

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Updates: [6485](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: July 24, 2014

G. Michaelson
G. Huston
APNIC
January 20, 2014

**Clarifying RPKI use of CMS SignerInfo
draft-michaelson-signerinfo-00**

Abstract

[RFC6485 section 2](#) mandated a single CMS OID sha256withRSAEncryption from [RFC4055](#) for use in the CMS SignerInfo field. This draft updates [RFC6485](#) and extends it to permit the correct CMS use which includes an option of rsaEncryption for the SignerInfo field.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 24, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Requirements Language	2
2.	Revised CMS SignerInfo	2
3.	Acknowledgements	3
4.	IANA Considerations	3
5.	Security Considerations	3
6.	References	3
6.1.	Normative References	3
6.2.	Informative References	4
	Authors' Addresses	4

[1.](#) Introduction

[RFC 6485](#) [[RFC6485](#)] defines The Profile for Algorithms and Key Sizes for Use in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI). In that document, [Section 2](#) specifies a single signature algorithm (SHA-246) and a single CMS OID, sha256withRSAEncryption, to be used for the SignerInfo field of the CMS object.

A closer reading of the relevant RFCs [RFC 4055](#) [[RFC4055](#)] and [RFC 5754](#) [[RFC5754](#)] identified that the CMS SignerInfo field must support use of the rsaEncryption OID for full conformance with the CMS specifications, and the normative references in [RFC 6485](#) inherit this requirement.

To ensure conformance with the CMS specifications, [RFC 6485](#) is updated by this draft. All of [RFC 6485](#) applies except for a change to the SignerInfo field.

[1.1.](#) Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[2.](#) Revised CMS SignerInfo

In [RFC 6485, Section 2](#) the following sentence:

The Object Identifier (OID) sha256withRSAEncryption from [[RFC4055](#)] MUST be used.

is replaced by:

One of the Object Identifiers (OID) rsaEncryption or sha256WithRSAEncryption from [[RFC4055](#)] MUST be used. RPKI implementations MUST support rsaEncryption for the signatureAlgorithm field and SHOULD support sha256WithRSAEncryption.

[3.](#) Acknowledgements

This draft reflects a discussion between Rob Austein and Matt Lepinski on the SIDR Working group mailing list and a private communication between Rob Austein and Geoff Huston.

[4.](#) IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

[5.](#) Security Considerations

By conforming more closely to the CMS specifications, RPKI CMS objects are less likely to be rejected as non-conformant with the standards. No change is made to the cryptographic status of the CMS objects produced.

[6.](#) References

[6.1.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4055] Schaad, J., Kaliski, B., and R. Housley, "Additional Algorithms and Identifiers for RSA Cryptography for use in the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", [RFC 4055](#), June 2005.
- [RFC5754] Turner, S., "Using SHA2 Algorithms with Cryptographic Message Syntax", [RFC 5754](#), January 2010.

[RFC6485] Huston, G., "The Profile for Algorithms and Key Sizes for Use in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI)", [RFC 6485](#), February 2012.

6.2. Informative References

[AUSTEIN] Austein, SR., "[RFC 6485](#) is inconsistent with base CMS specifications", 2012, <<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/sidr/current/msg04813.html>>.

Authors' Addresses

George Michaelson
APNIC
6 Cordelia St, South Brisbane
Brisbane, Queensland 4101
AU

Phone: +61 7 3858 3150
Email: ggm@apnic.net

Geoff Huston
APNIC

Email: gih@apnic.net

