC4309</u>] and AES-GCM [<u>RFC4104</u>] combined requires the specification of an IV for each ESP packet. Currently this IV is sent in each ESP packet [<u>RFC4303</u>].

IoT devices present new characteristics over traditional devices. One of them is that the balance between extra computation and extra byte sent over the wire is most of the time in favor of extra computation. For such devices, embedding the IV in each packet constitutes an extra cost over computing the IV of each associated packet.

Depending on the the AES mode, the IV can be of different sizes and have different properties. AES-CBC needs a 16 byte IV. This IV MUST be chosen at random and MUST be unpredictable. In addition IV MUST NOT be generated with low Hamming distance (like counter) for example -- [RFC3602] Section 3. AES-CTR and AES-CCM need an 8 byte IV. This

[Page 2]

IV MUST be unique ([RFC3686] Section 2.1). Finally, AES-GCM requires 8 byte IV, that must be unique for a given key -- [RFC4104] Section 2.

This document defines how for each of the AES-CBC, AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM, the IV can be computed by each peer instead of being included in the ESP packet.

This document limits its scope to AES as most of devices in the IoT have hardware acceleration for AES, and use AES. However, the description may be extended to additional crypto suites.

## 3. Terminology

- IoT: Internet of Things
- IV: Initialization Vector

#### **<u>4</u>**. Implicit IV with AES CBC

With AES-CBC, the IV is 16 bytes, random and unpredictable. In this document, the binding between IV and ESP packet is performed using the Sequence Number or the Extended Sequence Number. A clear text payload is derived from the Sequence Number or the Extended Sequence Number. In order to generate the IV randomly, AES is used as a random permutation. A dedicated 16 byte key is used for each peer. key\_iv\_initiator (resp. key\_iv\_responder) is used to derive the IV emitted by the initiator (resp. the responder).

Keys key\_iv\_initiator and key\_iv\_responder MUST be agreed prior IPsec packets are exchanged. When IKEv2 [<u>RFC7296</u>] is used these keys are derived from the KEYMAT. key\_iv\_initiator is the first key generated, followed by key\_iv\_responder.

Figure 1 (resp. Figure 2) defines a clear text payload derived from a 4 byte Sequence Number (resp. a 8 byte Extended Sequence Number)

Figure 1: Clear Text Payload for AES-CBC

[Page 3]

Implicit IV

Where,

- Sequence Number: the 4 byte Sequence Number carried in the ESP packet.
- Zero: a 12 byte array with all bits set to zero.

0 2 3 1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 Zero Extended Sequence Number 

Figure 2: Clear Text Payload for AES-CBC with Extended Sequence Number

Where,

- Extended Sequence Number: the 8 byte Extended Sequence Number of the Security Association. The 4 byte low order bytes are carried in the ESP packet.
- Zero: a 8 byte array with all bits set to zero.
- 5. Implicit IV with AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM

With AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM, the 8 byte IV MUST NOT repeat. The binding between a ESP packet and its IV is provided using the Sequence Number or the Extended Sequence Number. Figure 3 (resp Figure 4) represents the IV with a regular 4 byte Sequence Number (resp. a 8 byte Extended Sequence Number).

Θ	1	2	3			
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0 1 2 3 4 5	67890123	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1			
+-	-+-+-+-+-+	-+	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -			
Zero						
+-						
Sequence Number						
+-						

Figure 3: IV for AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM with 4 byte Sequence Number

Where,

[Page 4]

- Sequence Number: the 4 byte Sequence Number carried in the ESP packet.

- Zero: a 4 byte array with all bits set to zero.

Figure 4: IV for AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM with 8 byte Extended Sequence Number

Where,

- Extended Sequence Number: the 8 byte Extended Sequence Number of the Security Association. The 4 byte low order bytes are carried in the ESP packet.

## <u>6</u>. Security Consideration

IV generation of the AES-CBC, AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM have not been explicitly defined. It has been left to the implementation as long as certain security requirements are met. This document provides an explicit and normative way to generate IVs. The mechanism described in this document meets the IV security requirements of AES-CBC, AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM.

Randomness is provided by using AES. If this hypothesis is no longer valid, than most probably, none of the AES mode will be considered secure.

# 7. IANA Considerations

Each of the AES-CBC, AES-CTR, AES-CCM and AES-GCM crypto suite needs to have their associated cryptographic suite with implicit IV. That is to say the transforms below should be added to the Transform Type 1 - Encryption Algorithm Transform IDs:

- ENCR\_AES\_CBC\_IMPLICIT\_IV
- ENCR\_AES\_CTR\_IMPLICIT\_IV
- ENCR\_AES-CCM\_8\_IMPLICIT\_IV
- ENCR\_AES-CCM\_12\_IMPLICIT\_IV

[Page 5]

- ENCR\_AES-CCM\_16\_IMPLICIT\_IV
- AES-GCM with 8 octet ICV and implicit IV
- AES-GCM with 12 octet ICV and implicit IV
- AES-GCM with 16 octet ICV and implicit IV

### 8. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC3602] Frankel, S., Glenn, R., and S. Kelly, "The AES-CBC Cipher Algorithm and Its Use with IPsec", <u>RFC 3602</u>, September 2003.
- [RFC3686] Housley, R., "Using Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Counter Mode With IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", <u>RFC 3686</u>, January 2004.
- [RFC4104] Pana, M., Reyes, A., Barba, A., Moron, D., and M. Brunner, "Policy Core Extension Lightweight Directory Access Protocol Schema (PCELS)", <u>RFC 4104</u>, June 2005.
- [RFC4303] Kent, S., "IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", <u>RFC</u> 4303, December 2005.
- [RFC4309] Housley, R., "Using Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) CCM Mode with IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", <u>RFC</u> 4309, December 2005.
- [RFC7296] Kaufman, C., Hoffman, P., Nir, Y., Eronen, P., and T. Kivinen, "Internet Key Exchange Protocol Version 2 (IKEv2)", STD 79, <u>RFC 7296</u>, October 2014.

## <u>Appendix A</u>. Document Change Log

[draft-mglt-ipsecme-diet-esp-IV-generation-00.txt]: changing affiliation.

[draft-mglt-ipsecme-diet-esp-IV-generation-00.txt]: First version
published.

Authors' Addresses

Daniel Migault (editor) Ericsson 8400 boulevard Decarie Montreal, QC H4P 2N2 Canada

Email: mglt.ietf@gmail.com

Tobias Guggemos (editor) LMU Munich Am Osteroesch 9 87637 Seeg, Bavaria Germany

Email: tobias.guggemos@gmail.com