

BFD Working Group  
Mirsky  
Internet-Draft  
Corp.

G.

ZTE

Intended status: Standards Track  
2018

June 19,

Expires: December 21, 2018

**BFD in Demand Mode over Point-to-Point MPLS LSP  
draft-mirsky-bfd-mpls-demand-03**

Abstract

This document describes procedures for using Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) in Demand mode to detect data plane failures in Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) point-to-point Label Switched Paths.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 21, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Mirsky  
1]

Expires December 21, 2018

[Page

Table of Contents

[1.](#) Introduction . . . . .

[2.](#) Conventions used in this document . . . . .

[2.1.](#) Terminology . . . . .

[2.2.](#) Requirements Language . . . . .

[3.](#) Use of the BFD Demand Mode . . . . .

[4.](#) IANA Considerations . . . . .

[5.](#) Security Considerations . . . . .

[6.](#) Normative References . . . . .

[Appendix A.](#) Acknowledgements . . . . .

[Author's Address](#) . . . . .

**[1.](#) Introduction**

[RFC5884] defined use of the Asynchronous method of Bidirectional Detection (BFD) [[RFC5880](#)] to monitor and detect failures in the data path of a Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Label Switched Path (LSP). Use of the Demand mode, also specified in [[RFC5880](#)], has not been defined so far. This document describes procedures for using the Demand mode of BFD protocol to detect data plane failures in MPLS point-to-point (p2p) LSPs.

**[2.](#) Conventions used in this document**

**[2.1.](#) Terminology**

MPLS: Multiprotocol Label Switching

LSP: Label Switched Path

LER: Label switching Edge Router

BFD: Bidirectional Forwarding Detection

p2p: Point-to-Point

**[2.2.](#) Requirements Language**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and

"OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

### **3. Use of the BFD Demand Mode**

[RFC5880] defines that the Demand mode MAY be:

- o asymmetric, i.e. used in one direction of a BFD session;
- o switched to and from without bringing BFD session to Down state through using a Poll Sequence.

For the case of BFD over MPLS LSP, ingress Label switching Edge Router (LER) usually acts as Active BFD peer and egress LER acts as Passive BFD peer. The Active peer bootstraps the BFD session by using LSP ping. Once the BFD session is in Up state the ingress LER that supports this specification MUST switch to the Demand mode by setting Demand (D) bit in its Control packet and initiating a Poll Sequence. If the egress LER supports this specification it MUST respond with the Final (F) bit set in its BFD Control packet sent to the ingress LER and ceases further transmission of periodic BFD control packets to the ingress LER.

In this state BFD peers MAY remain as long as the egress LER is in Up state. The ingress LER MAY check liveness of the egress LER by setting the Poll flag. The egress LER will respond by transmitting BFD control packet with the Final flag set. If the ingress LER doesn't receive BFD packet with the Final flag from its peer after the predetermined period of time, default wait time recommended 1 second, the ingress MAY transmit another packet with the Poll flag set. If ingress doesn't receive BFD control packet with the Final flag set in response to three consecutive packets with Poll flag, it MAY declare the BFD peer non-responsive and change state of the BFD session to Down state.

If the Detection timer at the egress LER expires it MUST send BFD Control packet to the ingress LER with the Poll (P) bit set, Status (Sta) field set to Down value, and the Diagnostic (Diag) field set to Control Detection Time Expired value. The egress LER sends these Control packets to the ingress LER at the rate of one per second until either it receives the valid for this BFD session control packet with the Final (F) bit set from the ingress LER or the defect condition clears and the BFD session state reaches Up state at the egress LER.

The ingress LER transmits BFD Control packets over the MPLS LSP with the Demand (D) flag set at negotiated interval per [RFC5880], the greater of `bfd.DesiredMinTxInterval` and `bfd.RemoteMinRxInterval`, until it receives the valid BFD packet from the egress LER with the Poll (P) bit and the Diagnostic (Diag) field value Control Detection Time Expired. Reception of such BFD control packet by the ingress

Mirsky  
3]

Expires December 21, 2018

[Page

LER indicates that the monitored LSP has a failure and sending BFD control packet with the Final flag set to acknowledge failure indication is likely to fail. Instead, the ingress LER transmits the

BFD Control packet to the egress LER over the IP network with:

- o destination IP address MUST be set to the destination IP address of the LSP Ping Echo request message [[RFC8029](#)];
- o destination UDP port set to 4784 [[RFC5883](#)];
- o Final (F) flag in BFD control packet MUST be set;
- o Demand (D) flag in BFD control packet MUST be cleared.

The ingress LER changes the state of the BFD session to Down and changes rate of BFD Control packets transmission to one packet per second. The ingress LER in Down mode changes to Asynchronous mode until the BFD session comes to Up state once again. Then the ingress

LER switches to the Demand mode.

#### **4. IANA Considerations**

TBD

#### **5. Security Considerations**

This document does not introduce new security aspects but inherits all security considerations from [[RFC5880](#)], [[RFC5884](#)], [[RFC7726](#)], [[RFC8029](#)], and [[RFC6425](#)].

#### **6. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", [RFC 5880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.
- [RFC5883] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for Multihop Paths", [RFC 5883](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5883, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5883>>.

Mirsky  
4]

Expires December 21, 2018

[Page

- [RFC5884] Aggarwal, R., Kompella, K., Nadeau, T., and G. Swallow, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for MPLS Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", [RFC 5884](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5884, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5884>>.
- [RFC6425] Saxena, S., Ed., Swallow, G., Ali, Z., Farrel, A., Yasukawa, S., and T. Nadeau, "Detecting Data-Plane Failures in Point-to-Multipoint MPLS - Extensions to LSP Ping", [RFC 6425](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6425, November 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6425>>.
- [RFC7726] Govindan, V., Rajaraman, K., Mirsky, G., Akiya, N., and S. Aldrin, "Clarifying Procedures for Establishing BFD Sessions for MPLS Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", [RFC 7726](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7726, January 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7726>>.
- [RFC8029] Kompella, K., Swallow, G., Pignataro, C., Ed., Kumar, N., Aldrin, S., and M. Chen, "Detecting Multiprotocol Label Switched (MPLS) Data-Plane Failures", [RFC 8029](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8029, March 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8029>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

## [Appendix A](#). Acknowledgements

TBD

Author's Address

Greg Mirsky  
ZTE Corp.

Email: [gregimirsky@gmail.com](mailto:gregimirsky@gmail.com)

